

**Amphibian symbiotic bacteria do not show universal ability to inhibit growth of the global pandemic lineage of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis***

**Running title:** Variation in bacterial inhibition across *Bd*GPL isolates

Rachael E. Antwis<sup>a,b,c,#</sup>, Richard F. Preziosi<sup>a</sup>, Xavier A. Harrison<sup>c</sup>, Trenton W. J. Garner<sup>b,c</sup>

a) Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

b) Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

c) Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, London, United Kingdom

# Corresponding author

Postal address: Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

Telephone: +27182992492

Email: [Rachael.Antwis@gmail.com](mailto:Rachael.Antwis@gmail.com)

**Keywords:** microbe-microbe interactions, symbiotic bacteria, probiotics, infectious disease, chytridiomycosis

## Abstract

Microbiomes associated with multicellular organisms influence disease susceptibility of hosts. Potential exists for such bacteria to protect wildlife from infectious diseases, particularly in the case of the globally distributed and highly virulent fungal pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*BdGPL*), responsible for mass extinctions and population declines of amphibians. *BdGPL* exhibits wide genotypic and virulence variation, and the ability of candidate probiotics to restrict growth across *B. dendrobatidis* isolates has not previously been considered. Here we show that only a small proportion of candidate probiotics exhibit broad-spectrum inhibition across *BdGPL* isolates. Moreover, some bacterial genera show significantly greater inhibition than others, but overall, genus and species are not particularly reliable predictors of inhibitory capabilities. These findings indicate ‘bacterial consortia’ are likely to offer a more stable and effective approach to probiotics, particularly if related bacteria are selected from genera with greater anti-microbial capabilities. Together these results highlight a complex interaction between pathogens and host-associated symbiotic bacteria that will require consideration in the development of bacterial probiotics for wildlife conservation. Future efforts to construct protective microbiomes should incorporate bacteria that exhibit broad-spectrum inhibition of *BdGPL* isolates.

## Introduction

The ability to withstand or mitigate pathogenic infection is partly determined by the host immune response. This has traditionally been examined in the context of immunity intrinsic to the host phenotype or genotype. However, all multicellular organisms coexist with a plethora of microbial organisms that are influential for host growth, development and health [1]. Although some of these microbes may be detrimental to the host, the importance of this microbiome in maintaining and improving host health is increasingly being recognised. The most obvious example of this is the gut community of humans: gut bacteria are essential for the digestion of food, but recent research has indicated a healthy gut microbiome may also contribute to the prevention or moderation of liver, heart and mental disease (reviewed in [2]). The benefits to humans of a diverse microbiome are mirrored in other animal species, where the presence and composition of gut, buccal and skin microbial communities have been linked to the occurrence and severity of both chronic and infectious disease [1].

Conservation practitioners are increasingly interested in manipulating host microbiomes as a management tool to combat infectious diseases that pose threats and welfare issues to wild animals. Using host-associated bacteria to act as ‘probiotics’ for disease mitigation is already common practice in agriculture and human health (e.g. reviewed in [3,4]). The fundamental strategy is to identify bacterial genotypes that inhibit pathogens *in vitro* and apply these to susceptible hosts. Amphibians provide a particularly interesting example of this. This class of vertebrates is currently undergoing major population declines and extinctions in the wild, with 31% of species listed as threatened by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature [5,6]. This is in part due to pathogenic chytridomycete fungi and the resulting chytridiomycosis disease [7,8], which is arguably the most devastating infectious disease confronting wildlife today. Two fungal species have been identified, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and *B. salamandivorans*, both of which infect the skin of

amphibian hosts and cause disease in an extraordinary range of species [8-11]. Current methods to mitigate the disease (e.g. antifungals, heat treatment of hosts) cannot be practically used for wild populations, but one that holds some promise and has been the subject of significant scrutiny and research investment is the application of so-called probiotic bacteria (reviewed in [12]). Several bacteria that reside on amphibian skin have been shown to inhibit the growth and survival of *B. dendrobatidis* *in vitro*. The presence or application of such bacteria to some host species has proven to reduce the likelihood of infection and disease significantly [13-17]. However *B. dendrobatidis* is a rapidly evolving pathogen composed of multiple, deeply diverged lineages [18,19]. Studies of potential probiotics have not yet explored how reliable these bacteria are when confronted with the shifting targets that amphibian-associated chytridiomycete fungi present. The globally distributed and hypervirulent global panzootic lineage (*BdGPL*) is the genetic lineage of *B. dendrobatidis* that has been associated with mass mortalities and rapid population declines of amphibians [11,19,20]. Isolates within this lineage exhibit enormous and unpredictable genetic variation [18] and significant variation in virulence, even within a single host species exposed under laboratory conditions [19]. To date, single bacterial species have been used in the majority of amphibian probiotic studies, and although they have proven effective in inhibiting growth of single *BdGPL* isolates and can be effective at limiting disease when applied as augments to amphibian microbiomes (e.g. [13,14,16]), it is not clear if this ability is universal across *BdGPL*. This would be essential because amphibian communities may be host to multiple *BdGPL* genotypes, all of which may cause mortality in susceptible hosts [19].

Here we use quantitative *in vitro* assessment to determine whether potentially probiotic bacteria exhibit differences in inhibitory capabilities across isolates of *B. dendrobatidis*, focusing on isolates typed to the global pandemic lineage. All bacteria used in

this study are amphibian-associated, and therefore have the potential to act as probiotics in the event they inhibit *B. dendrobatidis* growth and reproduction. Our objectives were two-fold: first, to determine if candidate bacterial isolates could inhibit any of the three *B. dendrobatidis* isolates that made up our panel of pathogens, and second, to ascertain if bacterial taxonomy, as characterized using 16S typing, could be used to infer inhibitory capacity. This second objective is important for developing a strategy for mining amphibian microbiomes for target probiotics.

## **Materials and Methods**

### Ethics statement

Prior to starting, this study was approved by The University of Manchester Research Ethics Committee. Bacteria were collected and exported from the wild populations of *Agalychnis moreletii* and *A. callidryas* by permission of Belize Forest Department (Research and Export Permit Number CD/60/3/12) and imported to the UK by permission of DEFRA (Authorisation Number TARP/2012/224).

### Bacterial sampling from *Agalychnis* frogs

Eight *A. moreletii* and eight *A. callidryas* (four males and four females of each species) were collected from Elegans Pond at Las Cuevas Research Station, Chiquibul Rainforest, Belize (16°43'N, 88°59'W), placed individually in sterile plastic bags, and returned to the research station (~200m distance). Sterile gloves were worn throughout handling and changed between frogs to minimise cross-contamination. Frogs were rinsed twice on their dorsal and ventral surfaces using sterile water to remove any transient bacteria from their skin, then

swabbed all over their skin using sterile Eurotubo® collection swabs (Deltalab, Spain), which were placed into 1.5 ml sterile screw-top tubes containing 1ml of 1M NaCl<sub>2</sub> solution. Care was taken to ensure frogs were not harmed during this process, and frogs were released back at the pond the same evening as they were collected. Tubes containing swabs were shaken vigorously for 30 seconds and the contents poured on to R2A agar plates, which were covered in parafilm, inverted, and bacteria left to grow at ambient temperature (~25°C) for eight days. Morphologically distinct bacterial colonies were collected using individual sterile swabs and placed into screw-top tubes containing 1ml R2A broth media. Tubes were then shipped to the UK where the contents were poured on to fresh R2A agar plates and incubated at 25°C until bacteria grew (~3 days). These were then re-streaked to ensure a pure culture was obtained. In total 56 strains of bacteria were isolated and sequenced according to [21] (GenBank accession numbers KC853168 – KC853224, KF444793).

#### *In vitro B. dendrobatidis* challenges

We initially tested the anti-*Bd* capabilities of all 56 bacterial isolates using *in vitro* agar plate challenges against two *B. dendrobatidis* isolates (*Bd*GPL SFBC 014 and *Bd*GPL AUL 1.2) according to [21]. Briefly, *B. dendrobatidis* cultures were grown in 1% TGhL liquid media at 18°C until zoospore density and activity reached ~10,000 zoospores/ml (at around three days post-passage). Three ml of active *B. dendrobatidis* was spread across the surface of 1% tryptone, 1% agar plates and left to dry in a sterile hood. Two bacterial pure cultures were then streaked on to opposing sides of each plate, which were inverted and incubated at 18°C for 10 days. Bacterial streaks were scored for the presence or absence of a zone of inhibition, characterized by dead *B. dendrobatidis* zoosporangia and zoospores and no evidence of continuing *B. dendrobatidis* growth and reproduction. If both bacterial streaks on one plate

exhibited inhibition, the *in vitro* challenge was repeated for both bacterial isolates separately using a non-inhibitory bacterial isolate as a control.

Based on the results of the initial screening, we selected four bacterial isolates that inhibited growth of *BdGPL* SFBC 014, four that inhibited *BdGPL* AUL 1.2, three that inhibited both *B. dendrobatidis* isolates and four bacterial isolates that had not previously shown any inhibition of *B. dendrobatidis in vitro* (n = 15 bacterial isolates). Three previously un-assessed *B. dendrobatidis* isolates (*BdGPL* CORN 3.2, isolated from *Mesotriton alpestris* in the UK, *BdGPL* JEL 423 isolated from *Agalychnis lemur* in Panama, and *BdGPL* VA05 isolated from *Alytes obstetricans* in Spain) were cultured and *in vitro* inhibition assays conducted using the methods described above, with each bacterial isolate replicated on three different plates and never paired with the same bacterial isolate twice. These *B. dendrobatidis* isolates were chosen because they exhibited good zoospore growth on 1% tryptone, 1% agar plates, and one (JEL 423) originated from within the natural range of *A. callidryas* from which some of the bacteria were isolated. *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* plate challenges were conducted as described above, again using 3ml of *B. dendrobatidis* cultures containing ~10,000 zoospores/ml. Care was taken to ensure similar sized colonies were picked for each streak for the three repeats of a given bacterial strain, as well as across bacterial strains for all the inhibition assays.

#### Inhibition scores

Each plate was photographed and the areas of the zone of *B. dendrobatidis* inhibition around each bacterial streak along with the areas of the bacterial streaks were measured in ImageJ (<http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>). The inhibitory capabilities of each bacterium were quantified by dividing the area of the zone of inhibition by the area of the bacterial streak and rounded to

the nearest integer to give an ‘inhibition score’. The inclusion of the size of the bacterial streak in this data conversion step ensured bacterial density was controlled for in the analyses. An alternative method of quantifying *B. dendrobatidis* inhibition using 96-well plates may be more accurate and quantifiable than plate challenges, but does not allow for direct competition that may occur between *B. dendrobatidis* and bacteria (e.g. for space and resources) that may also occur on the skin of amphibians [22].

### Statistical analyses

The effects of *B. dendrobatidis* isolate, bacterial isolate and their interaction on inhibition scores were analysed using a Generalised Linear Model with a Poisson error structure and log-link. To control for phylogenetic structure in the data, models initially contained bacterial isolate nested within genus as random effects, but this model structure was too complex given the data and models would not converge, and so GLMs were used. In addition, individual Generalised Linear Models with a Poisson error structure and log-link were run for each bacterial strain separately to determine differences in inhibition between *B. dendrobatidis* isolates.

Multiple bacterial isolates had been tested for four genera (*Acinetobacter*, *Chryseobacterium*, *Enterobacter* and *Serratia*), and so differences in the overall propensity of a given genus to inhibit *BdGPL* isolates were analysed using a Generalised Linear Mixed Model with a Poisson error structure and log-link. ‘Genus’, ‘*B. dendrobatidis* isolate’, and their interaction were fitted as fixed effects, and bacterial isolate nested within genus were fitted as random effects to control for phylogenetic structure in the data. Statistical significance was determined by stepwise removal of terms from the maximal model (Bd \* Genus) and performing likelihood ratio tests between nested models. Where appropriate post-

hoc tests were performed on models by collapsing factor levels within an explanatory variable (e.g. by combining multiple *B. dendrobatidis* isolates into one factor level) and testing the simplified model against the original model with a likelihood ratio test. A non-significant result suggests that the combined factor levels all have similar influence on the response variable, and that the simpler model explains the data equally well.

Poisson models make distributional assumptions about the data, including the variance being equal to the fitted mean. To test the robustness of the distributional assumptions of the models, analyses were re-run using ordinal models using the package ‘MCMCglmm’ [23]. Five competing models were fitted, all with the same random effects structure as above (~Genus/Bacteria). The most complex model contained *BdGPL*, bacterial genus, and their interaction as fixed effects. All four nested models were also fitted: *BdGPL* and bacterial isolate as main effects without their interaction, *BdGPL* isolate only, bacterial genus only, and an intercept only model. All five models were compared using the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC). All models were run for 100,000 iterations following a burn-in of 20,000 iterations, with a thinning interval of 100. Uninformative priors were used for the random effects (G) structure specifying  $V = 1$  and  $\nu = 0.002$ . As the residual variance is not identifiable for ordinal models, it was fixed at 1.

## Results

Fifty-six bacterial strains isolated from wild *Agalychnis callidryas* and *Agalychnis moreletii* frogs were initially screened for anti-*Bd* capabilities against two *BdGPL* isolates. Of these, six inhibited AUL 1.2, six inhibited SFBC 014, and three inhibited both isolates (Table S1). Because these challenges were not replicated, no statistical analyses were performed. Four bacterial isolates that inhibited growth of SFBC 014, four that inhibited AUL 1.2, three that

inhibited both *B. dendrobatidis* isolates and four bacterial isolates that had not previously shown any inhibition of *B. dendrobatidis in vitro* (n = 15 bacterial isolates) were then used for a quantitative assessment of anti-*Bd* capabilities using three previously unassessed *Bd*GPL isolates (CORN 3.2, VA05, and JEL 423). Inhibition scores were significantly predicted by bacterial strain ( $\chi^2 = 53.442$ , d.f. = 14,  $p < 0.001$ ), *B. dendrobatidis* isolate ( $X^2 = 20.270$ , d.f. = 2,  $p > 0.001$ ), and the interaction between bacterial strain and *B. dendrobatidis* isolate ( $\chi^2 = 68.173$ , d.f. = 28,  $p > 0.001$ ). Host species from which the bacteria were isolated had no significant effect on overall inhibition capabilities of bacteria ( $\chi^2 = 0.001$ , d.f. = 1,  $p = 0.981$ ; Table S1). Individual models for each bacterial strain indicated that 10 of the 15 bacteria exhibited inconsistent inhibition across the *B. dendrobatidis* isolates (Table 1, Figure 1). Only three bacteria consistently inhibited all three *B. dendrobatidis* isolates, and only one of these also inhibited both *Bd*GPL isolates during the initial screening (*Chryseobacterium sp. 2*; Table S1). Two bacteria exhibited no or negligible inhibition of any of the three *Bd*GPL isolates is the quantitative assessment (Figure 1), although interestingly, of these *Agrobacterium sp.* inhibited both *Bd*GPL isolates in the initial screening, whereas *Enterobacter sp. 2* inhibited neither (Table S1). Despite *Serratia sp. 1*, *sp. 2*, and *sp. 3* all typing as identical bacterial species at the 16S locus and all being isolated from the same host species (*A. moreletii*), only *Serratia sp. 3* showed comprehensive ability to inhibit all three isolates of *B. dendrobatidis* (Figure 1). Growth of two of the *B. dendrobatidis* isolates (*Bd*GPL CORN 3.2 and *Bd*GPL JEL 423) was consistently inhibited by the candidate bacteria, while growth of the third (*Bd*GPL VA05) was rarely impaired (Figure 1).

#### Genus-level models

There was no evidence for a significant interaction between bacterial genus and *B. dendrobatidis* isolate ( $\chi^2 = 5.2$ , d.f. = 6,  $p = 0.51$ ). However, both bacterial genus ( $\chi^2 = 9.32$ , d.f. = 3,  $p = 0.025$ ) and *B. dendrobatidis* isolate ( $\chi^2 = 14.8$ , d.f. = 2,  $p < 0.001$ ) were significant predictors of inhibition of *B. dendrobatidis* growth. Posthoc comparisons showed there were no significant differences in the inhibitory capabilities of the genera *Acinetobacter*, *Chryseobacterium* and *Serratia* ( $\chi^2 = 0.54$ , df = 1,  $p = 0.76$ ), but that *Enterobacter* species had significantly lower inhibitory capabilities than the other three genera (*Acinetobacter*, *Chryseobacterium* and *Serratia*;  $\chi^2 = 8.77$ , df = 1,  $p = 0.003$ , Figure 2). Similarly, there was no significant difference in the degree of inhibition of CORN 3.2 and JEL 423 by the four bacterial genera ( $\chi^2 = 0.46$ , df = 1,  $p = 0.47$ ), but all four bacterial genera were significantly less likely to inhibit growth of *BdGPL* VA05 ( $\chi^2 = 14.2$ , df = 1,  $p < 0.001$ ) than any of the other *B. dendrobatidis* isolates (Figure 2).

Results from the ordinal analyses mirrored results from the Poisson mixed models; the model with the lowest DIC (the best supported model) contained *BdGPL* isolate and bacterial genus as main effects, without an interaction. The genus *Enterobacter* was associated with significantly lower inhibition scores (mean difference = -2.03, 95% credible interval [-3.53, -0.57]). In addition, *BdGPL* VA05 was also associated with significantly lower scores (mean difference = -1.42, 95% credible interval [-2.46, -0.43]). Parameter estimates for the best-supported model, as well as the model selection table containing DIC values for all five models are provided as online supplementary information (Tables S2 and S3).

## Discussion

Here we show that symbiotic bacteria from the skin of amphibians exhibit differences in inhibitory capabilities across *BdGPL* isolates, with only a small proportion of candidate

probiotics showing broad-spectrum inhibition against the global pandemic *B. dendrobatidis* lineage. This is strong evidence that candidate bacteria tested *in vitro* for use in probiotic *B. dendrobatidis* mitigation *in situ* are unlikely to be consistently successful when confronting a variety of fungal genotypes. Because of the enormous genetic variability of *BdGPL* [10,18,19,24,25], the propensity for *B. dendrobatidis* to rapidly evolve *in situ* [10,18,26], and the pan-global, on-going dissemination of *B. dendrobatidis* through numerous vectors [11,27], amphibians and their microbiomes can be expected to confront an ever-changing and diverse distribution of *B. dendrobatidis* genotypes. Thus the pathogen represents a “moving target” for potential interventions [28], and mitigating chytridiomycosis in the wild also needs to account for complex interactions between the host, the pathogen and the environment as well as multiple pathogen genotypes in order to be successful [28-30].

We did not test our wild study animals for the presence of *B. dendrobatidis*, however Kaiser & Pollinger [31] sampled amphibians between 2006 and 2008 at the same study site in Belize and found only 5% *B. dendrobatidis* prevalence on *A. moreletii* and 0% prevalence on *A. callidryas*. Museum specimens date the arrival of *B. dendrobatidis* in the general region (Mexico and Guatemala) in the late 1960s or early 1970s [32], suggesting that both host species are persisting in spite of long-term presence of *B. dendrobatidis* in the area. That a reasonable proportion of the bacteria isolated from these two host species in this study inhibited at least one of the *B. dendrobatidis* isolates suggests these populations may possess a microbiome capable of at least partially mitigating *B. dendrobatidis* infection.

For manipulation of amphibian skin microbiota to be of value for mitigating *B. dendrobatidis* in the wild, amphibian microbiomes will need to be managed for functional redundancy that provide broad-spectrum capacity against the evolving threat represented by *B. dendrobatidis*. Studies have repeatedly illustrated the importance in a complex microbiome for resilience of the community to pathogenic infection [33-35]. A ‘bacterial

consortium' approach that treats microbiomes as a suite of functional traits rather than a substrate for the insertion of candidate bacteria is likely to offer more comprehensive protection of hosts from *B. dendrobatidis* and other threatening amphibian pathogens [12,28,36]. How the different members of such consortia will be determined is currently unknown, but our results highlight the limitations of a taxonomic approach for understanding what bacterial communities may afford resistance to *B. dendrobatidis*: both species and genus showed limited potential to identify potentially inhibitory bacteria in our study. That said, devising probiotic strategies that incorporate bacterial genus as a criterion might yield better results than bacterial species-specific approaches, and a recently-developed open access database for antifungal bacterial isolates from amphibian skin will allow researchers to optimise approaches to identifying candidate probiotics [37]. Ultimately, understanding functional redundancy in amphibian skin microbiomes will require a deeper understanding of how bacteria inhibit *B. dendrobatidis* growth and ability to infect hosts. Mining the *B. dendrobatidis* genome for virulence factors will be fraught with difficulty, as aneuploidy and polyploidy are common across *B. dendrobatidis* isolates and changes in ploidy levels do not map to infectivity and virulence in any predictable fashion [18]. However, our identification of some bacteria exhibiting broad spectrum *B. dendrobatidis* inhibition capabilities, and a significant effect of genus on *B. dendrobatidis* growth and reproduction, suggests some bacterial phylogenetic conservation of the ability to inhibit *B. dendrobatidis*. This bodes well for the presence of bacterial genetic factors that are responsible for impairment of the ability of *B. dendrobatidis* to infect and cause disease in amphibian hosts. Current criteria for selecting candidate probiotic bacteria include successful inhibition of *B. dendrobatidis*, residency in the normal microbiota of the host, and an ability to persist on the skin of inoculated individuals [12]. We propose that candidate probiotics should also exhibit

inhibitory activity against a range of *B. dendrobatidis* isolates, particularly the hypervirulent *BdGPL*.

## **Acknowledgements**

This project was funded by a BBSRC studentship to REA. We would like to thank Olivia Daniel and Lola Brookes for providing culturing assistance, and Mat Fisher for providing *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* isolates. We are particularly grateful to The Belize Forestry Department and Rasheda Sampson for providing sampling and export permits.

## **References**

- 1. McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TC, Carey HV, Domazet-Lovso T, Douglas AE, Dubilier N, Eberl G, Fukami T, Gilbert SF (2013) Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **110**: 3229-3236.**
- 2. Jarchum I, Pamer EG (2011) Regulation of innate and adaptive immunity by the commensal microbiota. Curr Opin Immunol **23**: 353-360.**
- 3. Newaj-Fyzul A, Al-Harbi H, Austin B (2014) Review: Developments in the use of probiotics for disease control in aquaculture. Aquaculture **431**: 1-11.**
- 4. Smith JM (2014) A Review of Avian Probiotics. J Avian Med Surg **28**: 87-94.**
- 5. Kilpatrick AM, Briggs CJ, Daszak P (2010) The ecology and impact of chytridiomycosis: an emerging disease of amphibians. Trends Ecol Evol **25**: 109-118.**

6. IUCN (2014) Red List Category summary for all animal classes and orders. Available at: <http://www.iucnredlist.org/about/summary-statistics>. Accessed July 2014.
7. **Berger L, Speare R, Daszak P, Green DE, Cunningham AA, Goggin CL, Slocombe R, Ragan MA, Hyatt AD, McDonald KR, Hines HB, Lips KR, Marantelli G, Parkes H** (1998) Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**: 9031-9036.
8. **Martel A, Spitzen-van der Sluijs A, Blooi M, Bert W, Ducatelle R, Fisher MC, Woeltjes A, Bosman W, Chiers K, Bossuyt F, Pasmans F** (2013) *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* sp. nov. causes lethal chytridiomycosis in amphibians. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**: 15325-15329.
9. **Longcore JE, Pessier AP, Nichols DK** (1999) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* gen. et sp. nov., a chytrid pathogenic to amphibians. *Mycologia* **91**: 219-227.
10. **Fisher MC, Garner TWJ, Walker SF** (2009) Global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and amphibian chytridiomycosis in space, time, and host. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **63**: 291-310.
11. **Olson DH, Aanensen DM, Ronnenberg KL, Powell CI, Walker SF, Bielby J, Garner TWJ, Weaver G, Fisher MC** (2013) Mapping the global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the amphibian chytrid fungus. *PLoS One* **8**: e56802.
12. **Bletz MC, Loudon AH, Becker MH, Bell SC, Woodhams DC, Minbiole KPC, Harris RN** (2013) Mitigating amphibian chytridiomycosis with bioaugmentation: characteristics of effective probiotics and strategies for their selection and use. *Ecol Lett* **16**: 807-820.

- 13. Harris RN, Lauer A, Simon MA, Banning JL, Alford RA** (2009) Addition of antifungal skin bacteria to salamanders ameliorates the effects of chytridiomycosis. *Dis Aquat Organ* **83**: 11-16.
- 14. Harris RN, Brucker RM, Walke JB, Becker MH, Schwantes CR, Flaherty DC, Lam BA, Woodhams DC, Briggs CJ, Vredenburg VT, Minbiole KP** (2009) Skin microbes on frogs prevent morbidity and mortality caused by a lethal skin fungus. *ISME J* **3**: 818-824.
- 15. Lam BA, Walke JB, Vredenburg VT, Harris RN** (2010) Proportion of individuals with anti-Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis skin bacteria is associated with population persistence in the frog *Rana muscosa*. *Biol Conserv* **143**: 529-531.
- 16. Muletz CR, Myers JM, Domangue RJ, Herrick JB, Harris RN** (2012) Soil bioaugmentation with amphibian cutaneous bacteria protects amphibian hosts from infection by *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Biol Conserv* **152**: 119-126.
- 17. Woodhams DC, Vredenburg VT, Simon MA, Billheimer D, Shakhtour B, Shyr Y, Briggs CJ, Rollins-Smith LA, Harris RN** (2007) Symbiotic bacteria contribute to innate immune defenses of the threatened mountain yellow-legged frog, *Rana muscosa*. *Biol Conserv* **138**: 390-398.
- 18. Farrer RA, Henk DA, Garner TWJ, Balloux F, Woodhams DC, Fisher MC** (2013) Chromosomal copy number variation, selection and uneven rates of recombination reveal cryptic genome diversity linked to pathogenicity. *PLoS Genet* **9**: e1003703.
- 19. Farrer RA, Weinert LA, Bielby J, Garner TWJ, Balloux F, Clare F, Bosch J, Cunningham AA, Weldon C, du Preez LH, Anderson L, Pond SL, Shahar-Golan R, Henk DA, Fisher MC** (2011) Multiple emergences of genetically diverse amphibian-

infecting chytrids include a globalized hypervirulent recombinant lineage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **108**:18732-18736.

**20. Fisher MC, Bosch J, Yin Z, Stead DA, Walker J, Selway L, Brown AJP, Walker LA, Gow NAR, Stajich JE, Garner TWJ** (2009) Proteomic and phenotypic profiling of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* shows that genotype is linked to virulence. Mol Ecol **18**: 415-429.

**21. Antwis RE, Garcia G, Fidgett AL, Preziosi RF** (2014) Passive integrated transponder (PIT) tagging frogs causes disruption to the cutaneous bacterial community and proliferation of opportunistic fungi. Appl Environ Microb, DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01175-14.

**22. Bell SC, Alford RA, Garland S, Padilla G, Thomas AD** (2013) Screening bacterial metabolites for inhibitory effects against *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* using a spectrophotometric assay. Dis Aquat Organ **103**: 77-85.

**23. Hadfield JD** (2010) MCMC Methods for Multi-Response Generalized Linear Mixed Models: The MCMCglmm R Package. J Stats Softw **33**: 1-22.

**24. Retallick RW, Miera V** (2007) Strain differences in the amphibian chytrid *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and non-permanent, sub-lethal effects of infection. Dis Aquat Organ **75**: 201-207.

**25. Doddington BJ, Bosch J, Oliver JA, Grassly NC, Garcia G, Schmidt BR, Garner TW, Fisher MC** (2013). Context-dependent amphibian host population response to an invading pathogen. Ecology **94**: 1795-1804.

**26. Phillips BL, Puschendorf R** (2013) Do pathogens become more virulent as they spread? Evidence from the amphibian declines in Central America. Proc R Soc B **280**: 20131290.

- 27. McMahon TA, Sears BF, Venesky MD, Bessler SM, Brown JM, Deutsch K, Halstead NT, Lentz G, Tenouri N, Young S, Civitello DJ, Ortega N, Fites JS, Reinert LK, Rollins-Smith LA, Raffel TR, Rohr JR (2014)** Amphibians acquire resistance to live and dead fungus overcoming fungal immunosuppression. *Nature* **511**: 224-227.
- 28. Venesky MD, Raffel TR, McMahon TA, Rohr JR (2013)** Confronting inconsistencies in the amphibian-chytridiomycosis system: implications for disease management. *Biol Rev* **89**: 477-483.
- 29. Woodhams DC, Bosch J, Briggs CJ, Cashins S, Davis LR, Lauer A, Muths E, Puschendorf R, Schmidt BR, Sheafor B (2011)** Mitigating amphibian disease: strategies to maintain wild populations and control chytridiomycosis. *Front Zool* **8**: 8.
- 30. Scheele BC, Guarino F, Osborne W, Hunter DA, Skerratt LF, Driscoll DA (2014)** Decline and re-expansion of an amphibian with high prevalence of chytrid fungus. *Biol Conserv* **170**: 86-91.
- 31. Kaiser K, Pollinger J (2012)** *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* shows high genetic diversity and ecological niche specificity among haplotypes in the Maya Mountains of Belize. *PLoS One* **7**: e32113.
- 32. Cheng TL, Rovito SM, Wake DB, Vredenburg VT (2011)** Coincident mass extirpation of neotropical amphibians with the emergence of the infectious fungal pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**: 9502-9507.
- 33. Dillon RJ, Vennard CT, Buckling A, Charnley AK (2005)** Diversity of locust gut bacteria protects against pathogen invasion. *Ecol Lett* **8**: 1291-1298.

- 34. Eisenhauer N, Schulz W, Scheu S, Jousset A, Pfrender M (2013)** Niche dimensionality links biodiversity and invasibility of microbial communities. *Funct Ecol* **27**: 282-288.
- 35. Van Elsas JD, Chiurazzi M, Mallon CA, Elhottova D, Kristufek V, Salles JF (2012)** Microbial diversity determines the invasion of soil by a bacterial pathogen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**: 1159-1164.
- 36. Loudon AH, Holland JA, Umile TP, Burzynski EA, Minbiole KPC, Harris RN (2014)** Interactions between amphibians' symbiotic bacteria cause the production of emergent anti-fungal metabolites. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **5**: 1-8.
- 37. Woodhams DC, Alford R, Antwis RE, Archer H, Becker MH, Belden LK, Bell SC, Bletz M, Daskin JH, Davis LA, Flechas SV, Lauer A, Peña AG, Harris RN, Holden WM, Hughey MC, Ibañez R, Knight R, Kueneman J, Rabemananjara F, Reinert LK, Rollins-Smith LA, Roman-Rodriguez F, Shaw SD, Walke JB, McKenzie V (2015)** Antifungal Isolates Database of Amphibian Skin-Associated Bacteria and Function Against Emerging Fungal Pathogens. *Ecology*, in press.

**Table 1**

Statistical significance values for Generalised Linear Models with Poisson error structure and log-link to analyse the effect of each bacterial isolate on *B. dendrobatidis* inhibition scores for the three isolates. An \* indicates a statistically significant result ( $p < 0.05$ ), meaning statistically different inhibition scores between the three *B. dendrobatidis* isolates for a given bacterial isolate. For all models, the degrees of freedom are equal to 2.

<b>Bacterial isolate</b>	<b>X<sup>2</sup> value</b>	<b>p value</b>
<i>Acinetobacter sp. 1</i>	9.843	0.007 *
<i>Acinetobacter sp. 2</i>	1.567	0.457
<i>Agrobacterium sp.</i>	0.000	1.000
<i>Arthrobacter sp.</i>	14.756	<0.001 *
<i>Chryseobacterium sp. 1</i>	14.120	<0.001 *
<i>Chryseobacterium sp. 2</i>	23.789	<0.001*
<i>Chryseobacterium sp. 3</i>	3.170	0.205
<i>Enterobacter sp. 1</i>	9.442	0.009 *
<i>Enterobacter sp. 2</i>	3.915	0.141
<i>Lysobacter sp.</i>	10.109	0.006 *

<i>Serratia sp. 1</i>	11.046	0.004 *
<i>Serratia sp. 2</i>	9.825	0.007 *
<i>Serratia sp. 3</i>	1.273	0.529
<i>Serratia sp. 4</i>	17.723	<0.001 *
<i>Stentrophomonas sp.</i>	25.994	<0.001*

### **Figure 1**

Average ( $\pm 1$  SEM) inhibition scores for 15 bacteria from quantitative *in vitro* challenges against three *BdGPL* isolates. Within each bacteria, *B. dendrobatidis* isolates with an \* have significantly different inhibition scores to those without an \*.

### **Figure 2**

Average ( $\pm 1$  SEM) inhibition scores for multiple bacteria from four genera challenged against three *BdGPL* isolates. VA05 isolates had significantly lower inhibition scores than the other *BdGPL* isolates (as indicated by an \*), and *Enterobacter spp.* showed significantly lower inhibition of *BdGPL* across the range of isolates (indicated by an #).