THE SOLUTION STRUCTURES OF TWO HUMAN IgG1 ANTIBODIES SHOW CONFORMATIONAL STABILITY AND ACCOMMODATE THEIR C1q AND FcγR LIGANDS
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Background: The human IgG1 antibody subclass is the most abundant one and is widely used in therapeutic applications. 

Results: Ultracentrifugation and X-ray/neutron scattering, together with atomistic modelling, revealed asymmetric concentration-independent IgG1 solution structures. 

Conclusion: The complement and receptor Fc binding sites are not hindered by the Fab regions, explaining its full activity. 

Significance: These solution structures clarify IgG1 activity and its therapeutic applications.

The human IgG1 antibody subclass shows distinct properties compared to the IgG2, IgG3 and IgG4 subclasses, and is the most exploited subclass in therapeutic antibodies. It is the most abundant subclass, has a half-life as long as that of IgG2 and IgG4, binds the FcγR receptor, and activates complement. There is limited structural information on full-length human IgG1 because of the challenges of crystallisation. To rectify this, we have studied the solution structures of two human IgG1 6a and 19a monoclonal antibodies in different buffers at different temperatures. Analytical ultracentrifugation showed that both antibodies were predominantly monomeric, with sedimentation coefficients $s_{20,w}$ of 6.3 S - 6.4 S. Only a minor dimer peak was observed, and the amount was not dependent on buffer conditions. Solution scattering showed that the X-ray radius of gyration $\text{R}_g$ increased with salt concentration, while the neutron $\text{R}_g$ values remained unchanged with temperature. The X-ray and neutron distance distribution curves $P(r)$ revealed two peaks, $M1$ and $M2$, whose positions were unchanged in different buffers to indicate conformational stability. Constrained atomistic scattering modelling revealed predominantly asymmetric solution structures for both antibodies with extended hinge structures. Both structures were similar to the only known crystal structure of full-length human IgG1. The Fab conformations in both structures were suitably positioned to permit the Fc region to bind readily to its FcγR and C1q ligands without steric clashes, unlike human IgG4. Our molecular models for human IgG1 explain its immune activities, and we discuss its stability and function for therapeutic applications.

IgG1 is the most abundant human IgG antibody subclass (8 mg/ml) of the four found in serum. Following high specificity and affinity binding of the antigen to their Fab regions, the immune
response and effector functions are mediated through the Fc region. IgG1 binds to every class of Fcγ receptor (FcγR) found on immune effector cells, and activates the complement cascade when C1q is recruited by several Fc regions (1). Binding to FcγRs on immune cell surfaces leads to diverse immune responses, including antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity, to clear foreign antigen from the body. IgG1 has been extensively studied, making it the most understood and exploited human IgG subclass for the development of therapeutic antibodies. Over thirty IgG monoclonal antibodies have been approved as of June 2012 for clinical use by the Food and Drug Administration, of which 68% of marketed and late-stage clinical phase therapeutic antibodies involve the human IgG1 subclass (2).

The four human IgG subclasses IgG1-IgG4 vary primarily in the hinge region, which connects the Fab and Fc regions together, and contributes flexibility at these central regions. The hinge length is linked with IgG functionality. The hinge is best considered as a three-part structure, in which the upper and middle hinge sections of IgG1, IgG2, IgG3 and IgG4 contain 15, 12, 62 and 12 amino acids respectively. The order of flexibility is IgG3 > IgG1 > IgG4 > IgG2 which correlates well with the hinge length (3,4). The upper hinge determines the arrangement between the two Fab regions and mediates flexibility and reorientations of each Fab arm; this allows IgG1 to bind to multiple antigens in different positions (5). Two cysteine residues (Cys226 and Cys229) in the middle hinge form interchain disulphide bonds between the two heavy chains to join these together (Fig. 1). The lower hinge is responsible for the flexibility and positioning of the Fc region relative to the Fab arms and affects the binding of Fc to FcγR (5,6).

Only limited structural information is available for full-length IgG antibodies. These are difficult to crystallise for reason of the flexible domain arrangements found in IgG. Thus hinge-deleted human IgG1 structures solved by X-ray crystallography include IgG1 Dob and Mcg (7-9). These revealed symmetric IgG structures that are not a true picture of wild-type antibody conformations. The crystal structure of a full-length human IgG1 b12 has been reported alongside full-length murine IgG1 and IgG2a (10-12). Human IgG1 b12 showed an asymmetric structure with extended hinges, although atomic coordinates for part of one of the hinge regions is missing. These crystal structures necessarily contain IgG held in a fixed position by intermolecular contacts within the crystallographic unit cell, offering only a single snapshot of the multiple conformations expected in solution (13). The advent of atomistic constrained scattering modelling has mitigated this issue. Thus human IgG4, IgA1 and IgA2, IgD and IgM have been studied successfully in physiological buffers, and molecular structures have been determined in Protein Data Bank coordinate formats (14-19).

Solution structures for the human IgG1 subclass are essential to understand its function and stability in the human body, especially for therapeutic applications. Joint X-ray and neutron scattering studies rectify the limitation of the single available IgG1 b12 crystal structure by enabling the study of different buffer and solution conditions on the IgG1 structure. The recent advent of high-throughput X-ray measurements provides hundreds of scattering curves in a single measurement session, and these permit atomistic antibody structures to be determined for a broad range of solution conditions. Here we report solution structures for two IgG1 antibodies, IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a, with known sequences (Fig. 2). Both IgG1 were found to be predominantly monomeric in all buffer conditions tested. Both IgG1 solution structures displayed semi-extended asymmetric arrangements of the Fab regions relative to the Fc region. These structures become more elongated with increase in salt concentration. By reference to the crystal structure of a FcγR-Fc complex and a docked structural model for C1q binding to Fc, it could be assessed whether the Fab regions in both IgG1 solution structures allowed enough space for the FcγR and C1q ligands to bind to the top of the Fc region in IgG1. The successful outcome of our analyses accounted for the reactivity of IgG1 for FcγR and C1q. This is in marked distinction to our recent similar analyses for human IgG4, where this binding to the Fc region was most likely sterically hindered by the Fab regions (15). Previously, conformational instabilities were found in IgG4 (15); it is therefore also crucial to identify whether or not IgG1 is also affected by the same instabilities that occur in IgG4.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Purification and composition of IgG1 - Both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a were generously supplied by Dr Bryan Smith at UCB (https://www.ucb.com). Immediately prior to measurements, both were further purified by gel filtration to remove non-specific aggregates using a Superose 6 10/300 column (GE Healthcare), then concentrated using Amicon Ultra spin concentrators (50 kDa molecular mass cut-off), and dialyzed at 4°C against the appropriate ultracentrifugation and scattering buffer (see below). The sequence identity for the two IgG1 molecules was 100% for the C H1, C H2, C H3 and C L domains. Differences in sequences were found in the V H (65.2%) and V L (73.2%) domains. Total sequence identity between the two IgG1 forms was 88.7% (Fig. 2). The N-linked oligosaccharides at Asn 296 on the C H2 domains (Fig. 2) were assumed to have a typical complex-type biantennary oligosaccharide structure with a Man3-GlcNAc2 core and two NeuNAc.Gal.GlcNAc antennae (20). The IgG1 6a molecular mass was calculated to be 150.1 kDa, its unhydrated volume was 193.1 nm 3, its hydrated volume was 254.4 nm 3 (based on a hydration of 0.3 g of water per gram of glycoprotein and an electrostricted volume of 0.0245 nm 3 per bound water molecule), its partial specific volume v was 0.729 ml/g, and its absorption coefficient at 280 nm was 15.4 (1%, 1 cm path length) (21). Likewise, IgG1 19a has a calculated molecular mass of 149.7 kDa, an unhydrated volume of 192.4 nm 3, a hydrated volume of 253.5 nm 3, a v of 0.728 ml/g and an absorption coefficient at 280 nm of 15.6 (1%, 1 cm path length). All data were recorded in phosphate-buffered saline with different NaCl concentrations. That termed PBS-137 has a composition of 137 mM NaCl, 8.1 mM Na 2 HPO 4, 2.7 mM KCl and 1.5 mM KH 2 PO 4 (pH 7.4). When 137 mM NaCl was replaced by 50 mM NaCl or 250 mM NaCl, these were termed PBS-50 or PBS-250, respectively. The buffer densities were measured using an Anton Paar DMA 5000 density meter, and compared with the theoretical values calculated by SEDNTERP (22). This resulted in densities of 1.00530 g/ml for PBS-137 at 20°C (theoretical, 1.00534 g/ml), 1.00189 g/ml for PBS-50 at 20°C (theoretical, 1.00175 g/ml), 1.01003 g/ml for PBS-250 at 20°C (theoretical, 1.00998 g/ml) and 1.11238 g/ml for PBS-137 at 20°C in 100% 2 H 2 O.

Sedimentation velocity data for IgG1 - Analytical ultracentrifugation data for IgG1 6a were obtained on two Beckman XL-I instruments equipped with AnTi50 rotors. Sedimentation velocity data were acquired for IgG1 samples in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 at 20°C (H 2 O) and in PBS-137 with 100% 2 H 2 O. Sedimentation velocity data were acquired for IgG1 19a only in PBS-137 (H 2 O) at 20°C. Data were collected at rotor speeds of 40,000 rpm and 50,000 rpm in two-sector cells with column heights of 12 mm. Sedimentation analysis was performed using direct boundary Lamm fits of up to 745 scans using SEDFIT (version 14.1) (23,24). SEDFIT resulted in size-distribution analyses c(s) that assume all species to have the same frictional ratio ff 0. The final SEDFIT analyses used a fixed resolution of 200 and optimized the c(s) fit by floating ff 0 and the baseline until the overall root-mean-square deviations and visual appearance of the fits were satisfactory. The percentage of oligomers in the total loading concentration was derived using the c(s) integration function.

X-ray and neutron scattering data for IgG1 - X-ray scattering data were obtained during a beam session in 16-bunch mode on Instrument ID02 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France, operating with a ring energy of 6.0 GeV on the Beamline ID02 (25). Data were acquired using a fast readout low noise camera (FreLoN) with a resolution of 512x512 pixels. A sample-to-detector distance of 3.0 m was used. Both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a were studied in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 at 20°C. IgG1 6a was studied at four concentrations between 0.5-2.0 mg/ml for each condition, and also at 4 mg/ml in PBS-137. IgG1 19a was studied at six concentrations between 0.22-1.35 mg/ml in PBS-50, between 0.30-1.89 mg/ml in PBS-137 and between 0.26-1.62 mg/ml in PBS-250. Sample volumes of 100 μl were measured in a polycarboxylate capillary of diameter 2 mm that avoids protein deposits during exposures, with the sample being moved continuously during beam exposure to reduce radiation damage. Sets of 10 time frames, with a frame exposure time of 0.1 s or 0.2 s each, were acquired in quadruplicate as a control of reproducibility. Online checks during data acquisition confirmed the absence
of radiation damage, after which the 10 frames were averaged.

Neutron scattering data were obtained on Instrument SANS2D at the ISIS pulsed neutron source at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK (26). A pulsed neutron beam was derived from proton beam currents of ~40 μA. SANS2D data were recorded with 4 m of collimation, a 4 m sample-to-detector distance, a 12 mm beam diameter and a wavelength range of 0.175 - 1.65 nm made available by time-of-flight. Samples were measured in 2 mm path length circular banjo cells for 1-2 h in a thermostated rack at 6°C, 20°C and 37°C. Data were only collected for IgG1 6a at three concentrations between 2.0-4.0 mg/ml in PBS-137 in 100% 2H2O.

In a given solute–solvent contrast, the radius of gyration \( R_g \) is a measure of structural elongation if the internal inhomogeneity of scattering densities within the protein has no effect. Guinier analyses at low \( Q \) (where \( Q = 4\pi \sin \theta/\lambda; 2\theta \) is the scattering angle and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength) gives the \( R_g \) and the forward scattering at zero angle \( I(0) \) (27):

\[
\ln I(Q) = \ln I(0) - \frac{R_g^2 Q^2}{3}
\]

This expression is valid in a \( Q.R_g \) range up to 1.5. If the structure is elongated, the mean radius of gyration of cross-sectional structure \( R_{xs} \) and the mean cross-sectional intensity at zero angle \([I(Q)]_q \rightarrow 0 \) is obtained from:

\[
\ln[I(Q)]_q = [I(Q)]_q \rightarrow 0 - \frac{R_{xs}^2 Q^2}{2}
\]

The cross-sectional plot for immunoglobulins exhibits two distinct regions, a steepest innermost one and a flatter outermost one (28), identified by \( R_{xs-1} \) and \( R_{xs-2} \), respectively. The \( R_g \) and \( R_{xs} \) analyses were performed using an interactive PERL script program SCTPL7 (J. T. Eaton and S. J. Perkins, unpublished software) on Silicon Graphics OCTANE Workstations. Indirect Fourier transformation of the scattering data \( I(Q) \) in reciprocal space into real space to give the distance distribution function \( P(r) \) was carried out using the program GNOM (29):

\[
P(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \lim_{Q \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty I(Q)Qr \sin(Qr) dQ
\]

\( P(r) \) corresponds to the distribution of distances \( r \) between volume elements. This provides the maximum dimension of the antibody \( L \) and its most commonly occurring distance vector \( M \) in real space. For this, the X-ray \( I(Q) \) curve utilized up to 365 data points in the \( Q \) range between 0.09 nm\(^{-1}\) and 1.70 nm\(^{-1}\). The neutron \( I(Q) \) curve utilized up to 45 data points in the \( Q \) range between 0.18 nm\(^{-1}\) and 1.5 nm\(^{-1}\).

Debye scattering and sedimentation coefficient modelling of IgG1 - A total of 20,000 conformationally randomised human IgG1 models were created by joining the IgG1 Fab and Fc structures with conformationally randomised hinge peptides. The crystal structure of human IgG1 b12 (PDB code 1HZH) was used for this (10). This IgG1 structure has complete heavy chains (H and K) and light chains (L and M), with the exception of thirteen missing K chain residues, namely the Fab C\(_{H}\)1 residues 132\text{SKSTSGG}138, the core hinge residues 223\text{THT}225 and the Fc C\(_{H}\)3 C-terminus 445\text{PGK}447 (10). IgG1 b12 has high sequence identity to IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a (Fig. 2). Most of the sequence differences occur in the \( V_H \) and \( V_L \) domains where antigen binding occurs. Additionally, small sequence differences in the C\(_{H}\)1 and C\(_{H}\)3 domains result from allotypic differences. Human IgG1 has four allotypes (G1m1, G1m2, G1m3 and G1m17) which may be expressed in IgG1 as G1m3, G1m17,1 or G1m17,1,2 heavy chains (30). IgG1 b12 is the G1m17,1 allotype with Lys\text{214} in the C\(_{H}\)1 domain (Fig. 2D) and Asp\text{356} and Leu\text{358} in the C\(_{H}\)3 domain (Fig. 2G). Additionally, IgG1 b12 has Ala\text{215} in place of the wild type Val\text{215}; this is not an allotypic difference and may have been engineered during the antibody production. IgG1 6a and 19a are both Gm3 allotypes with Arg\text{214} in the C\(_{H}\)1 domain (Fig. 2D) and Glu\text{356} and Met\text{358} in the C\(_{H}\)3 domain (Fig. 2G). The light chain subclass can be either κ or λ. While the κ subclass has only one gene copy, there can be 7-11 gene copies of λ depending on the haplotype (30). The κ light chain subclass has three allotypes (Km1, Km2, Km3), while the λ light chain subclasses have no serologically defined allotypes. The κ light chain allotypes may be expressed as Km1, Km1,2 or Km3.
IgG1 b12, IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a all have the Km3 allotype with Ala\textsuperscript{159} and Val\textsuperscript{197} in the C\textsubscript{L} domain (Fig. 2B). IgG1 b12 shows a sequence difference of Arg\textsuperscript{208} in the C\textsubscript{L} domain (Fig. 2B), which is not an allotypic difference, and may have been engineered. The unhydrated volumes of IgG1 b12, IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a were calculated as 194.3 nm\textsuperscript{3}, 193.1 nm\textsuperscript{3} and 192.4 nm\textsuperscript{3} respectively. The volume similarity was within acceptable limits to allow the use of IgG1 b12 as a model for the IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a modelling searches.

In order to generate conformationally randomised trial IgG1 models for scattering fits, four sets of 5,000 models were created, each using different hinges sampled independently at random. Conformational randomisation of the hinges was achieved using molecular dynamics in the Discovery module of the molecular modelling software Insight II (Accelrys) on Silicon Graphics OCTANE workstations. To create the first two sets of asymmetric models, a hinge peptide CDKTHTC\textsuperscript{220-226} was constrained to be of minimum lengths either between 1.72 nm to 2.33 nm, or between 2.33 nm to 2.45 nm (where the latter is almost fully extended in length). As residue Cys\textsuperscript{220} is located asymmetrically in relation to the Fc structure, all the created models were asymmetric. Cys\textsuperscript{220} and Cys\textsuperscript{226} were used as anchor points because they connect the Fab heavy and light chains in a disulphide bridge. To create two more sets of asymmetric and symmetric models, a nineteen-residue hinge peptide CDKTHTCPPCPAPELLGGP\textsuperscript{220-238} was constrained with minimum lengths either between 4.66 nm and 6.32 nm, or between 6.32 and 6.65 nm (where the latter is almost fully extended in length) in order to avoid abnormally short hinge structures. As residue Pro\textsuperscript{238} was located symmetrically in the Fc structure, the resulting models contained Fab arms in both symmetric and asymmetric orientations about the Fc region. The outermost two residues were anchor points for the superimposition of each hinge conformation onto the Fab and Fc structures in order to create the full IgG1 model.

The X-ray or neutron scattering curve was calculated from each IgG1 model using sphere models for comparison with the experimental IgG1 curves. A cube side of 0.541 nm in combination with a cut-off of four non-hydrogen atoms was used to convert the atomic coordinates into 1220 spheres that corresponded to the unhydrated structure seen by neutron scattering in \textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}O. Because hydration shells are visible by X-rays, a hydration shell corresponding to 0.3 g of water per gram of protein was created using HYPRO (31), giving an optimal total of 1607 spheres. The X-ray scattering curve \textit{l}(Q) was calculated using the Debye equation adapted to spheres (16,32). Steric overlap between the Fab and Fc regions was assessed using the number of spheres n in each model, where models showing less than 95% of the required total of 1607 spheres (X-ray) or 1220 spheres (neutrons) were discarded. Of the 20,000 models, 86% showed no steric overlap. Next, the X-ray \textit{R}_g, \textit{R}_{xs-1} and \textit{R}_{xs-2} values were calculated from the modelled curves in the same \textit{Q} ranges used for the experimental Guinier fits. Models that passed \textit{R}_g and \textit{R}_{xs} filters of ± 5% of the experimental value were then ranked using a goodness-of-fit R-factor (defined by analogy with protein crystallography) calculated in the \textit{Q} range extending to 1.7 nm\textsuperscript{-1}. For the neutron modelling of IgG1 6a, the unhydrated sphere models were used to calculate the scattering curves. Of the 20,000 models, 91% showed no steric overlap. The models created from neutron scattering were assessed as for the X-ray scattering models above, following corrections for wavelength spread and beam divergence, but no correction was required for a flat background caused from incoherent scattering.

Sedimentation coefficients \textit{s}_{20,w}^2 were calculated directly from the hydrated Debye sphere models using the program HYDRO (33). They were also calculated from the atomic coordinates in the HYDROPRO shell modelling program using the default value of 0.31 nm for the atomic element radius for all atoms to represent the hydration shell (34). Previous applications of these calculations to antibodies are reviewed elsewhere (35).

To assess the fit searches, the distances \textit{d}_1, \textit{d}_2 and \textit{d}_3 were determined from the centers-of-mass of the Fab and Fc regions (excluding hydrogen atoms) using a Python script. The three angles between the Fab and Fc regions were defined in a Python script as the angle of intersection from the dot product between two vectors. Each vector was the long axis through
each Fab or Fc region, each defined as the line passing through the centres of gravity between each cluster of four cysteine α-carbon atoms at the two ends of the Fab and Fc regions (one cluster at each end of each Fab or Fc region, corresponding to the conserved disulphide bridge in each immunoglobulin fold domain). Artwork was prepared using PyMOL (Schrödinger, LCC). Superimpositions of the Fc region were performed using the align function within PyMOL. To dock the Fc region with the C1q head, the web server algorithm PatchDock (version beta 1.3) (36) was used in order to take advantage of its ability to include specified residues as potential binding sites. Its output was refined using FireDock from the same web site (37).

Protein Data Bank accession numbers – The three sets of 10 best-fit models are currently available as supplemental material. They have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession codes 4QOU (IgG1 6a by X-rays in PBS-137), 4QOV (IgG1 19a by X-rays in PBS-137) and 4QOW (IgG1 6a by neutrons in PBS-137).

RESULTS

Purification of IgG1 - Both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a were subjected to gel filtration to ensure that the protein was monodisperse immediately prior to ultracentrifugation or scattering experiments. Both molecules eluted as a symmetric main peak at approximately 15.5 ml (Fig. 3), and showed a single band between 200 and 116 kDa in non-reducing SDS-PAGE that corresponds to the expected masses of 150.1 kDa and 149.7 kDa for intact IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a respectively. Under reduced conditions, the heavy chains for both IgG1 molecules were observed at an apparent molecular mass of 55 kDa, and the light chains were observed between 31 and 21.5 kDa, both as expected (Fig. 3).

Analytical ultracentrifugation of IgG1 - Sedimentation velocity experiments examined the size and shape of IgG1 6a at concentrations between 0.2-4 mg/ml, and IgG1 19a between 0.5-2.24 mg/ml. The SEDFIT analyses involved fits of as many as 745 scans, and the good agreement between the experimental boundary scans and fitted lines is clear (Fig. 4). A major monomer peak was observed at $s_{20,w}$ values of 6.4 S for IgG1 6a and 6.3 S for IgG1 19a. These $s_{20,w}$ values are consistent with the range of values of 6.3–6.8 S previously reported for human IgG1 (38,39,40). Both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a were predominantly monomeric in solution, and accompanied by a minor dimer peak.

From the c(s) analyses, the molecular masses of the monomer peak for IgG1 6a were reported as 153 kDa (PBS-50), 146 kDa (PBS-137) and 149 kDa (PBS-250) in light water, and 164 kDa (PBS-137 at 20°C) in heavy water. These agree well with the composition-calculated mass of 150 kDa. The molecular mass of the IgG1 19a monomer peak was measured as 161 kDa (PBS-137) in light water, also in agreement with the composition-calculated mass of 150 kDa.

The apparent sedimentation rates of the IgG1 monomer were independent of sample concentration or rotor speed (Fig. 5A). Extrapolation of the corrected $s_{20,w}$ values to zero concentration gave monomer $s_{20,w}^0$ values of 6.42 S for IgG1 6a for 40,000 r.p.m., which is similar to that of 6.44 S for 50,000 rpm (PBS-137 at 20°C). For IgG1 19a, the monomer $s_{20,w}^0$ value was 6.34 S for both rotor speeds of 40,000 and 50,000 rpm (PBS-137 at 20°C). All other data reported in this study are for 40,000 rpm.

No change in $s_{20,w}^0$ value was observed at different buffer conditions, with IgG1 6a giving $s_{20,w}^0$ values of 6.42 S, 6.42 S and 6.35 S for PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 respectively in light water (Fig. 5A). IgG1 6a measured in PBS-137 in heavy water gave an apparent sedimentation of 3.92 S (Fig. 4B). When corrected for the buffer density and viscosity of heavy water, a $s_{20,w}^0$ value of 7.01 S was obtained. Given that the partial specific volume $\nu$ for proteins is affected by the hydration shell (21,33), and that the hydration shell for heavy water has a higher mass than that for light water, the $\nu$ values will be reduced in 100% $^2$H$_2$O. When the $\nu$ value of 0.715 ml/g was used for 20°C in place of 0.728 ml/g, this gave $s_{20,w}^0$ values similar to that of PBS-137 in light water of 6.47 S (Fig. 4B). For IgG1 19a in light water, the $s_{20,w}^0$ value of 6.34 S for PBS-137 at 20°C was similar to that of IgG1 6a. This outcome indicates their similar overall shapes.

The c(s) analyses for IgG1 6a revealed a minor dimer peak at $s_{20,w}^0$ values between 9 S and 10 S in the size-distribution analyses c(s) (Figs. 4 and 5). The molecular masses of the dimer peak...
in light water were 263 ± 4 kDa (PBS-50), 260 ± 10 kDa (PBS-137), 257 ± 5 kDa (PBS-250), and 286 ± 9 kDa (PBS-137 in heavy water). These masses are comparable with the expected value of 300 kDa for the IgG1 dimer. The IgG1 6a dimer $\chi^{\prime 20,0}$ values in light water were 9.21 ± 0.1 S (PBS-50), 9.69 ± 0.38 S (PBS-137) and 9.12 ± 0.07 S (PBS-250) at 20°C. That in heavy water was similar at 9.35 ± 0.09 S for PBS-137.

Similarly, IgG1 19a showed a small dimer peak with a $\chi^{\prime 20,0}$ value of 8.8 ± 0.3 S in PBS-137 at 20°C and a molecular mass of 266 ± 9 kDa in light water. This also agreed well with the predicted mass of 300 kDa for its dimer. Integration of the monomer and dimer $c(s)$ peaks showed that the amount of dimer did not alter with sample concentration or buffer composition, with the majority of samples showing less than 5% dimer for both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a (Fig. 5B).

**X-ray and neutron scattering of human IgG1** - The solution structure of IgG1 was jointly analysed by both X-ray and neutron scattering for reason of reproducibility. X-rays in light water buffers monitor the hydration shell as well as the protein structure, while neutrons in heavy water buffers do not see this hydration shell.

X-rays were most effective for looking at IgG1 at 20°C in three different NaCl concentrations. Data collection of IgG1 6a was carried out between 0.5 and 4 mg/ml, using time-frame analyses to ensure the absence of radiation damage effects. Guinier analyses resulted in high-quality linear plots and revealed three distinct regions of the $I(Q)$ curves, as expected for antibodies, from which the $R_g$, $R_{\alpha,1}$ and $R_{\alpha,2}$ values were obtained within satisfactory $Q.R_g$ and $Q.R_{\alpha}$ limits (Fig. 6A; Table 1). The X-ray $R_g$ values for IgG1 6a in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 showed no concentration dependence with mean values of 5.17 nm, 5.19 nm and 5.32 nm respectively (Fig. 7A). There was a slight increase in $R_g$ with salt concentration, most notably with PBS-250. The $I(0)/c$ values for IgG1 6a also showed no concentration dependence (Fig. 7A). Each of the $R_{\alpha,1}$ and $R_{\alpha,2}$ values were unchanged between PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250, with a mean $R_{\alpha,1}$ value of 2.62 nm, 2.64 nm and 2.65 nm, respectively, and a mean $R_{\alpha,2}$ value of 1.43 nm, 1.43 nm and 1.42 nm, respectively. IgG1 19a was studied between 0.22 and 1.89 mg/ml in the same buffers as IgG1 6a (Fig. 6C). The X-ray $R_g$ values showed no concentration dependence with mean values of 5.10 nm, 5.22 nm and 5.32 nm in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 respectively (Fig. 7C). As for IgG1 6a, there was a slight increase in $R_g$ with increasing NaCl concentration. Similarly, the $I(0)/c$ values for IgG1 19a showed no concentration dependence (Fig. 7C). Each of the $R_{\alpha,1}$ and $R_{\alpha,2}$ values were unchanged between PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250, with a mean $R_{\alpha,1}$ value of 2.63 nm, 2.64 nm and 2.65 nm, respectively, and a mean $R_{\alpha,2}$ value of 1.48 nm, 1.42 nm and 1.50 nm, respectively (Fig. 7C). The X-ray $R_g$, $I(0)/c$, $R_{\alpha,1}$ and $R_{\alpha,2}$ values for IgG1 19a were in agreement with IgG1 6a.

Neutron scattering viewed the unhydrated protein structure in which the hydration shell is almost invisible in heavy water (33). Neutrons were most useful for temperature studies in PBS-137, as temperature-dependent conditions were less accessible by X-ray scattering. IgG1 6a in 100% $^2$H$_2$O buffer was analysed between 2.0-4 mg/ml. The Guinier analyses revealed high-quality linear fits for the same three $R_g$, $R_{\alpha,1}$ and $R_{\alpha,2}$ parameters as for X-rays (Fig. 6B). The neutron $R_g$ values remained unchanged with concentration at 6°C, 20°C and 37°C with mean values of 5.18 nm, 5.10 nm and 5.13 nm respectively (Fig. 7B). These $R_g$ values were similar to those for X-ray scattering. The corresponding $I(0)/c$ values also remained unchanged (Fig. 7B). The neutron $R_{\alpha,1}$ and $R_{\alpha,2}$ values showed no concentration dependence between 6°C, 20°C and 37°C with mean $R_{\alpha,1}$ values of 2.48 nm, 2.43 nm and 2.46 nm respectively, and mean $R_{\alpha,2}$ values of 1.25 nm, 1.25 nm and 1.20 nm, respectively (Fig. 7B). The neutron $R_g$, $R_{\alpha,1}$ and $R_{\alpha,2}$ values were slightly smaller than the corresponding X-ray values, in particular for the two $R_g$ values, and this reduction is attributed primarily to the near invisibility of the surface hydration shell in heavy water, as well as the high negative solute-solvent contrast difference which will also reduce these values (33).

The distance distribution function $P(r)$ provides structural information on IgG1 in real space, namely its overall length and the separation between its Fab and Fc regions. The X-ray $P(r)$ analyses gave $R_g$ values for IgG1 that were similar to those from the X-ray Guinier analyses, showing that the two analyses were
self-consistent (filled and open symbols in Fig. 7A). The maximum length $L$ of IgG1 6a was determined from the value of $r$ when the $P(r)$ curve intersects zero to be 16 nm for PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 (Fig. 8A). The maxima in the $P(r)$ curves correspond to the most frequently occurring interatomic distances within the structure. For IgG1 6a, two peaks, $M1$ and $M2$, were identified in all the $P(r)$ curves at approximately 4 nm and 7.5 nm respectively. The $M1$ peak corresponds mostly to distances within each Fab and Fc region, while the $M2$ peak corresponds mostly to distances between pairs of Fab and Fc regions.

No buffer dependence in the positions of peaks $M1$ and $M2$ was observed (Fig. 9A). Because $M2$ is unchanged, the averaged separation between the Fab and Fc regions within IgG1 remains unchanged in 50-250 mM NaCl. This finding differs from that for IgG4 which showed a concentration dependence of $M2$ below 2 mg/ml (14,15). IgG1 19a showed two $M1$ and $M2$ peaks at similar values of ~4 nm and ~8 nm respectively (Fig. 9C). IgG1 19a exhibits the same $L$ value of 16 nm as IgG1 6a in PBS-137 and PBS-250. However, the length of IgG1 19a in PBS-50 is slightly reduced at 15 nm (Figs. 8C and 9C).

The neutron $P(r)$ analyses of IgG1 6a in heavy water showed that the $R_f$ values for IgG1 6a at 6°C, 20°C and 37°C did not change with increasing concentration nor temperature (Fig. 7B). The neutron $L$ values were 16 nm at 6°C, 20°C and 37°C (Fig. 8B). The two peaks $M1$ and $M2$ were again identified at approximately 4 nm and 7 nm respectively in the neutron $P(r)$ curves (Fig. 8B). The positions of $M1$ and $M2$ were unchanged with concentration, in agreement with the X-ray $P(r)$ data.

Starting model for the human IgG1 scattering fits - The starting model for scattering fits of IgG1 was the crystal structure of human IgG1 b12 (10). The full hinge is formally defined by the twenty-three residues 216EPKSCDKTHCPPCPAPELGGPS 229 (3,5) in which the Fab region formally ends at Val 215 and the Fc region starts at Ser 239 (Fig. 1). The IgG1 hinge contains six Pro residues and two interchain disulphide bridges at Cys 226 and Cys 229. The asymmetric modelling considered only the upper hinge 220CDKTHTCPAPELGGPS 229 with Cys 220 and Cys 226 acting as tethers. As this hinge is located asymmetrically relative to the Fc region, these 10,000 models do not have 2-fold symmetry. Only one of the inter-chain disulphide bonds is intact at Cys 226. The symmetric modelling considered the upper, middle and lower hinge and this resulted in a 19-residue peptide 220CDKTHTCPAPELGGPS 229. Because the two Pro 228 residues were located in the middle of the Fc region, this approach generated both symmetric and asymmetric models. For these 10,000 further models, the Cys 226 and Cys 229 inter-chain disulphide bonds were not explicitly intact.

Conformational searches for the human IgG1 solution structure - In order to model both the IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a solution structures, 20,000 conformationally-randomized IgG1 structures were created by connecting the Fab and Fc structures to one of four libraries of conformationally-randomized hinge peptides of lengths 1.72-2.33 nm and 2.33-2.45 nm (asymmetric) and 4.66-6.32 nm and 6.32-6.65 nm (symmetric) (Experimental Procedures). Each modelled scattering curve was compared with the experimental X-ray and neutron scattering curves. To test a broad range of solution conditions, the six modelled X-ray curves were IgG1 6a at the highest available concentrations of 2 mg/ml, 4 mg/ml and 2 mg/ml in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 respectively, plus IgG1 19a at the highest available concentrations of 1.4 mg/ml, 1.9 mg/ml and 1.6 mg/ml in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 respectively. As previous (15), the occurrence of 4% dimer was assumed to have little effect on the scattering modelling. The modelled neutron curve for IgG1 6a was the highest concentration of 4 mg/ml in PBS-137 at 20°C in heavy water. The seven fit analyses were assessed in $R_f$-factor vs. $R_g$ graphs (Fig. 10A-C). In all seven analyses, the occurrence of a single clear minimum in the $R_f$-factor values identified a single conformational family of solution structures for IgG1 starting from a wide range of trial orientations and translations of the two Fab and Fc regions. The lowest $R$-factors in the 20,000 curve fits corresponded to modelled $R_f$ values that were close to the experimental $R_g$ values as desired.

Filters based on the experimental scattering data were used for all 20,000 models to reject unsatisfactory models and identify the ten best-fit models for each search:
(i) A ± 5% filter for steric overlap eliminated models in which the Fab and Fc regions sterically overlapped with each other due to inappropriate hinge conformations used in modelling. In order to match the composition-calculated volume of IgG1, sphere models needed a minimum number N of 1607 spheres for the hydrated X-ray models and 1220 spheres for the unhydrated neutron models.

(ii) A ± 5% filter for the modelled $R_{p}$ values (calculated from the same Guinier $Q$ ranges used for the experimental analyses) identified the models that agreed best with the experimental X-ray or neutron $R_{p}$ values. The resulting ten best-fit models for IgG1 occurred as a single cluster at the $R$-factor minimum in each of the seven searches (green in Fig. 10A-C), indicating a single best-fit solution structure.

Only one of the inter-chain disulphide bonds at Cys$^{226}$ was conserved in the asymmetric models. For the symmetric models, the pairs of two Cys$^{226}$ and two Cys$^{229}$ residues may not be proximate in the best-fit models, because the disulphide bridges were not preserved in the libraries. In the ten best-fit models, the $\alpha$-carbon separations were 0.56-1.49 nm for Cys$^{226}$ and 1.14-1.55 nm for Cys$^{229}$ in IgG1 6a by X-rays, 0.56-3.63 nm for Cys$^{226}$ and 1.55-3.52 nm for Cys$^{229}$ in IgG1 19a by X-rays, and 0.56 nm for Cys$^{226}$ and 1.55 nm for Cys$^{229}$ for IgG1 6a by neutrons. These $\alpha$-carbon separations were comparable with an expected separation of 0.4-0.75 nm between two bridged Cys residues (41), showing that the best-fit IgG1 models were compatible with disulphide-bridged hinges.

The best-fit modelled curves showed good visual fits in all seven cases with the experimental curves (Fig. 11A-C). In most cases, the $R_{p}$ values for the ten best-fit models were within error of the experimental values (Table 1). The seven sets of models (Fig. 12A-C) generally displayed asymmetric arrangements of the two Fab regions compared to the Fc region. Both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a showed mostly asymmetric structures, although a few symmetric structures were observed for IgG1 6a in PBS-137 and IgG1 19a in PBS-250. In summary, both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a appeared to exhibit a T-shaped arrangement in PBS-50 and a Y-shaped arrangement in PBS-250 with intermediate T- and Y-shaped structures in PBS-137 (Fig. 12A-C). This shape difference would account for the slightly increased $R_{p}$ values seen in high salt. Surveys of the distances between the centres of the Fab and Fc regions in the best fit IgG1 6a X-ray and neutron models and IgG1 19a X-ray models showed similar distributions (Fig. 13). The X-ray $R$-factor values for the best-fit IgG1 models (pink in Fig. 10A-C) were acceptable at 3.0-3.1%, for IgG1 6a and 2.8-3.7% for IgG1 19a (Table 1). The neutron $R$-factor values were acceptable at 2.6-2.7% (pink in Fig. 10B). These $R$-factor values compare well with those from other similar modelling fits (35).

**Sedimentation coefficient modelling of human IgG1** - The $s_{20,w}^{0}$ values of the best-fit X-ray hydrated IgG1 models were calculated for comparison with the average experimental values of 6.42 S for IgG1 6a and 6.34 S for IgG1 19a (Fig. 5). For the best-fit hydrated sphere models, the $s_{20,w}^{0}$ values were 6.67-6.82 S and 6.63-6.90 S for IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a respectively using HYDRO (Table 1). The corresponding $s_{20,w}^{0}$ values using HYDROPRO were 6.37-6.73 S and 6.37-6.68 S for IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a respectively (Table 1). Given that the calculations should be accurate to within ± 0.21 S (35), the modelled $s_{20,w}^{0}$ values agree well with the experimental values.

**DISCUSSION**

The availability of abundant X-ray scattering data for two IgG1 molecules in three buffers permitted a detailed appraisal of the solution structure of human IgG1 and its comparison with the less stable IgG4 solution structure. These experiments were supported by complementary neutron scattering and ultracentrifugation experiments. The data sets enabled atomistic conformational analyses that resulted in seven independent determinations of an asymmetric IgG1 solution structure (Fig. 12A-C). The combination of these IgG1 solution structures with a docking model for the interaction between human IgG1 Fc and the crystal structure of the C1q globular head (42,43), and the crystal structure of the human Fc-FcγR receptor (44) show that the Fc region of human IgG1 is exposed and enables this to react readily with its two major effector ligands, unlike human IgG4 (15).
IgG1 has the highest IgG serum concentration of the four IgG subclasses IgG1 to IgG4 at an average level of 8 mg/ml (in a range of 5–12 mg/ml), comprising approximately 60–70% of the total IgG in normal adult serum (1). IgG1-IgG4 have different heavy chain isotypes which primarily differ in the hinge region (Fig. 2H). IgG1 activates complement-mediated lysis via C1q binding in the complement classical pathway, and binds to all three classes of human Fcγ receptors FcγRI, FcγRII and FcγRIII. IgG1 has different affinities for the FcγRI, FcγRII and FcγRIII, and its binding to different FcγRs on different immune cells result in different immune responses including antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity, pro-inflammatory cytokine production and phagocytosis (45). The precise role of the four IgG subclasses in the immune response is unclear. A recent temporal model suggests that the different properties of the IgG subclasses, their concentrations and their emergence at different stages facilitate a more cohesive immune response (46). An understanding of the distinct properties of the IgG subclasses is desired; we now have complete scattering analyses for human IgG1 and IgG4.

Solution structure of human IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a - The two monoclonal IgG1 antibodies studied here have 88.7% total sequence identity, with identical hinge regions and differing primarily in their V_H and V_L domains (Fig. 2). Our X-ray data collection involved the measurement of 52 and 70 curves in two beam sessions (or 520 and 700 curves if time frames are included) (Fig. 7). This abundant data collection enabled the use of different buffers with two different human IgG1. The use of three NaCl concentrations examined potential electrostatic effects on the IgG1 structure, while heavy water is a known promoter of protein self-association. By comparison, earlier scattering studies on human IgG1 reported few scattering and ultracentrifugation runs, or were performed in non-physiological buffer conditions (28,38,39,47-49). Both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a showed similar experimental $R_g$ and $R_{XS}$ values and the same overall length of 16 nm (Table 1). The two IgG1 molecules also displayed similar experimental $s^0_{20,w}$ values of 6.3-6.4 S, which were indistinguishable within error. The only change with buffer conditions was a small increase in the $R_g$ values in 250 mM NaCl. The 30 best fit structures for IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a were predominantly asymmetric, with only one symmetric model for IgG1 6a in PBS-137 and two symmetric models for IgG1 19a (PBS-250) (Fig. 12). Little difference was seen between the two IgG1 antibodies. The hinge length is measured by the α-carbon positions of the flanking residues Cys$_{220}^{220}$ and Pro$_{238}^{238}$, with a maximum possible length of 6.65 nm. The best-fit structures gave similar hinge lengths of 2.4-5.0 ± 0.6 nm for IgG1 6a (X-rays), 1.6-5.0 ± 0.7 nm for IgG1 19a (X-rays), and 3.2-4.9 ± 0.5 nm for IgG1 6a (neutrons). These lengths show that this hinge is semi-extended. The slight $R_g$ increase in 250 mM NaCl was best explained by a shift from T-shaped structures in low salt to Y-shaped structures in high salt.

The only crystal structure for an intact human IgG is currently that for IgG1 b12 (10), and this structure was used to model the solution scattering data in this study. Two full-length murine IgG crystal structures have also been solved for IgG1 61.1.3 (11) and IgG2a Mab231 (12). These crystal structures only offer a single view of the antibody immobilised in the crystal lattice, in contrast to the expectation that antibodies may display a large range of conformations in solution. Atomistic scattering modelling of the solution structure of IgG1 enhances our understanding of the IgG1 b12 crystal structure and yields the averaged arrangement of the Fab and Fc fragments. IgG1 b12 was crystallised in 800 mM ammonium sulphate and 100 mM sodium cacodylate, pH 6.5. This IgG1 crystal structure showed no symmetry and an asymmetric arrangement of the Fab regions, with one Fab closely packed on top of the Fc region, and the other Fab extended outwards. The two Cys$_{220}^{220}$-Pro$_{238}^{238}$ hinge lengths were 3.8 nm and 3.9 nm. Both agree with the modelled hinge lengths for IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a above. The IgG1 b12 $R_g$, $R_{xs-1}$ and $R_{xs-2}$ values were calculated as 5.12 nm, 2.60 nm and 1.56 nm, in agreement with the values for IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a (Table 1). The IgG1 b12 $s^0_{20,wa}$ value was 6.84 S and 6.57 S from HYDRO and HYDROPRO, in agreement with experiment (Table 1). The $d1$, $d2$ and $d3$ values between the Fab and Fc regions were also similar to those for IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a (Fig. 13). It is concluded that the solution structures of IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a are similar to the IgG1 b12 crystal structure.
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The comparison of IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a with human IgG4 (15) displayed some differences. Despite similar molecular weights, the \( R_h \) values of human IgG1 are 0.1-0.2 nm larger than those for human IgG4 which has \( R_h \), \( R_{h-1} \) and \( R_{h-2} \) values of 4.92 nm, 2.56 nm and 1.37 nm, respectively. The \( s_{20,w}^0 \) values of IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a are approximately 0.4 S smaller than IgG4 whose \( s_{20,w}^0 \) value is 6.8 S (15). Both data sets indicate that IgG1 is more elongated than IgG4. This is attributable to the longer IgG1 hinge sequence, in which the upper hinge contains three extra residues compared to IgG4 (Fig. 2).

**Interaction of human IgG1 with C1q** - Our atomistic models for intact IgG1 enable the binding of C1q to IgG1 to be assessed. Before, molecular docking of the IgG1 Fc and C1q crystal structures was performed to evaluate this interaction. This structural approach had previously shown that the rabbit IgG interaction with C1q was sterically allowed, but that of human IgG4 with C1q was restricted (15,50). This C1q binding site occurs at the top of the Ca2 domain in the Fc region near the hinge. Functionally, the reactivity of C1q with the four human IgG subclasses correlates with upper hinge length in the order of IgG3 > IgG1 > IgG2 > IgG4, with IgG4 not activating complement (3). A hingeless IgG1 antibody cannot bind or activate C1q (51). Mutagenesis studies of the hinge modulates C1q binding. These studies include disruption of the inter-heavy chain disulphide covalent bridges in the core hinge that removed the C1q interaction, whereas substitutions in the upper hinge increased C1q binding (52). The mutation of Leu234 and Leu235 to Ala residues in the lower hinge of human IgG1 b12 also removed C1q binding, suggesting that the lower hinge is also important (53). A human IgG1 mutant with Thr223 and His224 deleted in the upper hinge, and Pro227 and Pro228 deleted in the core hinge cannot bind or activate C1q (52). The isolated IgG4-Fc region binds C1q although intact human IgG4 does not, suggesting that the Fab regions are also important for the C1q interaction (54). In other experiments, the mutation of human IgG1 to mimic the disulphide bonding of IgG4 removed its antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity activity (55). Reduction of the inter-heavy chain disulphide bridges showed that these are important for C1q binding (54). Thus the upper, core and lower hinge contributes to C1q binding and activation, as well as the hinge disulphide bridges.

Docking studies were performed using a shape complementarity method based on the PatchDock server (36) with the best-fit IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a models (Fig. 14A,B). Human IgG1 residues involved in C1q binding include Asp270, Lys322, Pro329 and Pro331 in the Fc C\( _{\text{12}} \) region (56,57). Docking and molecular dynamic simulations identified 19 C1q and 12 Fc contact residues in the IgG1-C1q complex (Table 2 of (43)). Using these residues to guide the docking, both Fab regions in both IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a were seen to be positioned away from the C1q binding site, hence enabling C1q to bind. Steric clashes between the docked IgG1-C1q complexes were evaluated, and compared with those for docked IgG4-C1q models (Fig. 15). The globular C1q head has a molecular weight of 44.1 kDa and an unhydrated volume of 57.1 nm\(^3\) (21). Residues making main-chain clashes were identified using Swiss-PdbViewer (58), and their amino acid volumes were summed to estimate a notional C1q volume obstructed by the Fab-arms. Based on all the best-fit structures, the mean obstructed volume for IgG1 6a-C1q was 6.9 nm\(^3\), that for IgG1 19a-C1q was 2.1 nm\(^3\) and that for IgG4-C1q was 19.1 nm\(^3\). This comparison showed that the IgG4 Fab regions hindered C1q binding by about 3-9 times the volume than the IgG1 Fab regions. Given that there are two identical C1q binding sites on either side of the Fc region, visual inspection revealed that the other C1q binding site is obstructed in both IgG1 and IgG4 structures. IgG constructs with one half binding C1q and one half not binding C1q were still able to bind C1q, indicating that 1:1 stoichiometry of Fc:C1q is possible (59). Therefore the accessibility of only one C1q binding site in IgG1 is adequate for complement activation.

Sequence differences between the IgG subclasses may also account for the reduced binding of C1q, with Pro329 and Pro331 likely to be important for this (60,61). The strength of Fc-C1q binding is not directly correlated to complement activation, with IgG1 better able to activate complement-mediated lysis than IgG3, despite the stronger binding of IgG3 to C1q, for example (62). This suggests that binding of C1q alone is not enough to activate the complement
cascade. The Fc-C1q affinity is low with a dissociation constant \( K_D \) of approximately \( 10^{-4} \) M (63,64). Localised IgG clusters may bind a C1q hexamer through multivalent contacts to increase the strength of the C1q-Fc interaction, as exemplified by a hexamer configuration of an IgG1 mutant (65).

**Interaction of human IgG1 with FcγR – FcγR receptors are present on immune cell surfaces, and are divided into low-affinity (subclasses FcγRIIA/B/C and FcγRIIIA/B) and high-affinity (subclass FcγRI only) types. Both FcγR types bind IgG immune complexes, but only the high-affinity FcγR bind monomeric IgG as well. The binding of therapeutic antibodies to native FcγR in vivo is sometimes exploited to produce drug action. The affinities of the four human IgG subclasses for specific FcγRs vary due to the presence of different contact residues in the Fc fragment and the FcγRs. Human IgG1 and IgG3 binds to all the Fcγ receptors (FcγRI, FcγRIIA, FcγRIIB/C, FcγRIIIA, FcγRIIIB) whereas IgG2 and IgG4 only bind to some of them. For FcγRI, IgG1 and IgG3 bind most strongly (\( K_A \) 6.5 and \( 6.1 \times 10^7 \) M\(^{-1}\) respectively), IgG4 binding is slightly weaker (3.4 \( \times 10^7 \) M\(^{-1}\)), and IgG2 displayed no measurable binding. For the remaining FcγRII and FcγRIII subclasses, IgG1 and IgG3 bound to all the FcγRII and FcγRIII receptors with high \( K_A \) values ranging between 1.2 \( \times 10^7 \) M\(^{-1}\) to 9.8 \( \times 10^7 \) M\(^{-1}\). In contrast, IgG4 showed low affinity binding with \( K_A \) values in the region of 2 \( \times 10^7 \) M\(^{-1}\) for FcγRIIA/B/C and no measurable binding to FcγRIIIB. IgG2 showed mostly lower affinities than IgG1, IgG3 and IgG4 for all FcγRs, including no measurable binding for FcγRIIIB (45).

Our atomistic models for intact IgG1 enable the binding of FcγR to intact IgG1 to be reviewed. Crystal structures of the IgG1 Fc-FcγRIIIB complex show that FcγR binds to the top of the Fc region close to the hinge (44,66). The feasibility of the IgG1–FcγR interaction in full-length IgG1 was revealed by superimposition of our best fit IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a structures with the IgG1 Fc-FcγRIIIB crystal structure (44). FcγR binding is seen to be permitted because the Fab regions in our IgG1 models do not sterically clash with FcγR (Fig. 14C,D). This is in contrast to the blocked human IgG4-FcγRIIIB interaction (15) (Fig. 15). Other factors affecting the strength of Fc-FcγR binding include residues present in the hinge and C\( \gamma \)2 domains. The IgG1 Fc residues associated with FcγR binding include D234LLGDP238 of the lower hinge region (67) and D265VSHE269, 297NST299 and 320PAFIE333 of the C\( \gamma \)2 domain (Fig. 2) (68,44,53). Hinge mutagenesis studies revealed that disrupting the core hinge (CPPCP; Fig. 2) leads to reduced IgG1 binding to FcγRIIIB (52). Sequence differences in the IgG subclass heavy chains may also be relevant, with IgG1 and IgG3 possesses Leu234 and Leu235 in the lower hinge region, whereas IgG2 has Val234 and Ala235, and IgG4 has a Phe234 (Fig. 2). IgG4 also has Ser330 and Ser331 substitutions compared to the other IgG subclasses (68,61). These substitutions in IgG2 and IgG4 lead to weaker FcγR binding in comparison to IgG1 and IgG3 which bind to all FcγR classes.

Steric clashes between the docked IgG1-FcγR complexes were also evaluated, and compared with those for the IgG4-FcγR models. The crystal structure of a human IgG1-Fc with the human FcRIII extracellular domains, which have a molecular weight of 20.1 kDa and an unhydrated volume of 25.8 nm\(^3\) (21). As with the IgG-C1q models above, residues making main-chain clashes were identified using Swiss-PdbViewer (58), and their amino acid volumes were summed to estimate a notional FcγR volume obstructed by the Fab-arms. Based on all the best-fit structures, the mean obstructed volume for IgG1 6a-FcγR was 6.6 nm\(^3\), that for IgG1 19a-FcγR was 1.6 nm\(^3\) and that for IgG4-FcγR was 14.8 nm\(^3\). This comparison showed that the IgG4 Fab regions hindered FcγR binding by about 3-9 times the volume than the IgG1 Fab regions.

**Stability of human IgG1 - Antibody stability is a major concern in the context of the multibillion antibody industry where stabilities may be compromised during manufacturing, shipping and storage (69). The conformational stability of IgG1 is important, as changes in the native structure may lead to aggregation or self-association (70). The stability of human IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a was explored here using different buffers and temperatures. Their \( R_T \) values increased slightly with increasing salt concentration (Fig. 7), indicating more elongated structures arise in higher salt concentrations from changes in the electrostatic interactions between surface amino acid
residues. However, no changes were revealed by the *M1* and *M2* values or *s*0.2 values (Figs. 7 and 9). No temperature dependence was observed for IgG1 6a by neutron scattering, with no changes in *Rg* and *Rχ*, and no movement of the *M1* and *M2* peaks (Figs. 8 and 9). In marked contrast to IgG1, human IgG4 displays conformational instabilities in the *P(r)* curves below 2 mg/ml, these being attributable to different diffusion-collision events at different concentrations, or to the occurrence of Fab-arm exchange in dilute IgG4 concentrations (14,15). Human IgG1 also showed no significant concentration-dependent dimerization by neutron scattering in heavy water, unlike the noticeable dimer formation seen for IgG4.

IgG1 aggregation and self-association are relevant also to the immune response in vivo as well as in treatments with therapeutic antibodies. Human serum naturally contains a total of 1% dimeric IgG1, with less than 0.03% of this being covalent IgG1 dimers (71). Different oligomeric forms of human IgG1 could enhance the binding to FcγRs and C1q through their increased avidity as expected (65,72). IgG1 dimers are found in therapeutic drugs such as Epratuzumab (73), which is currently in clinical trials, and Food and Drug Administration-approved Palivizumab (74).

The presence of dimers and/or aggregates in preparations of therapeutic antibodies is usually below 1% and is regarded as an impurity (i.e. not mediating the desired pharmacological effect). Assessing the aggregation profile of antibody pharmaceuticals is crucial as any aggregates may affect its efficacy and immunogenicity. The low amounts below 5% of IgG1 dimer observed by sedimentation velocity in four different buffers (Figs. 4 and 5) showed that IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a do not undergo significant buffer- or concentration-dependent dimerization under the conditions tested, especially in heavy water which promotes self-association. The dimer peak has an *s*0.2 value of about 9.5 S (Fig. 5), similar to that of the rabbit IgG dimer, suggesting that this dimer may be relatively compact in its structure (50). Antibodies may dimerise through the association of their Fab-Fab and Fab-Fc regions (74), although that for rabbit IgG was attributed to the formation of Fab-Fab pairs (50).
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FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. The human IgG1 domain structure. The heavy chains have VH, CH1, CH2 and CH3 domains, and the light chains have VL and CL domains. The heavy chains are connected by two Cys–Cys disulphide bridges at Cys226 and Cys229. There is one N-linked oligosaccharide site at Asn297 on each of the CH2 domains. The hinge region between the Fab and Fc fragments is composed of 23 residues (EPKSCDKTHTCPPCPAPELLGGP) between Val215 and Ser239.

FIGURE 2. Sequence alignment of human IgG1. The IgG1 6a and 19a sequences were kindly provided by Dr Bryan Smith at UCB. The IgG1 b12 sequence was taken from its crystal structure (PDB code 1HZH). A,B, The VL and CL domains. C-E, the VH and CH1 domains and the hinge. F,G, the CH2 and CH3 domains. H, comparison of the hinge sequences from the human IgG subclasses and rabbit IgG. For the VL, CL and VH domains, consecutive sequence numbering was used. For the CH1, CH2 and CH3 domains, EU sequence numbering was used.

FIGURE 3. Purification of human IgG1. A, IgG1 6a and B, IgG1 19a. For each antibody, the elution peak from a Superose 6 10/300 gel filtration column is shown on the left (mAU, milli-absorbance units) with molecular weight markers (kDa). The non-reduced and reduced SDS-PAGE analyses are shown on the right.

FIGURE 4. Sedimentation velocity analyses of IgG1. The experimentally observed sedimentation boundaries for IgG1 6a in A PBS-50, PBS-137, PBS-250 in H2O and B PBS-137 in 2H2O buffers were recorded at a rotor speed of 40,000 r.p.m. and 20°C. C IgG1 19a in PBS-137 at 20°C was also measured at 40,000 r.p.m.. Forty boundaries (black outlines) are shown from up to 745 scans at intervals of every 15th scan for clarity which were fitted using SEDFIT as shown (white lines). The right panel shows the observed s values in the corresponding size-distribution analyses c(s) revealing a monomer (M) peak at s20,w values of approximately 6.4 S for IgG1 6a and 6.3 S for IgG1 19a in H2O buffers, with a minor dimer peak (D) at about 9 S. The observed s values in 2H2O buffers are shifted to lower s values.

FIGURE 5. Summary of IgG1 sedimentation analyses. A, the s20,w values for the monomer and dimer peaks are shown as a function of IgG1 concentration in five buffers. B, the percentages of monomer and dimer from integration of the c(s) analyses. For IgG1 6a, four buffers are denoted as PBS-50 (□), PBS-137 (○) and PBS-250 (○) in H2O at 20°C and in PBS-137 in 2H2O at 20°C (●). For IgG1 19a, PBS-137 at 20°C is shown as *. For IgG1 6a, the average s20,w values of monomer and dimer and their integrations are shown for PBS-50 (―), PBS-137 (---) and PBS-250 (——) buffers in H2O at 20°C and in PBS-137 (2H2O) buffer at 20°C (− ⋅ −). For IgG1 19a, those for PBS-137 at 20°C (− ⋅ −) is shown.

FIGURE 6. X-ray and neutron Guinier Rg and Rxs analyses for IgG1.
A, the X-ray scattering curves of IgG1 6a are shown for concentrations of 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 mg/ml from bottom to top in three buffers PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 at 20°C. In PBS-137, an additional scattering curve for 4.0 mg/ml is displayed. The filled circles represent the $Q, R_g$ and $Q/R_{xs}$ ranges used to determine the $R_g$ and $R_{xs}$ values. The $Q$-ranges used for the $R_g$ values in PBS-50 and PBS-137 was 0.09-0.28 nm$^{-1}$ with the exception of 1 mg/ml IgG1 6a in PBS-50 which was 0.15-0.28 nm$^{-1}$. The $Q$-range used for the $R_g$ values in PBS-250 was 0.15-0.28 nm$^{-1}$. The $R_{xs-1}$ and $R_{xs-2}$ $Q$-ranges were 0.31-0.47 nm$^{-1}$ and 0.65-1.04 nm$^{-1}$ respectively.

B, the neutron scattering curves of IgG1 6a are shown for concentrations of 2, 3 and 4 mg/ml from bottom to top for IgG1 in PBS-137 ($^2$H$_2$O) at 6°C, 20°C and 37°C. The $Q$ range used for the $R_g$ values was 0.18-0.28 nm$^{-1}$ and those for the $R_{xs-1}$ and $R_{xs-2}$ values were 0.31-0.47 nm$^{-1}$ and 0.65-1.04 nm$^{-1}$ respectively.

C, the X-ray scattering curves of IgG1 19a for concentrations of 0.22, 0.34, 0.45, 0.68, 0.90 and 1.35 mg/ml in PBS-50 buffer, 0.30, 0.47, 0.62, 0.95, 1.27 and 1.89 mg/ml in PBS-137 buffer and at 0.26, 0.41, 0.81 and 1.62 mg/ml in PBS-250 buffer at 20°C from bottom to top. The $Q$ range used for the $R_g$ values was 0.09-0.28 nm$^{-1}$ for PBS-50 and PBS-137 buffers whereas a $Q$ range of 0.15-0.28 was used for PBS-250 buffer. The $R_{xs-1}$ and $R_{xs-2}$ $Q$-ranges were 0.31-0.47 nm$^{-1}$ and 0.65-1.04 nm$^{-1}$ respectively.

**FIGURE 7. Concentration and temperature dependence of the X-ray and neutron Guinier analyses.** The Guinier analyses are shown in Fig. 6. The open symbols show the values from the Guinier analyses, and the filled symbols show those from the $P(r)$ analyses. A, C, the X-ray values for IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a respectively were each measured in quadruplicate and averaged, showing the mean ± SD. The X-ray $R_g$ values are shown for PBS-50 (□ and ■), PBS-137 (○ and ◆) and PBS-250 (◇ and ◆). The corresponding X-ray $I(0)/c$, $R_{xs-1}$ and $R_{xs-2}$ values are likewise shown. The fitted lines show the mean values in PBS-50 (- -), PBS-137 (- -) and PBS-250 (―) buffers. For IgG1 6a, the $I(0)/c$ values were similar at 0.0187, 0.0158 and 0.0173 for PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 respectively. For IgG1 19a, the $I(0)/c$ values were also similar at 0.0178, 0.0194 and 0.0188 respectively.

B, the neutron values for IgG1 6a correspond to single measurements in PBS-137 ($^2$H$_2$O). The $R_g$ values at 6°C (◇ and ◆), 20°C (○ and ◆) and 37°C (△ and ▲). The fitted lines show the mean values at each temperature: 6°C (⋯), 20°C (- -) and 37°C (―).

**FIGURE 8. X-ray and neutron distance distribution analyses $P(r)$.** The positions of the peak maxima $M1$ and $M2$ and the maximum length $L$ are arrowed. A, the X-ray $P(r)$ curves for IgG1 6a in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 are shown for 0.5 - 2 mg/ml. An additional curve for 4.0 mg/ml is displayed for PBS-137. B, the neutron $P(r)$ curves for IgG1 6a in PBS-137 at 6°C, 20°C and 37°C are shown for 2 - 4 mg/ml. C The X-ray $P(r)$ curves of IgG1 19a for 0.22-1.35 mg/ml in PBS-50, 0.30-1.89 mg/ml in PBS-137 and 0.26-1.62 mg/ml in PBS-250.

**FIGURE 9. Summary of the X-ray and neutron $P(r)$ analyses.** A,C, the concentration dependence of the peak maxima $M1$ and $M2$ for IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a respectively are shown for PBS-50 (○), PBS-137 (□) and PBS-250 (◇). The fitted lines are the mean values in PBS-50 (⋯), PBS-137 (- -) and PBS-250 (―) buffers. B, the neutron $M1$ and $M2$ values for IgG1 6a are shown for 6°C (◇), 20°C (○) and 37°C (△) in PBS-137 ($^2$H$_2$O). The fitted lines are the mean values at each temperature: 6°C (⋯), 20°C (- -) and 37°C (―).

**FIGURE 10. Constrained modelling analysis for IgG1.** The 20,000 goodness-of-fit $R$-factors are compared with the calculated X-ray and neutron $R_g$ values for the IgG1 6a and IgG1 19a models. The 20,000 asymmetric and symmetric models are shown in yellow. The ten best-fit models with the lowest $R$-factors are shown in green, with the best-fit model shown in pink. The experimental observed $R_g$ values are shown by vertical solid lines with error ranges of ± 5% shown by dashed lines. A, hydrated X-ray models are compared with experimental X-ray data for IgG1 6a in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 at 20°C. B, unhydrated neutron models are compared with the experimental neutron curve for IgG1 6a in PBS-137 in $^2$H$_2$O at 20°C. C, hydrated X-ray models are compared with experimental X-ray data for IgG1 19a in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 at 20°C.
FIGURE 11. **X-ray and neutron scattering curve fits for the best-fit IgG1 models.** A, the three X-ray fits correspond to IgG1 6a in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 at 20°C. B, the neutron fits corresponds to IgG1 6a at 20°C in PBS-137 in $^2$H$_2$O. C, the three X-ray fits correspond to IgG1 19a in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 at 20°C. The experimental data are indicated by black circles and the modelled best-fit scattering curve is indicated by the red line. The insets correspond to the experimental and best-fit modelled $P(r)$ curves, in which $M1$ and $M2$ are arrowed.

FIGURE 12. **Sets of ten best-fit IgG1 models.** The ten best-fit models from each analysis in Figs. 9 and 10 are shown superimposed upon their Fc region (red). The two Fab regions are shown in green and blue. A, IgG1 6a in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 (X-rays). B, IgG1 6a in PBS-137 in $^2$H$_2$O (neutrons). C, IgG1 19a in PBS-50, PBS-137 and PBS-250 (X-rays).

FIGURE 13. **Distribution of the Fab-Fc distances in the human IgG1 models.** The three distances between the centre-of-mass of the two Fab and Fc regions are shown, where $d1$ corresponds to the Fab1-Fab2 separation, $d2$ to Fab1-Fc, and $d3$ to Fab2-Fc. These distances are shown in grey for the first 500 models in each of the four sets of 5,000 models after excluding the models showing steric clashes between the Fab and Fc regions. A, the 30 best-fit X-ray models for IgG1 6a are highlighted (Fig. 10A); B, the 10 best-fit neutron models for IgG1 6a are highlighted (Fig. 10B); C, the 30 best-fit X-ray models for IgG1 19a are highlighted (Fig. 10C). The orange circles represent PBS-50, black circles for PBS-137 and green circles for PBS-250.

FIGURE 14. **Superimposition of the ten best-fit IgG1 models with their C1q and FcγR ligands.** The ten X-ray best-fit IgG1 models in PBS-137 (Fig. 12A,C) are shown superimposed upon their Fc region (red), together with the crystal structure of the C1q globular head (yellow: PDB code 1PK6) or the crystal structure of the Fc (red)–FcγRIII (cyan) complex (PDB code 1E4K). A, C1q head docked with IgG1 6a. B, C1q head docked with IgG1 19a. C, FcγRIII-Fc superimposed with IgG1 6a. D, FcγRIII-Fc superimposed with IgG1 19a.

FIGURE 15. **Comparison of the human IgG1 and IgG4 complexes with their ligands.** A, best-fit model of IgG1 6a. B, best-fit model of IgG1 19a. C, best-fit model of IgG4(Ser$^{222}$). C1q is shown in yellow, and FcγRIII is shown in cyan.
Table 1. Modelling searches of the X-ray and neutron scattering and sedimentation coefficient data for human IgG1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Spheres&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>$R_g$ (nm)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>$R_{g,1}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$R_{g,2}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$D_{max}$ (nm)</th>
<th>R-factor (%)</th>
<th>$s^{20\text{w}}$ (S)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>X-ray data IgG1 6a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1 X-ray models</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>1056-1690</td>
<td>2.91-7.47</td>
<td>0.04-3.47</td>
<td>0.11-2.81</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1 b12 (PDB ID: 1HZH)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1618</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6.84; 6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray fit, 2 mg/ml, PBS-50</td>
<td>$R_g$, $R_{vis}$, spheres</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1599-1634</td>
<td>5.09-5.26</td>
<td>2.56-2.66</td>
<td>1.29-1.42</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.67-6.77; 6.39-6.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental data</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.03; 5.25 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray fit, 4 mg/ml, PBS-137</td>
<td>$R_g$, $R_{vis}$, spheres</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1600-1635</td>
<td>5.14-5.26</td>
<td>2.58-2.68</td>
<td>1.37-1.46</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.67-6.76; 6.37-6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental data</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>5.20 ± 0.06; 5.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.01</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray fit, 2 mg/ml, PBS-250</td>
<td>$R_g$, $R_{vis}$, spheres</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1594-1624</td>
<td>5.00-5.18</td>
<td>2.61-2.71</td>
<td>1.38-1.48</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.0-3.1</td>
<td>6.70-6.82; 6.47-6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental data</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>5.28 ± 0.08; 5.31 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.04</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutron data IgG1 6a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1 neutron models</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>862-1284</td>
<td>2.77-6.27</td>
<td>0.05-3.00</td>
<td>0.22-2.49</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1 b12 (PDB ID: 1HZH)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6.84; 6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron fit, 4 mg/ml, PBS-137, 20°C</td>
<td>$R_g$, $R_{vis}$, spheres</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1213-1242</td>
<td>4.93-4.98</td>
<td>2.48-2.56</td>
<td>1.17-1.24</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>2.6-2.7</td>
<td>7.09-7.41; 6.29-6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental data</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>5.18; 5.16</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X-ray data IgG1 19a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray fit, 1.4 mg/ml, PBS-50</td>
<td>$R_g$, $R_{vis}$, spheres</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1606-1642</td>
<td>5.11-5.18</td>
<td>2.55-2.63</td>
<td>1.51-1.58</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>2.8-2.9</td>
<td>6.69-6.78; 6.37-6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental data</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>5.13 ± 0.03; 5.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.04</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray fit, 1.9 mg/ml, PBS-137</td>
<td>$R_g$, $R_{vis}$, spheres</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1581-1625</td>
<td>4.92-5.10</td>
<td>2.56-2.65</td>
<td>1.41-1.52</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.6-3.7</td>
<td>6.79-6.90; 6.53-6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray fit, 1.6 mg/ml, PBS-250</td>
<td>Experimental data</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>4.96; 5.15</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.01</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_g, R_{ss}$, spheres</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1618-1655</td>
<td>5.08-5.25, 2.65-2.73</td>
<td>1.43-1.52</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.1-3.2</td>
<td>6.63-6.76; 6.40-6.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental data</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>5.29 ± 0.04; 5.32 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.01</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The optimum number of hydrated (X-ray) and unhydrated (neutron) spheres predicted from the sequence was 1607 and 1220, respectively.

The first experimental value is from the Guinier $R_g$ analysis (Fig. 6), and the second one is from the GNOM $P(r)$ analysis (Fig. 8).

The first modelled value corresponds to that from HYDRO, and the second one is that from HYDROPRO.

n.a., not applicable
n.m., not measured
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