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1. Executive Summary

There has been a significant NHS emphasis on development and application of patient-reported outcome and experience measures (PROMs and PREMs) and advances in their routine application in adult health care. Less attention has been given to translation of PROMs and PREMs into routine health care practice for children and young people.

In order to understand current developments and challenges surrounding development and application of PROMs and PREMs in paediatric healthcare, a workshop (sponsored by the MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health and the MRC Public Engagement grant) was held for academic and healthcare professionals from University College London (UCL) Institute of Child Health (ICH) and two of its clinical partners, Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH) and Moorfields Eye Hospital (MEH). The aim of the workshop was establishment of an academic-clinical-service user collaboration to support and share local experiences of the development and translation into clinical practice of PROMs and PREMs designed for children and young people.

Key issues raised at the workshop were:
1. High level of enthusiasm for development and use of paediatric PROMs and PREMs.
2. Need for a greater clarity in delineation of PROMs and PREMs, their purpose and potential.
3. Lack of standardised methodology for routine application of PROMs and PREMs.
4. Need for completing a “measurement-action” cycle from development and application of PROMs and PREMs to these finally feeding into both individual and group care and services.
5. Need to make the best use of available expertise within our centres.
6. Need for greater recourse for PROM and PREM development and application and that these should come primarily from the Trusts involved, avoiding the current professional and academic fragmentation.
7. Need for informative and sophisticated Patient and Public Involvement (PPI).
8. Need for communication and co-ordination of activities across different specialties and research/clinical institutions.
9. Need for a communication and support platform in the form of a network for academic and clinical colleagues undertaking PROM and PREM work at our centres.
10. Child focus in PROMs and PREMs is the key area for local collaborators who share much experience and expertise, which should be further supported and developed.

In response to the desire to enhance the use of paediatric PROMs and PREMs, and to the need for better communication and a support network, we have set up a website (www.ucl.ac.uk/childproms) and a LISTSERV mailing list to kick-start the process. However, it is recognised that leadership and resources from the clinical partners involved are needed to address the current gap in the NHS priority agenda concerning PROMs and PREMs for children and young people.

2. Background

There has been a growing national initiative for the development and application of patient-reported outcome and experience measures (PROMs and PREMs) as a means of increasing patient-led assessment of their health (i.e. PROMs) and healthcare (i.e. PREMs). However, significant advances in the routine clinical use of PROMs and PREMs in the NHS with adult patients have not been matched in paediatric health services.

Although PROMs are concerned with the outcomes of a condition or disorder (e.g. symptoms, health status, quality of life) and PREMs with the process of health care (e.g. length of appointments or waiting times), these measures are not always clearly distinguished in the literature. There is also lack of consistency and agreement regarding the methods for the development of these tools and their routine use in clinical practice.

Driven by our own research and experience in the area of child-related patient outcomes and experience, our multidisciplinary group at the MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health set out to organise a cross-disciplinary workshop on PROMs and PREMs in paediatric health services, with a view to encouraging discussion and exchange on the methodologies and routine use of PROMs and PREMs for children. Long-term aims of this cross-disciplinary initiative are to support future collaborative work within the paediatric health setting and to ensure that the development and implementation of patient-reported measures is coordinated and optimises their contribution to children’s long-term health outcomes.

The workshop was aimed specifically at academic and clinical professionals involved in PROM and PREM initiatives within the MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health, University College London (UCL) Institute of Child Health (ICH) and two of its clinical partners, Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH) and Moorfields Eye Hospital (MEH), with whom links have already been established in this area. The invited audience and participants included parent representatives of the families attending GOSH.

3. Objective

The core objective of the workshop was to:
- establish academic-clinical-service user collaboration to support and share local experiences of the development and translation into clinical practice of paediatric patient-reported outcome and experience measures - PROMs and PREMs – designed for children and young people.

Development of an academic clinical network would provide a support platform for members across our research and clinical centres at UCL ICH, GOSH and MEH through:
- supporting the development of a common understanding and language for PROM and PREM methodologies
- sharing and evaluating current PROM and PREM practice in paediatric settings
- facilitating future PROM and PREM research.
In order to meet these objectives we invited academic, clinical and other professionals from different GOSH specialties and from Paediatric Ophthalmology at MEH. We also invited parent representatives of the families of patients attending GOSH; those attending the workshop had participated in pre-workshop focus groups with their children held especially to inform the workshop through service user perspective (see section 6, presentation by Rehana Ahmed).

The workshop organising team were researchers at the MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health, UCL Institute of Child Health:
- Dr Val Tadić, Research Associate, v.tadic@ucl.ac.uk
- Dr Rachel Knowles, Senior Clinical Research Fellow and Public Health Doctor, rachel.knowles@ucl.ac.uk
- Ms Ailbhe Hogan, Research Assistant, ailbhe.hogan@ucl.ac.uk
- Professor Jugnoo Rahi, Professor of Ophthalmic Epidemiology and Consultant Ophthalmologist, j.rahi@ucl.ac.uk

The workshop was funded by the MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health and an MRC Public Engagement grant.

4. Workshop format

The morning programme included keynote presentations from invited speakers outlining the background and methodology for development and application of paediatric PROMs and PREMs respectively. These helped delineate the two types of measures and associated constructs (health outcome and patient experience respectively). This was followed by a presentation on the patient and family perspective on PROMs and PREMs at GOSH.

The afternoon programme comprised showcase presentations from academic and clinical colleagues across UCL ICH, GOSH and MEH. Contributors outlined their experiences of using PROMs or PREMs in their departments and speciality areas, the impact that applying or developing these has had on their practice and/or the associated challenges encountered.

Within breakout groups, which balanced clinical, academic and parental input, delegates discussed their own experiences of the challenges in using PROMs and PREMs, solutions that they had developed locally, and their expectations for a new PROM/PREM collaborative network that would further facilitate this work.

The workshop programme is provided in Appendix 1 and the workshop delegate list in Appendix 2.

5. Summary of invited presentations

The morning programme focussed on the distinction between patient reported outcomes and patient reported experience and associated measures, PROMs and PREMs respectively, as well as the overlap between the methodologies and considerations when developing measures for children and young people. Both external presentations highlighted that capturing children and young people’s own perspective of their health and healthcare is both necessary and feasible. Developing and applying sensitive innovative approaches to engaging children and young people to develop age-appropriate PROMs and PREMs can be challenging, but is worthwhile and critical to ensuring that children and young people’s needs are considered in healthcare decision making that concerns them. The presentation on patient and family perspective on PROMs and PREMs highlighted some key issues important to patients and their families attending GOSH services with regards to completing PROMs and PREMs.

‘Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) for children and young people’ by Dr Chris Morris, Senior Research Fellow, University of Exeter

Dr Chris Morris leads the Peninsula Cerebra Research Unit (PenCRU) research unit undertaking a broad range of health services research dedicated to improvement of health outcomes for children. He has experience of PROM methods and measurement, having developed the Oxford Foot and Ankle Questionnaire for children with orthopaedic problems. With the Oxford PROM group he has co-authored the Department of Health commissioned report on feasibility of PROMs in routine practice for children.

‘Patient Reported Experience Measures (PREMs) for children and young people’ by Mrs. Amy Tallett and Mrs. Bridget Hopwood, Picker Institute Europe

Mrs Bridget Hopwood is a Director of Surveys and Mrs Amy Tallett is the Senior Project Manager within the Children and Young People Research Team at the Picker Institute Europe, which is a not-for-profit healthcare research charity and an approved survey contractor to the NHS. The Picker Institute recognises that children and young people have very specific needs when giving feedback on their healthcare and has been involved in the development and testing of paediatric inpatient, outpatient and emergency department surveys and overseeing the implementation of these on an annual basis for NHS Trusts in England.

The speakers outlined the background to PREMs, their purpose in measuring experience of care beyond ‘patient satisfaction’, and the methodological considerations when developing PREMs with children. They
outlined the findings of postal paediatric outpatient department surveys across 8 NHS Trusts in the UK and presented examples of using outpatient PREMs to drive improvement of healthcare provision in response to the self-reported experiences of children and young people.

‘PREMs & PROMs: Is Anybody Listening? What the patients and their families say’ by Ms Rehana Ahmed, Patient and Public Involvement and Experience Officer, Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH)

As a patient and public involvement officer at GOSH, Ms Rehana Ahmed has an important role working across the Trust with patients and their families with an aim of improving the patient experience of everyone using GOSH services. Ms Ahmed fed back the key findings from two parallel focus groups held with a small group of children and young people who are patients of GOSH and adult family members (mainly parents). These focus group consultations were held as a first step to exploring patient and parent views of PROMs and PREMs in order to inform the workshop. One aim of the focus groups was also to identify and invite parent representatives interested to attend the workshop and contribute service users’ perspective to the professional dialogue (two fathers subsequently attended). The issues highlighted by patients and their families in these focus groups with regards to completing PROMs and PREMs were: the need for adequate information provision to allow them to understand the purpose of PROMs and PREMs they are asked to complete; the importance of receiving feedback following their completion in terms of how this information contributes to their child’s individual care or the health service in general; patient and parent preferences for the completion of questionnaires in routine clinical practice; and the issues, such as confidentiality and differences in perspective, related to obtaining parental and child patient report (the full report of the focus groups is available on http://www.gosh.nhs.uk/health-professionals/clinical-outcomes/.

6. Summary of showcase presentations

Dr Val Tadić, Research Associate (MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health and Ophthalmology, GOSH and MEH) gave an overview of a multidisciplinary research programme to develop 2 PROMs specifically for children and young people with visual impairment – a Vision Related Quality of Life Questionnaire and a complementary Functional Vision Questionnaire. She outlined 3 key challenges in developing such measures. These are a) the lack of an established theoretical framework for development of such measures whereby distinct but related concepts targeted by vision-related PROMs (e.g. visual function, functional vision and vision-related quality of life) are often used interchangeably, b) the increasing trend of low participation rates and ethnic and socio-economic bias in health services research involving children and c) lack of understanding about feasibility and effectiveness of PROMs in routine clinical practice for children with ophthalmic disorders, where PROM research and application is still in its infancy.

Dr Jo Wray, Research Health Psychologist (Cardiorespiratory, GOSH) and Senior Research Fellow (Centre for Nursing and Allied Health Research, GOSH) outlined the use of Pediatric Cardiac Quality of Life Inventory (PCQLI) and Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) in routine clinical services for children with cardiac disease. She showed how these are used, alongside parent-reported PREMs, as part of a Standardised Clinical Assessment and Management Plans (SCAMPs) initiative that combines elements of research, clinical practice guidelines and audit to inform indicators of quality. She also outlined the challenges for ongoing use of PREMs and PROMs, such as lack of resources, frequency and sustainability of PROM/PREM data collection, access to data and getting research evidence into clinical practice.

Dr Naomi Dale, Consultant Clinical Psychologist, Head of Psychology (Neurodisability, GOSH) reported development of a novel outcome measure for assessing parental understanding of neurodisability – Parents’ Understanding of Neurodisability Questionnaire (PUN_Q). The measure was developed by the Neurodisability services at GOSH, which serves to provide consultations to parents/referrer’s, diagnosis of the child’s neurodevelopmental status and recommendations for management. To develop the measure, the team consulted parents of children and young people with autism spectrum disorders. PUN_Q has undergone preliminary validity and its ratings are associated with parental stress and self-efficacy levels, which are likely to have behavioural consequences on the child. Hence, the group envisages clinical utility of the measure in routine clinical practice for evaluating effectiveness of service interventions.

Dr Mandy Bryon, Consultant Clinical Psychologist and Joint Head of Paediatric Psychology Service at GOSH provided an update of using the child self-report form of Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire (CFQ) with children with cystic fibrosis at GOSH as part of their annual review. The CFQ is relatively quick to complete as part of routine clinical care. It has been highlighted as helpful in providing patient input in highlighting problematic areas of a patient’s quality of life, although the majority of patients would not want to complete this PROM more than once a year.

Dr Prab Prabhakar, Consultant Paediatric Neurologist (Neurology, GOSH) outlined the Neurology Department’s experience of using NEUGEN Quality tool and PedsQL as part of a pilot project aimed to evaluate the success of the process of using PROMs, the resources required and the quality and relevance of the information within the Neurology service at GOSH. He outlined some preliminary findings as the pilot data has not been analysed yet. He also highlighted issues arising from the project regarding routine PROM use in clinical services for children, e.g. issues of using non-validated tools such as NEUGEN, applicability of a single PROM in a diverse and complex range of conditions, ages and treatments and significant resource requirements for on-going collection of PROMs data routinely.

Ms Susan Maillard, Clinical Specialist Physiotherapist (GOSH) reported the experience of using a range of PROMs and PREMs in Paediatric Rheumatology at GOSH. She outlined how these are used to inform inpatient and outpatient service development (e.g. by capturing patient and parent reported service delivery and timing of appointments), assess patient satisfaction (through self and proxy report satisfaction questionnaires), monitor disease (e.g. using known PROMs like Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire-CHAQ, Childhood Health Questionnaire-CHQ, Independent Home Activity Score-IHAS), PedsQL-pain and fatigue and Visual Analogue Scores for pain, fatigue and general wellbeing) and develop research.

---

1 A planned presentation by Mr Tim Withers, the Patient Experience Manager at Moorfields Eye Hospital on PREMs undertaken at Moorfields Richard Desmond Children’s Eye Centre was cancelled due to ill health.
Dr Christina Liossi, Senior Lecturer in Health Psychology (University of Southampton) and Honorary Paediatric Psychologist (Pain Control Service, GOSH) presented a talk on PROM use in services for children with epidermolysis bullosa (EB), as part of ‘pain in EB’ project at GOSH. A pain specific PROM, as well as a generic health related quality of life instrument QoL and parental distress measure (using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) are used to document the prevalence of pain in various types of EB along with associated physical symptoms, anxiety and mood disorders and impact on quality of life. This will help develop and evaluate EB specific psychological interventions for the management of EB related pain as well as make widely available EB specific pain management interventions.

7. Summary of break out group sessions

There were 4 break out groups (with composition balancing clinical, academic and service user input), with allocated rapporteurs and with the discussion being guided by the following questions:
1. What are the key barriers to using/increasing the use of paediatric PROMs and PREMs in your practice or department?
2. Have you developed or come across any good solutions? What are your top tips for a) developing and b) implementing PROMs and PREMs as part of routine clinical care for children?
3. How would you like to take this multidisciplinary network forward? What would you find most useful?

The feedback by four rapporteurs is summarised in Appendix 2, Table 1, but we present here the summary of key points.

The key barriers to using or increasing the use of paediatric PROMs and PREMs in the clinical departments at GOSH and MEH were reported to be:
- Lack of time and dedicated resources for both development and validation of PROMs and PREMs
- Introduction of PROMs and PREMs appears currently to emphasise speed of development over quality
- The PROMs and PREMs initiative is being led by small groups working independently rather than at a high level strategic initiative
- Lack of information, leadership and co-ordination from the higher levels of management is leading to fragmentation of effort and approach across different specialties

The solutions and tips to developing and implementing PROMs and PREMs as part of routine care were reported as follows:

**Instruments**
- Questionnaires should be clear and concise enough to be integrated into course of routine clinical work
- Given the lack of resources available, we should use validated tools, rather than develop new ones

**Context for use**
- There is an increased value of PROMs if they form part of routine clinical care (e.g. in diagnostic process)
- There should be standard guidelines on using PROMs and PREMs on a Trust basis
- There should be a network and support group (including experts) that is available hospital wide

Involving patients and families
- We should strive to provide clear information to patients and carers about data collected and ensure confidentiality (especially PREMs)
- We should devote attention to feeding PROM and PREM information back to parents

The ways of taking this multidisciplinary network forward that would be useful to the attendees were reported as follows:
- A dedicated website for further communication and information sharing
- Tangible ways of enabling practical support (e.g. teaching and drop-in sessions and future workshops), although it is recognised that this requires allocated resources
- Continued patient and parent involvement
- Involvement by the senior management teams at the Trust

8. Summary of Key Issues

1. There is much enthusiasm for quality paediatric PROM and PREM research and for applying such measures in routine practice.

2. Most current development and use is around PROMs, rather than PREMs. It is important to distinguish between these as outcome and process measures. It is also important to remember that PREMs are not ‘patient satisfaction’ measures. The Picker Institute Europe demonstrates good child-centred methodology for ensuring that these are developed to inform service development and that changes are measured/audited. When developing and applying a PROM it is important to know what it measures. Although potentially related, outcomes such as HRQoL, functional status, symptom severity and participation are conceptually distinct constructs that require separate PROMs. Many PROMs are developed as Health Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) instruments, but PROMs can include additional aspects (such as functional status, functional vision, participation, symptoms).

3. Much research work is going into developing high quality, disease-specific PROMs where these are lacking, but should we also be evaluating use of existing/generic instruments in different settings? It would be helpful to develop a more standardised methodology for evaluating this approach and for testing whether a generic measure is adequate/appropriate for the new setting.

4. The key is completing the “measurement-action” cycle – there is a need for being clear about why a PROM or PREM is being implemented and for ensuring there is a follow-through to use the results and enable improvements. This means being explicit about whether the PROM is to monitor individual care/outcomes of group/service outcomes.

5. PROM and PREM development and application is resource-intensive. However, clinical colleagues should use the opportunity of the academic groupings available (such as our grouping at our MRC centre) that can support developing and using PROMs and PREMs.
6. There is a need for greater resources for PROM and PREM development and application and it is recognised that the main necessary resources have to come from the Trusts involved.

7. There is a need to further develop informative and sophisticated Patient and Public Involvement (PPI). Many parents currently involved in these initiatives are drawing on experience of measures designed to monitor individual care, but we now need to include PPI in developing and implementing measures with other goals/aims.

8. There is a need for better communication and co-ordination of activities across different specialties and research/clinical institutions to ensure development work is being used in most effective and efficient ways, with minimal duplication of effort. The focus for leadership in translation and implementation should be clinicians and Trusts.

9. There is a need for a communication and support platform in the form of a network for academic and clinical colleagues undertaking PROM and PREM work at our centres.

10. PROMs directed at children have special and unique features. The workshop attendees form a body of clinical and academic expertise in ‘child-focused’ PROMs that should be supported and developed as an important resource within the PROMs and PREMs field.

9. Next steps

Following the workshop, as the first step towards acting on the key issues raised by participants, we have set up a webpage (www.ucl.ac.uk/childproms), which will provide key information and resources regarding PROMs and PREMs. The aim of this website is to allow information provision and sharing amongst colleagues at ICH, GOSH and MEH. We have also set up a pilot LISTSERVE mail group to test feasibility and effectiveness of an interactive interface between academic and clinical professionals involved in paediatric PROM and PREM initiatives at UCL ICH, GOSH and MEH in the first instance, but with an aim to extend wider to service users – patients and parents alike – in the future.

However, as recognised by participants, the key to implementation of paediatric PROMs and PREMs into routine clinical practice, supported by high quality research, is higher level leadership and resources, which can only come from our clinical partners. We urge colleagues to feedback this to their own Trusts in order to highlight the current gap in the NHS priority agenda concerning PROMs and PREMs for children and young people.

10. Selected references


11. Appendices

11.1 Appendix 1: Workshop Programme

A multiprofessional workshop at UCL Institute of Child Health
Hosted by the MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health

‘Paediatric patient-reported outcomes and experience measures (PROMs and PREMs) in routine clinical practice: Current developments and challenges’

Date and venue:
Wednesday 3rd October 2012
UCL Institute of Child Health, Wolfson Centre (Room B), Mecklenburgh Square, London WC1N 2AP

Programme

9.30 am   Coffee

10 am - 12 pm   Morning programme

10.00-10.15   Welcome and introduction by the MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health team
Chair: Val Tadić

Keynote/introductory talks on PROMs and PREMs

10.15-10.55   ‘Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) for children and young people’
Dr Chris Morris, Senior Research Fellow, University of Exeter

10.55-11.35   ‘Patient Reported Experience Measures (PREM’s) for children and young people’
Mrs Amy Tallett and Mrs Bridget Hopwood, Picker Institute Europe

11.35- 11.55   Patient and Parent Perspective on PROMs and PREMs
‘PREMs & PROMs: Is Anybody Listening? What the patients and their families say’
Ms Rehana Ahmed, Patient and Public Involvement and Experience Officer, Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH)

12 am - 12.45pm   Lunch

12.45 - 2.15   Showcase of PROM and PREM research/practice across ICH, GOSH and MEH
Chair: Rachel Knowles

12.45-12.55   ‘Measuring the impact of childhood visual disability using novel PROMs of vision-related quality of life and functional vision’
Dr Val Tadić, Research Associate, MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health and Ophthalmology, GOSH and Moorfields Eye Hospital (MEH)

12.55-1.05   ‘PROMs and PREMs in cardiac research and clinical practice - evolution or revolution?’
Dr Jo Wray, Research Health Psychologist, Cardiorespiratory, GOSH and Senior Research Fellow, Centre for Nursing and Allied Health Research, GOSH

1.05-1.15   ‘Development of a novel outcome measure for paediatric neurodisability: the Parental Understanding of Neurodisability Questionnaire (PUN-Q)’
Dr Naomi Dale, Consultant Clinical Psychologist, Head of Psychology Neurodisability, GOSH

1.15-1.25   ‘The Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire (CFQ) in Practice- An Update’
Dr Mandy Bryon, Consultant Clinical Psychologist & Joint Head of Paediatric Psychology Service at GOSH

1.35-1.45   ‘Neurology Department Experience of Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs - A Pilot Project’
Dr Prab Prabhakar, Consultant Paediatric Neurologist, GOSH

1.45-1.55   ‘Current PREMs undertaken at Moorfields Richard Desmond Children’s Eye Centre’
Mr Tim Withers, Patient Experience Manager, Moorfields Eye Hospital

1.55-2.05   ‘The use of PREMs and PROMs in Paediatric Rheumatology’
Dr Susan Maillard, Clinical Specialist Physiotherapist, GOSH

2.05-2.15   ‘Pain and quality of life in young people with epidermolysis bullosa’
Dr Christina Liossi, Senior Lecturer in Health Psychology, University of Southampton and Honorary Paediatric Psychologist, Pain Control Service, GOSH.

2.15 - 2.35 pm   Coffee

2.35 - 4.25   Break-out groups activity
Chair: Jugnoo Rahi

2.35-3.20   Break-out group discussion

3.20-4.25   Feedback from breakout groups and general discussion

4.30 pm   End of workshop

1 Talk cancelled.
## Appendix 2: List of delegates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms Rehana Ahmed</td>
<td>Patient and Public Involvement and Experience Officer, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Lucy Alderson</td>
<td>Physiotherapist, Occupational Therapy Head, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Richard Bowman</td>
<td>Consultant Ophthalmic Surgeon, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Kate Brown</td>
<td>Consultant Intensivist, Research Lead in Outcomes Research at the Cardiology Unit, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Mandy Bryon</td>
<td>Consultant Clinical Psychologist, Cystic Fibrosis, and Joint Head of Paediatric Psychology Service, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Kate Bull</td>
<td>Paediatric Cardiology Consultant, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Lesley Cavalli</td>
<td>Speech Therapist, Head of Speech &amp; Language Therapy, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Chris Clark</td>
<td>Occupational Therapist, Occupational Therapy Head, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Naomi Dale</td>
<td>Consultant Clinical Psychologist, Head of Psychology (Neurodisability), GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Alison Davis</td>
<td>Consultant in Paediatric Ophthalmology &amp; Paediatric Service Director, MEH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Margaret De Jong</td>
<td>Consultant Psychiatrist, Head of Parenting and Child Services, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Mary Glover</td>
<td>Consultant Paediatric Dermatologist and Speciality Lead, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Allan Goldman</td>
<td>Consultant in Paediatric Cardiology, Clinical Lead for Cardiorespiratory Services GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Jo Hancox</td>
<td>Consultant Ophthalmologist, MEH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Andrew Henderson</td>
<td>Parent at GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Emma Hewson</td>
<td>Clinical Psychologist, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Mel Hingorani</td>
<td>Consultant Ophthalmologist, MEH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ailbhe Hogan</td>
<td>Research Assistant, MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health, ICH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Bridget Hopwood</td>
<td>Director Of Surveys, Child and Young People's Research Team, Picker Institute Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Aparna Hoskote</td>
<td>Consultant Intensivist, Cardiac Intensive Care Unit GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Debbie Jackson</td>
<td>Physiotherapist, Orthopaedics, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Nicky Jessop</td>
<td>Occupational Therapist, Occupational Therapy Head, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Judith Kay</td>
<td>Physiotherapist, Neurology/Plastics, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof Peng Khaw*</td>
<td>Professor of Glaucoma and Ocular Healing and Consultant Ophthalmic Surgeon; Director of Research and Development, MEH, Director of the NIHR Specialist NIHR Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Rachel Knowles</td>
<td>Clinical Research Fellow, MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health, ICH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Alki Liasis</td>
<td>Clinical Lead, Department of Ophthalmology, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Christina Liossi</td>
<td>Health Psychologist, Pain Control Service, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Susan Maillard</td>
<td>Clinical Specialist Physiotherapist, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Chris Morris</td>
<td>Senior Research Fellow, University of Exeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Beki Moult</td>
<td>Health Information Manager, ICP Facilitator, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Prab Prabhakar</td>
<td>Consultant Paediatric Neurologist, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof Jugnoo Rahi</td>
<td>Professor of Ophthalmic Epidemiology, ICH &amp; Honorary Consultant Ophthalmologist, GOSH &amp; MEH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Isabelle Russell-Egitt</td>
<td>Consultant Paediatric Ophthalmologist, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Rosa Schmale</td>
<td>Head of Physiotherapy and Orthotics, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Lynne Speedwell</td>
<td>Optometrist, Head of Optometry, GOSH &amp; Senior Optometrist, MEH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Val Tadić</td>
<td>Research Associate, MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health, ICH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Amy Tallett</td>
<td>Senior Project Manager, Child and Young People's Research Team, Picker Institute Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Paula Thomas*</td>
<td>Community Link Team, Department of Ophthalmology, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Jeni Tregay</td>
<td>Research Assistant, Cardiorespiratory, GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Mike Walker</td>
<td>Parent at GOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Tim Withers*</td>
<td>Ophthalmic Nurse, Patient Experience Manager, MEH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Jo Wray</td>
<td>Research Health Psychologist, Cardiorespiratory and Senior Research Fellow - Centre for Nursing and Allied Health Research, GOSH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Registered but not able to attend
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>What are the key barriers to using/increasing the use of paediatric PROMs and PREMs in your practice/department?</th>
<th>Have you developed or come across any good solutions? What are your top tips for: a) developing PROMs and PREMs. b) implementing them as part of routine clinical care for children.</th>
<th>How would you like to take this multidisciplinary network forward? What would you find most useful?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Lack of time and dedicated resources</td>
<td>Questionnaires are too long; Needs to be integrated into course of normal routine clinical work</td>
<td>No attachments with emails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trusts pushing the measure as an objective: it’s about speed, not quality</td>
<td>Consider language barriers</td>
<td>Drop in sessions for help and support (not sure where and who)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of leadership and co-ordination</td>
<td>Minute cards and free test area for questionnaires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The works is fragmented</td>
<td>Agree with parents and patient on goals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It’s a complex journey across different disciplines</td>
<td>Ensure right from the start what the questionnaire is for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Confusing and inefficient: who you go to for help, information we give and confidentiality</td>
<td>Standard guidelines on trust basis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Organisational level barrier; Needs operation on grand scale, to be a part of high level priorities</td>
<td>Need a network and support group</td>
<td>Parents input important, invite parents to talk/present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small group work ineffective, costly and difficult</td>
<td>It is confusing for parents that there are different questionnaires</td>
<td>Invite chief executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tool development is time consuming, need for sharing strategic thinking</td>
<td>Confidentiality for PREMs important, want it anonymised so not to link negative comments to children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Feeding the corporate objectives</td>
<td>Having people who know the territory/ experts in the department – it’s a good investment</td>
<td>Website and the workshop: Network for communicating (today workshop what we didn’t know)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There is a push towards a quick ‘satisfaction’ assessment as an indicator of quality</td>
<td>Budgeting properly for people’s time is a good investment</td>
<td>Teaching/learning environment (e.g. training on how to get it up and running; grips with stats and methodology)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It takes energy to do something more creative</td>
<td>Attention to how to feedback to patients is time well spent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative overload</td>
<td>Value of PROMs being part of a diagnostic process/Integration into routine care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Lack of knowledge/consensus of what measures should we be using - PROMs or PREMs</td>
<td>Use validated tools – reuse rather than develop new ones</td>
<td>Thinking of massive investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How do we know the tools are reliable</td>
<td>Sharing and learning from experience of others</td>
<td>Need a team at hospital who do this as their day job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time/resources/people needed</td>
<td>In hospital wide teams</td>
<td>Have allocated resource or virtual network for support and information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IT technology required – need a massive infrastructure, which should be hospital wide (not individual specialties)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Feedback to parents (through INVOLVE) and bulletin board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>