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Abstract

Thin films of titanium (III) phosphide (TiP) have been produced from the dual-source atmospheric pressure CVD reaction of TiCl\textsubscript{4} and tristrimethylsilylphosphine. Analysis of the films using EDAX, SEM, glancing angle XRD and XPS is presented.
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Titanium (III) phosphide (TiP) is a hard-wearing metallic conductor that is extremely resistant to oxidation [1]. It has been suggested for use as a diffusion barrier for Al/W-metallization [2]. Bulk TiP has been prepared by direct elemental combination [3] and from the solid-state metathesis of TiI\textsubscript{4} and Na\textsubscript{3}P [4]. Thin films of TiP have been produced by the gas-phase reaction of TiCl\textsubscript{4} and PCl\textsubscript{3} under an argon/hydrogen atmosphere at 850 – 1050 °C but the high reaction temperature limits
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the choice of substrate for the deposition process [5]. Lower temperature CVD reactions utilising both single-source [6] and dual-source approaches [7] from the reaction of TiCl₄ and PH₂R (R = cyclohexyl or t-butyl) have been investigated. Tristrimethylsilylphosphine (TTMSP) is a functional equivalent of phosphine (PH₃) [8] and has been used for the synthesis of main-group single-source precursors to phosphide semiconductors [9] such as the thermolysis of [Cl₂GaP(SiMe₃)₂]₂ leading to gallium phosphide (GaP) [10]. However, there are no reports in the literature of its use in dual-source CVD. This is perhaps because of the perception that despite being a distillable liquid (bp 243 ºC) its vapour pressure is too low for atmospheric pressure CVD [8]. It is this relatively low vapour pressure that gives it an important benefit relative to PH₃, that of increased safety and ease of handling. The lack of reports of its use is perhaps surprising because tristrimethylsilylarsine (TTMSA) has been used for the production of GaAs in dual-source CVD reactions with GaCl₃ or Me₃Ga [11]. The boiling points of the two liquids are similar; 48-49 ºC at 0.1 Torr for TTMSA [12] and 50-52 ºC at 0.1 Torr for TTMSP [13]. Herein we report the production of thin films of titanium phosphide from the atmospheric pressure CVD of TiCl₄ and TTMSP.

Films were grown on silca-coated float glass (15 x 4 cm) using a purpose built atmospheric pressure cold-wall reactor [14]. The precursors were diverted into the gas stream by passing the nitrogen carrier gas through heated bubblers. TTMSP was synthesised according to a modified version of the literature procedure [15] (³¹P[H] NMR showed a singlet at δ -251 ppm (TTMSP) indicating purity > 98%). The reaction of TiCl₄ with TTMSP was studied under atmospheric pressure CVD conditions. At a substrate temperature of 550 ºC with a run time of 4 minutes (TiCl₄
bubbler temp. 40 °C, N₂ flow rate 0.4 l/min and TTMSP bubbler temp. 200 °C, N₂ flow rate 0.4 l/min) deposition produced an even, gold, mirror-like film. The driving force for the reaction is the elimination of Me₃SiCl (equation 1). At substrate temperatures below 550 °C film growth was too slow to produce a film suitable for analysis in a practical time frame.

\[ 3 \text{TiCl}_4 + 4 \text{P(SiMe}_3)_3 \rightarrow 3 \text{TiP} + 12 \text{Me}_3\text{SiCl} + \text{P} \quad [\text{Eqn. 1}] \]

The film produced at 500 °C was analysed using EDAX, SEM, glancing angle XRD and XPS. Glancing-angle XRD analysis revealed a crystalline phase, which indexed with a hexagonal unit cell of dimensions a = 3.493(4) Å and c = 11.74(6) Å. This compares favourably with bulk TiP; a = 3.498(1) Å, c = 11.70(1) Å for solid state metathesis prepared material [4] and a = 3.499(1) Å, c = 11.700(6) Å from elemental combination reactions [3]. EDAX showed the film was homogenous over a number of points and had TiP₁.₁ stoichiometry. XPS showed the first etched layer contained two O 1s environments, one at 530.8 eV (TiO₂ 530.6 eV) and one at 532.6 eV (PO₄³⁻ 532.4 eV) [16]. In this first layer the Ti 2p peaks were broad and poorly defined suggesting a number of species, i.e. TiP, TiO₂ and TiPO₄. Subsequent etched layers were free of oxygen contamination suggesting the oxide and phosphate formed as the result of post-reaction oxidation. After etching with argon ions the bulk film contained a Ti 2p₃/₂ binding energy of 455.0 eV (TiP 454.8 eV) and the phosphorus 2p ionisation was observed at 128.4 eV (TiP 128.4 eV) [17]. Carbon contamination was negligible in the bulk film as was chlorine contamination (below detection limit of XPS instrument, i.e. < 1 atomic %). No silicon incorporation was observed in any layer of the film. SEM showed a crazy paving surface consistent with an island.
growth mechanism. The film was determined to be 100 nm thick using SEM giving a growth rate of ~ 25 nm/minute.

The film was adherent to the substrate, passed the Scotch tape test and was not abraded by a brass stylus or steel scalpel. The film was resistant to common solvents (THF, DCM, toluene) and to concentrated HCl. Concentrated HNO₃ digested the film in 1 week. The film showed almost no transmission in the range 400 – 1200 nm although it was highly reflective, particularly in the region 600 – 1100 nm. Measurement of the room temperature resistivity yielded a value of ~ 3000 $\mu\Omega \text{cm}^{-1}$, indicating metallic-like conduction and comparing well with a value for bulk TiP of 3400 $\mu\Omega \text{cm}^{-1}$ [1]. Contact angle measurements showed the film was hydrophobic and no change was observed after irradiation at 254 nm (BDH 2 x 8 W germicidal lamp) for 1 hour. SQUID measurement in the range 2 K – 35 K indicated the film was not super-conducting. The film showed no decomposition of a test organic material after UV radiation treatment, indicating that it did not function as a photo-catalyst.

In conclusion the first dual-source CVD reaction utilising TTMSP is detailed to produce thin films of high purity, crystalline titanium (III) phosphide (TiP). The films produced via this method are hard, adherent, chemically resistant and show metallic-like conductivity and reflectance. The reaction pathway for deposition was not determined and warrants further investigation, however elimination of Me₃SiCl is certainly of importance. A previous dual-source route to TiP [7] almost certainly proceeds via a gas-phase adduct of the form TiCl₄.(phosphine)₂ which decomposes on the substrate surface to form TiP and volatile by-products. However in the reaction of TiCl₄ with TTMSP in solution a titanium (III) bis-adduct of TiCl₃(TTMSP)₂ is formed
and our investigations have shown that this is not a suitable precursor to thin films of TiP. The results suggest that the use of a volatile metal halide with TTMSP in a dual-source CVD reaction produces films of high purity metal phosphide and therefore its use in dual-source CVD could be extended.

CAUTION! The reaction of TiCl$_4$ with TTMSP could conceivably proceed via a PH$_3$ intermediate. PH$_3$ is extremely toxic and can combine explosively with air.
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