Severe infantile epileptic encephalopathy due to mutations in \textit{PLCB1}: expansion of the genotypic and phenotypic disease spectrum
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An epileptic encephalopathy is defined as a clinical syndrome associated with features of encephalopathy that present or worsen after the onset of epilepsy;\textsuperscript{1} although it may present at any age, it is most common in infancy and early childhood.\textsuperscript{1,2} The early infantile epileptic encephalopathies (EIEEs) are characterized by frequent pharmacoresistant seizures, abnormalities on electroencephalography (EEG), and neurodevelopmental delay or regression.\textsuperscript{2} Affected individuals are at risk of profound cognitive and motor impairment in the long term. EIEE can cause profound heterozygous mutations in \textit{PLCB1}. A case note review and molecular genetic investigations were performed for a child, approximately 10 months of age, admitted to Johns Hopkins University Hospital for developmental delay and new-onset seizures. The patient presented at 6 months of age with developmental delay, followed by the onset of intractable, focal, and generalized seizures associated with developmental regression from 10 months of age. Presently, at 2 years of age, the child has severe motor and cognitive delays. Diagnostic microarray revealed a heterozygous 476kb deletion of 20p12.3 (encompassing \textit{PLCB1}), which was also detected in the mother. The genomic breakpoints for the heterozygous deletion were determined. In order to investigate the presence of a second \textit{PLCB1} mutation, direct Sanger sequencing of the coding region and flanking intronic regions was undertaken, revealing a novel heterozygous intron 1 splice site variant (c.99+1G>A) in both the index individual and the father. Advances in molecular genetic testing have greatly improved diagnostic rates in EIEE, and this report further confirms the important role of microarray investigation in this group of disorders. \textit{PLCB1}-EIEE is now reported in a number of different EIEE phenotypes and our report provides further evidence for phenotypic pleiotropy encountered in early infantile epilepsy syndromes.

METHOD

Clinical case acquisition

The reported patient was evaluated at Johns Hopkins University Hospital, Baltimore, USA at approximately...
10 months of age for developmental delay and new-onset seizures. This report has been published with consent from this patient's parents.

**Molecular genetic investigations**

Please see Data S1 (online supporting information) for the molecular genetic investigation methods.9

**RESULTS**

**Clinical case summary**

This female infant was born at 37 weeks' gestation, after a typical pregnancy and delivery, with a birthweight of 3250g (25th–50th centile) and head circumference of 35cm (25th–50th centile). She is of African-American descent, the second child of healthy non-consanguineous parents, and has no significant family history. From 6 months of age, before the onset of seizures, there was some concern regarding generalized hypotonia and global neurodevelopmental delay. By 10 months of age, she developed catastrophic onset of seizures characterized by bilateral upper limb jerking, associated with eye deviation and staring. The seizures occurred multiple times daily. Some seizures were prolonged, necessitating admission, on one occasion, to the intensive care unit.

On examination at presentation, she had typical growth parameters and her head circumference was on the 75th centile. There were no dysmorphic features. She was noted to have right esotropia with right rotatory nystagmus. There was no iris coloboma or corneal clouding. Axial hypotonia was evident; however, she had good muscle bulk and typical deep tendon reflexes. Examination of the cardiovascular, respiratory, and abdominal systems was unremarkable. Brain magnetic resonance imaging at 11 months of age revealed mild global reduction of supratentorial cerebral volume and a mildly hypoplastic corpus callosum. The image was otherwise normal, with age-appropriate myelination and neuronal migration. Electroencephalography (EEG) at the age of 10 months showed a disorganized background, with diffuse slowing and multifocal spikes throughout the recording (Fig. S1, online supporting information). Occasional 10-second right and left temporal seizures were also noted. Clinical and EEG features were not compatible with any known age-dependent EIEE electroclinical syndrome and therefore can be classified as non-specific EIEE. Extensive laboratory investigations (including blood gas, plasma lactate, ammonia, creatine kinase, carnitine profile, very long-chain fatty acids, transferrin isoelectric focusing, urinary organic acids, guanidinoacetic acid, and sulphite, and cerebrospinal fluid lactate, amino acids and glucose) were normal.

Despite trials of multiple antiepileptic drug regimens there was no significant improvement in seizure control. A ketogenic diet was implemented with some modest reduction in seizure frequency. Presently, at 3 years of age, the patient continues to have approximately four or five seizures a day, mainly tonic–clonic, and is maintained on treatment with clobazam and rufinamide. She has axial and peripheral hypotonia and there is evidence of severe global neurodevelopmental delay. She is unable to sit or mobilize independently. She does not reach out to grasp objects but is able to hold small objects occasionally when these are placed in her hand. She is able to track objects visually. She does not vocalize. Owing to an unsafe swallow and risk of aspiration with liquids, a gastrostomy tube was recently inserted for fluid intake.

**Molecular genetic investigations**

A routine diagnostic microarray study identified a heterozygous 476kb deletion on chromosome 20p12.3 from base pairs (bp) 8 099 252 to 8 575 333 (Human Genome Build 37; Fig. 1). This deleted region, from rs6140539 to rs6118262, encompassed part of the 5’ region and the first three coding exons of PLCB1. Microarray studies of parental DNA confirmed the same heterozygous deletion in the mother of the proband and absence of the deletion in the father. The telomeric and centromeric genomic breakpoints were further characterized and mapped to 8 094 442 to 8 094 510 bp and 8 580 654 to 8 580 722 bp respectively (Fig. 2). Further analysis revealed that the telomeric and centromeric breakpoints lie within two L1 family long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), LIPA3 and LIPA2, occurring at chromosome 20, 8 089 514 to 8 095 564 bp, and chromosome 20, 8 575 749 to 8 581 774 bp. In order to determine whether the proband harboured a second PLCB1 mutation on the paternally derived allele, direct Sanger sequencing was performed of the whole coding sequence of PLCB1 (including flanking exon–intron boundaries) for the child and both parents. This revealed a splice site variant in intron 1 (c.99+1G>A) in both the index individual and in the father (Fig. 2). This splice site mutation, affecting the first base after exon 1, was predicted to cause deleterious splicing (Berkeley Drosophila Genome project: www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/splice.html), thereby either leading to nonsense-mediated decay or a truncated protein product.

**DISCUSSION**

We report a novel case of PLCB1-EIEE (OMIM EIEE12; #613722) with compound heterozygous gene mutations. Our report highlights a new phenotype for PLCB1-EIEE as well as a previously unreported point mutation.

**PLCB1** encodes the phosphoinositide-specific enzyme, phospholipase C β1. It is activated by G-proteins and catalyzes the generation of second messengers, inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate and diacylglycerol from phosphatidylinositol 4,5-biphosphate, an essential step in the intracellular transduction of a large number of extracellular signals.11 PLCB1 plays an important role in modulating diverse developmental and functional aspects of the central nervous system, including neurite growth and axon guidance.12 This study further highlights the importance of microarray studies as an early investigation in EIEE.
nervous system. In the murine model, biallelic knock-out of \( \text{plcb1} \) results in an epileptic phenotype. At a cellular level, it is postulated that PLCB1 deficiency selectively impairs muscarinic acetylcholine receptor signalling, thereby disrupting normal inhibitory neuronal circuitry, increasing neuronal excitability, and lowering the seizure threshold.

Our patient represents the third case of \( \text{PLCB1-EIEE} \) reported in the literature. Notably, our case differs from the previously described cases in both genotype and phenotype. The first reported case from 2010 had catastrophic onset of focal and tonic seizures in early infancy with evolution into West syndrome at 8 months of age. The second case had a different electroclinical diagnosis of malignant migrating partial seizures in infancy. Our patient represents a case of non-specific EIEE. Despite these differences, there are a number of common features including (1) seizure onset in infancy; (2) pharmacoresistant seizures; (3) abnormalities on EEG; (4) neurological/developmental regression associated with seizures; and (5) long-term cognitive and motor neurodevelopmental impairment.

Our findings also highlight the extensive phenotypic pleiotropy seen in EIEE, clearly illustrated by other cases of genetic EIEE such as those due to mutations in \( \text{STXBP1} \), \( \text{ARX} \), and \( \text{SCN1A} \). Phenotypic pleiotropy and genetic heterogeneity are increasingly recognized in EIEE. Whilst in general terms, such advances in improved genetic diagnosis are highly beneficial, on another level, it certainly renders genetic investigation of EIEE a diagnostic challenge for the clinician. It is likely that, in the future, (1) single gene testing will be limited to situations in which the clinical history and phenotype are highly suggestive of a specific gene; and (2) targeted massively parallel resequencing/multiple gene panels for candidate EIEE genes (including \( \text{PLCB1} \)) will play an increasingly important role.

Our report further emphasizes the importance of diagnostic microarray analysis in EIEE. Detection of the heterozygous deletion on chromosome 20p12.3 prompted further genetic investigation of \( \text{PLCB1} \) and the identification of the second point mutation, thereby leading to the diagnosis of \( \text{PLCB1-EIEE} \). Rare copy number variants are increasingly recognized as an important cause of EIEE, and chromosomal microarray analysis should be carried out as an early investigation in the diagnostic work-up of such cases. Whilst both of the cases of \( \text{PLCB1-EIEE} \) previously reported were associated with a homozygous \( \text{PLCB1} \) deletion, our patient was found to harbour a heterozygous deletion in tandem with an intron 1 splice site variant.

Interestingly, the deletion detected in this patient has almost identical breakpoints to those described in the individual with West Syndrome \( \text{PLCB1-EIEE} \). It is likely that the LINEs (which represent highly homologous repetitive sequences) at the breakpoint sites predispose to
chromosomal rearrangements, thereby playing an important mechanistic role in the way in which recurrent deletions occur. The second point mutation described in our individual is a novel splice site variant affecting the first base after exon 1 of PLCB1. To date, no point mutations have yet been described in PLCB1-EIEE and our report, therefore, provides further insight into other disease causing mutations within the spectrum of PLCB1-EIEE.

Figure 2: Definition of the genomic breakpoints of the deletion and sequence chromatograms. (a) Schematic diagram of PLCB1 gene comprising upstream region and exon 1 to 4 with localization of repetitive long interspersed elements. (b) Sequence chromatogram of long range polymerase chain reaction product encompassing breakpoints which are located between 8,094,442 and 8,094,510 bp in upstream sequence and 8,580,654 and 8,580,722 bp in intron 3 sequence. A 68 bp sequence which showed 100% sequence homology for both upstream and intron 3 sequence is highlighted by a yellow box. (c) Comparison of upstream and intron 3 sequence flanking the breakpoint. Differences highlighted by turquoise (upstream sequence) and purple (intrinsic sequence). (d) Sequence chromatogram from father of index individual. (e) Sequence chromatogram from the index individual. As illustrated, this splice site change appears heterozygous in the father but appears homozygous in the affected child due to absence of the deleted allele.
Identification of both of the disease-causing mutations in our patient allowed us to confirm a genetic cause for this patient’s EIEE. Establishing a genetic etiology for an individual’s epilepsy affords a number of benefits. It provides families with accurate information about risk of recurrence and thereby facilitates pre-pregnancy counseling, prenatal diagnosis, and preimplantation diagnosis. Confirmation of a genetic diagnosis can also help limit more invasive diagnostic investigations (such as lumbar puncture and tissue biopsy). For certain genetic disorders, diagnosis can guide treatment and discussions regarding prognosis. From a broader perspective, identification of causative genes contributes greatly to the understanding of disease mechanisms in EIEE and it is also likely that it will pave the way for the development of novel targeted drug therapies.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, we report a novel case of PLCB1-EIEE in an infant presenting with developmental delay and epilepsy. Autosomal recessive PLCB1-EIEE is an emerging cause of infantile epileptic encephalopathy, causing a number of different electroclinical phenotypes. It is likely that such genetic characterization of EIEE cases will lead to a better understanding of genotypes and phenotypes, as well as more elucidation of disease mechanisms and therapeutic strategies.
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SUPPORTING INFORMATION
The following additional material may be found online:

Data S1: Methods: molecular genetics investigations.

Figure S1: Electroencephalogram recordings from the proband.
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