Oral health screening should be routine in professional football: A call to action for sports and exercise medicine (SEM) clinicians.
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In the January 2016 issue of BJSM, we showed that professional footballers in the UK have poor oral health and this is associated with negative self-reported impacts on training, performance and wellbeing (1). Since more than 90% of each senior squad participated in the study, we can be confident that the results represent a true picture. These findings are also consistent with data we collected during the London 2012 Olympic Games and a recent systematic review (2). The emerging picture is of poor oral health in elite sport with important impacts on self-reported performance. In this call to action for oral health screening in professional football we; (i) place players’ oral health alongside UK norms, (ii) make the case that footballers are at high risk of poor oral health, and (iii) set out the case for screening and how this could lead to improved oral health in professional football.

How good is footballers’ oral health?

Oral health is not simply absence of disease. It should positively contribute to the wellbeing and functioning of individuals (and societies) including performance of elite athletes. A side by side comparison of the most recent UK oral health data (3) with professional footballers for age 16-24 years shows worse oral health: dental caries 30% vs 38%, moderate toothwear (loss of enamel and dentine without caries) 4% vs. 20%, and gingivitis 50% vs. 82% respectively. If compared only with those attending the dentist regularly, the gulf would be much greater. 20% of footballers report negative impact of their oral health on quality of life and 7% consider it impairs their performance.
**Should professional footballers be considered at high risk of poor oral health?**

Consider two lines of evidence. First, the best available evidence suggests that footballers present with levels of caries, dental erosion and periodontal diseases that confer a high treatment burden with long-term implications for management. Second, current evidence-based public health guidance identifies these disease levels as requiring a high risk approach to disease prevention (4).

**What are the implications for sport and exercise medicine clinicians?**

Given that footballers are at high risk of poor oral health, three closely related action items arise;

1. Introduction of periodic oral health screening
2. Universal high risk prevention/risk mitigation
3. Integration of oral health promotion within overall athlete-care

The classic principles guiding early disease detection/screening (5) are well met for oral health and perhaps to a greater degree than other musculoskeletal ‘screening’ practices that are more commonly undertaken in professional football. We recommend that screening should be conducted by a dentist twice per year, including in the pre-season window. This allows the identification and provision for early treatment as well as the opportunity to encourage adherence to preventive care. The costs likely involve one-two days of a dentist's time to screen a senior squad and should be compared with the expense per player of loss from training or matches due to oral health problems.

**A universal prevention strategy vs a targeted approach**

An enhanced universal preventive strategy should be adopted for all footballers rather than limiting to individuals with identified disease (6). As some challenges to oral health such as carbohydrate-containing sport supplements may be unavoidable for professional athletes, we recommend a strategy of disease prevention and risk mitigation (2,4). These measures include:

- using of higher concentration fluoride toothpastes (2,800 and 5,000 ppm fluoride) and mouthrinses,
- avoiding carbohydrate when not required for performance
- effective daily oral hygiene, particularly between the teeth
Implementation could be delivered by a dentist, dental care professional (e.g. dental hygienist or dental health educator) or other trained member of the medical team. For sustained improvement, oral health promotion should be integrated and delivered as part of overall health promotion within professional football. The benefits of integration would be little reducing need for resources and ownership and reinforcement of key messages across support staff.

Clearly, a different approach to oral healthcare in professional football is required. There will need to be leadership at all levels including international sporting federations, national organisations and club-level sport. Furthermore, responsibility for effective change will include those delivering healthcare including SEM physicians, nutritionists and physiotherapists.

Is this a case of too much medicine (7)? We believe not. Oral diseases are prevalent in sport, early treatment is simple and prevention works. Embedding this into current health service delivery would need very modest investment [as above]. Oral health screening and promotion should begin at the earliest stage of a player’s career (e.g. when commencing a Youth Scholarship) and could deliver ‘best buy for health’ (8), wellbeing and performance in football of any intervention.
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