Synergistic effects of longitudinal amyloid and vascular changes on lobar microbleeds

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine whether amyloid and hypertensive cerebral small vessel disease (hCSVD) changes synergistically affect the progression of lobar microbleeds in patients with subcortical vascular mild cognitive impairment (svMCI).

Methods: Among 72 patients with svMCI who underwent brain MRI and [11C] Pittsburgh compound B (PiB)-PET, 52 (72.2%) completed the third year of follow-up. These patients were evaluated by annual neuropsychological testing, brain MRI, and follow-up PiB-PET.

Results: Over 3 years, 31 of 52 patients (59.6%) had incident cerebral microbleeds (CMBs) in the lobar and deep regions. Both baseline and longitudinal changes in lacune numbers were associated with increased numbers of lobar and deep microbleeds, while baseline and longitudinal changes in PiB uptake ratio were associated only with the progression of lobar microbleeds, especially in the temporal, parietal, and occipital areas. Regional white matter hyperintensity severity was also associated with regional lobar CMBs in the parietal and occipital regions. There were interactive effects between baseline and longitudinal lacune number and PiB retention on lobar microbleed progression. Increased lobar, but not deep, CMBs were associated with decreased scores in the digit span backward task and Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that amyloid-related pathology and hCSVD have synergistic effects on the progression of lobar microbleeds, providing new clinical insight into the interaction between amyloid burden and hCSVD on CMB progression and cognitive decline with implications for developing effective prevention strategies. Neurology® 2016;87:1575-1582

GLOSSARY

AD = Alzheimer disease; ADL = activities of daily living; CAA = cerebral amyloid angiopathy; CMB = cerebral microbleed; FLAIR = fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; GRE = gradient echo; hCSVD = hypertensive cerebral small vessel disease; PiB = Pittsburgh compound B; RCFT = Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test; SNSB = Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery; SUVR = standardized uptake value ratio; svMCI = subcortical vascular mild cognitive impairment; WMH = white matter hyperintensity.

Alzheimer disease (AD) and hypertensive cerebral small vessel disease (hCSVD) are major causes of cognitive impairment in the elderly. There is increasing evidence that AD pathologies and hCSVD coexist and interact in individuals with cognitive impairment. Recent amyloid PET studies suggested that approximately 30% of patients with extensive hCSVD also had amyloid lesions of the brain, showing a relationship between amyloid and hCSVD and their clinical relevance. Cerebral microbleeds (CMBs) have generated a great deal of interest, since they are thought to result from 2 key age-related small vessel pathologies, cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) and hCSVD, which may have different underlying causes and mechanisms. The topography of...
CMBs is thought to reflect their underlying etiology; however, recent studies on this issue showed inconsistent results. Our group previously showed that amyloid burden and hCSVD are synergistically associated with lobar CMBs. A major limitation of studies to date is that the association between neuroimaging markers and CMBs has only been investigated in cross-sectional studies, which limits the exploration of cause-effect relationships.

In the current study, we investigated the longitudinal effects of changes in amyloid burden and hCSVD on the progression of lobar and deep CMBs in patients with subcortical vascular mild cognitive impairment (svMCI). We hypothesized that amyloid and hCSVD changes synergistically affect lobar CMBs changes, while deep CMB changes are only associated with hCSVD changes. We also hypothesized that changes in CMBs affect cognitive decline.

### METHODS

Participants. We prospectively recruited 72 patients with svMCI from September 2008 to September 2011 at Samsung Medical Center. Patients with svMCI were diagnosed using the previously described Petersen’s Criteria with the following modifications: (1) a subjective cognitive complaint by the patient or his or her caregiver; (2) normal activities of daily living (ADL) score determined clinically and by the instrumental ADL scale; (3) objective cognitive decline below the 16th percentile on the Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery (SNSB); (4) no dementia; (5) presence of a subcortical vascular feature defined as a focal neurologic symptom/sign such as corticobulbar sign, pyramidal sign, or parkinsonism; and (6) significant white matter hyperintensity (WMH) on MRI. Significant WMH was defined as a hyperintensity in the periventricular white matter greater than 10 mm or hyperintensity in the deep white matter lesion more than 25 mm in maximum diameter on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) imaging. The clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in table 1.

Patients were evaluated by neuropsychological testing, laboratory testing, and MRI as described in a previous study. Brain MRI confirmed the absence of structural lesions, including territorial cerebral infarction, brain tumor, hippocampal sclerosis, and vascular malformation.

### Table 1 Baseline and follow-up demographics and imaging characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline, n = 72</th>
<th>Follow-up, n = 52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline age, y</td>
<td>74.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>75.9 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Female</td>
<td>45/72 (62.5)</td>
<td>35/52 (67.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular risk factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>54/72 (75)</td>
<td>40/52 (76.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>18/72 (25)</td>
<td>13/52 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>25/72 (34.7)</td>
<td>17/52 (32.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE genotype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε2 Allele-positive</td>
<td>11/72 (15.3)</td>
<td>9/52 (17.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε4 Allele-positive</td>
<td>19/72 (26.4)</td>
<td>12/52 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>26.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>24.3 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR-SOB</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacunes</td>
<td>4 (0–28)</td>
<td>5 (0–31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global PIB uptake ratio</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIB positivity (&gt;1.5)</td>
<td>20/72 (27.8)</td>
<td>18/54 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMBS, total</td>
<td>1.5 (0–77)</td>
<td>2 (0–93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobar CMBS</td>
<td>1.0 (0–39)</td>
<td>1 (0–47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>0 (0–6)</td>
<td>0 (0–12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>0 (0–13)</td>
<td>0 (0–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>0 (0–14)</td>
<td>0 (0–17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>0 (0–10)</td>
<td>0 (0–11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep CMBS</td>
<td>0 (0–48)</td>
<td>0 (0–55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMH severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global WMH (0–84)</td>
<td>31.5 ± 7.3</td>
<td>34.4 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal WMH (0–38)</td>
<td>17.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>18.8 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal WMH (0–6)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal WMH (0–6)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital WMH (0–8)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CDR-SOB = Clinical Dementia Rating Sum of Boxes; CMB = cerebral microbleed; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; PIB = Pittsburgh compound B; WMH = white matter hyperintensity.

*Continuous variables expressed as mean ± SD.

*Categorical variables expressed as prevalence (%).

*Continuous variables expressed as median (minimum–maximum) (variables are not normally distributed).

*WMH was measured using the Scheltens WMH scale.

*Frontal WMH consisted of frontal cap WMH (0–2), lobar frontal WMH (0–6), and basal ganglia hyperintensity (0–30).

*Parietal WMH was defined as lobar parietal WMH located in the deep and subcortical white matter.

*Temporal WMH was defined as lobar temporal WMH located in the deep and subcortical white matter.

*Occipital WMH consisted of occipital cap WMH (0–2) and lobar occipital WMH (0–6).
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protocols, and PiB data analysis are described in appendix e-1 at Neurology.org and were based on a previous study. To measure PiB retention, we used the cerebral cortical region-to-cerebellum uptake ratio, which was identical to the standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR).

MRI techniques. Standardized T1, T2, FLAIR, and T2* gradient echo (GRE) MRI was performed for all participants at Samsung Medical Center using the same 3.0T MRI scanner (Philips 3.0T Achieva). T2* GRE-MRI was obtained using the following parameters: axial slice thickness of 5.0 mm, interslice thickness of 2 mm, repetition time of 660 ms, echo time of 16 ms, flip angle of 18°, and matrix size of 560 × 560 pixels.

Analysis of CMBs on GRE-MRI. CMBs were defined as lesions ≤10 mm in diameter and were also defined using criteria proposed by Greenberg et al. Two experienced neurologists who were blinded to patient data such as clinical information, lacunes, WMH, and amyloid burdens reviewed the number and location of CMBs on 20 T2* GRE-MRI axial slices. The 2 neurologists had an agreement kappa value for the presence of CMBs of 0.92, and a consensus was reached in any initially discrepant cases.

CMBs were counted in 4 lobar regions and also in deep brain regions. Lobar CMBs depicted on the cortical surface model from each group were displayed simultaneously using ParaView software (paraview.org/; Kitware, Clifton Park, NY) as described in appendix e-2.

Lacune and WMH assessment on MRI. A lacune was defined as a lesion ≥3 mm and ≤15 mm in diameter with low signal intensity on T1 imaging, high signal intensity on T2-weighted imaging, and with a perilesional halo on FLAIR imaging. Two experienced neurologists who were blinded to patient clinical data reviewed the number and location of the lacunes on 80 axial FLAIR slices. The kappa value between the 2 neurologists for the presence of lacunes was 0.78, and a consensus was reached in any initially discrepant cases.

The extent of WMH on FLAIR was also rated using the semi-quantitative scale proposed by Scheltens and colleagues.

Follow-up evaluations with neuropsychological testing, MRI, and PiB-PET. Patients were evaluated annually with a clinical interview, neuropsychological testing, and brain MRI for 3 years. Of the 72 svMCI patients, 65 completed the first year of follow-up, 51 completed the second year, and 52 (72.2%) completed the third year (figure e-1). The dropout rate was 27.8%. Among 72 patients with svMCI, 13 patients developed dementia during the follow-up period. Two patients experienced incident ICH during the 3-year follow-up period.

A total of 50 (69.4%) patients underwent second PiB-PET at a mean interval of 32.3 months (figure e-1). Those who dropped out were older at baseline than those who completed follow-up (p = 0.023) and tended to have higher PiB retention at baseline (p = 0.076) (table e-1).

Statistical analysis. To evaluate whether the baseline PiB retention ratio and the number of lacunes affect the progression of microbleeds globally or regionally, a generalized estimating equation with a negative binomial distribution was used for analysis after controlling for age, sex, and longitudinal measures of the PiB retention ratio or longitudinal measures of lacunes. To evaluate the effects of WMH severity changes on CMB progression, WMH severity changes were included in the above model instead of longitudinal measures of lacunes. In order to evaluate the interactive effects of longitudinal measures of the PiB retention ratio and number of lacunes on the progression of microbleeds, an interaction term (longitudinal measures of the PiB retention ratio × the number of lacunes) was included in the above model.

To evaluate the relationship between CMB progression and cognitive decline, a generalized estimating equation with a linear model was used with neuropsychological scores as the dependent variables and CMBs as the independent variables after controlling for age, sex, education, and longitudinal measures of imaging markers (amyloid burden and lacunes). Correction for multiple comparisons was done using the false discovery rate method. SAS software (SAS University Edition; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for all analyses.

RESULTS Longitudinal measures of lacunes, PiB retention, and CMBs. In total, 43 of 72 (59.7%) patients had CMBs at baseline. Over 3 years, 31 of 52 patients (59.6%) had incident CMBs, increasing by 68 and 50 in the lobar and deep regions, respectively. Three (5.8%) of 52 svMCI patients had CMB counts decreasing by 10 and 9 in the lobar and deep regions, respectively. The number of lacunes increased from 6.8 ± 7.4 to 7.9 ± 8.2 and PiB SUVR increased from 1.47 ± 0.38 to 1.52 ± 0.45 in 52 patients monitored over 32.3 months (table 1). Figure 1 illustrates the distribution and number of baseline and incident lobar CMBs in the patient cohort.

Relationship of baseline and longitudinal measures of lacunes and PiB retention with longitudinal measures of lobar and deep CMBs. Higher lacune number at baseline and longitudinal changes in lacune number were associated with longitudinal increases in lobar CMB numbers globally and regionally, as well as longitudinal increases in deep CMB numbers (table 2). Higher baseline number or longitudinal increases in PiB retention were also associated with longitudinal increases in lobar CMB numbers, especially in the parietal, temporal, and occipital regions (table 2). There were interactive effects between baseline and longitudinal lacune number and PiB retention on longitudinal global, parietal, temporal, and occipital lobar CMB numbers (table 2).

We also analyzed the relationship of CMBs with lacunes or amyloid burden at baseline and follow-up after controlling for age, sex, and lacune number or PiB uptake (table e-2). Higher lacune number was associated with presence or higher number of lobar and deep CMBs at both baseline and follow-up. Neither the presence nor load of lobar/deep CMBs was associated with baseline or follow-up PiB uptake.
Increased overall WMH severity tended to be associated with increased deep CMBs ($p = 0.059$) and lobar CMBs in the parietal ($p = 0.06$) and temporal ($p = 0.053$) regions (table 3). Regional WMH severity was associated with regional lobar CMBs in the parietal ($p = 0.001$) and occipital ($p = 0.001$) regions (table 3).

In patients with aMCI without significant WMH, higher lacune number at baseline and longitudinal changes in lacune number were associated with longitudinal increases in lobar CMB numbers (table e-3). Higher lacune number at baseline was also associated with longitudinal increases in deep CMB numbers (table e-3). PiB retention was not associated with lobar/deep CMBs (table e-3).

Relationship between longitudinal measures of CMBs and neuropsychological results. Increased lobar CMBs were associated with decreased scores on the digit span backward and RCFT copy tests (table 4). There were no significant relationships between longitudinal measures of deep CMBs and decline in neuropsychological scores (table 4).

DISCUSSION Using 3 years of longitudinal amyloid burden and hCSVD data, we investigated the potential pathogenesis of lobar and deep CMB progression. Our major findings are as follows. First, both baseline hCSVD and the progression of hCSVD, as measured by lacune number, contributed to the progression of lobar and deep CMBs. Second, both baseline amyloid deposition and its progression, as measured by PiB retention, contributed to the progression of lobar, but not deep, CMBs. Third, baseline and progression of hCSVD and amyloid retention synergistically affected the progression of lobar CMBs, especially in the...
parietal, temporal, and occipital regions—areas preferentially affected by CAA. Finally, increased lobar CMBs contributed to a decline in attention and visuospatial functions. Therefore, our findings provide insight into the interaction between amyloid burden and hCSVD on the progression of CMBs and cognitive decline.

Our longitudinal study builds upon the results of a prior cross-sectional study, which revealed an interaction between amyloid burden and hCSVD on lobar CMBs. Specifically, the current longitudinal study showed that baseline amyloid burden and hCSVD predicted the progression of lobar CMBs beyond the degree predicted for either individual marker.

### Table 3: Relationship between longitudinal measures of white matter hyperintensity (WMH) severity and cerebral microbleeds (CMBs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitudinal changes in WMH severity</th>
<th>Lobar, overall</th>
<th>Frontal</th>
<th>Parietal</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
<th>Occipital</th>
<th>Longitudinal changes in deep CMBs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B (SE)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>B (SE)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>B (SE)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>B (SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.02 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.06 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>-0.02 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Generalized estimating equation with a negative binomial distribution was used with CMBs as the dependent variable and WMH score as the independent variable after controlling for age, sex, and Pittsburgh compound B uptake ratio. Values are presented as the regression coefficients (standard error).

### Table 4: Relationship between cerebral microbleeds (CMBs) and neuropsychological results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitudinal neuropsychological results</th>
<th>Longitudinal measures of lobar CMBs</th>
<th>Longitudinal measures of deep CMBs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B (SE)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Corrected p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span forward</td>
<td>-0.013 (0.017)</td>
<td>0.4504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span backward</td>
<td>-0.026 (0.008)</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-BNT</td>
<td>-0.017 (0.146)</td>
<td>0.9080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT copyb</td>
<td>-0.054 (0.016)</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVLT immediate recall</td>
<td>0.003 (0.047)</td>
<td>0.9529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVLT delayed recall</td>
<td>-0.068 (0.037)</td>
<td>0.0665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVLT recognition</td>
<td>-0.045 (0.041)</td>
<td>0.2666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT immediate recall</td>
<td>-0.036 (0.060)</td>
<td>0.5546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT delayed recall</td>
<td>-0.098 (0.071)</td>
<td>0.1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT recognition</td>
<td>0.006 (0.027)</td>
<td>0.8246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT animal</td>
<td>0.062 (0.047)</td>
<td>0.1856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT supermarket</td>
<td>0.012 (0.077)</td>
<td>0.8717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT phonemic</td>
<td>0.005 (0.173)</td>
<td>0.9780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop color</td>
<td>-0.183 (0.452)</td>
<td>0.6862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-MMSEb</td>
<td>-0.030 (0.012)</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR-SOBc</td>
<td>0.007 (0.010)</td>
<td>0.4706</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CDR-SOB = Clinical Dementia Rating Sum of Boxes; COWAT = Controlled Oral Word Association Test; K-BNT = Korean version of Boston Naming Test; K-MMSE = Korean version of Mini-Mental State Examination; RCFT = Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure test; SVLT = Seoul Verbal Learning Test.

Generalized estimating equation with linear modeling was conducted with neuropsychological test scores as the dependent variables and CMBs as the independent variable after controlling for age, sex, education, and longitudinal measures of imaging markers (Pittsburgh compound B uptake ratio and lacunes). Correction for multiple comparisons was done using the false discovery rate method.

a Values are presented as the regression coefficient (standard error).

b Data were transformed using a Box-Cox transformation prior to their inclusion in statistical analyses.

c Data were transformed using a logarithmic transformation prior to their inclusion in statistical analyses.
Moreover, longitudinal amyloid burden and hCSVD also synergistically affected the progression of lobar CMBs. These findings strongly suggest that lobar CMBs are caused by an arteriopathy related to both hCSVD and cerebral amyloid burden, while the arteriopathy causing deep CMBs is not related to cerebral amyloid burden. The mechanism by which hCSVD and amyloid pathology interact in the development of lobar CMBs requires further investigation. CAA shows a general predilection for the posterior brain region (similar to the distribution of progressive CMBs in our study), so it is possible that hCSVD aggravates the deposition of vascular amyloid, or vice versa. One potential pathophysiologic link is the perivascular drainage pathways, an increasingly recognized route for the elimination of interstitial fluid and solutes, including β-amyloid, from the brain. Perivascular drainage may be impaired by hCSVD (as indicated by MRI-visible perivascular spaces), leading to impaired amyloid clearance with vascular deposition and more severe CAA with lobar CMB progression. Alternatively, CAA (reflected in increased PiB retention) is also associated with MRI-visible perivascular spaces in the cerebral hemisphere white matter, and may lead to the development of white matter small vessel injury, leukoaraiosis, and CMB progression.

One implication of our results is in the selection and risk stratification of patients undergoing antiplatelet therapy. CMBs are of particular interest because they are an important amyloid-related imaging abnormality during amyloid immunotherapy in patients with cognitive impairment, with potential relevance in the prediction of this adverse clinical effect; understanding the mechanisms underlying CMBs may thus be useful in the design and interpretation of future AD treatment trials. Whether hCSVD is related to the risk of such complications warrants further study. CMBs have also been implicated as a risk factor for intracerebral hemorrhage following anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy. Tightly controlling vascular risk factors reduces the progression of hCSVD, which might prevent new-onset CMBs and the rate of hemorrhagic complications in patients with combined amyloid and hCSVD.

Our findings that both baseline hCSVD and its progression contribute to the progression of lobar and deep CMBs confirm a prior cross-sectional study from our group. The hypothesis that deep CMBs are associated with hCSVD has also been tested in several longitudinal studies, which consistently showed that a higher baseline burden or progression of hCSVD predicts future deep CMBs. However, previous results regarding incident lobar CMBs were less consistent: 2 longitudinal studies showed that baseline or incident lacunes were associated with incident lobar CMBs, while a previous study did not find a relationship between the progression of hCSVD and incident strictly lobar CMBs. Our findings support the notion that superficial perforating arteries of pial origin are likely responsible for lobar CMBs and may lose their autoregulatory function in the presence of chronic hypertension and hCSVD, leading to excessive pressure, damage to smooth muscle cells, and microbleeds. Consistent with 2 other longitudinal studies, our findings imply that lobar CMBs not only reflect CAA.

We found that both baseline amyloid burden and its progression affect the progression of lobar, but not deep, CMBs. Our findings are consistent with a recent CAA study showing that elevated PiB retention at baseline precedes cerebral bleeding, including CMBs. Another longitudinal study showed that baseline PiB status can be used to predict the incidence of strictly lobar CMBs. PiB ligand binds to the fibrillary form of amyloid in both parenchyma and vessel walls. Increased CAA severity is also reportedly associated with increased neuritic plaques, although a previous study found that only a quarter of patients with AD display CAA extensive enough to cause lobar CMBs. Therefore, increased PiB SUVR might reflect increased CAA, which can lead to the progression of lobar CMBs. It is also reasonable to infer that increased amyloid vasculopathy contributes to the progression of lobar CMBs over time, given that CAA has a predominantly posterior brain distribution. In fact, several animal studies have provided evidence linking vascular amyloid to disturbances in endothelial-dependent factors, causing disruption of cerebrovascular regulation and extravascular leakage of blood components.

We found that increased lobar CMBs, but not deep CMBs, were associated with decreased scores in attention and visuospatial functions. There has been some controversy regarding the clinical influence of CMBs. Some previous studies suggested that CMBs were clinically silent, while others reported that CMBs were associated with cognitive decline in elderly patients without dementia and ischemic stroke patients. Our longitudinal study demonstrated that longitudinal increases in CMBs affect cognitive decline and evidenced regional specificity in the clinical significance of CMBs. There may be several possible interpretations of our findings regarding the relationship between lobar CMBs and cognitive impairment in svMCI patients. The relationship between lobar CMBs and cognitive impairment might be explained by CAA, considering that...
CAA is not only a key factor in CMBs, but it has also been associated with cognitive impairment. CMBs may reflect the effect of co-occurring hCSVD or AD, although the statistical significance remained after controlling for PiB SUVr and lacunes. Finally, the effects of CMBs on cognition may be due to surrounding ischemic changes as well as direct focal damage. However, results from this current study do not fully address these hypotheses. Future studies ought to investigate these complex relationships.

An important strength of this study is its 3-year prospective cohort design using a standardized protocol. Moreover, 70% of the participants underwent detailed annual neuropsychological testing and structural MRI, with a repeat PiB-PET scan after 3 years. However, there were some limitations. First, although PiB-PET is the most sensitive method available to reliably detect cerebral amyloid in the vessel walls and parenchyma, this modality cannot differentiate these forms of amyloid deposition. Second, we measured longitudinal changes in WMH severity using semiquantitative methods instead of measurements of WMH volume because of technical limitations. However, lacunes are a more specific marker of hCSVD, since WMH may be related to several different pathologic processes. Third, our svMCI patients were clinically diagnosed via clinical interview, neuropsychological examination, and neuropsychological testing before they underwent PiB-PET. However, the cognitive symptoms of some svMCI patients with a significant amyloid burden might be driven by AD pathology. Finally, our study population included a large proportion of patients with significant vascular burden, which may limit the generalizability of our data to other populations.
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