Life saving science: the understanding of English Four-year-old Children of injuries and appropriate first aid

Abstract
A class of four year old children (29 in number) were shown 8 nine inch high Teddy Bears, dressed as World War I pilots, obtained from an Air ambulance service who were selling them to raise money for the service. Each Teddy Bear with a simulated everyday injury was shown to the child and asked, at each teddy, what could be done to help each injured Teddy. Even though they had not previously been in the formal school system in England, UK, the children had probably experiences some kinds of injury during their short loves and may have witnessed such in friends and family. This small study by an experienced First aid instructor and science educator sought to establish a baseline pilot study of what action such young injuries which they observed one by one, individually, in the Foundation stage area with the researcher Their responses recorded by writing and analysed by a read re read process to establish the categories of the child’s rationale for their reason. The transcripts of the responses were then scored for the number of times in each response the categories were mentioned and then totalled the category content oaf their responses occurred and for which simulated injury. Theses data indicate that the main solution to treatment was to ’ put on a plaster’. A simple appropriate procedure was then discussed with each child. The children had little comprehension of further treatment but would tell an adult.

Introduction
The science curriculum is an appropriate place to introduce pupils, of all ages, to the basic concepts of first aid where a science concept is illustrated, as identified by the researcher. The sciences are the obvious subjects in which to incorporate the learning of first aid as the appropriate science concept is taught, such as gravity in the treatment of haemorrhage, or forces in the cause of fractures (Tunnicliffe 2007). First aid has been taught traditionally by the voluntary societies as a mixture of theoretical instruction with basic human anatomy and physiology to explain causes and treatment of injuries. The model of instruction has been of lecture and demonstration with trainees involved in role-play and simulations as realistic as possible, ‘All work must actually be carried out as in real life, so far as is
practicable…”(the Order of St John, 1992). Simulations such as those presented by Casualties Union have provided realism.

Little is known about when children begin to understand about keeping healthy, safe and what as well as people to relieve symptoms of injuries as well as prevent them can do. We know that young children entering school at 4 years have limited knowledge of the internal anatomy of organisms knowing that there are a few of particular organs, particularly the heart, brain, stomach and bones (Reiss and Tunnicliffe, 1992). We also know that, as children mature, many of them acquire a greater knowledge of anatomy albeit it atomistic and that understanding of systems and how they interrelate is lacking. However, there is little work on the knowledge of first aid amongst young people. As children develop, they likewise acquire more first aid knowledge as evidenced by the progression in first aid manuals. However, if first aid is to be incorporating within the formal curriculum in relevant subject areas, particularly science, which is the musty relevant academic subject, which embraces first aid. It is thus a necessity to elicit the knowledge and beliefs that young children hold.

Rationale
Children think and reason largely in the same way as adults but lack experience (Goswani and Bryant, 2007) and respond at exhibits, to narrative, hands–on involvement and adults providing support (Russell, 1999). Moreover, role-play is regarded as a leading learning activity between young children involving adults (Rogers and Evans, 2006) as well as being is an important tool in science learning (Parkinson, 2002). Furthermore, ‘pretending’ is more effective when carried out with, and scaffolded by, an adult (Goswani and Bryant, 2007). There is an overlap between early years experiences and the traditional teaching of first aid because of the ways in which children at this stage of experience the world about learn best by role-play, simulation, and using make believe as they act out ideas and fantasies, often based on something they have experienced in their life. Thus, I investigated young children’s knowledge of some usual injuries e illustrated by injury simulations on these s familiar soft toys, and the action they thought bee, through role-play and simulation, with an adult, and focused on’ injured’ Teddy Bears.

Method
From my observations over many years I consider that there is overlap between early years experiences and the traditional teaching of first aid. This small study sought young children’s knowledge of first aid was investigated through role-play and simulation, with an adult, and focused on injured Teddy Bears. Nine identical toy bears, in flying jacket flying helmet and goggles were purchased from an Air Ambulance Charity. Eight were used in the simulations. Each of these ‘Teddies’ was ‘treated’ to simulate a commonly occurring injuries, one per toy bear, such as a red streak of lipstick on a fore paw to represent a cut, and the injury was identifies in words by the researcher as the start of the observation at each Bear. Each b toy ear was placed at a separate appropriate location within the Foundation Area. For example the 'unconscious' Teddy was placed in gteh outside play area at the foot of gteh slide ladder.

The work was undertaken in the Foundation (Early years, 4 – 5 yr. olds) of a Community School in South East England. Attainment on entry in this school, as evidenced by the foundation stage profile, published on the internet, was below average, particularly in language skills, incomes and education (Acorn 2007 All children attending this preschool during this one year whose parents or guardians had signed by the permission slip on the foot of letter informing them of the research took part in the study as the subjects of this investigation. No child was withdrawn. All children in the year were ‘seen’ individually during regular school sessions to

Initial interviews were in the adjacent family room where some ‘injured’ teddies were positioned. The child was invited to hold and carry round a ‘real’ Teddy’ as we looked at the injured and said what we could do to help. To engage the children and to encourage them to talk, a’ Teddy’ was introduced the child and asked by the adult if they would like to hold it and whether they had a Teddy at home. Once the child talked they were asked what Teddy could do to keep healthy and then with the researcher looked at the injured teddies and talk about what we could do to help. Thus, the scenarios involved role-play and simulation, as in traditional first aid instruction. Responses were written on separate prepared sheets at the time. There were eight scenarios. The injuries were based on those in a questionnaire designed to elicit existing understanding of first aid by the British Red Cross as a preliminary exercise before pupils follow their Lifeliveit programme for secondary pupils (BRCS 2006). The injuries simulated were: a burnt paw; a bleeding paw; a piece of glass embedded in paw; the bear having fallen to the floor with a seizure; the bear having been knocked by a car; A bear being unwell having drunk some poison near the sink, an unconscious bear, the condition caused from a fall from the slide; and a
leg fractured in the fall. A verbal scenario, supported by the ‘injured Teddy bear’ in an appropriate position, was used to put the injury into context was given at each ‘casualty, such as ‘Teddy has fallen over on a piece of glass which has stuck into his fore paw which is bleeding’. The injuries were simulated using everyday materials. For example; a burn was simulated with fixing a piece of small bubble wrap smeared with Vaseline and lipstick onto the paw of a bear. Twenty nine children were interviewed over a term. However, one child was an elective mute. She joined in the activity but did not verbally respond hence only 28 responses were analysed. After each ‘accident’ the child was told the appropriate age related treatment that they could undertake. The children focused on the injury, recalling their own relevant experiences. Few thought and worked out a course of action. One child explained that their kitchen had been set on fire and another how they had been burnt and taken to hospital. The researcher checked the authenticity of these reported incidents. They were true. The children carried the teddy and fiddled with it whilst talking. The transcripts were read and re read and categories of responses emerged. Four themes emerged from an identification of the categories of responses given by the children and transcribed to the injured incidents. The theme which emerged for treating the injury by the child at the injury, from the read re read process, were not mutually exclusive. They were: Don’t Know; Tell an adult, (usually Mother or doctor); Care for Teddy; Treat the injury/situation with an imaginary solution, or, most often, from their experience of their own injuries, usually applying a plaster!

Results

After analysis by hand of the contents of the transcripts the data for each injury were recorded in Table 1. Those regarding the burn showed most information. The bleeding paw was treated by putting on a plaster over the cut. When asked the children did not know how to use the telephone to call for help but the response, “call the doctor” or “take to doctor” was almost a mantra. Not all children answered to a source of knowledge for each injury.

Table 1

Children’s Sources of information about treating injuries
Injury | Family | TV | Just know | Dr/hospital | Experience | Friend | School | Other | Total
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
Burn | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13
Cut | 16 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 21
Glass | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 19
Poison | 6 | 3 | 4 | | 1 | 14
Seizure | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 11
Unconsciousness | 7 | 3 | 1 | | 1 | 12
Road Traffic Accident | 9 | 1 | | | | 10
Fracture | 7 | 3 | 2 | | | 12

The detailed summary of responses form each child for the burn injury are shown in Table 2

Table 2

An example of responses. This example is of treatments for a burn and their source of information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref no</th>
<th>Male or Fml</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Responses to researcher’s Statement</th>
<th>Treatment Suggested</th>
<th>Action comment</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>Put plaster</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Picked up pencil</td>
<td>Help him</td>
<td></td>
<td>My nightie burnt</td>
<td>Just know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Help him</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>f</td>
<td></td>
<td>Put plaster</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Take to doctor</td>
<td>Will give medicine Mum give some to get better</td>
<td>Mum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>fiddled with the bear’s clothes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>D/K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>Look, it’s</td>
<td></td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td>D/K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>Dad has been burnt. Skin came off. There was more skin under</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>D/know</td>
<td>Don’t know, teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Got to save him</td>
<td>Call mum, call doctor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>Get paper (why?) to cover and stop bleeding</td>
<td>My house</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Put on Plaster. Feel his heart To see if needs doctor, so put something in mouth (thermometer)</td>
<td>Mum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>f</td>
<td></td>
<td>Go to dr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>nods</td>
<td>How has he burnt?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Does he wear a wrist band Have wrist band helps get your skin back</td>
<td>teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>He burnt on kettle Have to take to Dr I put my finger on kettle, It was hot, I thought it cold had to put plaster on finger</td>
<td>Home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>plaster</td>
<td>Action Statement Treatment Action Other Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>He got burnt Put bandage round not take it off</td>
<td>We got burnt on head, I put my head forward and fell in the fire &amp; got burnt- on electric fire I was burnt on head chest, chin Showed my Granny ambulance came and took to hospital. L might have got dead</td>
<td>Experience-the child’s teacher affirmed story</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When you have a fire you go to hospital. How? Take your car, mum and dad drove home.

Skin will rip off. Get an ambulance. Just phone and it will come (How mobile don’t know). Saw on TV get an ambulance.

Explained burn to burn. “Will he die? I said, ‘no it hurts’ Teddy will help fly and get him and pick him up. Our kitchen burnt down. Don’t know.

Put a plaster. Susie (friend). Take it off (polythene). X

This simulated burn injury was the one to which more children provided personal information. Same children thought about the treatment. One sturdy little boy reasoned that best treatment for the fractured leg was, “Cut it off”. He was of the opinion that the unconscious casualty should be, ‘left to die’. The reference to wear a wristband reflects this child’s experience at home where a relative wore a medic alert bracelet.

Table 2
Sources of information about treating injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>TV</th>
<th>Just know</th>
<th>Dr/hospital</th>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Friend</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burn</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The content of responses to all the simulated fell into four main themes:

1. **Don’t know** - no experience or did not comprehend

2. **Tell an adult** -
   a. Mother/ teacher occasionally
   b. Call doctor
   c. Take to doctor
   d. Call an ambulance

3. **Care for teddy**
   a. Give him a cuddle,
   b. Make him better,
   c. Give him a cake,
   d. Give him another teddy.

4. **Treat injury/situation**
   a. An imaginary response
   b. No knowledge
   c. Experiential

   The children had had some first hand experience of an accident to themselves - cuts and a few children knew about burns. Treatment most often was 'put on plaster, occasionally a bandage.

   d. Instructional knowledge was evident from some children who had been told what to do, such as tell an adult.

   e. Pragmatic Few and far between. One boy in particular were very pragmatic and for example, the broken leg incident remarked 'Cut it off'.
Conclusions

The findings were discussed with the Head teacher and the teacher in charge of the foundation stage curriculum. These findings provide a base on which effective teaching could develop for life skills and language as well as science, first aid and health education. These children did not know how to use the telephone and the school is now going to ensure that this is taught. Children who experienced the injury knew more about treatment. Language was an impediment. Unless children had experience of an injury they did not understand some words like ‘burn’, ‘unconscious’. These had to be explained first. However, the data demonstrate that young children are aware of injuries and the need for treatment for them and are capable of reasoning within their experience and common sense. If helping children to feel safe but able to help in cases of accident is part of their educational entitlement, action in emergencies can be incorporated in a developmental sequence across the curriculum to provide them with fundamental first aid knowledge and competencies which can contribute to the well being of society.
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