Image Enhancement of X-ray Phase Contrast Images of Micro Objects

Application to the detection of small components in threat objects
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Abstract

In x-ray based baggage scanning, the ability to identify small devices (e.g. detonator components) and explosives in baggage or shipped parcels relies on being able to characterize the materials and details that make up an x-ray image. Recently, an improvement over existing baggage scanning techniques has been proposed in the form of a system employing x-ray phase contrast imaging, as this was shown to detect smaller/fainter features and to be more sensitive to materials textures (small-scale inhomogeneities, etc).

This paper deals with additional image processing performed on the phase contrast images produced by the above system, to further improve its potential. It uses textural analysis to enhance imaged micro-structures and devices, and it has been found to be able to provide a contrast increase of up to 300% on a series of images of a phantom mimicking the presence of an explosive device plus detonator components.
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Introduction

X-ray phase contrast imaging (XPCI) is a novel imaging method that, by exploiting a different physical principle i.e. refraction/interference instead of attenuation, substantially enhances the sensitivity of x-ray imaging (Davis et al 1995, Snigirev et al 1995). While initially the technique was considered restricted to synchrotrons or at the very least micro focal sources, more recently methods have emerged that enable its implementation with conventional x-ray tubes (Pfeiffer et al, 2006, Olivo and Speller 2007). This opens the way to applications in a variety of areas; among these, improved detection of threat objects was recently demonstrated in security scanning (Olivo et al, 2011, Ignatyev et al, 2011a,b).

Phase contrast X-ray imaged micro-objects are generally characterized by a higher contrast compared to standard absorption imaging. Moreover, any inhomogeneity in the object composition (at the pixel scale) would typically show up as image contrast thus creating a texture which is well suited for analysis. Texture often offers key complementary information to more extended, pixelated signal level as it is a spatial variation of the grey level rather than of its average value. Indeed in some cases in ultrasound imaging (Mohamed et al, 2003), SAR (Holmes et al, 1984, Ulaby et al, 1986) or biometrics (Daugman,1993) the texture (Jain et al, 1997) can be the preferred characteristic to be analysed.

According to the work of Bela Julesz, founder of texton theory (Julesz 1962, 1981, 2010), the Human Vision System (HVS) “sees” predominantly by means of textural perception. The HVS has been found to be sensitive to the frequency, direction and orientation of the viewed elements. Directionality and repetition are represented by orientation and frequency, whilst complexity is related to texture consistency.

Marcelia (1980) and Daugman (1980,1985) arrive at similar results starting from theoretical basis, by modelling the receptive field of cells in the visual cortex by means of 2D Gabor functions. Models of ‘grating’ and ‘bar’ cells in in V1 & V2 monkeys’ visual cortex were shown in Petkov et al (1997).
FIG. 1  X-RAY PHASE CONTRAST IMAGES OF THE CUSTOM BUILT PHANTOM SIMULATING A PLASTIC EXPLOSIVE DEVICE PLUS VARIOUS BINDING FIBRES AND THIN METALLIC WIRES (SEE TEXT). IMAGES A, B, C AND D WERE ACQUIRED AT INCREASING X-RAY TUBE VOLTAGES, AS LABELLED.

FIG. 2 A. FREQUENCY DECOMPOSITION PROCESS. AN INTEGRATION OF THE ORIENTATION DECOMPOSITION PROCESS FOR THE RANGE ≈ 0 - 135° LEADS TO SERIES OF IMAGES FOR THE RANGE OF SCANNED FREQUENCIES. IMAGES ARE LABELLED WITH THE FREQUENCY. AT SOME FREQUENCIES, THE INTENSITY RATIO BETWEEN OBJECTS (WIRES, PLASTICS) AND BACKGROUND APPROACHES 300%. THE UNPROCESSED IMAGE IS ALSO SHOWN FOR COMPARISON (WITH THE TITLE ‘ORIGINAL ZOOM IN’). B. A COMPARISON BETWEEN THE UNPROCESSED IMAGE (‘ORIGINAL’) AND TWO IMAGES SELECTED FROM THE RANGE OF PROCESSED ONES IS PRESENTED. WHEN THE IMAGE ENERGY IS ESTIMATED, ITS LOCAL EXTREME POINTS IDENTIFY TWO IMAGES AS MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM FOR THE SCANNED RANGE. THE IMAGE CORRESPONDING TO THIS MAXIMUM ENERGY IS ENTITLED ‘FILTER AMAX NR 10, R=0.59, F=0.62’. THIS INFORMATION INCLUDES THE FILTER INDEX IN THE FILTERBANK (NR 10), THE ENERGY FACTOR R, AND THE FREQUENCY F (EXPRESSED AS REVOLUTIONS/IMAGE WIDTH). THE IMAGE AT THE MINIMUM ENERGY CONDITION IS ENTITLED WITH ‘FILTER AMIN NR 1, R=0.10, F=0.20’, ACCORDING TO THE SAME CATEGORIZATION CRITERIA.
In this framework by using Gabor filters optimal combined resolution can be achieved both in the spatial and frequency domain, as explained in Daugman (1985) and Jain (1991). These features has been used for image modeling in physiology and biometrics (Yunhong Wang et al., 2003), where textural features are used to generate a map of image features. This can be implemented through the ‘Iris Code’, which is based on complex valued 2D Gabor wavelets response to an image as feature descriptor (Daugman, 1993, 1994). More recently, a different software model was proposed (‘Finger Code’, Jain et al, 1999), which has 192 feature-space size, and is based on even-symmetric Gabor filter response to a fingerprint.

This work presents a novel approach to enhance the visibility of micro objects and materials imaged with XPCI through enhancing via a Gabor filterbank approach, based on textural features.

**Materials and Methods**

**Filter Implementation**

A Gabor function (Gabor, 1946) is a multi-channel filter which has been implemented for texture analysis (Coggins, 1985). It effectively acts like a wavelet-based approach with optimal spatial and frequency identification performance, and as such can successfully isolate specific frequencies and orientations. Those features make it suitable for texture analysis. In terms of functionality, a Gabor function is a Gaussian-modulated wave. It is a product of an elliptical Gaussian with centre (X, Y) and aspect ratio $\sigma_x/\sigma_y$, and a complex wave (with spatial frequency $F = \sqrt{U^2 + V^2}$ and orientation $\theta = \tan^{-1}(V/U)$, which in the spatial domain can be written as:

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{y^2}{\sigma_y^2}\right)\right)} e^{i2\pi F \cdot (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)}$$
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For practical purposes, the filter is presented as ‘cosine’ and ‘sine’ masks. The complete mask is obtained by point wise multiplication, and is shown below:

$$\text{Cos.Mask}(x,y) = C_N e^{\frac{-r^2}{2\sigma_x^2}} \cos(\pi r^2)$$

$$\text{Sin.Mask}(x,y) = C_N e^{\frac{-r^2}{2\sigma_x^2}} \sin(\pi r^2)$$

Where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, $\pi = \frac{2\pi}{T}$, and $C_N$ is a normalization constant.

The complete mask is defined as $\text{Gabor.Mask} = \text{Sin.Mask} \ast \text{Cos.Mask}$ and the filtering process effectively involves a convolution of the image with the mask, where the parameters $\pi, T$ are set in advance.

**X-ray Imaging**

A (miniaturized) custom phantom was designed and
built to simulate the presence of a cylindrical plastic explosive plus a series of very thin (~70 µm) aluminium wire representing parts of the detonator system. A full description of the sample can be found in (Olivo et al, 2011) and (Ignatyev et al, 2011b). The imaging system is also described in the above papers; briefly, it consists of an X-Tek tungsten rotating target (Buckland-Wright, 1989) with a focal spot size of approximately 30 µm full-width at half maximum (FWHM) and operated at 1 mA and 40, 60, 80 and 100 kVp (see results section). The detector is the Hamamatsu C9732DK passive-pixel CMOS flat panel, with a pixel size of 50 micron, placed at 2 m from the source. To implement the edge-illumination condition, which enables the exploitation of phase contrast effects (Olivo et al, 2001; Olivo and Speller, 2007), two x-ray masks consisting of long vertical slits carved on a gold layer electroplated on a graphite substrate (Creativ Microtech, MD) were placed one almost in contact with the detector, and the other immediately before the sample (placed midway between source and detector). The pitch and the aperture size of the slits in the detector mask were of 100 µm and 30 µm, respectively; covering a total area of 6 x 6 cm². The pre-sample mask had the same design apart from a 50% downscaling factor accounting for the beam divergence.

Data Processing
Images were directly saved as TIF with no compression. The images are digitised with 16-bit resolution. This resolution is computationally and optically good although the human visual system cannot distinguish more than 64 levels or 2^6 of grey. The algorithms were developed in Matlab, installed on a Dell Precision Workstation with 2 x Intel® Xeon® Quad Core processors with 12 GB of RAM.

Results
Images were obtained at various X-ray tube voltages, namely 40, 60, 80 and 100 kVp as shown in figure 1 A, B, C and D, respectively. Phase effects are known to decrease more slowly with increasing energy compared to attenuation effects (Olivo et al, 2011, Ignatyev et al 2011b), so this was an essential test to make sure that image (phase) contrast would still survive at the high x-ray energy levels required by security scans.

To accelerate the computational process, a portion of the image where the main objects of interest are localised was selected. This is shown as a rectangular wire frame over images in Figure 1. From visual inspection, it is evident that the highest contrast for the micro wires is observed at 40 kVp; however, they can still be clearly detected also in the 100 kVp image. Their contrast is further enhanced through the procedure described below.

![Image](image.png)
The image obtained at the local energy maximum is analysed further, to demonstrate the contrast enhancement that can be provided by the proposed processing method. This is done by analysing image profiles extracted from a region of interest (ROI) surrounding one of the micro wires in the image (see square labelled as “1” in figure 3A). The signals from the lines in the rectangular ROI are averaged and plotted against pixel number in Figure 3B. This shows the profile variation (over the ROI) for the entire range of images at all reconstruction frequencies, with \( f \) in the range \([0.2, 0.67]\).

The analysis on images obtained at maximum energy is then repeated for different x-ray tube voltages, and the result is shown in Figure 4. The profiles obtained from the same ROIs of unprocessed and processed images are shown, for acquisitions performed at 40 kVp (Figure 4A) and 100 kVp (figure 4B). For comparison purposes, for the processed images, profiles are presented both for the maximum energy image and for images obtained one step below and one above in the filterbank \((f_{\text{Emax}-1}, f_{\text{Emax}}, f_{\text{Emax}+1})\).

As shown in Figure 2A, frequency decomposition yields a contrast enhancement of about 300%. Each frequency reconstructed image is the result of the integration of a range of orientation-decomposed images, as shown in Figure 5A. This integration is performed at a selected frequency which corresponds to the local maximum of the image energy, as indicated in Figure 5A. Some orientation bands result in a better conspicuity of the micro wires, especially in the range of 90° - 120°. A ‘jet’ colour map is used for a better visualisation of the image features. Finally, an analysis of a range of images as a function of the X-ray
tube voltage is shown on Figure 5B. It demonstrates the enhanced contrast in the filter orientation range between 101.25° - 121.25°. As anticipated (and expected), the contrast is higher at 40 kVp, but all image features are still visible at 100 kVp. Most importantly, the degree of contrast improvement provided by the proposed processing technique is similar for all tube spectra and, for the selected images, is of the order of 300%.

Conclusions

This paper combines a new imaging technique (edge-illumination XPCI) with a novel textural analysis approach, and applies it to the detection of faint features in security scanning. The XPCI method enables the generation of image contrast for small and weakly absorbing details for beam spectra of up to 100 kVp, as required in security applications; the textural processing further increases the contrast of the details of a factor up to 300%. These findings go along the lines of previous observations in Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) (Ulaby, 1986) or biometrics (Dougman, 1994), where either features already distinguishable were further enhanced, or even some which remained almost hidden due to low contrast were better revealed with the proposed filtering. It should be noted that, while here we have presented an application to security scans as a possible example, the method is general enough to be applied in other areas such as medicine, material science, etc.
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