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SUMMARY

The mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψm) is a major determinant and indicator of cell fate, but it is not possible to assess small changes in Δψm within cells or in vivo. To overcome this, we developed an approach that utilizes two mitochondria-targeted probes each containing a triphenylphosphonium (TPP) lipophilic cation that drives their accumulation in response to Δψm and the plasma membrane potential (Δψp). One probe contains an azido moiety and the other a cyclooctyne, which react together in a concentration-dependent manner by “click” chemistry to form MitoClick. As the mitochondrial accumulation of both probes depends exponentially on Δψm and Δψp, the rate of MitoClick formation is exquisitely sensitive to small changes in these potentials. MitoClick accumulation can then be quantified by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). This approach enables assessment of subtle changes in membrane potentials within cells and in the mouse heart in vivo.

INTRODUCTION

The mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψm) is central to the organelle’s many functions (Nicholls and Ferguson, 2013). As electrons pass down the respiratory chain to oxygen, the difference in reduction potential drives proton pumping across the inner membrane, generating a proton electrochemical potential gradient comprising a pH gradient (~0.8 pH units/50 mV, basic inside) and a Δψm (~120–180 mV, negative inside); thus Δψm is by far the dominant component. The central function of Δψm is to drive ATP synthesis by oxidative phosphorylation, and its magnitude is set by the balance between its generation and its consumption by ATP synthesis and other dissipative processes (Figure 1A), making Δψm a sensitive indicator of cellular energetics. Δψm can decrease due to elevated ATP production or thermogenesis, or from mitochondrial dysfunction, while Δψm can increase due to diminished ATP synthesis or an upregulation of proton pumping. Such increases occur in apoptosis and cancer (Smith et al., 2012; Wallace et al., 2010). An increase in Δψm is also a key driver of mitochondrial ROS production in pathology and redox signaling (Murphy, 2009). Consequently, many biomedically central phenomena are associated with subtle changes in Δψm, and the ability to quantify these alterations in vivo and in cells should enable mechanistic insights and facilitate novel approaches to diagnosis and therapies (Figure 1A).

Changes in Δψm within cells can be assessed using fluorescent or radiolabeled lipophilic cations; however, these approaches are insensitive to small changes (Davidson et al., 2007; Perry et al., 2011) and can only be used in restricted situations in vivo (Davidson et al., 2007; Sack, 2006). Hence we have developed a sensitive method to assess small changes in Δψm and Δψp, in cells and in vivo. For this we direct probes to mitochondria using a triphenylphosphonium (TPP) lipophilic cation (Ross et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2011, 2012). The large hydrophobic surface area of the TPP cation enables its rapid, several-hundred-fold accumulation into cells in response to the Δψp and from there into mitochondria in response to Δψm (Ross et al., 2005). To assess relative changes in Δψm and Δψp, we administer two TPP compounds simultaneously, both of which accumulate within mitochondria and react together to form a stable product (Figure 1B). The reaction rate is proportional to the local concentrations of both reactants, each of which accumulates in...
mitochondria $\sim 10^{2}$- to $10^{3}$-fold driven by $\Delta \psi _m$ and $\Delta \psi _p$, hence product formation there will be $\sim 10^{5}$- to $10^{6}$-fold faster than that in the cytosol and will be extremely sensitive to small changes in $\Delta \psi _m$ and $\Delta \psi _p$ (Figure 1B).

For this to work, the two probes must react specifically with each other and not with other biomolecules. To achieve this, we used “click” chemistry, which utilizes azido and alkyne groups, which couple ex vivo under Cu(I) catalysis (Kolb et al., 2001). To enable the reaction to occur in vivo without catalysis, Bertozzi developed strained cyclooctynes (Agard et al., 2004; Jewett and Bertozzi, 2010). Therefore we prepared TPP compounds containing cyclooctyne (MitoOct) and azido (MitoAzido) moieties, which react together to form MitoClick (Figure 1B).

The rate of the reaction of MitoOct with MitoAzido to form MitoClick is

$$Rate_{MitoClick} = k [MitoAzido][MitoOct]$$

where $k$ is the second order rate constant. As shown in Supplemental Information, available online, the ratio of the rates of MitoClick formation in mitochondria and cytosol is

$$\frac{Rate_{Mito}}{Rate_{Cyt}} = 10^{2} \frac{\Delta \psi _m}{2.303RT} = 10^{2} \Delta \psi _m^{-31}.$$  

Thus, there is an exponential dependence of the rate enhancement on $\Delta \psi _m$ over the biologically relevant range from 120 to 180 mV (Figure 1C) (Brand and Murphy, 1987; Porteous et al., 1996), assuming no confounding changes in $\Delta \psi _p$. Differentiation of Equation 2 gives

$$\frac{d}{d\Delta \psi _m} \left( \frac{Rate_{Mito}}{Rate_{Cyt}} \right) = \frac{Ln10}{31} \frac{10^{-31}}{\Delta \psi _m^{-31}}.$$  

Therefore every 1 mV $\Delta \psi _m$ increase accelerates mitochondrial MitoClick formation relative to that in the cytosol ~800-fold at 120 mV and ~80,000-fold at 180 mV. This leads to an 8% compounded increase in MitoClick formation per mV, making very small relative changes in $\Delta \psi _m$ easy to assess (Figure 1C). It is essential to note that, as with all methods dependent on lipophilic cation accumulation, the accumulation of MitoClick will also be sensitive to small changes in $\Delta \psi _p$. Alteration of mitochondrial, cell, and extracellular volumes, and excretion and binding, will also affect the rate of MitoClick formation. Consequently, while in all cases small changes in $\Delta \psi _m$ will dramatically alter MitoClick formation rate, it is vital to consider the many confounding factors that could impact on measurements.

To quantify the amount of MitoClick formed within tissues, we use liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) relative to deuterated internal standards, which enables sensitive detection of TPP probes (Cocheme et al., 2011). Here we describe the development and application of mitochondria-targeted click reagents to assess small changes of $\Delta \psi _m$ in cells and in vivo.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Uptake of MitoOct and MitoAzido Forms MitoClick within Energized Mitochondria

The syntheses of MitoOct and MitoAzido are shown in Figures S1A and S1B. Reaction of MitoOct with MitoAzido gave only MitoClick (Figure S1C) as a 1:1 mixture of regioisomers, determined by $^1$H-NMR and high-resolution mass spectrometry (Supplemental Information). RP-HPLC also showed that MitoOct and MitoAzido reacted together to form MitoClick under biological conditions (Figure 2A). We used an ion-selective electrode to show that MitoOct and MitoAzido were taken up by energized mitochondria and released upon dissipation of $\Delta \psi _m$ with the uncoupler carbonylcyanide-$p$-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone.

Figure 1. Rationale for Mitochondria-Tar-
gated Click Chemistry to Assess $\Delta \psi _m$
(A) In vivo. This shows a mitochondrion with the respiratory chain generating $\Delta \psi _m$ by pumping protons across the inner membrane. The $\Delta \psi _m$ is used to synthesize ATP. Situations in which the steady-state level of $\Delta \psi _m$ within cells and in vivo change are indicated.

(B) The structures of MitoAzido and MitoOct are shown along with their uptake into the cell in response to the plasma membrane potential ($\Delta \psi _p$) and then into mitochondria in response to the $\Delta \psi _m$ across the inner membrane in vivo, followed by their click reaction together to form MitoClick as a regioisomeric mixture.

(C) The rate of MitoClick formation in the mitochondrial matrix divided by the rate in the cytosol as a function of $\Delta \psi _m$ with the cytosolic concentrations of MitoAzido and MitoOct held constant. Equation 2, which describes this curve, is shown. See also Figure S1.
The uptake for MitoOct or MitoAzido (1-1.5 nmol/mg protein) (Figure 2B), corresponds to 2-3 mM in the matrix (assuming 0.5 μl/mg protein [Ross et al., 2008] and uncorrected for binding), ~1,000-fold greater than the external concentration (~3 μM), consistent with Δψm-dependent uptake. MitoClick mitochondrial uptake was ~3-3.5 nmol/mg protein (Figure 2B), about 6-7 mM in the matrix, ~10,000-fold greater than the external concentration (~0.5 μM), more than its monocationic precursors, as expected for a dication (Ross et al., 2006).

To see if MitoClick formation was enhanced by mitochondrial accumulation of its precursors, we incubated MitoOct and MitoAzido with mitochondria and measured MitoClick formation (Figure 2C). There was extensive MitoClick generation after 1 min with mitochondria (Figure 2C), compared to negligible formation over 1 hr without mitochondria (Figure 2A), and this MitoClick formation was prevented by FCCP (Figure 2C). Therefore, both MitoOct and MitoAzido are extensively accumulated by mitochondria in response to Δψm, dramatically increasing the rate of MitoClick formation.

(FCCP) (Figure 2B). The uptake for MitoOct or MitoAzido (~1-1.5 nmol/mg protein) (Figure 2B), corresponds to 2-3 mM in the matrix (assuming ~0.5 μl/mg protein [Ross et al., 2008] and uncorrected for binding), ~1,000-fold greater than the external concentration (~3 μM), consistent with Δψm-dependent uptake. MitoClick mitochondrial uptake was ~3-3.5 nmol/mg protein (Figure 2B), about 6-7 mM in the matrix, ~10,000-fold greater than the external concentration (~0.5 μM), more than its monocationic precursors, as expected for a dication (Ross et al., 2006).

To see if MitoClick formation was enhanced by mitochondrial accumulation of its precursors, we incubated MitoOct and MitoAzido with mitochondria and measured MitoClick formation (Figure 2C). There was extensive MitoClick generation after 1 min with mitochondria (Figure 2C), compared to negligible formation over 1 hr without mitochondria (Figure 2A), and this MitoClick formation was prevented by FCCP (Figure 2C). Therefore, both MitoOct and MitoAzido are extensively accumulated by mitochondria in response to Δψm, dramatically increasing the rate of MitoClick formation.

LC-MS/MS Analysis of MitoClick Formation from MitoOct and MitoAzido

The measurement of MitoClick formation in vivo necessitates LC-MS/MS detection, because RP-HPLC is too insensitive. However, LC-MS/MS requires solvent extraction followed by evaporation under vacuum (Cochemé et al., 2011), greatly concentrating unreacted MitoAzido and MitoOct which would lead to extensive ex vivo MitoClick formation. To prevent this we used a blocking reagent, 3-phenyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (Tet), which reacts very rapidly with MitoOct to generate MitoOct (Figure S2A) (Karver et al., 2012). The reaction of Tet with MitoOct (k = 12.1 × 106 M⁻¹s⁻¹ [Balcar et al., 1983]) is ~10⁸-fold faster than that for MitoAzido (k ~0.1-0.2 M⁻¹s⁻¹). Including Tet in the extraction solution prevented artifactual MitoClick formation (Figure S2B; Table S1).

To establish LC-MS/MS assays, we fragmented MitoClick, MitoOcttet, and MitoAzido and analyzed the product ions to select mass transitions for quantification (Table S2). These transitions are selective for the intended species by LC-MS/MS (Figure 2D). From these, standard curves were established for MitoAzido, MitoOcttet, and MitoClick in tissue homogenates with detection down to ~1-5 pmol per g wet weight tissue (Figure S2C). This approach was used to assess the rate of reaction of MitoOct and MitoAzido in solution (Figures S2D and S2E).

Analysis of MitoClick Formation in Mitochondria and Cells

Formation of MitoClick from MitoAzido and MitoOct in isolated mitochondria was assessed by LC-MS/MS (Figure 3A).
levels of MitoOct<sub>th</sub> and MitoAzido were stable over 5 min, while MitoClick increased, consistent with formation in mitochondria (Figure 3A). Abolition of Δψ<sub>m</sub> with FCCP prevented MitoClick formation, while increasing Δψ<sub>m</sub> with nigericin increased its generation (Figure 3B). Gradually decreasing Δψ<sub>m</sub> with the respiratory inhibitor malonate led to a corresponding decrease in MitoClick (Figure 3C). Thus MitoClick formation in mitochondria is sensitive to small changes in Δψ<sub>m</sub>.

When we incubated MitoAzido and MitoOct with cells, MitoClick increased over time in both the supernatant and cells (Figure 3D). To see how MitoClick formation responded to small changes of Δψ<sub>m</sub>, we assessed Δψ<sub>m</sub> alterations that are likely to occur in cells, illustrated by oxygen consumption rate (OCR) measurements (Figure 3E). Under basal respiration, mitochondria make ATP and have a submaximal Δψ<sub>m</sub>; oligomycin inhibits ATP synthesis maximizing Δψ<sub>m</sub> and decreasing OCR; finally, FCCP abolishes Δψ<sub>m</sub> and maximizes OCR (Figure 3E). The associated subtle changes in Δψ<sub>m</sub> are less easily assessed, as is illustrated using a current benchmark for Δψ<sub>m</sub> investigation, the fluorescence of tetratemethylrhodamine (TMRM) in nonquenching mode (Figures 3F and 3G). Increasing Δψ<sub>m</sub> from its normal value to its maximum with oligomycin gave only a small change in
Use of MitoClick Formation to Assess Relative Changes in Δψm within Mice

Methods to assess subtle changes in Δψm in mice in vivo have long been sought. TPP can target a wide range of compounds to mitochondria within mice, following intravenous (i.v.) administration with rapid (minutes) mitochondrial uptake within the heart, liver, and kidney (Porteous et al., 2010, 2013; Smith et al., 2011, 2012). MitoAzido and MitoOct could be given safely i.v. by tail vein injection to mice; therefore over the next 3 hr we measured their levels and those of MitoClick in the heart (Figure 4A). There was an initial increase in MitoOct and MitoAzido content, as expected due to the rapid uptake of TPP compounds by the heart in response to Δψp and Δψm (Porteous et al., 2010, 2013). These compounds were lost with half-lives of ~0.8 hr, due to their re-equilibration across membranes followed by excretion in the urine and bile (Smith et al., 2011). There was rapid initial MitoClick formation, due to the high MitoAzido and MitoOct mitochondrial concentrations immediately following injection (Figure 4A). MitoClick formation was sustained for a short time and then stopped, as the precursors became depleted (Figure 4A). MitoClick was retained longer due to its double positive charge (Ross et al., 2006) (Figure 4A). The kidney showed less MitoAzido and MitoOct uptake than the heart, and the apparent rate of excretion was slower, consistent with redistribution from other organs (Figure S3B). There was uptake of MitoAzido and MitoOct into the liver (Figure S3B); however, MitoOct and MitoClick were rapidly lost from this tissue, probably due to excretion by the biliary pathway (Porteous et al., 2013). These findings suggest that the rapid initial formation of MitoClick in the heart following a single i.v. injection of its precursors may be used to infer changes in Δψp and Δψm in vivo. However, it is important to note that the factors that determine MitoClick formation in vivo are quite different from those that dominate for cells in culture. In vivo, MitoOct and MitoAzido do not have the opportunity to equilibrate with Δψp and Δψm as they do in cells. In contrast, kinetic factors such as blood flow, tissue uptake, and excretion determine the initial uptake into cells and mitochondria. Even so, the local concentration of MitoOct and MitoAzido within mitochondria by Δψp and Δψm suggests that the initial formation of MitoClick in tissues reports on changes in these potentials.

The response of MitoClick formation to changes in Δψm in the heart was evaluated using the uncoupler 2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP) to reduce Δψp and Δψm (Ross et al., 2006) (Figure 4A). MitoClick was retained longer due to its double positive charge (Ross et al., 2006) (Figure 4A). The kidney showed less MitoAzido and MitoOct uptake than the heart, and the apparent rate of excretion was slower, consistent with redistribution from other organs (Figure S3B). There was uptake of MitoAzido and MitoOct into the liver (Figure S3B); however, MitoOct and MitoClick were rapidly lost from this tissue, probably due to excretion by the biliary pathway (Porteous et al., 2013). These findings suggest that the rapid initial formation of MitoClick in the heart following a single i.v. injection of its precursors may be used to infer changes in Δψp and Δψm in vivo. However, it is important to note that the factors that determine MitoClick formation in vivo are quite different from those that dominate for cells in culture. In vivo, MitoOct and MitoAzido do not have the opportunity to equilibrate with Δψp and Δψm as they do in cells. In contrast, kinetic factors such as blood flow, tissue uptake, and excretion determine the initial uptake into cells and mitochondria. Even so, the local concentration of MitoOct and MitoAzido within mitochondria by Δψp and Δψm suggests that the initial formation of MitoClick in tissues reports on changes in these potentials.
(DNP). Uncoupling by DNP leads to pathological heat generation in vivo and is known to decrease $\Delta \psi_m$ (Jucker et al., 2000). DNP decreased the formation of MitoClick indicating that this approach can be used to assess changes in $\Delta \psi_m$ within the heart in vivo (Figures 4B and 4C). MitoClick could be used to assess the toxic effects of DNP and assist in the development of safer formulations (Perry et al., 2015); however, we note that the response of MitoClick formation in vivo to DNP administration route and dose was not straightforward, presumably due to DNP distribution and compensatory changes in mitochondrial respiration and $\Delta \psi_m$. Next, we used MitoClick to assess whether there was a change in $\Delta \psi_m$ in a mouse model of heart mitochondrial dysfunction. We used a heart-specific Ndufs4-null strain (Chouchani et al., 2014; Karamanlidis et al., 2013; Sterky et al., 2012). Ndufs4 encodes an 18 kDa subunit of respiratory complex I that plays a role in assembly and/or stability of the complex (Karamanlidis et al., 2013). The Ndufs4-null mice had an ~50% decrease in complex I activity in the heart, and developed severe cardiomyopathy (Chouchani et al., 2014; Karamanlidis et al., 2013). We confirmed the decreased complex I activity (Figure 4D), and therefore expected to find a decrease in $\Delta \psi_m$ in the heart due to defective proton pumping. To our great surprise, MitoClick formation was actually elevated compared to control mice (Figure 4E). One possibility was that MitoClick formation increased within Ndufs4-null hearts due to a compensatory increase in mitochondrial content. However, the mitochondrial marker enzyme citrate synthase showed no such increase (Figure 4F). To see if there was a change in mitochondrial energetics, we assessed isolated heart mitochondria in vitro, and this showed that heart mitochondria from the Ndufs4-null mice had a higher $\Delta \psi_m$ when respiring on succinate (Figure 4G), suggesting a compensatory upregulation of $\Delta \psi_m$ generation from complex II-linked substrates to counteract the complex I-linked defect in the hearts of Ndufs4-null mice. This surprising result inadvertently provided a good test for MitoClick and showed that it can assess unexpected small changes in $\Delta \psi_m$ in vivo. However, it is important to note that further work is required to determine whether the relative change in MitoClick formation in these mice could be in part due to changes in $\Delta \psi_p$ or mitochondrial volume. Even so, these findings with the Ndufs4-null mice show how MitoClick can contribute to investigating subtle changes to $\Delta \psi_m$ in the heart in vivo.

**Conclusion**

As $\Delta \psi_m$ is central to mitochondrial function and dysfunction, subtle changes are of considerable significance for cell fate and health. The difficulty of assessing small changes in $\Delta \psi_m$ in cells and in vivo is a major impediment to understanding normal and pathological mitochondrial function in a range of biomedically important situations. Here we have developed a highly sensitive approach that can contribute to the assessment of $\Delta \psi_m$ in cells and in vivo, and shown its utility in proof of principle experiments.

A unique aspect of the approach is that it utilizes $\Delta \psi_m$- and $\Delta \psi_p$-dependent uptake and reaction together of two cations to form a diagnostic product, MitoClick. Consequently, the rate of MitoClick formation is particularly sensitive to small changes in $\Delta \psi_m$ and $\Delta \psi_p$ due to the logarithmic relationship of uptake of both cations to these potentials. Transformation of potential measurement from a reversible compound distribution to an irreversible reaction rate circumvents the hitherto intractable problem of compound redistribution during sample work up. Consequently, changes in $\Delta \psi_m$ and $\Delta \psi_p$ can be assessed in vivo, within whole organs or organisms, and the MitoClick approach opens up new ways of exploring subtle mitochondrial changes.

There are constraints to this approach that should be borne in mind. The first is that uptake of MitoAzido and MitoOct within mitochondria will also be affected by $\Delta \psi_p$, therefore changes in this variable must also be considered before concluding that MitoClick formation is related to an alteration to $\Delta \psi_m$. A further point is that MitoClick formation is the weighted mean of all mitochondria analyzed, and therefore gives average values of $\Delta \psi_m$ and $\Delta \psi_p$ in the sample. Complementary methods will be required to assess whether $\Delta \psi_m$ or $\Delta \psi_p$ changes in individual cells or mitochondria. It is also important to consider whether changes in MitoClick formation are influenced by mitochondrial content, as was addressed herein by measurement of a marker enzyme. A related consideration is that the local rate of formation of MitoClick will be affected by changes in the organelle volume. Therefore, although MitoClick formation is exquisitely sensitive to subtle changes in $\Delta \psi_m$, this very sensitivity means that we must be cautious in ascribing changes in MitoClick formation solely to $\Delta \psi_m$. However, these limitations apply to most methods routinely used to assess $\Delta \psi_m$ in cells.

The concentration of two TPP compounds simultaneously within mitochondria to assemble a new compound suggests that mitochondria can be used as a “reaction chamber.” This could facilitate the generation of new bioactive, therapeutic, or toxic compounds locally within mitochondria, but with the precursors having minimal effects. This approach has been suggested previously, but using nonspecific functions (Rideout, 1994; Rottenberg et al., 1991); thus the development of TPP-conjugated click reagents opens up a new methodology for future development.

To conclude, we have shown that it is possible to assess small changes in $\Delta \psi_m$ and $\Delta \psi_p$ in cells in culture and in vivo using of mitochondria-targeted click reagents. This development opens up many new possibilities for assessing changes in these potentials in physiological and pathological processes.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Synthesis and In Vitro Analysis of MitoAzido, MitoOct, and MitoClick**

The syntheses and characterization of MitoAzido, MitoOct, and MitoClick and their deuterated internal standards (IS) are described in the Supplemental Information.

**Cell Culture**

C2C12 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FCS. To assess $\Delta \psi_m$ by fluorescent microscopy, we used TMRE as described in the Supplemental Information.

**Mouse Experiments**

All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and the University of Cambridge Animal Welfare Policy. For the time course studies, male 8- to 10-week-old C57BL/6 mice were used. The heart-specific Ndufs4-null strain was a gift from Nils-Göran Larsen, Köln (Chouchani et al., 2014; Sterky et al., 2012). Mice were administered MitoOct and MitoAzido by tail vein injection and were then killed by cervical dislocation with tissues being isolated and snap-frozen on liquid nitrogen. MitoOct, MitoAzido, and MitoClick were extracted from the mouse tissues as described in the Supplemental Information.
LC-MS/MS Analysis
Samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS with multiple reaction monitoring in positive ion mode after reverse-phase separation on an I-class Acquity LC attached to a Xevo TQ-S triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters), analyzed using MassLynx software. Standard curves were prepared and processed in parallel using the appropriate biological material or buffer spiked with \( d_{30}\)-MitoOct, \( d_{35}\)-MitoAzido, and \( d_{39}\)-MitoClick ISs and various amounts of MitoOctNat, MitoAzido, and MitoClick. Standard curves for the response of MitoOctNat, MitoAzido, and MitoClick relative to its deuterated IS against concentration were linear over the range 1–1,000 pmol with \( R^2 \) routinely >0.99 (see Figure S3D).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes three figures, two tables, supplemental calculations, and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.11.014.
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