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Outcome research

• Griffiths *et al.* (2009) review of OSGs with depression outcomes
  – 10 of 17 studies (many involving breast cancer) found positive effects on depression
  – only 2 studies looked at OSGs solely for depression
    • Houston, Cooper & Ford (2002): positive, no control group
    • Andersson *et al.* (2005): OSG as control, not positive

• Griffiths *et al.* (2012): 2×2 RCT of OSG and cCBT
  – OSG better than control at 6 and 12 months (but not 3 months)
Present study

6-month RCT:

• (1) Online support group (OSG)
  – take part in an existing online support group (www.psychcentral.com)

• (2) Expressive writing
  – write about an upsetting experience for a minimum of 5 mins every two weeks (Pennebaker & Beall, 1986)

Participants emailed every fortnight

  – OSG condition: asked about usage
  – expressive writing condition: reminded to submit their writing
Participants

- 863 participants (628 female) recruited online
- 578 from UK, 252 US and 33 Canada
- Depressed sample (mean score on CES-D 29)
- Anxious sample (GAD-7 mean 10 = moderate anxiety)
- Well-educated (87% some tertiary education)
- 46% in recent contact with mental health services
- 22% seeing a therapist; 30% taking medication
Results: Depression
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Results: Anxiety

The graph shows the decrease in anxiety (GAD-7) scores over time for two conditions: Expressive writing and Online support group. The error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval.
Results

Both groups improved over time, but no difference between conditions.

Not clearly different from usual progression.
Results

- At 6 months, 82% attrition in OSG group v. 65% in expressive writing group
- Only 57 participants engaged with the OSG, even fewer to much degree
- Acceptability:
  - positive feedback for expressive writing
  - mixed feedback for OSG: UK participants reported US group members “more depressed” than them
- Engagement with the OSG best predicted by expectations
Two RCTs for OSGs for depression, with very different results

Griffiths *et al.* (2012)
- 12 months
- Control: Online material on health
- Score over 22 on K10 following mass screening
- OSG built for this trial
- Lower attrition

Current study
- 6 months
- Control: Expressive writing
- Self-referred volunteers
- Refer to existing OSG
- High attrition
Conclusions

• Little difference in outcome between online support and expressive writing conditions
• OSGs less acceptable than expressive writing
• Higher attrition and less adherence in the OSG
• Can psychologists routinely recommend when acceptability so low (10% engagement)?
• … or, might some groups be useful for some people?
Thanks to Nancy Pistrang (UCL) and John Grohol (Psych Central)
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