Polymyositis without Beneficial Response to Steroid Therapy: Should Miyoshi Myopathy be a Differential Diagnosis?
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Patient: Male, 16
Final Diagnosis: Miyoshi myopathy
Symptoms: HyperCKemia • myalgia • weakness
Medication: —
Clinical Procedure: —
Specialty: Neurology

Objective: Rare disease

Background: Miyoshi myopathy (MM) is an autosomal-recessive muscle disorder caused by mutations in the DYSF gene. Clinical features and histopathological changes in dysferlinopathies may mimic inflammatory myopathies and a high degree of clinical suspicion is required to guide the genetic investigation.

Case Report: We report the case of a 16-year-old male who presented with severe bilateral calf pain and elevated CK levels (15 000 IU/l) who was on prolonged steroid therapy prompted by the clinical suspicion of inflammatory myopathy. Three years into his illness, he was referred for neuromuscular evaluation presenting with untreatable muscle pain and progressive weakness. The diagnosis of “refractory polymyositis” was revisited. Targeted exome sequencing revealed homozygous pathogenic mutations in the DYSF gene, confirming a diagnosis of Miyoshi myopathy.

Conclusions: Our case illustrates that severe muscle pain may be the initial feature of Miyoshi myopathy and should be considered in the differential diagnosis of inflammatory myopathies. Although the described patient reported partial clinical improvement in muscle pain, steroid treatment is not an effective therapy for dysferlinopathy patients and it did not prevent disease progression. In addition, we confirm the utility of next-generation sequencing approaches to myopathies, particularly in complex or unusual cases when muscle biopsy is not available.
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**Background**

Miyoshi myopathy (MM) is an autosomal-recessive muscle disorder caused by mutations in the DYSF gene (OMIM #603009) characterized by an early adult onset muscular dystrophy predominantly characterized by distal and posterior leg muscle involvement in association with markedly elevated serum creatine kinase (CK) levels [1–3]. The diagnosis is confirmed by reduced dysferlin protein expression in muscle biopsy and/or confirmed pathogenic mutations in the DYSF gene [1,4]. We report a patient who presented with severe muscle pain in association with elevated CK levels who was initially misdiagnosed as having polymyositis.

**Case Report**

A Brazilian teenage boy reported severe myalgia affecting calf muscles at the age of 16. He was initially diagnosed with an inflammatory myopathy (polymyositis). Steroid treatment (prednisone 80mg/day) was prescribed, with a partial clinical improvement of the myalgia. Unfortunately, muscle biopsy, muscle MRI, and genetic tests were not available when he was first treated. Past medical history had been uneventful. Attempts to reduce the steroid dose resulted in worsening of muscle pain, which became increasingly severe and limited his physical activities. He was also hampered by adverse effects, including cushingoid-appearance and weight gain. As the disease progressed, he reported difficulty climbing stairs and frequent falls while walking long distances. Fatigue was prompted by moderate exertion. As muscle weakness and pain progressed, he was referred to neuromuscular evaluation. A physical exam and had normal results, with normal electromyography results and a slightly increased CK measurement (268 IU/L; VR: 30–135 IU/L). Steroid therapy was discontinued and amitriptyline was prescribed for muscle pain, with mild improvement of the muscle pain.

**Discussion**

Clinical features and histopathological changes in dysferlinopathies may mimic inflammatory myopathies, and a high degree of clinical suspicion is required to guide the genetic investigation [5]. Physical examination is likely to reveal predominance of distal weakness and muscle atrophy mainly affecting posterior segments of the legs, with inability to stand on the toes, which is an important diagnostic clue for MM [2,6–8].

Approximately 25% of patients with DYSF gene mutations are initially misdiagnosed as having polymyositis [2] and approximately 10% to 15% of patients with MM may have received the clinical diagnosis of an inflammatory myopathy before immunohistochemical analysis for dysferlin became available [2,5]. Misdiagnosis of MM as inflammatory myopathy has been previously reported in 8 cases (Table 1) [2,5,7,9,10] although this could be an underestimate. Misdiagnosis often concerned sporadic forms of the disease not responsive to steroid treatment, based on clinical assessment and/or histopathological evaluation [5,7,9]. The correct diagnosis of MM diagnosis was eventually established by dysferlin immunostaining on muscle biopsy and/or genetic analysis in the described cases.

Muscle biopsy in dysferlinopathies may show inflammatory infiltration [5–7,9], further leading to a misdiagnosis of inflammatory myopathy [4,7,9] highlighting the importance of performing dysferlin immunostaining when assessing muscle biopsies of young adults presenting with muscle pain and other non-specific features, in whom inflammatory myopathy was initially suspected [5,7,9]. The widespread upregulated MHC-I expression in muscle fibers can also help in the histological differentiation of these disorders because it is more evident in polymyositis [11], although different patterns of...
Upregulation have been reported in association with dysferlinopathies [2,4,12,13]. Partial invasion has been described in association with myositis [11]. The presence of inflammatory infiltrates in muscle biopsy of dysferlinopathies has been associated with a higher rate of disease progression [2].

The reported patient was initially misdiagnosed with an inflammatory myopathy prompted by severe muscle pain and high CK levels. Unfortunately, muscle biopsy, muscle MRI, and genetic investigation were not performed at an early stage due to public health system limitations [14,15], which, in association with the partial improvement of the myalgia in response to steroid treatment, contributed to the delay in considering a genetic cause. Although the described patient reported partial clinical improvement in muscle pain [7], steroid treatment is not an effective therapy for dysferlinopathy patients and it did not prevent muscle weakness and disease progression [6,9,16]. We suggest that patients presenting with muscle pain initially diagnosed with polymyositis “refractory” to treatment should undergo muscle biopsy at an early stage or genetic investigation for an underlying neuromuscular disorder such as dysferlinopathy, especially if muscle pathology immunostainings are restricted and miss important staining such as dysferlin immunostaining, even if more typical features of these conditions have not evolved yet.

The frameshift deletion in the DYSF gene found in our patient was previously reported as pathogenic, causing limb-girdle muscular dystrophy type 2B in another Brazilian patient (see Leiden DYSF mutation database, unpublished case) [17].

Figure 1. Clinical, radiological, and genetic features in the reported case. Illustration of the leg muscle atrophy (A). Lower-limb muscle MRI showed bilateral muscle atrophy mainly affecting the posterior compartment, increased signal in T1-weighted image representing fat infiltration, and fibrosis in the calf regions (B). Chromatogram demonstrated the result of DYSF gene sequencing in the patient and his unaffected mother (C).
Variants in the **MYF6** gene have been reported as a genetic modifier in X-linked muscular dystrophy [18]; however, without additional functional data it is very difficult to prove that the **MYF6 V62I** variant identified acted as a genetic modifier in our patient. The patient's mother carried the same **MYF6** V62I variant and was asymptomatic apart from a very mildly raised CK level (268 IU/L; VR: 30–135 IU/L).

**Conclusions**

We reported a patient with MM who initially presented with severe muscle pain misdiagnosed as polymyositis resistant to steroid therapy. Myalgia partially improved with steroid treatment even though distal muscle weakness slowly progressed. This case illustrates that diagnosis and treatment based solely on clinical presentation may not be an appropriate method because different conditions may overlap in the early manifestation. Polymyositis usually responds dramatically to steroid therapy, either histologically or clinically. In the absence of such a dramatic response, more diagnostic attempts, including either dysferlin immunostaining or Western blot analysis, should be undertaken. Our observation confirms that next-generation sequencing approaches, through disease-specific panels or targeted exome sequencing, are very helpful in the evaluation of the differential diagnosis of inherited neuromuscular disorders, especially when muscle biopsy is not available, as occurred in our case.
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