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Regional identity for the leisure of travellers: early tourism infrastructure in the Algarve (Portugal), 1940–1965

Ricardo Agarez
The Bartlett School of Architecture, University College London, United Kingdom (Author’s e-mail address: ricardo.agarez@gmail.com)

The Algarve, the quasi-Mediterranean province of Portugal, a geographically and culturally differentiated region, was the first in the country to experience the consequences of, and to profit from, the consolidation of the Welfare State model in northern Europe: from the 1960s on, being both affordable and somewhat exotic, it became an important magnet for middle-class families in pursuit of the increasingly popular sun-and-sea holiday formula. Tentatively, the Portuguese regime, aided by private entrepreneurs, explored ways of reconciling the economic and political drive behind the process with its own deep-rooted strategy of preserving regional diversity as a valuable quality. The Algarve’s regional built identity, translated into modern mass-tourism infrastructure, was instrumental in the endeavour of marketing the country for foreign consumers as simultaneously up-to-date and traditional. Twenty years before, however, the same regional identity was construed, through tourism facilities arising from official initiatives, to contribute to the inward-focused project of nationalism. This essay uses the case of the Algarve and three specific hospitality projects realised over a two-decade interval (one 1930s’ state roadside inn and two 1950s’ sun-and-sea hotels), to discuss how leisure architecture and regional built identity were combined and manipulated to serve markedly different purposes within the same, southern European, dictatorial regime.

Introduction
We do not wish for large-scale tourism. Especially since we prefer one thousand times the foreigner to the tourist. We don’t mind seeing the foreigner by our side, with no binoculars or camera, thrilled before a stretch of sea or a bucolic landscape. [He is] a human being that carries (…) unbiased goals and a personal taste. [The tourist] is a fellow of conventional taste [who] will go only where he is taken or he is told to go (…) He may be, internationally, harmless—and even very useful—but he tarnishes the landscape. That is why our landscape dislikes tourists.¹

These words, taken from a 1940 official book that celebrated the variety of the Portuguese landscape, illustrate the hesitant position of the Estado Novo regime (1933–1974) towards the development of Portugal as an international tourism destination in pre-war times. ‘We should not want foreigners to visit us en masse’, the dictator António Salazar (1889–1970) stated in 1938, when he launched a programme of public works to mark the centennials of the country’s foundation and independence.² But Portugal did expect some visitors, foreigners if not tourists, and the government did set out then to build and operate a network of roadside inns, the
‘Pousadas’. It responded to increasing pressure from those who, inspired by foreign experience, saw the economic potential of tourism, and to the realisation that hospitality, a new ‘national question’, was the ‘Achilles Heel’ of its development.³ Directly associated with the improvement of the nationwide road infrastructure, one of the most visible public policies of the time, the Pousadas network was also intimately related with the regime’s understanding of the landscapes of Portugal which were perceived as essential constituents of national identity, mirroring in their diversity the richness of the country’s folk traditions, the Pousadas being mechanisms that would allow travellers, national or foreign, to enjoy them in a controlled manner. To unveil the Portuguese landscape whilst preserving its rural character, its authenticity and whatever made it attractive: such was the challenge, circa 1940.

The circumstances altered significantly twenty years later, when Portugal was on the threshold of a mass-tourism age and the first steps were taken to make this industry the foremost export of the country. But the challenge remained: how would large-scale hospitality architecture respond to needs that were intrinsically contemporary, while taking advantage of specific local, traditional features? And, most importantly, how could the regime remain in control of the image conveyed to foreigners, a delicate combination of tradition and modernity?

The Algarve, the southernmost region of Portugal, is a familiar holiday destination today. In the first half of the last century it was little known to foreign and Portuguese travellers alike. It remained relatively isolated and had a specific cultural heritage derived from its remote past as a separate Moorish kingdom. The vernacular building traditions of its countryside, its towns and villages, strengthened the impression of such specificity and were systematically contrasted with those of other parts of the country and of the continent. Commonly referred to as more African than European, the Algarve’s built environment had a striking appearance. Combined with lush landscapes, scenic coastlines and mild climate conditions, it created both enticing opportunities for tourism and numerous examples of the challenges posed by externally driven development affecting a stabilised, largely rural society.

The vernacular tradition was an important factor in the Algarve’s tourism infrastructure, as it was in every other field of building practice in the region, by architects and non-architects. Looking at two different stages of development (the late 1930s and the late 1950s) and at the agendas of government departments and private enterprise, this essay will enquire how this building tradition was used and to what effect; what was included, highlighted and excluded, and why; and to what extent the Algarve’s built identity was manipulated through hospitality architecture, a process that led to the creation of a new built identity in the region, essentially based on tourism, in the 1970s. Taking account of matters of regional identity, national politics and economic drives, the Algarve example suggests how closely knitted these factors were in the production of leisure architecture, in a South European state that followed, from the late 1950s on, the new needs and possibilities of its welfare-rich northern counterparts.
The Pousada, an architectural viewport over almond-blossom fields

In 1940, the state propaganda office SPN[^1] presented Portugal’s coastline in terms that may seem surprising today: It might be supposed that the Portuguese, following their Atlantic calling, prefer seaside panoramas over bucolic ones (...). This is not so. Apart from the few beaches that, between July and October, are crowded (...), the finest stretches of coast in the country (many of which are suitable building areas) remain as empty as though they were hideous.5

The shores had a near-mythological quality, and were the unifying trait of a richly varied hinterland—but were not the primary and outstanding characteristic of the Portuguese landscape. The sea (mar) was masculine (both in the protective ruggedness of the coastline and in the gender of the word mar), while the landscape (paisagem) was feminine and delicate, and ill-fitted to the ‘indiscreet, almost insolent’ gaze of the tourist. In the landscape’s diversity, ‘naturalness and lyricism’, lay the main source of interest for visitors, to be handled with caution and moderation. Landscape was a key factor for the establishment of tourism in the country, as valuable as Portugal’s best monuments and offering a complete and varied experience that included, but was not limited to, the scenic but deserted coastline. In 1940, the conservative Estado Novo regime seemed reluctant to open up the country to mass tourism, and preferred to accommodate the essential needs of small numbers of travellers where there were little or no structures, within a closely defined official programme that would simultaneously guide private enterprise.

Such was the brief behind the construction of Portugal’s first Pousadas,6 launched by the ministry of public works in 1938 and intended to be ready in time for the 1940 centennial celebrations and the Exhibition of the Portuguese World in Lisbon. The government defined the Pousadas as: Houses of regional architecture [integrated with] the architecture and traditions of the areas they represent (...) furnished and decorated with similar regionalist concern, so that those who visit them keep in their retina the sweet images of the ethnography and architecture of the diverse regions of this old Portugal.7

These were the ‘houses’ created to lodge travellers that roamed the landscapes praised by the propaganda office, using the new roads resulting from the Estado Novo’s public works policies. Their stated purpose was to ‘represent’ each region’s characteristic architecture and ethnography, and scholars have associated this aspect with the work of the architect Raul Lino (1879–1974) on Portuguese regional types, especially popular in the 1930s.8 They were to be placed alongside essential road links and/or in points of unquestionable panoramic interest. In the case of the Algarve Pousada, the second requirement was paramount; significantly, however, the choice fell not on a seaside location but on a hilltop near São Brás de Alportel, twenty km inland, among fields of almond, carob and fig trees. When it finally opened in 1944, this setting was presented in cinematic terms: recreating the experience of the motorist who overcomes the 350 curves of the mountain...
range separating the Algarve from the neighbouring Alentejo, Alportel appeared as:

The first whitewashed town our eyes envisage [in the Algarve]. All around, the landscape is more dream-like than real. Hills, cliffs, suspended gardens. In the background, behind a tender rift in the mountains, the infinite sea. [Alportel] signals the frontier between well-being and discomfort (…). From a round-shaped balcony, the panorama is breathtaking. (…) [Tourist excursions] today offer no more dangers or significant difficulties. There are roads and there are Pousadas.9

The Pousada (Fig. 1) was to provide a pause in the long-distance journeys made possible by recent road improvements, be it for a night or for a meal; and its location was to allow for the building to function as a viewport over a large panorama, one that could represent the best of the Algarve’s landscape. The whole central and eastern Algarve was to be as if compressed into this sample of rural landscape, to be apprehended from the fixed point of a building that was an observatory, first and foremost (Fig. 2). The sea was kept in the distant background, and no mention was made of the possibility of guests enjoying it. This was clearly not the main purpose of the Algarve Pousada.

In fact, this preference for the rural over the maritime setting was criticised within official bureaucracy by Raul Lino himself, in his capacity as ministerial architect-planner. In a 1938 report Lino denounced the rationale of the Pousadas plan, matters of regional characterisation aside: he targeted the location of the Algarve Pousada especially. It was too far inland to allow guests to take advantage of the then-recent trend of ‘sea-bathing even outside of the appropriate season’ and, ‘surprising as the panorama enjoyed from that point may be’, still it lacked the ‘vital conditions’ that would make it economically viable: being only ‘meant to serve pure tourism’ (ie, short-stay breaks within sightseeing tours, not long-stay holidaying), it was ‘too distant from the major centres’ to succeed in that purpose.10 Lino, a conservative thinker by reputation, seems to have realised that the future of the Algarve’s hospitality industry lay on the coastline, and not inland, lyric as its panoramas may have been; his remarks questioned an official strategy that ignored international trends (towards seaside holidaying) and pursued a cautious, conservative agenda. Lino’s critique was disregarded, the plan proceeded unchanged and his real influence in the Pousadas programme was in effect diminished.

What of the architecture chosen to represent the Algarve in this national programme? ‘Novelty without exoticism’11 were the words Lino used in January, 1939, to praise the work of the architect Miguel Jacobetty (1901–1970): an appropriate shorthand for a project that dealt with a concise brief and a limited range of compositional devices, providing unsophisticated room and board in a building devoted to the contemplation of the surrounding landscape. Four bedrooms (for up to eight guests) occupied the upper floor of a four-pitch-roofed volume, and a large sitting-dining room for 52 people (two bus-loads), partly curved and glazed, opened onto a pergola-covered verandah (Fig. 3). This, the fulcrum of the building, overlooked ‘the best horizons’ and could be used, in the summer, for open-air dining.12
A selection of details provided the exterior with the regional aspect required for all Pousadas: the wooden lattice panels sheltering the entrance porch, the closely laid pergolas, the single-pitch-roof volume of the garage and the roof terraces. Elaborate chimney tops, thoroughly detailed by Jacobetty, featured prominently on the elevations, and a double-height ceramic grid protected the staircase from the morning sun. This composition was what might be called *generically Algarvian*: a good example of a Lisbon-based take on the region’s customs, displaying elements that vaguely evoked building tradition (the lattice panels, the slanted garage volume) and replicated stereotypical features (the chimney top, the ceramic grid, the pergolas). Its source of inspiration was the rural house of central Algarve, which combined parapet-bound terrace roofs with single-pitch tiled roofs and protruding eaves, in composite volumes entirely whitewashed. Such elements were, however, freely and
inextricably combined with recognisable modernist and conservative features (the cylindrical surfaces and the round arches, respectively). This architecture’s multi-layered hybridity was, I believe, its strongest point.

The Pousadas were to encapsulate their context, the surrounding landscape (with all its meanings) and ultimately the entire region: they were to be regional condensers, allowing for passing travellers to sample a aestheticised, sublimated version of regional identity. Jacobetty’s was a tamed interpretation of the Algarve’s built identity; it was discreet, domestic and familiar as called for in the brief—or, as Lino put it, ‘without exoticism’.

Such ‘exoticism’ was present in many metropolitan interpretations in the 1930s and 1940s of the Algarve’s building tradition, particularly in urban contexts: this was based in the idiosyncratic features of Olhão, a fishing village whose recent history of economic exchange with North Africa reputedly
accounted for a marked extra-European profile. Despite being almost unique, Olhão’s townscape was a fixture in representations of the Algarve from the 1920s, seducing adepts of the picturesque and modernists alike.\textsuperscript{13} With overlapping white-washed volumes, terrace roofs and box-like turrets, it was repeatedly called Portugal’s ‘cubist village’, a title first used in 1922 by António Ferro (1895–1956).\textsuperscript{14} As head of the national propaganda office (in charge of the Pousadas network), Ferro deployed the Olhão ‘cubist’ house as a surrogate for the Algarve as a whole, whenever the region was to be represented, at home and abroad. In 1937, Olhão was presented at the Paris international exhibition as the ideal pictorial depiction of the Algarve,\textsuperscript{15} and Ferro’s slogan in the Tourism room of the Portuguese pavilion proclaimed: ‘Qu’au Portugal il y a une ville cubiste’.\textsuperscript{16} In the San Francisco and New York pavilions of 1939, Portugal was miniaturised and the Algarve section was, once more, a composition dominated by Olhão-inspired buildings.\textsuperscript{17} Lastly, for the 1940
Exposition of the Portuguese World in Lisbon, the architect Jorge Segurado (1898–1990) created for Ferro’s office a life-size version of those miniatures: the Algarvian section of the ensemble of Aldeias Portuguesas (‘Portuguese Villages’) was a metal-frame-and-plasterboard simulacrum of the much-admired Olhão ‘cubist’ house (Fig. 4).18

It would seem that ‘exotic’ Olhão was the favourite official model to encapsulate the Algarvian features for national and foreign travellers. However, this representation had essentially urban qualities, and would not suit the pastoral requirements of a Pousada – a country home that guests should feel had always been there.19 To build a piece of Olhão on top of a hill in Alportel would have been a mistake that architects and officials were unlikely to make, even within a plan driven by political, as much as architectural, aims. Both Jacobetty’s homely cottage and Segurado’s plastically rich Olhão-like cubes were deemed to be deserving representatives of the region, for use according to the circumstances. Around 1940, the regime and its agents seemed to have a clear view of how best to manipulate regional identity in order to attain differing purposes.

**Algarve on the verge of mass tourism, an hotel on the edge of the sea**

In 1959, Lisbon-based architects Jorge Chaves (1920–1982) and Frederico Sant’Ana (1921–1961) were commissioned to design a small, thirty-room hotel for an exceptional location, on the cliffs overlooking the beach at Armação de Pêra (Fig. 5). In a preliminary proposal for this, the Hotel do Garbe, they sought to probe the response of the authorities, stating:

We envisaged a building (…) that would not surprise (…) with its size, majesty or exoticism, but rather would have been sensed before it had been seen because it is equal in spirit to other built masses that can be found, everywhere, in the Algarve, where Man has spontaneously created an architecture that is organic and alive (…). One only has to comprehend and feel the truth there is in Man’s constructions in the Algarve, (…) the wisdom that the Algarvian ‘architect’ demonstrates when he establishes a human scale with which to measure his buildings, a character [stemming] from his logical use of materials and, most importantly, from his concept of dwelling, which he feels in his blood [and] leads him to calve flesh of his own flesh just as a female cannot but give birth to anything other than her own child, her same, always bettered and more apt to live in her environment.20

The project was received in the tourism department SNI with such enthusiasm that the developer was led to double the number of rooms. The SNI defended the project, which disregarded minimum distances from the cliffs’ edge, from criticism by the maritime and planning departments, asking them to accept the location ‘in view of [its] true touristic relevance’.21 The officials praised ‘the effort to achieve a valid architectural work’ and the adoption of a fragmented solution instead of a single volume.22 This support eased the project’s approval, in 1961, by all central and local government bodies, and its classification as a ‘touristic utility’, a special status carrying significant tax exemptions.23
Chaves’s and Sant’Ana’s expressive statement focused on a few essential points: first, their intention to respect the ‘natural setting, the jagged and sinuous cliffs’ and to ‘enhance their jaggedness against the blue sky, giving it a more clear and pure profile through the use of built masses rendered in whitewash’ (Fig. 6). Secondly, their own ‘spatial-dynamic concept’ that exposed the hotel’s organism through an evolving play of volumes, exploring the changing shadows projected by consecutive planes and masses. And finally, their suggestion of a ‘natural’, ‘sensed’ building, a combination of their own design skills, the requirements imposed by the site and the lessons learned from the Algarve’s building tradition, its geographic and human environment, informal agents and unwritten rules.

The Hotel do Garbe designers shared, in the late 1950s, the renewed attention to vernacular buildings that engaged architects the world over. They belonged to a group of Portuguese post-war architects that followed closely the Inquérito à Arquitectura Popular process, the survey of folk architecture in Portugal, reputedly a collective effort to identify modern architecture with vernacular practice and thereby to legitimise the former. By
1959, Chaves was increasingly integrating the lexicon of post-war international modernism with Portuguese regional materials, techniques and formal solutions, and developing a strong personal vocabulary, apparent in some of his best 1960s’ work in Lisbon.

This was Chaves’s first large-scale commission in the Algarve and a good opportunity to realise his version of the region’s ‘true’ traditional features. A three-pronged, three-floor layout formed a sinuous plan that followed the edge line, with an additional fourth wing, half-buried and landscape-roofed, whose bedrooms sat only five metres above beach level; a sequence of platforms deployed as communal spaces (lounge, dining and sitting rooms, dining terraces) against the seascape. In the upper floors, bathrooms were externalised in protruding box-like volumes that created deep, hollowed-out balconies and precisely framed views. The concept, somewhat unusual for modern hotel design, had a well-known

Figure 5. Hotel do Garbe (Armação de Pêra, Silves), n.d. [196-]; anonymous photographer (courtesy of the Arquivo Nacional da Torre do Tombo/Secretariado Nacional de Informação fonds, Lisbon).
precedent in André Lurçat’s Hotel Nord-Sud in Corsica (1929–1931), an early instance of modernist engagement with Mediterranean vernacular, but the Garbe’s inset terraces had a more dramatic effect.

The hotel, in Chaves’s words, would respond to the Algarve’s challenging climate by ‘opening up, here and there, to frame the surrounding panoramas, while sheltering [guests] from the blinding outside light’. The same whitewash ‘that for centuries has covered roofs, terraces, walls, pavements and steps, sometimes smudging the floor’, would tie the building to others ‘equal in spirit’ and, eventually, allow it to ‘remain alive in a hundred years’ time. In short, with formal metaphors that generated a ‘spiritual’ relationship between the 1959 Hotel do Garbe and the age-old, anonymous forms of the Algarvian ‘architect’, the designers sought to minimise the impact of the building on its immediate context, and to bestow upon it a ‘natural’ long
life: overcoming the limitations of passing architectural trends, it belonged in the long-span lineage of vernacular architecture.

Finished in 1963, the Garbe soon became popular with both architectural and general media. Compliments came from the British Council of Industrial Design’s press officer, who stayed and wrote to Chaves praising that ‘most successful building (...) which so brilliantly combines the indigenous materials of your country with an uncompromising modernity’. The magazine Arquitectura commended the project for, ‘with an extraordinary strength’, its contribution to enhancing ‘the landscape’s purest features—the shape and colour of its cliffs’. A 1964 Life magazine feature on the region showed the breakfast service on the terrace of the Garbe, ‘which is known as the Ritz of the Algarve’. A travel writer called it ‘a personal choice for quieter holidays (...) terraced down to the beach, rooms crisply whitewashed and furnished in Algarve style’.

The hotel’s planning process seems particularly noteworthy here, irrespective of its architectural and commercial successes. The encouragement provided by the highest tourism authority in Portugal, occasionally countering the views of other government agencies, suggests that this formula coincided with the SNI’s vision for Algarve’s hospitality industry. Or, to be more precise, that the correct balance between the economic potential of the Algarve’s tourism industry and its natural and human features was a concern of the office, and that the blend of regional tradition and international modernity proposed by the Garbe’s designers may have been seen as a positive solution to the problem.

In 1959, as the Garbe was being designed, Portugal’s government seemed hesitant as to the best way to accommodate growing foreign demand. Pedro Teotónio Pereira (1902–1972), the Minister in charge of the SNI, voiced the regime’s perplexities at this crossroads when he opened the Ritz, the first modern luxury hotel in Lisbon. ‘It is no longer the time to philosophise on the good and evil of modern tourism’ he conceded. ‘We are, however, at great risk of ruining much of what nature and the past generations have left us’. A blatantly modernist building such as the Ritz was acceptable only in Lisbon’s modern neighbourhoods, and would be ‘unforgivable nonsense’ in the old town. Similarly, in the old villages of the inland or the seaside, in Beira as in the Algarve, where there is local beauty and character, the artists’ role is not indiscriminately to reproduce small-scale, budget-conscious Ritzes, but to interpret the suggestions of the environment (...). There are plenty of empty spaces where new styles and ideas can be experimented with. [Some] traditional townscapes have to be preserved, protected and even improved and completed, if possible. That is what most of our visitors best appreciate and try to get to know. (...) The entire vast infrastructure that modern tourism imposes on us must be sensitive to that spirit of comprehension of local qualities.

The Estado Novo regime of the late 1950s was altogether different from that of twenty years before, and the realisation of the value of tourism was now an inescapable ‘imposition’ even for conservative political circles. As the Minister’s speech indicates, there was a reluctance to let go of past formulae (easily controllable, small numbers of
travellers staying overnight in bucolic panoramas) and to embrace the demands of ‘modern tourism’. The government would keep on building Pousadas ‘where nothing else exists’ but would have to make way for private developers to produce largerscale structures. And while its officials still seemed determined to combine international standards with Portuguese specificity, the state knew that it was taking a serious step: it was passing on the responsibility of interpreting local or regional character through architecture, which it had so closely controlled in the Pousadas programme, to businesspeople whose key goal was commercial profit. This was a fundamental change in the production of Portuguese hospitality structures, which the Hotel do Garbe epitomises.

The Garbe, however, was not especially problematic: despite its sensitive setting, it was designed for one of those ‘empty spaces’ for experiments with ‘new styles and ideas’, and did not have to deal with the ‘traditional townscapes’ that were consensually considered, along with low living costs and the climate, as the key to Portugal’s touristic success. As a guide to Spain and Portugal put it naïvely in 1956, these countries ‘have sturdily preserved the authenticity of their culture and the originality of their way of life; as a result, their attractions for the visitor [remained] unspoiled’, and their prestige ‘as favourite holiday haunts’ was rising. Portugal’s remoteness and underdevelopment were still attractive, as were the Algarve’s character, landscape, villages and people. One visitor wrote in 1959: ‘I am in a way happy to say that there is very little accommodation, since this is undoubtedly why the Algarve is so unspoilt. (...) Albufeira—I hate to say it because I am so afraid that I may spoil it—is one of the most beautiful places I have ever seen. (...) There are only two simple pensions here’. 36

In fact, these personal impressions of ‘a country not only most beautiful but also completely unselfconscious’ ran simultaneously with the international advertisement of Portugal as the land of ‘The sunniest climate—The bluest sea—The sandiest beaches,’ where there was no rain ‘but tourists pour in’. And the fishing village of Albufeira embodied the ‘traditional townscapes’ where tensions created by the construction of ‘small-scale Ritzes’ rose in the late 1950s, as illustrated by the planning process of its first large hotel, the Sol e Mar. In September, 1959, shortly before the Minister’s speech (and possibly prompting his comments), ‘touristic utility’ status was requested for Hotel Sol e Mar, designed by the architect Fernando Silva (1914–1983) for a plot immediately below the chapel whose tower was Albufeira’s landmark. The modernist slab of this fifty-room hotel was to be inlaid in the cliff wall so as not to be seen from within the village. Inversely, from the sea, its presence would be imposing: a six-storey concrete structure interrupting the rugged cliff backdrop to the scenic Peneco beach (figs 7, 8). the Prime Minister, Salazar, who had the final word on classification as a ‘touristic utility’, was shocked at the idea. Persuaded to support a fait accompli in this case (the building was under construction), he nevertheless insisted that his government should normally
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Figure 7. ‘Praia do Peneco’ (Albufeira), the Hotel Sol e Mar under construction, n.d. [195-?], photograph by A. Pastor (courtesy of the Arquivo Municipal de Lisboa/Núcleo Fotográfico, Lisbon).
Figure 8. ‘Praia do Peneco’ (Albufeira): the Hotel Sol e Mar, n.d. [196-]; photograph by A. Pastor (courtesy of the Arquivo Municipal de Lisboa/Núcleo Fotográfico, Lisbon).
exercise this prerogative over hospitality structures in order to regulate their design:

[Considering] the crisis that architecture faces among us, it is convenient that official entities and technical services are consulted on the architectural quality of hotels that request the touristic utility grade. (…) We can exert a useful influence in moderating the distemper and whims of the modern art of construction, which should not be mistaken for architecture, at least by not conceding the status to buildings that lack minimum artistic requisites.40

The conflicting views on the subject were further exposed shortly afterwards, when an English artist wrote to Salazar begging him to ‘save Albufeira’. Trimnell-Ritchard thought that the ‘immense block in contemporary style, with all the usual clichés and ignoring the beautiful and simple architectural style of the Algarve’, could still be modified, citing the good example of the Pousadas plan, where preservation of the extant environment and the needs of travellers were reconciled. He alerted Salazar to the fact that Portugal should not try to attract tourists based on the sun-and-sea formula alone, but should rely also on ‘the magnificent buildings and simple, charming villages’, which were being disfigured by modern designs that, ‘when trends wear out, will appear as simply ugly’.41 More significant than the complaint, however, was the response it triggered. Asked to comment on the complaint (and, implicitly, to account for the construction), the Minister of Public Works, Arantes e Oliveira (1907–1982) admitted that he approved of both location and design. To erect this large building away from Albufeira’s centre, he conceded, would have saved the village’s picturesqueness and averted a clash with the new. Yet its houses were generally modest, in poor condition and devoid of individual ‘plastic interest’, and would not last long; consequently, once the ‘inevitable transformation’ of the village occurred, and the ‘current, poor picturesque [was] transmuted into another formula, less pleasing to the painter and the poet, but more civilised (…) the hotel now under construction [would] look better’.42

The short dispute about the Sol e Mar’s modernist slab encapsulates the conflicting positions within the highest echelons of the Portuguese government regarding tourism development in the Algarve. The assertive position of the Minister of Public Works—with his extraordinary defence of a modernist hotel building as a catalyst for the urban renewal of a backward and deprived, if picturesque, fishing village—made clear how, at this threshold, there was not one clear road to follow, but many. There was no straightforward balance between such development and the region’s characteristics, natural and human, even in as centralised a state as Portugal then was. Central agencies were under pressure from developers, and had only limited leeway to resist their interests, whatever the Prime Minister’ own views.43 Furthermore, the region’s village architecture, traditional and picturesque, had a dark, insalubrious, decadent side44 to which tourism development was likely to be seen as an eagerly awaited antidote. Local character might draw tourism, that modern phenomenon, but would eventually be itself modernised. In Albufeira, the Hotel Sol e Mar was kept hidden from one of the village’s best viewpoints, and its presence in
the cliff remained an exception; the pressure of speculation and the insistence on the centre’s picturesque potential later converged in unrestrained expansion inland, resulting in an exemplarily flawed case of tourism development. The visitor who found Albufeira to be ‘the St. Tropez of the Algarve’ in 1968, and noted that ‘Development is almost out of the question because there is only space to go up the hillside’, was at least in this respect right.

Epilogue
The Algarve’s fully-fledged touristic development and built environment transformation took place only after the opening of an international airport in Faro, in 1965. However, the late 1950s were the pivotal moment when the main elements in the equation fell into place: the region’s delicate natural and man-made character and its exotic allure to national and foreign travellers, on the one hand, and the consequences of the exploitation of its attractions on that same character, on the other. Twenty years before, the difficulty of such balance was already hinted at, but a combination of internal policy and worldwide disruption (the war) prevented it from being tested.

Leisure architecture was asked to engage with the features of a conspicuous vernacular tradition, whether in more linear or sophisticated ways. The forms such negotiation produced offer a catalogue of metropolitan views of Algarve’s built identity, and an insight into how the stances of different actors modulated. Some forms may have been closer to central politics (the Pousada) and others further away (the Hotel Garbe), but they shared the same instrumental approach to the Algarve’s features, aimed at potentiating the integration of demanding new facilities with the extant environment. Both were compromises, viable when the scale was insignificant (the Pousada) or the setting was generous (the Garbe). When post-war modernism forced its way in and (allegedly) believed its vernacular context would be transformed whilst being assimilated, the catch was exposed: large-scale hospitality architecture and fine-grained local building identity became mutually dependent, but the former could hardly avoid endangering the latter, and would eventually kill it—or, depending on one’s viewpoint, deeply transform it.

In a broader sense, the two stages of development of tourism infrastructure in Algarve examined in this essay may also be seen as exemplary of how such processes, determined by purposes and concerns well beyond the sphere of architecture, can modulate according to changing internal and external circumstances. The Portuguese Estado Novo regime of the late 1930s used hospitality policies and architecture as tools in a complex, all-encompassing programme of nation building, both physical and metaphorical. The Pousadas were condensers of regional architecture, but above all they were instruments of control: through them, the regime displayed the country and its landscapes according to precise guidelines (‘diversity in unity’), and sought to control the way travellers experienced Portugal, from the building’s location down to the dining room’s tableware and the staff’s uniforms. In an incipient industry, the state established the rules and tried to perfect a largely imperfect reality.

Two decades on, with the rise of North-European Welfare State markets for Algarve’s tourism and of
internal awareness of its economic importance, the regime was forced to adjust its stance. There was no longer a case for controlling the experience of the traveller with low-key facilities and country house simulacra: this was now an ideal basis on which to showcase Portugal’s distinct formula of modernity and tradition, of international sophistication and local idiosyncrasy. As it gave way to private enterprise, the State maintained the illusion that such a balance could be kept—a balance that in many ways mirrored the conundrum that the state itself faced, trying to sustain a fascist regime in post-war western Europe. And even its representatives’ actions seemed engaged in the same tightrope-walking exercise. When, in July, 1965, the President of the Republic visited the Algarve finally to inaugurate the airport in Faro, he lodged at the Alportel Pousada and attended a gala dinner and fireworks display in the Hotel Sol e Mar in Albufeira, thus combining two tenses of tourism in the Algarve—the past (the Pousada) and the present (the Hotel)—and evoking the sense of transition towards a future (the airport) that seemed promising but unclear.
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