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SUMMARY

The ability of epithelial cells to assemble into sheets relies on their zonula adherens (ZA), a circumferential belt of adherens junction (AJ) material, which can be remodeled during development to shape organs. Here, we show that during ZA remodeling in a model neuroepithelial cell, the Cdc42 effector P21-activated kinase 4 (Pak4/Mbt) regulates AJ morphogenesis and stability through β-catenin (β-cat/Arm) phosphorylation. We find that β-catenin phosphorylation by Mbt, and associated AJ morphogenesis, is needed for the retention of the apical determinant Par3/Bazooka at the remodeling ZA. Importantly, this retention mechanism functions together with PAR1-dependent lateral exclusion of Par3/Bazooka to regulate apical membrane differentiation. Our results reveal an important functional link between Pak4, AJ material morphogenesis, and polarity remodeling during organogenesis downstream of Par3.

INTRODUCTION

In vertebrate and invertebrate epithelial or neuroepithelial cells, apical membrane morphogenesis consists of the differentiation of the cell-cell junction (zonula adherens [ZA]) from the apical and lateral membrane domains. How this is achieved is not fully understood. In Drosophila, apical membrane morphogenesis and remodeling requires at least two processes: (1) the confinement of the conserved polarity proteins Par6-atypical protein kinase C (aPKC), Crumbs (Crb), and Stardust (Sdt) to the apical pole of the cell and (2) the exclusion of Baz (Drosophila Par3) from the apical membrane, such that this protein is positioned at the boundary between the apical and lateral membrane where the ZA assembles (Krahn et al., 2010; Morais-de-Sá et al., 2010; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). These two processes drive polarity specification and remodeling in the follicular epithelium, the cellularizing blastoderm, and the photoreceptor (St Johnston and Ahringer, 2010). Notably, the junctional configuration and localization of the apical proteins Par6-aPKC and Baz/Par3 relative to the apical-lateral border is conserved through evolution (Afonso and Henrique, 2006; Totong et al., 2007; Zihn et al., 2014).

In addition to the apical exclusion of Baz, and in order to limit apical membrane morphogenesis to one pole of the cell, Baz must be excluded from the lateral cortex. Lateral exclusion of Baz prevents its ectopic association with aPKC basal to the ZA and is mediated by the serine/threonine kinase Par1 in several model epithelial cell types (Benton and St Johnston, 2003b). However, the relatively mild par1 loss-of-function polarity phenotype observed in the follicular epithelium, blastoderm, and photoreceptor suggests that other mechanisms might be at play (Benton and St Johnston, 2003b; McKinley and Harris, 2012; Nam et al., 2007). For example, in the blastoderm where polarity is established de novo, basal to apical transport of Baz and the presence of an apical scaffold of F-actin can act to localize Baz at the apical pole of the cortex (Harris and Peifer, 2004; McKinley and Harris, 2012). Whether these or other mechanisms regulate the ZA localization of Baz in a remodeling epithelium is not clear.

As Baz is confined to the apico-lateral border of the cell, it is thought to interact with adherens junction (AJ) material, possibly via binding to Arm and Echinoid (Wei et al., 2005). However, in the blastoderm, follicular epithelium, or photoreceptor, accumulation of AJ material at the plasma membrane does not strictly depend on Baz (Harris and Peifer, 2004; Shahab et al., 2015; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). This indicates that pathways must promote AJ assembly independently of baz. These pathways and their relation to the epithelial polarity gene network remain to be characterized in detail.

Among the factors that might regulate AJ morphogenesis is the Cdc42 effector P21-activated serine/threonine kinase, Pak4 (Drosophila mushroom bodies tiny [mbt]). In Drosophila photoreceptors, this kinase localizes at the developing ZA and is required for proper ZA morphogenesis (Schneeberger and Raabe, 2003). In addition, MbT can phosphorylate β-cat/Arm in vitro, and in cell culture, this phosphorylation limits the association of Arm and E-cadherin (Menzel et al., 2008). Consistent with a conserved role for Mbt/Pak4 in regulating AJ morphogenesis, conditional deletion of mPak4 in the mouse nervous system leads to a loss of neuroepithelial AJs (Tian et al., 2011). In addition, hPak4 is required to promote tight junction and AJ maturation in human bronchial cells (Wallace et al., 2010). Thus, Pak4/Mbt plays an important role in regulating epithelial polarity across phyla. However, the functional relationship between this kinase, AJ morphogenesis, and the conserved epithelial polarity gene network remains to be examined in detail.
RESULTS

Baz Is Essential for Photoreceptor Polarity Remodeling

The *Drosophila* photoreceptor, which undergoes a sustained phase of apico-basal polarity remodeling during development, is a particularly attractive model to study the relationship between the conserved polarity determinants and the AJ during cortical polarity remodeling and plasma membrane morphogenesis (Figure S1A).

In light of recent work suggesting that baz might be dispensable in some instances of epithelial polarity remodeling in vivo (Shahab et al., 2015), we first re-examined the function of this factor in the remodeling photoreceptor using two new loss-of-function alleles: bazXR11 and bazEH747. Both alleles lead to a strong reduction in aPKC, Crb, and Par6 staining (Figures S1A–S1J). In addition, most mutant photoreceptors fail to specify a clear ZA and AJ material invades what would normally be the apical pole of the cell (Figure S1E). These data confirm that Baz is required to support the recruitment of Par6-aPKC and Crb at the apical cortex and membrane, respectively (Walther and Pichaud, 2010). However, we note instances where the ZA is relatively well defined (Figure S1I). These instances correlate with residual apical Par6 accumulation (Figure S1H), which suggests that Par6 can be recruited at the apical pole of the cell independently of Baz, presumably through binding to Cdc42 or Crb (Hutterer et al., 2004; Morais-de-Sá et al., 2010).

Mbt Is a Core Component of the AJ

In the developing photoreceptor, Mbt localizes at the developing ZA (Schneeberger and Raabe, 2003; Figures 1A–1D). To test whether this localization depends on the apical epithelial gene network, we examined Mbt localization in aPKCk06403 (Figures 1E–1H), baz4 (Figures 1I–1L), crb11A22 (Figures 1M–1P), and baz4, sdtXP96 double-mutant cells (Figures 1Q–1T). We found that AJ domains, which contain Mbt, are still present in all these conditions. The only condition that abolishes Mbt localization at the cell cortex is in arm3 mutant cells, where AJ material is absent (Figures 1U–1X).

From this set of data, we can therefore draw two main conclusions. First, Mbt is a core component of the AJ. Second, there must be at least one molecular pathway that can support AJ morphogenesis independently of Baz and Crb. Due to its close association with AJ material, we reasoned that Mbt could be part of such pathway. To test this possibility, we generated baz4, mbtP1 double-mutant cells and compared them to baz4 and mbtP1 single-mutant cells. AJ material is detected in baz4 (Figure S1E) and in mbtP1 single-mutant photoreceptors (Figure 2A–D). In contrast, we found that no AJ material can be detected at the cortex of baz4, mbtP1 double-mutant cells (Figures 2K–2N). Therefore, our results indicate that mbt can support AJ morphogenesis independently of baz.

Mbt Supports AJ Morphogenesis Independently of baz

Next, we sought to examine the role of mbt during photoreceptor polarity remodeling. Consistent with Mbt promoting ZA
morphogenesis, we measure a significant decrease in the length and mean pixel intensity of Arm and Baz at the developing ZA of mbt

mutant photoreceptors (Figures 2A–2H). In addition, mbt

is required for overall apical membrane differentiation, albeit only in a fraction of the mutant cells (Figures 2I and 2J). We found that, in 40% of the mbt

mutant ommatidia (n = 2,662 from nine retinas), no ZA assembles along the photoreceptors proximo-distal axis, and instead, poorly differentiated apical membranes are found between the floor of the retina and the lamina part of the brain (Figures 2J and S2A–S2J). Whereas these membranes contain aPKC, Crb, Baz, and Arm, apico-basal polarity is severely compromised (Figures S2D–S2G”). These data indicate that Mbt promotes AJ morphogenesis and to some extent apical membrane morphogenesis. Importantly, the mbt phenotype can be fully rescued when expressing a wild-type version of Mbt that can no longer bind to Cdc42 or lacks kinase activity (Ha et al., 2003) fails to rescue the mbt phenotype (Figure S3A). Therefore, Mbt functions through its kinase activity, which, as expected for this family of kinases, is regulated via binding to Cdc42 (Ha et al., 2015).

**Mbt Does Not Phosphorylate Par6 in Drosophila**

In order to gain mechanical insight into how Mbt might regulate apical membrane morphogenesis, we examined the relationship between Mbt and Par6. Human Pak4 (hPak4) can phosphorylate hPar6b at serine 143, which is found in Drosophila Par6 at position 146 (Jin et al., 2015). However, the (−2) residue in Par6 differs from that found in hPar6b, and in that, Par6 most resembles hPar6a, which is not phosphorylated by hPak4 (Figures S3B and S3C).

To test whether Mbt can phosphorylate Par6, we purified an activated version of Mbt from S2 cells and used it to perform kinase assays with Drosophila Par6. In our assays, we found no evidence for Mbt (or for recombinant hPak4) phosphorylating Par6S146 in vitro (Figures S3D and S3E). In addition, a version of Par6 in which S146 is mutated to alanine (Par6-SA146) can rescue the embryonic lethality of the par6

mutant, lacking GFP (blue; K), Arm (green; L), aPKC (red; M), and merge (N). Asterisks highlight mutant cells. A tilde marks a wild-type cell. The scale bars represent 4 μm.

(F) Mean pixel intensity of Arm in wild-type and mbt
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mutants.

(m) 0.33 ± 0.02 μm, n = 15 from three individuals) is shown; error bars represent SEM. Fluorescence recovery curves of E-cad::GFP after photo-bleaching in wild-type (black) and mbt

background. The p value was calculated with an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.

(K)–(N) baz

mutant lacking GFP (blue; K), Arm (green; L), aPKC (red; M), and merge (N). Asterisks highlight mutant cells. A tilde marks a wild-type cell. The scale bars represent 4 μm.

(O) FRAP on E-cadherin::GFP in wild-type or mbt

Mean normalized fluorescence intensity in wild-type (gray; n = 18 from two individuals) and (P) Mobile fraction of E-cadherin::GFP in a wild-type (black) or mbt

(red) background. The p value was calculated with an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test with Welch’s correction.
half-time recovery of 47 s (Figures 2O and 2P). In mbt mutants, we found that the mobile fraction of E-cadherin::GFP is 45.7% ± 1.2% with a half-time recovery of approximately 45 s (Figures 2O and 2P). Therefore, Mbt is required to stabilize E-cadherin at the ZA during photoreceptor polarity remodeling.

**Mbt Regulates ZA Remodeling through Arm Phosphorylation**

Phosphorylation of β-catenin/Arm by Pak4/Mbt is conserved through evolution (Selamat et al., 2015), thus providing a potential mechanism for regulating AJ morphogenesis and E-cadherin mobility. Therefore, we next sought to re-examine the relationship between Mbt, Arm phosphorylation, and ZA morphogenesis. First, we confirmed that a constitutively active form of Mbt phosphorylates Arm at S561 and S688 (Figures S3D and S3E). Second, we generated transgenic animals bearing myc-tagged phospho-mimetic (UAS-armSE561,688::myc), phospho-dead (UAS-armSA561,688::myc), and wild-type (UAS-arm::myc) transgenes and asked whether these could rescue the arm mutants.

Arm mutant photoreceptors show defects in aPKC localization at their cortex, lack Baz altogether, and, similar to mt mutant cells, tend to form cysts below the floor of the retina (Figures 3A–3D'). Re-introducing Arm::myc in arm mutant photoreceptors rescues the photoreceptor polarity remodeling phenotype (Figure 3E). However, re-introducing either ArmSA561,688::myc or ArmSE561,688::myc in arm mutant photoreceptors fails to support ZA morphogenesis, and instead, discrete AJ domains are found distributed along the proximo-distal axis of the cell. Both transgenes are able to form domains that contain E-cadherin and Baz (Figures 3F–3H). In the case of ArmSA561,688::myc, two of the ZA-like domains examined (n = 24) present ArmSA561,688::myc, but lack Baz entirely. Among the remaining 22 ZA-like domains, four include a region positive for ArmSA561,688::myc, but not Baz, and three include regions positive for Baz, but not ArmSA561,688::myc. These results suggest that the phosphorylation status of Arm regulates the interface between the AJ and Baz. In addition, we note that, with ArmSA561,688, several cells present poorly differentiated apical membranes including aPKC domains that are smaller than in the wild-type (Figures 3F'–3G' and S4).
Altogether, our results indicate that the developing ZA influences apical membrane differentiation. They also suggest that the fraction of phosphorylated Arm must be present in the correct proportion to support ZA morphogenesis. This notion is further supported by the fact that expressing an activated form of Mbt is detrimental to photoreceptor polarity remodeling and ZA maturation (Figures S3G–S3I).

**Arm Phosphorylation Promotes the Accumulation of AJ Material at the ZA**

If Arm phosphorylation must be finely tuned during ZA remodeling, then overexpressing ArmSA561,688 should lead to phenotypes resembling that of the mbt loss of function. To test this hypothesis, we overexpressed ArmSA561,688::myc in wild-type retinae. In this assay, overexpressing wild-type Arm::myc does not lead to significant phenotypes (Figures 4A, 4C–4F, and S4A). In contrast, overexpressing ArmSA561,688::myc leads to a decrease in Arm and Baz as well as a significant shortening of the ZA when compared to wild-type (Figures 4C–4F). We also note instances where Baz is missing from the ZA, while Arm is present (Figure 4B). This is specific, as expressing ArmSE561,688::myc or Arm::myc does not lead to such uncoupling between Arm and Baz (Figures 4A, 4G, S4C, and S4E). Expressing the ArmSE561,688::myc transgene leads to a significant decrease in length and mean pixel intensity for Arm. In this case, however, the length of the Baz domain is comparable to wild-type (Figure 4D). Finally, when overexpressing the ArmSA561,688::myc transgene, the mobile fraction for E-cadherin::GFP determined using FRAP is 47% ± 2.2% (Figures 4H and 4I), which is almost identical to that we measured in mbt mutant cells (Figures 2O and 2P). Altogether, the range of phenotypes we obtained when overexpressing ArmSA561,688::myc is similar to that seen in mbt mutant photoreceptors. These data therefore support a model in which Mbt regulates the stability of E-cadherin at the membrane as well as the cortical accumulation of Arm and Baz through phosphorylation of Arm at serine 561 and 688.

**Mbtl Promotes the Retention of Baz at the Developing ZA**

Next, we sought to probe the relationship between mbt, ZA morphogenesis, and Baz localization. Our results so far suggest a model in which mbt might promote the retention of Baz at the developing ZA. To test this model, we overexpressed a wild-type version of Baz (Baz::GFP) in mbt mutant cells and tested for the presence of ectopic accumulation of Baz::GFP at the lateral cortex of the photoreceptors. Baz::GFP expressed in an otherwise wild-type retina localizes at the ZA in 98% of photoreceptors quantified (n = 528; Figures 5A and 5E). In contrast, expressing Baz::GFP in mbt mutant cells leads to the formation of Baz::GFP microdomains in 33% of the lateral cortices examined (n = 231; Figures 5B and 5E). These lateral cortices can contain up to three ectopic Baz domains that also contain aPKC and
Arm. In addition, up to 88% of the ommatidia (n = 1,286 from four retinae) present poorly developed apical membranes compared to 40% in the case of mbt<sup>P1</sup> (n = 2,662 from nine retinae). These data demonstrate that mbt limits the ability of Baz to form microdomains at the photoreceptor lateral cortex. They also provide a genetic link between mbt, the developing ZA and baz, indicating that a defect in ZA retention of Baz leads to the ectopic recruitment of aPKC and Arm at the lateral membrane.

**Mbt and Par1 Function Redundantly to Prevent Baz Accumulation at the Lateral Cortex**

A requirement for mbt in preventing Baz from accumulating at the lateral cortex raises the issue that the function of Mbt might be related to that of Par1. During polarity remodeling, Par1 expression is restricted to the lateral cortex of the photoreceptor (Figures S5A and S5B). In addition, expressing a Par1 transgene that escapes aPKC phosphorylation (Par1[<i>AEM</i>];:GFP) leads to its ectopic localization at the apical membrane (Doerflinger et al., 2010), thus indicating that apical exclusion of Par1 is mediated by aPKC phosphorylation (Figures S5C and SSD). Therefore, the localization pattern of Par1 is consistent with this kinase promoting lateral exclusion of Baz. In addition, similar to the developing follicular epithelium (Doerflinger et al., 2010), we measure an increase in the quantity of microtubules present in the soma of par1 mutant photoreceptors (Figures S5E and S5F).

Quantifications performed on mature photoreceptors show that the par1 loss-of-function polarity phenotype is very mild and consists of cells that present slightly longer sub-apical membranes (Figures S5G–S5J). Such a mild phenotype might be due to the presence of other redundant kinases phosphorylating Baz at serines 151 and 1085. In order to bypass such possible redundancy, we made use of the BazSA151,1085::GFP transgene (Benton and St Johnston, 2003b). Expressing this fusion protein in a wild-type retina leads to the formation of at least one ectopic BazSA151,1085::GFP microdomain in 35% of photoreceptor lateral cortices (n = 734; Figures 5C and 5E). However, BazSA151,1085::GFP is localized exclusively at the developing ZA in the majority (65%) of photoreceptors and ZA localization is observed when expressed in baz<sup>4</sup> mutant cells, which rules out a recruitment of BazSA151,1085::GFP via Baz oligomerization (Benton and St Johnston, 2003a; Figure S5K). From these experiments, we conclude that par1-dependent lateral exclusion of Baz is largely dispensable during photoreceptor polarity remodeling.

To test whether mbt functions redundantly with par1, we expressed BazSA151,1085::GFP in mbt mutant photoreceptors. In this condition, we observe an extensive ectopic localization of BazSA151,1085::GFP with lateral cortices containing up to five ectopic domains (n = 296; Figures 5D and 5E). This is accompanied by a very severe polarity phenotype, in that the aPKC and Arm expression domains extend laterally.

Altogether, these results indicate that Mbt-dependent ZA retention of Baz constitutes a main localization mechanism for this factor. This retention mechanism operates together with Par1-dependent lateral exclusion. Importantly, failure to limit Baz localization at the developing ZA leads to catastrophic defects during polarity remodeling.

**DISCUSSION**

**Mbt Regulates the Accumulation of AJ Material at the Developing ZA**

In the developing pupal photoreceptor and other popular model-developing epithelial cell types, the concomitant apical exclusion...
of Baz and accumulation of Crb promotes the coalescence of AJ material during ZA remodeling (St Johnston and Ahringer, 2010). However, how AJ morphogenesis is regulated at the plasma membrane is not well understood. Here, we present complementary evidence indicating that Pak4/Mbt regulates this process. In the absence of mtb and when compared to wild-type cells, we measure less Arm at the ZA. The AJ domains that we observe in baz (or baz, sdt) mutant photoreceptors are no longer detected when mtb is also lacking. In addition, our FRAP experiments indicate that mtb limits the amount of E-cadherin::GFP that can be recovered at the remodeling ZA. Finally, expressing a version of Arm that cannot be phosphorylated by Mbt leads to a shortening of the ZA and a decrease in Baz levels similar to that measured in mtb mutant cells. The mobility that we measured for E-cadherin in these shorter ZA is comparable to that measured in mtb mutant cells. Therefore, our results indicate that, in vivo, Mbt promotes AJ morphogenesis at least in part through phosphorylation of Arm S561 and S688.

**Mbt Function Is Linked to Arm Phosphorylation**

Residues S561 and S688 are located in a domain of Arm that mediates part of the E-cadherin-catenin interface. Their phosphorylation destabilizes the E-cadherin-catenin interaction and cell-cell adhesion in non-polarized S2 cells (Menzel et al., 2008). Therefore, loss of mtb should lead to a stabilization of the E-cadherin-catenin interaction. We find that, at the developing photoreceptor ZA, loss of mtb promotes E-cadherin mobility as well as a decrease in Arm and Baz content. As E-cadherin is coupled to Arm, it also becomes coupled to the underlying F-actin cortex, which might influence AJ motility. Interestingly, Pak4/Mbt has been shown to promote the phosphorylation of the F-actin-severing protein cofilin at the conserved Ser3 (Dan et al., 2001; Menzel et al., 2007). Phosphorylation of cofilin inactivates it and leads to a slowing down of F-actin turnover (Bravo-Cordero et al., 2013). Reduced turnover of cortical F-actin has been associated with the stabilization of E-cadherin trans-interactions in vitro (Engl et al., 2014). We therefore propose that, upon loss of mtb, stabilization of the E-cadherin-catenin interface, perhaps combined with increased cofilin-dependent F-actin turnover, directs E-cadherin mobility. Altogether, our results indicate that the dynamic regulation of the E-cadherin-catenin interaction is important for ZA morphogenesis.

**ZA Retention of Baz Is Required for Proper Apical Membrane Differentiation**

In the remodeling photoreceptor, Baz, Par6, aPKC, and Crb all overlap with the apical 2/3 of the ZA, whereas the basal 1/3 presents very little staining for these proteins (Walther and Pichaud, 2006). In addition, we show here that the expression domain of Par1 abuts the basal boundary of the ZA. Therefore, the basal 1/3 of the developing ZA, which is approximately 350 nm in length, allows for a clear spatial separation of Baz and Par1 at the cortex. In mtb mutant cells, the length of the developing ZA along the apico-basal axis is significantly reduced, which largely abolishes this clear separation and might expose Baz to Par1 phosphorylation and promote its cortical exclusion. This might explain why we detect less Baz at the ZA of mtb mutant photoreceptors. In this model, Mbt would antagonize Par1 so to maintain an optimum pool of Baz at the ZA. Alternatively, a failure in retaining Baz at the ZA might lead to its ectopic localization at the lateral membrane, where it is targeted by Par1. In this second model, ZA retention and Par1 lateral exclusion of Baz function redundantly. This second model is supported by our finding that, when overexpressed in mtb mutant cells, Baz-SA151,1085::GFP accumulates at the lateral membrane. In any case, we find that Mbt-dependent AJ material accumulation influences apical membrane morphogenesis, and our genetic experiments indicate that this is through promoting the retention of Baz at the ZA. We note that both ArmSA561,688 and ArmSE561,688 support the recruitment of Baz at the developing ZA in rescue experiments. Thus, the phosphorylation status of Arm does not directly influence Baz recruitment at the ZA.

In vertebrate epithelial cells as well as in the photoreceptor, Pak4 functions downstream of the small GTPase Cdc42, which also regulates the Par6-aPKC module (Schneeberger and Raabe, 2003; Wallace et al., 2010; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). Therefore, our finding that Pak4 promotes the accumulation of Baz, a factor required for the accumulation of Par6-aPKC at the apical membrane, reveals an important functional cross-talk between AJ morphogenesis and apical membrane differentiation during polarity remodeling.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Antibodies and Immunological Methods**

Whole-mount retinae were prepared as described in Walther and Pichaud (2006). The following antibodies were used: mouse anti-alpha tubulin 1/1,000 (Sigma); rabbit anti-PKC ε, 1/200 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); mouse anti-Arm 1/200 (N27-A1; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank); rabbit anti-Baz 1/2,000 (generated against C-terminal peptide H2N - CSQ YGS AAG SQP HAS KV - COOH; this work; Eurogentec SA); rat anti-Crb 1/200 (generated against C-terminal peptide H2N - H2N - CEM DNV LKP PFE ERL I - COOH; this work; Eurogentec SA); rat anti-E-cadherin 1/50 (DCAD2; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank); guinea pig anti-Mbt 1/200 (generated against peptides H2N - SSN RPLPLVDPESET G-COH2 and H2N-PPHHNKADTTSNLSC-COH2; this work; Eurogentec SA); mouse anti-Arm 1/500 (9E10; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank); rabbit anti-Par1 1/200 (McDonald et al., 2008); guinea pig anti-D-Pat 1/400 (generated against C-terminal peptide H2N - SAS MGA EPD LIP DW R N - COOH; this work; Eurogentec SA); guinea pig anti-Par1 1/1000 (generated against C-terminal peptide H2N - CHH QQA ASN AST IMA SDV KDG VLH L - COOH; this work; Eurogentec SA); and rabbit anti-Sad 1/250 (Berger et al., 2007), with the appropriate combination of mouse, guinea pig, rabbit, and rat secondary antibodies conjugated to Dy405, Alexa 488, Cy3, or Cy5 as appropriate at 1/200 each (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Retinae were mounted in VectaShield, and imaging was performed using a Leica SP5 confocal. Images were edited using ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop 7.0.

**Fluorescent Recovery after Photobleaching**

Pupal retinas were mounted at 40% after pupariation formation (APF) by removing the pupal cuticle and carefully exposing the retina. Live imaging was performed on a Leica SP5 confocal with a 63 x 1.4 numerical aperture (NA) oil immersion objective and the following settings: pixel resolution 512 x 512; speed 400 Hz; 10% 488-nm laser power at 20% argon laser intensity; and 5 x zoom. The basal tip of the AJ was marked with a five-pixel-diameter circle region of interest (ROI) and photo-bleached with a single pulse using 90% 488-nm laser power at 20% argon laser intensity. AJ recovery was recorded every 1,293 s with the previously mentioned settings for 200 frames (E-cadherin::GFP).

**Statistical Analyses**

Length and pixel intensity measurements of Baz and Arm were determined by analyzing confocal images of mtb mosaic retina at 40% APF. For
quantification of Baz and Arm length and intensity in retina expressing Arm::myc, ArmSA61,688::myc and ArmSE61,688::myc. Images were acquired from samples processed simultaneously, using the indicated antibodies. The mean pixel intensity along this line was measured using the line tool in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). To correct for differences in pixel intensity due to local variations, the mean pixel intensity along this line were measured using the line tool in Fiji and then divided by the mean pixel intensity along this line in control samples. For each genotype, at least 230 cell interfaces from a minimum of five retinae were used for each genotype and matched to define the apical domain of chick neuroepithelial cells. J. Cell Sci. 119, 4293–4304.
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