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The formation of a state on Crete at the beginning of the second millennium BC has usually been considered under the secondary state paradigm. Most explanations rely on the role of conspicuous consumption and emulation mechanisms at a time when Cretan elites were exposed to the developed stratified systems of the east Mediterranean. A careful review of the data, especially those derived from funerary contexts, struggles to identify such dynamics but reveals a varied range of identities being negotiated and redefined simultaneously at the local and regional level. Informed by ethnographic parallels, an alternative model for Crete is proposed in which change is understood as a social phenomenon that involved a wide proportion of the population and brought broad benefits that sustained the adoption and development of the transformed systems. Crete is presented as a rich archaeological example that may also help in rethinking similar processes in other parts of the Mediterranean and further afield.

Introduction: the Cretan challenges

Major changes occurred on the island of Crete at the beginning of the second millennium BC, to the extent that this has been normally considered a state formation process (Figs. 1 & 2; Chapman 2008; Schoep 2010). How this came to be is the subject of a long-standing—and still raging—academic debate, fuelled by the rich archaeological evidence from the island (Cherry 1986; Legarra Herrero 2012; 2014a; Manning 1997; Renfrew 1972; Schoep & Tomkins 2012; Whitelaw 2012).

<Figures 1 & 2 near here>

The current debate on state formation on Crete is structured around the relation of external influences with internal agency (Cherry 2010; Legarra Herrero 2011a; Manning 2008; Parkinson & Galaty 2007; Schoep & Knappett 2004; Watrous 2012). Most of these approaches characterise the developments on the island as a top-down transformation in which elites impelled by internal competition and their efforts to emulate their east Mediterranean counterparts led changes that ended in the formation of states on Crete.

Recent work allows us to explore state formation processes on Crete within a more detailed temporal and geographical framework. Diverging local trajectories hint at differing processes of change and shift the focus towards more diverse internal dynamics of change (Legarra Herrero 2014a; Relaki 2004; Whitelaw 2012). At a more theoretical level, many of the traditional referents used in the explanation of change on Crete, such as the role of exotica or the interaction of elites with the rest of the population, have been the subject of recent reassessments (Kienlin 2012; Robb & Pauketat 2013; Routledge 2014; Stein 1999) that still need to be considered in the archaeological context of the island. There are therefore several reasons to review the mechanisms of change that led to Cretan state formation.

In this changing academic framework, I would argue that it may prove valuable to re-consider the Cretan case as a set of predominantly ‘primary state’ processes. The
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system (Weingarten 1990), even though it may not have been highly centralized or directly comparable with east Mediterranean administrative practices (Schoep 1999).

This package of changes demonstrates a significant departure from the historical trajectory of Crete before the MM IA period. Third-millennium BC Crete is comparable to regions in the west and central Mediterranean, as hierarchization processes on Crete seem to have been part of short-lived ‘boom and bust’ processes (Lagarra Herrero 2012) that were typical in the Mediterranean during the fourth and third millennia BC (Broodbank 2013). It also sets Crete apart from other neighbouring regions such as Cyprus, mainland Greece and southwest Anatolia that did not undergo such major developments until centuries later (Lewthwaite 1983). In this sense, the use of the term ‘state’ provides an indication of the scale of the quantum leap that Cretan societies underwent at the end of the third millennium BC, even when the nature of this ‘state’ may have been unique to Crete.

The secondary state perspective: the ‘prestige’ model on Crete

The sudden changes on the island have usually been considered in relation to the new engagement of island communities with the wider east Mediterranean (e.g. Sherratt 1993; Sherratt & Sherratt 1991) at the beginning of the Middle Bronze Age. In the archaeological record this is identifiable as a new wave of material and ideological connections that appeared on Crete at the end of the third millennium BC coinciding with the other major changes explained above (Cherry 2010; Wiener 2013a).

The way these influences have been linked to the development of states on Crete differs widely. The traditional diffusionist point of view, in which institutions and ideas were transplanted from the Near East and Egypt to the island (e.g. Xanthoudides 1924) and which envisions Crete as a largely passive receiver of social, ideological and political institutions, is still advocated in recent studies (Warren 1995; Watrous 1998; 2012; Weingarten 2005).

A more complex and nuanced view of the interaction between external influences and internal processes is now predominant (Cherry 2010; Manning 2008; Parkinson & Galaty 2007; Schoep 2006; Schoep & Knappett 2004). Most of the views are based on the principles presented in Cherry’s seminal work on peer-polity interaction on Crete (Cherry 1986). He argued that internal competition between several different polities within the island constituted the main dynamic that led to social and political changes. External behaviours, ideas and material were used by Cretan elites as ideological ordnance in their rivalry, helping to accelerate the changes. Cherry’s emphasis on internal dynamics has somehow diluted under other models that include world-system theory (Parkinson & Galaty 2010; Sherratt 1993; Sherratt & Sherratt 1991), post-colonial theory (Damilati & Vavouranakis 2011), as well as ideas about the ideological significance of distance and travel (Broodbank 1993; Schoep 2006), conspicuous consumption (Renfrew 1972) and the value of exotica (Colburn 2008). Current models also borrow heavily from the dual process theory (Blanton et al. 1996; Parkinson & Galaty 2007; Schoep 2006; Schoep & Knappett 2004), particularly as it is increasingly clear that state formation on Crete lacks the leadership ideologies of many of the east Mediterranean states. Using the ‘corporate’ paradigm, it has been suggested that communal ritual, shared group identities and practices better describe the elements of socio-political competition in MM I Crete. Only in later periods would the system have moved towards a more starkly hierarchical society (Parkinson & Galaty 2007; Schoep & Knappett 2004).
Though these models have emphasized the public and communal elements of Cretan state formation, the ultimate reliance on external influences in the explanatory model has eventually handed the actual agency of change to Cretan elites. Elites have been defined as the leaders of larger groups, but these groups have remained largely in the background and elites have become independent social agents. In our efforts to integrate internal and external dynamics, elite groups have been promoted to key figures that channelled the external influences into a new kind of organization on the island. Elites were rapidly able to use off-island connections to augment their own social and political position and to direct changes towards a more differentiated society. Elites would have used new materials arriving from the east Mediterranean to intensify the symbolic aspects of social competition, and in the process, strengthen their own power (Cherry 1986; Driessen 2012; Manning 1994; 2008; Parkinson & Galaty 2010, 41; Schoep 2006; 2012; Wiener 2013a). They would have controlled the arrival of these imports to the island, used exotic material culture and adopted foreign customs to create a distinct identity based on distance and restricted knowledge, and such items would have been used in conspicuous consumption contexts to boost elites’ social position. Elites became increasingly preoccupied with gathering resources that helped them to procure such off-island items, accelerating the extent of their political control and economic administration (Sbonias 1999; Schoep 2006; 2010). Following these emulation and conspicuous consumption dynamics, elites also sponsored the introduction of new techniques to the island that helped them to create exclusive crafted items that matched those of their Near Eastern counterparts (Knappett 1999; Wiener 2013a). The transformative value of exotica and the link with powerful east Mediterranean elites are seen as the main strategies employed by local elites to convince Cretan societies of the legitimacy of increasing inequality and the introduction of new political and social institutions (Manning 2008; Parkinson & Galaty 2007; Schoep 2006).

This set of explanations, which for simplicity will be labelled the ‘prestige model’, in many ways emphasizes the secondary nature of state formation on Crete. Without the east Mediterranean models and influences, local elites would have never been able to establish such a comprehensive range of changes on the island. The model has normally been claimed to be supported by the better-known funerary data. Prestigious items, particularly those with foreign links, have been seen as high-value items that marked high-status individuals on Crete mainly in the increasingly differentiated elite tombs (Cultraro 2001; Watrous 1998). The appearance of examples of monumentality has been seen as further proof of the rising power of the elites, and large funerary complexes such as Chrysolakos at Malia and Tholos B at Archanes-Phourni (Fig. 3) have been presented as elite burial places. In the particular case of Chrysolakos, it has been suggested that the complex may have imitated the architecture of an Egyptian mastaba, reflecting local elite attempts to emulate Egyptian counterparts (Watrous 1994, 729). Such changes in the funerary record would have been accompanied by a stronger individual ethos (Tsipopoulou 2008) as represented by the introduction of individual types of burials on Crete (Maggidis 1998). The large corporate groups of the Early Bronze Age cemeteries were replaced by smaller tombs and a major emphasis on individual identity, paving the way for stronger inequalities (Manning 2008).

<Figure 3 near here>
There are good reasons to revise this prestige model. While the general structure of competition between Cretan communities is well supported by the archaeological record, the characterization of this competition and the way it affected the different social components that formed the Cretan populations has been the subject of less scrutiny (Hamilakis 2002a; Schoep & Knappett 2004). A growing number of archaeological studies are questioning top-down approaches to change and are reconsidering the relative roles of elites and broader social groups in socio-political change (Blanton & Fargher 2008; Carballo et al. 2014; Kienlin 2012). The idea that a few individuals can manipulate large sections of the population through material and ideological means is increasingly seen as difficult to defend, particularly as the expected powerful elites remain ill defined in the archaeological record (Legarra Herrero in press). A reassessment of the relationship of elites with broader social groups is long overdue, requiring that we recognize that most, if not all, of the different elements of a society can be active agents and part of social negotiations. The inclusion of a broader social spectrum in our models therefore impacts the way we approach change. Connections to the wider world become less significant in the investigation of change, and the study of the renegotiation of the rate and balance of power within Cretan populations becomes particularly relevant.

From the material record point of view, the idea that imported material culture was attached to exclusive use, and that it was imbued with high values related to distance and east Mediterranean elite ideologies, has been questioned recently by several authors (Legarra Herrero 2011b; Stein 1999; Wengrow 2010). Off-island materials may have carried fewer imported meanings than normally assumed and therefore have been more open to manipulation by Cretan populations. External materials could have embodied contingent and variable values depending on who used them and on the specific cultural and social contexts in which they were used. This type of material needs therefore to be interpreted through the detailed evaluation of the contexts in which they were consumed.

It is at this juncture that the Cretan context presents its real strength for the study of early complex societies. Not only does it facilitate a debate on explanations of how change unfolded, but it also allows the foundation of such a discussion on a detailed review of an extensively known archaeological record. The higher-resolution knowledge of the funerary record provided by recent studies (Bevan 2007; Legarra Herrero 2011b; 2014a; Phillips 2008; Vavouranakis 2007; 2014) encourages a bottom-up reassessment of the expectations of the ‘prestige’ model. The focus on funerary data allows for the study of relevant social arenas of change on Crete as highlighted by the heavy investment of effort and resources in the Middle Minoan IA (MM IA; Fig. 2) cemeteries.

**Mortuary customs and social differentiation in MM I Crete**

**Cemetery structure**

After a period of major investment in the construction and furnishing of tombs during the EM IIA phase, the Cretan mortuary record seems to have gone relatively quiet in EM IIB (Legarra Herrero 2009). Very few cemeteries seem to have been in use at this time, with abandonment identified in well-understood necropoleis such as Archanes-Phourni (Papadatos 2005) and Gournia (Soles 1992). It seems probable that this low point in cemetery use carried into EM III, but new detailed ceramic sequences seem to indicate that, at some point during this short period, interest in the mortuary domain increased.
again (Brogan 2013; Legarra Herrero 2014a). The creation of new cemeteries, new buildings in existing cemeteries, and the deposition of significant amounts of material inside and outside the tombs, document a major phase of investment in the most well-known cemeteries. During the following MM IA period, the boom in the use of tombs reached its zenith, only to decrease again in the MM II period (1850–1750 BC), with most cemeteries being abandoned by MM III (1750–1675 BC) (Legarra Herrero 2014a).

This revived activity during the later EM III and MM IA periods retained traditional Cretan mortuary customs such as the exclusive use of communal tombs and traditional funerary architecture like the tholos tombs. The MM I funerary deposits in the tombs were subject to the same type of disturbances found in earlier tombs (Trianaphyllou 2009). The items were not associated with particular interments, and even in the tombs in which clay coffins (larnakes) were used with the interments (Vavouranakis 2014), the human remains and grave goods were still found heavily disturbed and mixed together.

This legacy was, however, combined with a completely new way of using the cemeteries. Architecturally, the cemeteries became much more complex: most cemeteries included more tombs but also saw a proliferation of non-funerary buildings and spaces (Legarra Herrero 2014a). The new tombs not only speak of larger populations, but also of certain group identities becoming more important (probably small kinship units, e.g. nuclear families/households) within the community (here defined as the larger group living in the same village or town that share a common identity). Cemeteries seem to show a new configuration of smaller social groups, as illustrated by the more than a dozen tombs constructed in the MM IA period at Archanes-Phourni (Sakellarakis & Sapouna-Sakellaraki 1997). The tholos cemeteries in many cases saw the addition of a second and third tomb, e.g. Platanos (Legarra Herrero 2011b).

The appearance of new tombs was complemented with a new interest in constructing other types of buildings in the cemetery and delimiting communal spaces immediately outside the tombs. These new areas changed the focus of the cemeteries from the tomb and the interred individuals to funeral activities outside the tombs and the participants (Legarra Herrero 2014a). They also seem to indicate different scales of practice in the cemetery. The case of Agia Triada (Fig. 4) shows a series of built complexes related to the tholos that, given their differing arrangements and assemblages, seem to have served diverse practices by different types of groups (Cultraro 2004). The open areas associated with such complexes were often paved and in many cases included benches and altars, such as at Petras (Betancourt 2012) and Gournia (Soles 1992), and seem better designed to host larger groups than the buildings. Deposition in the buildings and open areas is relatively similar, and it is normally constituted by large quantities of ceramic cups and jugs indicative of drinking and/or libation activities (Legarra Herrero 2014a). There is relatively little evidence for food processing and consumption in this specific period; the best-known evidence for food consumption in cemeteries comes from the EM II period (Branigan 2008). In general, the architectural changes created a diverse range of areas in the cemeteries that may indicate more elaborated sets of rites with different types of groups involved in each stage. Funerals comprising several ceremonies would have congregated people regularly in the necropoleis. Later stages of the funeral sequences could have been attended by people from a broader geographical area, as there would have been time for the news to travel and attendance to be organized. More elaborate and regular
mortuary-related activities would have helped to convert the cemeteries into regional meeting points.

The material assemblages inside the tombs also experienced major changes. Tomb deposits are dominated by ceramic jugs and cups, paralleling the ceramic deposits found outside tombs. The range of non-ceramic items in the tombs narrowed from earlier periods: copper, silver and gold items and figurines became rarer. Other items seem to have been more popular; there was a major increase in the number of sealstones found in tombs, as well as stone vessels (Fig. 5). Scarabs, imported Near Eastern sealstones and Egyptianizing stone vessels are found in the tombs, but they constituted a very small part of the burial assemblages (Fig. 5; see below). As in earlier periods, the distribution of non-ceramic materials reached most tombs (Ferrence et al. 2012; Legarra Herrero 2014a).

It is possible to identify a focal point at the centre of many cemeteries, represented by a larger tomb with areas for group gatherings outside the burial chamber and what may seem a slightly richer material assemblage. Such a feature is found in all comprehensively known cemeteries for the period, from relatively small ones such as Mochlos (Tombs IV/V/VI; Soles 1988) to larger ones such as Petras (Tomb 2; Tsipopoulou 2012). In all cases, this complex includes a larger-than-average communal tomb at a central location in the cemetery surrounded by an abnormal number of architectural features such as buildings and/or paved open areas. In several cases these associated spaces contained large concentrations of ceramic cups and jugs (Legarra Herrero 2014a). Apart from these common features, the central complex appears more differentiated at larger cemeteries than at smaller ones. Tholos B at Archanes-Phourni (Sakellarakis & Sapouna-Sakellaraki 1997) and the earliest building at Chrysolakos at Malia (Demargne 1945) had an elaboration of surrounding open spaces and buildings that is not matched elsewhere (Fig. 3). The evidence from the best-dated cases, such as Tholos A at Platanos (Xanthoudides 1924) and Tomb 2 at Petras (Tsipopoulou 2012) indicate that the central tombs contained a richer material assemblage than the rest of the cemetery. As with the architecture, the differentiation of the central complexes was probably starker in certain cemeteries. Agia Triada Tholos A and Platanos Tholos A produced a larger amount of gold items than other well-preserved tombs (Legarra Herrero 2014a). This tends to be accompanied by unusual concentrations of items outside the tombs; in the case of Platanos, the annexe to Tholos A contained not only a large ceramic deposit but also hundreds of stone vessels (Fig. 6; Xanthoudides 1924).

The role of foreign materials
Major significance has been bestowed upon objects with foreign links in the MM IA period in the prestige model. It was at the very end of the third millennium BC when a significant number of east Mediterranean items and influences could be identified in the mortuary record of Crete for the first time (Cherry 2010; Phillips 2008). These took the form of actual imports, imitations of foreign items, local items with iconographic resemblances to other cultures and materials locally made but using imported technologies (Warren 1995; Weingarten 2005; Wiener 2013a). It has been suggested
that new iconographic elements in Minoan seals at this time, such as lions and monkeys, reflected Egyptian and Near Eastern influences (Warren 2005). More secure evidence of contact comes from the deposition of cylinder seals, scarabs and stone vessels imitating Egyptian shapes in the MM IA cemeteries. The presence of such items in tombs has normally been assumed to represent goods deposited with the high-prestige interments of an emerging elite (Colburn 2008; Manning 2008), overlooking some of the contextual information available. A detailed examination of the deposition patterns and contextual evidence of items with off-island links indicates a more nuanced and multilayered social use of these items than is normally recognized (Legarra Herrero 2011a; Phillips 2008; Wengrow 2010).

Imitations of Egyptian stone vessels are found in those contexts where significant numbers of local stone vessels are found, and as far as the limited evidence allows, it is possible to propose that their deposition did not follow a different logic to that of the local vessels in the tombs (Legarra Herrero 2011a). It has been suggested that it is this concentration of stone vessels in specific tombs, rather than that of Egyptian imitations, that needs explanation (Legarra Herrero 2014a). In the case of Platanos, the huge deposition of stone vases outside the tombs suggests a very particular group dynamic in this cemetery, in which a rich tomb was matched by a lavish group ritual large-scale consumption of high-labour goods. The presence of imitations of Egyptian vessels mixed in this assemblage seems to have been a less significant feature.

Scarabs and scaraboid seals are widely distributed in the funerary record (Phillips 2008). There is no discernible pattern in the deposition of scarabs in terms of larger versus smaller cemeteries, apart from the general fact that, as in the case of stone vessels, the deposition of scarabs parallels concentrations of sealstones (Legarra Herrero 2011a). There is a concentration of scarabs in quite unremarkable tombs along the south central coast (Pini 2000), and it is possible that they were used mainly to mark the distinct identity of the communities in the region. As seals started to be used in a regional competition dynamic (Sbonias 1999), scarabs may have been chosen by certain communities to communicate their identity. The fact that several imported scarabs were inscribed with Cretan motifs reinforces the idea that the main role of scarabs was to convey local identities, along with other possible ideas of prestige.

The narrow focus of study on these imported materials, overlooking their associations, has hindered the recognition of broader patterns in the deposition of material assemblages in the tombs, namely a major increase in sealstones and stone vessels in tombs, as well as the use of such items in communal activities outside the tomb chambers. The off-island connections of certain sealstones and stone vessels may express nuances within this pattern, but these finer points remain difficult to define (Wengrow 2010). It seems clear that this material was not mainly related to elite identities as is sometimes assumed, but was a component in the complex set of multi-level relationships that were enacted and materialized at the cemeteries.

Communal and individual identities in Prepalatial Crete
The funerary record of MM I Crete confirms the long acknowledged fact that there was a distinct lack of clear representations of individual leadership in the Minoan record (Dabney 1995). The royal tomb at Isopata at Knossos in the LM II period (c. 1450 BC) is the earliest secure example (pending the forthcoming publication of the Temple Tomb) of a monumental tomb probably intended for the use of one or a small number of individuals (Preston 2007). Novelties in the MM IA mortuary record stressed different types of communality, from the commingled remains in each tomb to the large
commensality rituals at the cemeteries. The introduction of burial containers in the MM I funerary record seems to have formed part of the implementation of new concepts of communality in Cretan tombs (Hamilakis 2014; Legarra Herrero 2014a; Vavouranakis 2014), rather than a sign of a growing individual ethos (Manning 2008; Tsipopoulou 2008). By the MM IA period this use of communal burials had dominated the mortuary behaviour of the island almost exclusively for approximately a millennium (Fig. 1). New MM I cemeteries, such as Apesokari A (Flouda 2013), were based on the construction of a tholos tomb, a form of architecture kept virtually unchanged for 1000 years. This is a rare case in Mediterranean prehistory; there are extremely few examples in the Mediterranean in which individual internments did not form a significant part of the funerary customs at some point in the fourth and third millennia BC. Despite Crete’s outward-looking history, individual burial customs from other parts of the Aegean were included only peripherally in the island’s mortuary repertoire during the EM I period (Betancourt 2008; Galanaki 2006). Changes in the MM I period introduced important innovations in the way in which death was approached and the persistent maintenance of communal burial by Cretan societies can only be seen as a conscious choice that represents a fundamental element of the way they understood social interaction and organization.

The dialectic nature of the Cretan mortuary record

This review of the data cannot identify a single instance where the ‘prestige’ model’s expectations of high-value materials in wealthy exclusive burials can be clearly identified in the archaeological record. This is not to say that there were no dynamics of competition and differentiation; however, the depositional practices related to the collective nature of the tombs demonstrate the importance of group identities in the tombs and suggest that the cemeteries present a fluid consideration of different concepts of communal and individual identities at interlocking levels. Social differentiation at the local and regional levels occurred at the same time that community-wide identities were strengthened. The role of materially elusive leading figures cannot be ignored, but these individuals are difficult to recognize in the tombs, let alone to characterise.

The sudden innovations in cemeteries in MM IA may be interpreted as a period of rapid innovation and negotiation within each community, with diverse groups competing through the building of the tombs, funerary practices and associated performances. Within this broad dynamic of local re-configurations of power, larger cemeteries display intra-community differentiation by scaling up common patterns in the construction of tombs and the deposition of materials: i.e. large cemeteries had more monumental central tombs that contained larger numbers of certain materials. The larger tombs do not present a break from the mortuary practices and behaviours typical from most Cretan cemeteries, just a modification in scale. But the larger cemeteries should not be seen solely as a product of more differentiated communities. The communal character of the tombs was maintained, probably indicating that there were still strong dynamics of integration working at the community level. Central tombs at Archanes-Phourni or Malia were not unique only because of their assemblages, but also because they attracted more elaborate furnishing of communal spaces around them. The significance of the central complex seems therefore also to have been associated with the whole community. The more pronounced central complexes identified in the record were the ones that also contained the more distinctive displays
of community-wide activities. This presents an interesting dialectic in terms of the relationship between differentiation within smaller kinship groups in the cemetery and integrative dynamics at community, and potentially inter-community, level. It implies that there was a rebalance between different types of affiliations and identities, particularly between those related to the household and close kinship links and those concerning the community and its place in a particular geographical locale. The negotiation of these different types of identities would have affected fundamental aspects of the organization of the group, such as political representation, land ownerships and rights of exploitation. It also indicates differences in the way communities approached changes, with certain communities such as Malia and Archanes probably leading the experimentation due to the pressures of their larger population.

To understand how Minoan societies managed to resolve these contradictions successfully and integrate them into a working system, both dynamics need to be understood in a positive feedback relationship. Several authors have recognized that, in the MM I period community, identities seem to have emphasized their position in the landscape, as regional competition appears more marked in the archaeological record (Haggis 1999; Sbonias 1999). The large number of seals found in the tombs in this period can be organized regionally by types and motifs and shows a new interest in projecting community identities in what appear to be expanding exchange systems across large parts of the island (Sbonias 1999). Monumental architecture and lavish funerals could have been means to communicate the strength of a community to their neighbours, particularly as long-winded funerals may have attracted individuals from outside the settlement. New communal sanctuaries at the peaks of some mountains became popular during the MM I period (Nowicki 1994) and they indicate a clear interest in marking the presence of a community in the geo-social landscape of a region. Also during the EM III/MM I periods, the early palaces appear to have been used to host significant gatherings (Driessen 2002; Vansteenhuyse 2002). Together with the new use of cemeteries, there was a whole range of social arenas that were created to be used to negotiate the intricate combination of differentiation and integration dynamics at various political and spatial scales. New practices would have allowed for other types of negotiation to take place that the tradition-laden cemeteries struggled to cover. The other side of the coin for this new era of competition was the more marked defensive character of the settlements in the period (Wiener 2013b), indicating possible violent clashes between communities.

There is also a tension between the common nature of the changes occurring in most cemeteries and individual trajectories for each community. As has been demonstrated in settlement analysis, some particularly large communities, such as Knossos and Malia, were probably developing more rapidly, producing new forms of political, social and economic organization (Whitelaw 2004, 2012). The cases of Platanos, Archanes-Phourni may have constituted similar communities pioneering complex socio-political organization. Some of these innovating dynamic communities, such as Archanes or Agia Triada, could have seen their efforts truncated early. Variability in the burial record suggests contingent histories of change for each community and complex spatial and temporal patterns that are difficult to trace archaeologically. One should be careful not to mistake the archaeological limitations in chronological resolution with a homogeneous process of change across the island. The location of the larger cemeteries in central Crete also indicates that changes may have occurred first in this part of the island, a large, agriculturally rich and well inter-
connected region that may have fomented competition. This raises interesting questions about the shared burial features across the island’s cemeteries: which elements of the shared behaviour were the result of smaller communities trying to catch up with what was happening in the larger sites and which elements indicate a prior common socio-cultural foundation that helped less dynamic communities to adopt the changes rapidly?

**Searching for new theoretical frameworks: a social approach to state formation**

Without denying external influences, the Cretan funerary record mainly reflects concerns about the development and negotiation of new relationships within the community and between communities. As they can be traced through the mortuary record, the transformative dynamics were characterized by the balancing of strong ideas of community and collectivity with internal competition and hierarchization in what it seem strong local and regional competitive environments (cf. Hamilakis 2002a; Parkinson & Galaty 2007; Schoep & Knappett 2004). What the data summary does not provide is evidence that fits the explanation of change embodied in the ‘prestige’ model; instead of one-way, top-down processes, it identifies a conjunction of various power relationships working simultaneously. Mechanisms of internal change, such as individualized conspicuous consumption and the symbolic role of exotica in creating differentiation, cannot be easily recognized in the evidence presented. This raises the question of how communal practice and competition interacted with processes of internal differentiation, and how to explain it by taking into consideration the agency of a wider range of sectors in society. It also stresses the presence of strong integrative ties that counterbalanced the identified processes of social competition. How were these contradictions resolved? How did different groups that competed for power within a settlement, at the same time cooperate to strengthen community identities at regional competitive scales? How did monumental cemeteries, shared practices and the materialization of collective identities through valuable material and consumption practices resolve these paradoxes to make change viable in the medium term on Crete as opposed to other regions of the Aegean?

It is not difficult to find theoretical referents that echo the Cretan evidence. Ethnographers such as P. Roscoe and P. Wiessner in New Guinea (Roscoe 2000a,b; 2012; Wiessner 2002; 2009) have reassessed the way power is negotiated in societies with incipient hierarchization. These studies provide a basis to reinterpret the idea of early complexity in archaeology by recognizing the agency of a broader social spectrum and by placing a much stronger focus on negotiation (Carballo et al. 2014; Crumley 1995; Kienlin 2012). In many ways, they provide a valuable update of the ethnographic basis on which many of the ideas of early state formation in the Aegean rely (see also Wolpert 2004; Wright 2004).

Roscoe and Wiessner have stressed that the New Guinea ethnographic data provide a much more fluid picture of mid-range social organization than traditionally understood in the Aegean, characterized by ever-present negotiation between powerful individuals and the social groups they represent. Big-men and/or chiefs (and the line between them is considered as increasingly blurred; Roscoe 2000a) are the heads of particular groups and there is a constant tension between the wants and needs of these individuals and the judgement on their decisions by the group they represent (Roscoe 2000b; Wiessner 2002; see also Hayden & Villeneuve 2010). The importance of egoist behaviour and personal benefits as factors in leaders’ decisions cannot be overlooked (Hayden & Villeneuve 2010), but there is a growing understanding of early complexity
as a multi-layered negotiation of vertical and horizontal dynamics between a variety of social actors (Blanton & Fargher 2008; Carballo et al. 2014; Crumley 1995; Robb & Pauketat 2013; Schortman 2014). This picture is consistent with the dynamic socio-political history of EBA Crete (Legarra Herrero 2012; Whitelaw 2012). The site-specific boom-and-bust trajectories that shaped the archaeological record of Early Bronze Age Crete may reflect a contingent patchwork of dynamic social re-configurations that never had the potential to develop into a stable system. This stresses further the dramatically different nature of the changes in the MM IA period. This was a moment in which novel power relationships managed for the first time to gain traction in a few sites, forming the foundations for further developments in the following periods (Schoep 2010; Whitelaw 2012). Why now and not before?

Ethnographic work can also be used to shed light on the successful aspects of the MM IA changes. Collectives and groups are being increasingly recognized as active and powerful actors that keep leaders under continuous assessment (Roscoe 2000a,b) and can also promote change (Carballo 2012; Saitta 2007). Leaders’ acquired or inherited status does not guarantee automatic support and they are required constantly to reinforce the social and economic basis on which their power is built (Roscoe 2000b). Within the range of disparate reasons on which decisions are made, the provision of benefits to the group(s) to which the leader belongs appears as a particularly important motivation (Roscoe 2000b). The nature of the benefits may refer to ideological or material benefits (or both), such as feasts that at the same time bring both types of benefits to the group (Hayden 2001). As we have seen, various new MM IA social arenas on Crete may have provided locales for such activities (MacDonald & Knappett 2007).

Another main benefit that groups seek and leaders must successfully provide is security, which includes the waging of war. The fact that funerary customs in MM IA seem to be more homogeneous around the island than ever before indicates that communities were more engaged in larger networks of interaction and competition that could also have increased the use of violence as a valid way to deal with some of the challenges of the new interconnectivity. This may have become more acute as some communities became stronger and more dominant than others, leaving smaller communities more vulnerable. The small MM IA settlements of Chamaizi (Lenuzza 2011) and Trypiti (Vasilakis 2010) were located in defensive positions and suggest that violence was a concern for some communities (Wiener 2013b). The guarantee of security relies heavily on numbers: the larger the group, the better its chances to prevail in a conflict. The effort devoted to constructing community identities and potentially wider alliances in the cemeteries may be seen also as a response to the increased threat of violence, and one of the aims of the new practices around the tombs was to increase the group’s strength. The integrative dynamics would be particularly important and challenging in larger communities; the significant number of new tombs in the larger cemeteries represents an increase in distinct burial groups that would have put more pressure on the efforts of holding the community together. These larger communities were the ones that would have had to find innovative ways to bind together the larger and more diverse population, ending in solutions that are typical from state organization (e.g. administrative practices). The mere construction of larger cemeteries could have been a meaningful act that aimed to organize a work-force for a shared goal; this would have encouraged new links within the community and it could explain why most cemeteries in the MM IA period seemed to undergo constant modifications. The cult activities surrounding the multi-stage funerals would also have built and reinforced social relationships, probably of a different nature than those
activated during the construction of the individual tombs. These recurrent events would have helped to convert communities of practice into meaningful identities. The benefits of such communal efforts would have been immediately understandable for the people involved. At a basic level, a large and powerful community could have used violence to their advantage, or at least the threat of it could have helped its members to engage advantageously in inter-community social, economic and political relationships. The monumental architecture and the impressive funerary rites would have been an effective means to send messages about the powerful nature of a community to a broader regional audience (Bird & Smith 2005; Roscoe 2000a).

The Cretan Prepalatial cemetery was an arena in which power negotiations at different but linked scales could be enacted simultaneously. The necropolis became a multifaceted space that allowed the same individuals to achieve a range of social, economic and ideological goals (reproduction, subsistence, security) through constructing and renewing different affiliations (Roscoe 2000b), and to resolve conflicts between these. Given the strong traditional position of cemeteries in Cretan societies, the necropolis may have been well suited to negotiate the different concerns (social status, economic sustainability, security ...) of the diverse interested parties (leaders, nuclear families, larger kinship groups, communities ...). The cemetery interlinked the different scale dynamics in a uniquely powerful context by embedding these practices within a strong ideological and emotional setting: a leader’s prestige linked to the strong display in a funeral of the group he represented; a larger tomb indicated the power of a particular group within a community, but it also elevated the prestige of the community that hosted that group. Palaces and peak sanctuaries may soon have followed as additional arenas; given their novel character, these were more suitable for new types of interactions and messages connected at larger spatial and social scales (Wiessner 2002).

This last point brings us back to the about primary and secondary state formation. As top-down processes have been demonstrated to be not the only dynamic taking place, the role of external influences has been difficult to identify as decisive in socio-political negotiations. Instead, the record shows specifically Cretan practices of material consumption and social behaviour that lead to questions about the internal negotiations that take place at local and regional scales: Why did changes in some areas of the island achieve a socially critical mass of support? Why was change seen as beneficial by a wide enough group of individuals to secure its long-term adoption? What kind of negotiations took place? How was that change enacted in a manner that was viable in the medium term? Why were some Cretan communities compelled to change?

For this last question, there are no easy answers; there are always reasons for situations to change, and human culture is never in a state of stasis: a time of bonanza may have brought new demographic pressure on the island after the possible 2200 BC climatic event (Brogan 2013; Wiener 2013b); a more internally and externally connected island with the introduction of the donkey and better sailing techniques (Brodie 2008; Whitelaw et al. 1997) may have created a far more regionally inter-connected economy and put extra pressure on resources; it is very possible that the situation of the island in a new world of exchange with the east Mediterranean brought new interests and benefits that could be exploited (Legarra Herrero 2011a; 2014b). The focus on internal processes of change does not exclude external factors having a role in the transformations, but rebalances the investigation by focusing on the nature of the contexts in which external influences appeared.
Conclusions: how we explain change and why Crete matters

The transformation of Crete into a state society may be mainly understood through mechanisms that integrate social groups through communal practices and a strong collective ethos. This integration seems to have been constructed mainly at the community level, with other strategies pulling in different directions at the same time and at other scales. By MM IA, there was a clearer sense that Crete had become a more connected island, with dynamics working at regional levels now becoming more central to larger social and spatial groups. The benefits of building stronger communities and supporting leading figures may have become more relevant to a wider sector of the Cretan social spectrum. Certain sites may have developed rapidly to become regionally dominant, thereby forcing many other communities to adapt to the new situation. Supporting this process, ideologies may have reinforced the ties that bound the emerging socio-political units against other identities that had a more centrifugal tendency.

Under this general picture, the realities of the process were more complex, chaotic and contingent, and therefore difficult to pinpoint. There would have been winners and losers; some communities would have changed rapidly, while others would have stalled or collapsed, and marginal areas on Crete would never really have engaged in such general trends. There would also have been differences in the timing, with some sites such as Knossos and Malia probably being at the forefront of change, working under slightly different dynamics from the smaller communities deciding whether to follow or reject their example. There are also problems with the definition of the social practices that drove change. Commensality and feasting are widely recognized in the archaeological record (Day & Wilson 2004; Hamilakis 1998) but their characterization in the specific MM I period is poor (Branigan 2008; Girella 2007). How many people were involved? How often (MacDonald & Knappett 2007)? Was negotiation mainly enacted by men? Heads of families? A wider group of adults? What was the role of women? Do the later peak sanctuaries and palaces indicate a broader social participation? These are questions that need to be investigated to gain a better understanding of how change might have unfolded in detail.

Crete matters because it is complicated. Crete is a rare archaeological example with a rich corpus of data that allows us to build larger patterns, but also recognize variability through detailed case-studies at the better-documented sites. A careful look at the evidence reveals a situation that is not easy to characterize, and that ill fits traditional expectations typically assumed in secondary state paradigms of external influences helping elites to mark their exclusive status and manipulate power to their benefit. What Crete exemplifies well is the complex set of questions that may help us to identify the convergence of both conflicting and reinforcing dynamics that created the archaeological record. From this set of questions, two emerge as particularly important. First, early complexity is a process involving many contradictory aims and goals; for tentative changes to congeal into a stable system they needed a wide social support. Once processes of elite-led change have been recognized as only a component of the process, a much more intriguing picture emerges, with many more agents taking part. Second, the discovery of a much more varied cast of actors moves the focus of the explanation of change to a consideration of the internal social dynamics. Change is generated through local decisions that were not the exclusive privilege of the individuals at the top of society but also the result of open social negotiations that affected every member of a community. Change was the broadly accepted resolution of
social negotiation. If it were not broadly or at least strategically supported, it would not have occurred or lasted long. Since changes affected different social actors in different ways, the whole range of social identities must have been negotiated in a variety of social arenas that particularly engaged different social sectors and catered to different social needs. If the onus of understanding change is placed on how entire populations cope and adapt to new conditions, the explanation of early complexity becomes intrinsically endogenous, in which external influences are just elements among the factors that led to local decisions. Crete then leaves us with the question: Could it be more appropriate to consider every instance of socio-political change mainly as a primary process?
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Figure 1. Sites mentioned in the article.

Figure 2. Chronological framework.

Figure 3. Examples of Large MM I cemeteries: a) Mallia cemetery in MM IA; b) Chrysolakos complex in MM IA; c) Archanes-Phourni cemetery in MM IA; d) Tholos B complex in MM I (with LM modifications).

Figure 4. Agia Triada MM IA cemetery.

Figure 5. Platanos MM IA cemetery. (After Branigan 1970, 12, fig. 2.)

Figure 6. Seals and stone vessel assemblages in MM I tombs: a) seals and stone vessels in Cretan tombs; b) stone vessels and Egyptian imitations in MM I tombs; c) seals and scarabs in MM I tombs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years BC</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Burial record</th>
<th>Other developments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2900</td>
<td>Early Minoan I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600</td>
<td>Early Minoan IIA</td>
<td>Prepalatial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300</td>
<td>Early Minoan IIB</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>Early Minoan III</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Middle Minoan IA</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
<td>First evidence of Egyptian and Near Eastern material regularly imported to Crete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Middle Minoan IB</td>
<td>Protopalatial</td>
<td></td>
<td>First palaces constructed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800</td>
<td>Middle Minoan II</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>