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DIR-visible grey matter lesions and atrophy in multiple sclerosis: partners in crime?
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ABSTRACT

Background The extent and clinical relevance of grey matter (GM) pathology in multiple sclerosis (MS) are increasingly recognised. GM pathology may present as focal lesions, which can be visualised using double inversion recovery (DIR) MRI, or as diffuse pathology, which can manifest as atrophy. It is, however, unclear whether the diffuse atrophy centres on focal lesions. This study aimed to determine if GM lesions and GM atrophy colocalise, and to assess their independent relationship with motor and cognitive deficits in MS.

Methods Eighty people with MS and 30 healthy controls underwent brain volumetric T1-weighted and DIR MRI at 3 T, and had a comprehensive neurological and cognitive assessment. Probability mapping of GM lesions marked on the DIR scans and voxel-based morphometry (assessing GM atrophy) were carried out. The associations of GM lesion load and GM volume with clinical scores were tested.

Results DIR-visible GM lesions were most commonly found in the right cerebellum and most apparent in patients with primary progressive MS. Deep GM structures appeared largely free from lesions, but showed considerable atrophy, particularly in the thalamus, caudate, pallidum and putamen, and this was most apparent in secondary progressive patients with MS. Very little co-localisation of GM atrophy and lesions was seen, and this was generally confined to the cerebellum and postcentral gyrus. In both regions, GM lesions and volume independently correlated with physical disability and cognitive performance.

Conclusions DIR-detectable GM lesions and GM atrophy do not significantly overlap in the brain but, when they do, they independently contribute to clinical disability.

INTRODUCTION

Grey matter (GM) pathology has emerged as a significant and clinically relevant component of multiple sclerosis (MS). Advances in MRI technology have allowed the assessment of GM pathology in MS in vivo. Both GM volume loss (atrophy) and GM lesions occur in MS, and both correlate with neurological and cognitive deficits.1 However, a key question is whether they share a common pathogenic mechanism, in particular whether lesions are the cause of atrophy or are caused by an independent process that contributes to clinical outcomes.

Histopathological studies have identified extensive cortical demyelination in people with MS and in those with progressive disease, GM lesion volume may exceed that of WM lesions.2 However, it has proven difficult to detect in vivo GM lesions using conventional MRI techniques. Only a small percentage of GM lesions are identified on T2-weighted (<10%) and on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) scans.3 The development of double inversion recovery (DIR) MRI has nearly doubled the detection of GM lesions.4 DIR studies demonstrate that GM lesions are spread throughout the cortex, appear early in the course of the disease and accumulate over time.5,6

Deep and cortical GM atrophy is now well-recognised in MS and appears to accelerate as patients with MS enter a progressive phase of the disease.7 GM atrophy is thought to mark irreversible tissue loss and it is likely to reflect a combination of neuronal morphological changes and loss, and glial abnormalities.8 Previous in vivo work provides insight into the mechanisms of GM injury in MS. Two studies have assessed the relationship between GM atrophy and WM injury, and these showed that lesions in connecting WM tracts are associated with deep GM atrophy.8 Lesions could directly cause localised atrophy, as suggested by pathological studies showing axonal transection and loss in cortical lesions.9 There has been little histopathological work examining the co-localisation of cortical atrophy and demyelination, but in the only study we are aware of, local cortical thickness did not correlate with demyelination.10 However, fixation can affect cortical thickness (and fixation time may be correlated with it11), and so it is possible that this may have obscured an association. As such, it is preferable to look for associations in fresh tissue samples or, better still, in vivo.

This study sought to clarify the spatial overlap between GM atrophy and GM lesions, as well as their independent relationship with cognitive and physical disability, in a large cohort of patients with MS and in different MS subtypes.

METHODS

We recruited 80 people who fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: diagnosis of clinically definite MS,10 absence of a relapse or use of corticosteroids within the preceding 4 weeks; age <65 years; and no other neurological conditions which could have influenced the pattern of GM atrophy. Thirty
healthy volunteers with no known neurological disease were also studied. Patients were consecutively recruited from the National Hospital’s specialist MS clinics. Status of disease modifying therapy (DMT), antidepressant for either depression or neuropathic pain, and benzodiazepine for spasm or insomnia were recorded. All participants gave written informed consent.

Clinical status was assessed using the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS)13 from the Adult Memory and Information Processing Battery16 study-specific T1-weighted images were averaged over subjects to form a line reduces the adverse effect of disease-associated brain transformation, moving it into MNI template space. This pip- tiated Lie algebra (DARTEL) template,19 generated from all sub-

Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space was achieved via a tox lesion-related GM tissue segmentations. To make use of MNI ‘s T1-weighted MNI-space template. When spatially

Co-localisation of GM atrophy and lesions
Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and GM lesion probability mapping (LPM) analyses were carried out in SPM8. Two types of analyses were carried out: voxel-wise comparisons and region of interest (ROI) analyses. For voxel-wise VBM comparison between groups, we used a significance level of 0.05 (family wise error (FWE) corrected). For voxel-wise LPM, we used a significance level of 0.001 (uncorrected). This threshold was used as no lesion clustering was found at 0.05 (FWE corrected). In VBM and LPM comparisons, people with MS were compared to healthy controls to assess areas significantly more affected in people with MS.

To determine co-localisation of volume loss and DIR-visible GM lesions, permutation tests were run using Randomise22 implemented in FSL (FMRIB’s software library, http://www. fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). For each of the MS groups, the following regression model was tested per voxel (ie, with LPM as a voxel-wise covariate; sometimes known as biological parametric mapping24):

\[
\text{VBM} = \text{LPM} \times B_1 + \text{age} \times B_2 + \text{sex} \times B_3 + \text{total intracranial volume} \times B_4 + \text{intercept}
\]

Total intracranial volume (ICV) was estimated by summing the thresholded GM, white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid volumes using the 'get_totals' function in SPM8.

FSL Randomise uses a non-parametric permutation-testing procedure that derives an empirical null distribution without making assumptions about the normality of the data. The tests were performed in an inclusive GM mask (the average of the GM segments over subjects, thresholded at 0.3), using a cluster-forming threshold, and an uncorrected cluster-extent-based signifi-

The second analysis employed an ROI approach. ROIs involved in tasks assessing clinical and cognitive functioning in MS24 25 were chosen a priori. These regions were the bilateral cerebellum, medial temporal lobe, postcentral gyrus, precen


gyrus, insula, prefrontal cortex and thalamus (figure 1). Masks
for these regions were created by using FreeSurfer to automatically segment the MNI-space cohort-specific T1-weighted template.26 27 For each GM ROI and in each patient, the total GM lesion volume and the GM volume were extracted from the VBM and LPM images, and co-localisation between atrophy and lesion load was assessed using linear regression analyses, correcting for age, sex, and ICV.

**Associations of disability with GM lesion load and GM atrophy**

To explore the associations of DIR-visible GM lesion load and GM atrophy with clinical measures (EDSS, PASAT, 9HPT, 25TWT, executive function and memory function), voxel-wise and ROI analyses were carried out. The first analysis, based on a voxel-wise factorial design, was conducted in SPM8, including age, sex and ICV, and using VBM or LPM values. In all voxel-wise comparisons, a cluster threshold of five voxels was applied.

Linear regression models used EDSS, PASAT, 9HPT, 25TWT, executive function and memory function as the dependent variables; GM lesion load and GM volume extracted from the ROIs were used as the independent variables. Age, sex and ICV were also added to the model as additional covariates. SPSS (V21.0, Armonk, New York, USA: IBM Corp) was used to conduct this analysis. Differences between subtypes in scores, volume loss and lesion load were analysed using unpaired t tests (for VBM values) or non-parametric tests (for lesion-loads since they were non-normally distributed). When both GM lesion load and GM volume were analysed using unpaired t tests (for VBM values) or non-parametric tests (for lesion-loads since they were non-normally distributed). When both GM lesion load and GM volume were associated with clinical performance, a linear regression model including lesion load, total GM volume, age and sex was run to determine the independent contribution of each to the outcome of interest. Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to assess normality of residuals of the linear regression analyses and unpaired t tests.

**RESULTS**

**Demographics and clinical performance**

Thirty of the MS group had relapsing remitting MS (RRMS), 25 had PPMS, and 25 had SPMS. Demographics are shown in table 1.

All MS subgroups differed significantly in their EDSS scores (all p<0.05), with SPMS having a higher EDSS than patients with PPMS, who in turn had a higher EDSS than patients with RRMS (see table 1). All patient groups had significantly higher levels of depression than controls (all p<0.05), but no significant difference was found between subgroups. Anxiety levels were comparable between patients and controls. People with MS performed worse than healthy controls in all clinical domains. Patients had poorer executive functioning and memory function than healthy controls (all p<0.01). Memory functioning was best in patients with RRMS, followed by patients with PPMS, who in turn performed better than the SPMS subgroup (p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively). When correcting for depression on clinical functioning, except for the subgroup comparison of patients with SPMS with PPMS on memory function, all group comparisons remained significant on all domains.

**GM atrophy**

People with MS showed significant GM atrophy compared to controls (figure 2A), predominantly in deep GM structures (thalamus, pallidum, putamen and caudate) in addition to a few small regions in the frontal (0.09 cm³), insular (0.06 cm³) and temporal lobes (0.12 cm³). Similarly, in the a priori defined ROIs (ie, cerebellum, medial temporal lobe, precentral gyrus, precentral gyrus, insula, prefrontal cortex and thalamus, figure 1), mean GM volume was significantly smaller in patients than controls in the thalamus (p<0.001) and insula (p<0.05). Subgroup analyses, which showed that the most extensive atrophy in the deep GM regions and cortical areas was seen in SPMS, are reported in the online supplementary material.

**GM lesions**

In patients, 1476 DIR visible lesions were found throughout the GM (neocortex: 1276, cerebellum: 154, deep GM: 46), with a mean total lesion volume of 1.20 cm³ (SD=0.92 cm³). Fourteen GM lesions were identified in healthy controls (in 4 participants).

At a threshold of p<0.001, patients had significantly higher GM lesion probability only in the right cerebellar hemisphere when compared with healthy controls (0.07 cm³, tmax=3.37; figure 2B). No deep GM structures showed a significantly increased lesion probability at this threshold or at a lower threshold (p<0.01, uncorrected). However, at this lower threshold, additional cortical areas showed increased lesion probability, notably the right precentral and postcentral gyri, bilateral supplementary motor area, and bilateral temporal lobes (data not shown). People with MS had significantly more lesions in every ROI than healthy volunteers (all p<0.001). Subgroup analyses, which showed that PPMS and SPMS had regions of higher GM lesion probability than healthy controls, are detailed in the online supplementary material.

**Co-localisation of GM atrophy and lesions**

In the whole MS group, voxel-wise analyses showed that there was little co-localisation of GM atrophy and lesions. Overall the volume of co-localisation was much smaller (less than 1 cm³) when compared with total brain GM volumes (>500 cm³). Areas that showed a significant association between a higher probability of a voxel being lesional and smaller GM volume were scattered throughout the brain, particularly in the cerebellum (figure 2C). ROI analyses found that increased GM lesion load was significantly associated with reduced GM volume.

---

**Figure 1** A priori defined regions of interest. A priori defined regions that were used in the present study: cerebellum, insula, precentral gyrus, postcentral gyrus, prefrontal cortex, medial temporal lobe and thalamus. Regions are overlaid on the cohort-specific MNI-space template (x=−43, y=3, z=−15).
within the cerebellum (B=−17.76, p<0.001) and postcentral gyrus (B=−4.77, p=0.037). The greatest extent of co-localisation was found in the PPMS cohort, while no co-localisation was seen in any group in the deep GM regions (see online supplementary material for more details on the subgroup analyses).

**Associations of GM atrophy and lesion load with clinical status**

Voxel-wise models at p<0.05 (FWE corrected) in people with MS revealed an association between lower executive function scores and increased left putamen volume (0.10 cm³), which was the only significant voxel-wise association of volume loss with any clinical metric. Furthermore, at p<0.001 (uncorrected), increased lesion probability in the cerebellum in particular was associated with lower performance in executive function, TWT speed and PASAT (table 2).

ROI analyses showed that cerebellar volume loss and increased GM lesion volume were associated with poorer functioning in all cognitive domains tested (table 3). In addition, lesions and volume loss within the postcentral gyrus were associated with cognitive performance and physical disability in almost all domains tested (ie, executive function, memory function, TWT speed, PASAT, 9HPT). Overall, lower functional scores were only weakly linked to GM lesion or atrophy clusters.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study assessed the distributions of DIR-visible GM lesions and GM atrophy in patients with MS, aiming to determine if they co-localise and if they both contribute to clinical outcomes. Co-localisation was at best modest, with the majority of atrophy occurring in regions that showed few GM lesions. Of the GM regions found to be consistently atrophic or to contain lesions, the cerebellum and postcentral gyrus showed associations between both types of pathology, and physical and cognitive function.

Deep GM volume was reduced in patients compared to controls. This is in line with previous findings. More limited cortical atrophy was also seen in regions consistent with past literature, including the right lateral prefrontal cortex, the left temporal and prefrontal cortex, and the bilateral superior and medial frontal gyrus and orbitofrontal regions. In addition, small areas of cortical atrophy were seen in the frontal, insular and temporal lobes, as reported previously. The most extensive atrophy was seen in SPMS and a feature common to all subtypes was thalamic atrophy, which was particularly extensive in the SPMS group; this is in line with previous findings.

GM lesions were found throughout the cerebral and cerebellar cortex, particularly in the right cerebellum, but rarely in the deep GM structures. Co-localisation between GM atrophy and GM lesions was observed only when lower statistical thresholds were used, and then too mainly in the cortical regions. It was
rarely seen in the deep structures, which showed atrophy. Work by Wegner et al. has shown pathological changes within cortical lesions and to a lesser degree, in non-lesional cortex, but did not find an association between demyelination and cortical thickness, suggesting that demyelination per se is not directly responsible for cortical atrophy. Our findings are in agreement with this and we, therefore, suggest with caveats (see the discussion of the limitations of this work below) that GM atrophy and DIR-visible GM lesion are often not directly linked. This view is supported by recent findings that cortical MTR abnormalities, which is heavily influenced by demyelination, rarely co-localises with atrophy in MS, further suggesting differences in pathogenic mechanisms.

Previous papers have demonstrated that the topographic distribution of GM lesions is rather similar between patient subtypes, and that PPSM and RRMS share more similarities than differences in terms of GM lesion number, volume and topographic distribution. Additionally, there are differences in GM atrophy between subtypes of MS which involve not only a selective involvement of brain regions but also an increased extent of atrophy in common regions, such as the thalamus, in the progressive phase of MS. We confirmed these findings in this paper and therefore, combined all the phenotypes to investigate the overlap between lesions and atrophy. Based on our observed differences between the subgroups, we performed a sample size calculation to estimate the number of subjects a future study might require to detect (with 80% power at 5% significance) a difference between subgroups in the volume of the prefrontal cortex (which was the ROI with the least power to detect differences) of 8 cm³ (as observed in our study), as well as in the proportion of lesional voxels of 0.22, using the means and SDs of concentrations provided by our data and standard methods for comparisons of means. We found that the sample size required to detect significant GM volume differences between subtypes of MS was 75 per group for GM volume and 39 per group for GM lesion probability. This

**Table 2** Regions with increased lesion probability associated with poorer performance in clinical domains (thresholded at p<0.001 uncorrected)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>cm³</th>
<th>Peak T value</th>
<th>MNI coordinates of local maxima</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior frontal</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>操culum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior cingulum</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum crus1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum crus2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum 8</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory function lobe</td>
<td>Superior frontal</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum crus2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supramarginal gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum crus1</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum 6</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lesion load in the cingulum is related to poorer executive, TWT speed and PASAT performance.

9HPT, 9-hole Peg Test; zPASAT, Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test; 25TWT, 25-foot Timed Walk Test.

Figure 2  Grey matter (GM) pathology in MS. The progressive primary multiple sclerosis (PPMS) group is presented in green, relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) in blue and secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (SPMS) in orange on the cohort-specific MNI-space template. (A) All multiple sclerosis (MS) subtypes show pronounced deep volume loss compared to controls at p<0.05 (family wise error, FWE corrected). In particular, patients with relapse onset have severe deep atrophy. Coordinates of sections are x=−14, y=−22, z=3. (B) Areas showing clusters of increased GM lesion probability in patients compared to controls at p<0.001, uncorrected. The RRMS group did not show clusters of increased GM lesion probability at this threshold, while SPMS showed increased cerebellar lesion load and PPMS had clusters of increased lesion probability throughout the cerebral and especially the cerebellar cortex. Coordinates of sections are x=−5, y=−13, z=−39. (C) Areas showing a significant correlation of atrophy and increased probability of a GM voxel being lesional (at p<0.01, uncorrected) in all patient groups together. Coordinates of sections are x=29, y=−66, z=−28.
sample size calculation can be used by future studies that will aim to investigate the differences between groups in lesion probability and GM volume.

With regard to the association between GM lesions and atrophy with clinical disability, one interesting observation from the ROI analysis was that both GM lesion volume and atrophy in the postcentral gyrus (the primary somatosensory cortex) were linked to clinical measures of physical disability and to worse performance in almost all cognitive domains. Additionally, a significant and independent association was found between cerebellar lesion load and atrophy with lower physical and cognitive performance. This fits with the known involvement of the cerebellum in sensorimotor and cognitive function. In addition, the postcentral gyrus and cerebellum, which are both functionally and structurally connected, were the only regions in which lesion burden and volume loss were linked. Further work focusing on these two regions may shed light on the mechanisms of disability in MS. Using the voxel-wise analysis, we found that deep GM atrophy was generally not linked to functional outcomes, except for an association between executive function and a small region of atrophy in the putamen. This suggests that other processes, including white matter damage and brain network dysfunction, may be involved in cognitive dysfunction.

A number of limitations of this work should be taken into consideration. For LPM, some forms of cortical lesions may be easier to detect on DIR scans than others. DIR scans detect a number of atrophy. Phase Sensitive Inversion Recovery MRI detects two different kinds of atrophy. While use of psychotropic drugs may have subtly influenced our findings, the concomitant alleviation of depression, which is itself linked to cognitive impairment, is likely to have balanced out these effects. Not all patients were able to finish all clinical tasks due to disability, which led to the exclusion of their missing data from this task’s analysis. It is, therefore, likely that the effects found were an underestimation of the real effects of MS as the excluded disabled patients were likely to also have the poorest cognitive function.

The present study assessed the distributions of DIR-visible GM lesions and GM atrophy in patients with different subtypes of MS and their relationship with clinical outcomes. We found that GM lesions (as seen using DIR) and GM atrophy do not usually co-localise, indicating that they are not directly spatially coupled. We also found that both GM lesions and atrophy separately contributed to disability, suggesting that the substrates of disability in MS are both pathologically and spatially heterogeneous.
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**Table 3** MRI abnormality (volume loss and/or lesions) in ROIs significantly associated with poorer clinical function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>Total GM volume</th>
<th>GM lesion volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>−0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>−0.294</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory function</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z25TWT</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zPASAT</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prefrontal lobe</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If both volume loss and increased lesion burden is associated, the strongest contributor is presented in bold.

EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale; GM, grey matter; zHPT, 9-hole Peg Test; z25TWT, 25-foot Timed Walk Test; zPASAT, Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test; ROI, region of interest.
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