Incorporation of paramagnetic, fluorescent and PET/SPECT contrast agents into liposomes for multimodal imaging
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A series of metal-chelating lipid conjugates has been designed and synthesized. Each member of the series bears a 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) macrocycle attached to the lipid head group, using short n-ethylene glycol (n-EG) spacers of varying length. Liposomes incorporating these lipids, chelated to Gd3+, 64Cu2+, or 111In3+, and also incorporating fluorescent lipids, have been prepared, and their application in cellular uptake, magnetic resonance (MR) and single-photon emission tomography (SPECT) imaging of cellular uptake and distribution investigated in vitro and in vivo. We have shown that these multimodal liposomes can be used as functional MR contrast agents as well as radionuclide tracers for SPECT, and that they can be optimized for each application. When shielded liposomes were formulated incorporating 50% of a lipid with a short n-EG spacer, to give nanoparticles with a shallow but even coverage of n-EG, they showed good cellular internalization in a range of tumour cells, compared to the limited cellular uptake of conventional shielded liposomes formulated with 7% 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[carboxy(polyethylene glycol)2000] (DSPE-PEG2000). Moreover, by matching the depth of n-EG coverage to the length of the n-EG spacers of the DOTA lipids, we have shown that similar distributions and blood half lives to DSPE-PEG2000-stabilized liposomes can be achieved. The ability to tune the imaging properties and distribution of these liposomes allows for the future development of a flexible tri-modal imaging agent.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The use of liposomes for the targeted delivery of therapeutic small molecules, DNA, or siRNA to tumours has generated a great deal of interest in the cancer research community [1]. Liposomes are versatile nanoparticles with low toxicity that can be formulated from a range of natural and biologically inspired synthetic lipids. They have been extensively used in the clinic as drug carriers to reduce the toxicity of potent drugs, such as doxorubicin, to non-cancerous cells and tissues. Hydrophilic drugs can be trapped in the central aqueous core of the liposomes, and lipophilic drugs can be solubilised within the lipid bilayer [1]: in either case, the drug cannot readily pass through the lipid bilayer and is trapped within the liposome until the nanoparticle reaches the tumour. For successful in vivo applications, it has been established that the outer bilayer of the liposome should ideally be coated with a neutral polyethylene glycol (PEG) polymer to minimize colloidal instability,
reducing bioadhesion and limiting immunological responses [2]. Most importantly, the PEG coating reduces uptake of the liposome within the reticuloendothelial system (RES) and therefore slows the rate of removal of the liposomes from the blood [2]. This effectively increases the biological half-life of the liposome; in clinical studies conventional liposomes have been shown to have a half-life of 20 min in body fluids, whereas PEG-liposomes can have a half-life of up to 5 days [3], allowing for greater payloads of drug to be delivered to the tumour before clearance. Liposomal formulations of anticancer drugs have now been approved for clinical use: DOXIL® (PEGylated liposomal doxorubicin) is used to treat AIDS-related Kaposi’s sarcoma and multiple myeloma [4] and is in clinical trials for the treatment of breast cancer [1].

The PEG coating of liposomes is also highly advantageous in terms of passive targeting to tumours. Tumour vasculature is characterized by a chaotic network of thin-walled, leaky vessels [5] and therefore liposomes are able to cross into the interstitial spaces in viable tumour areas with limited wash out, a process referred to as the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect [6]. Small PEG-liposomes, 100–200 nm in diameter, are the right size to permeate through the tumour vasculature, and have been shown to accumulate in tumour tissue. However, liposomal particles with high percentages of long chain PEG, such as PEG2000, at the ratios needed for in vivo applications, have shown limited uptake in cells in vitro [7]. This problem is particularly acute when liposomes are used to deliver DNA or siRNA, as the resulting PEG-coated lipoplexes are also frequently too stable to disassemble once internalized in the endosome [8]. Targeting moieties, such as ligands for receptor mediated uptake, have therefore been used to enhance the uptake of PEG-liposomes [7], although this approach is not always effective [9].

We have previously developed ternary lipid-peptide-DNA lipopolylex vectors that have been formulated with cationic lipids bearing short \( n \)-ethylene glycol (\( n \)-EG) units (e.g. \( n = 4 \): DODEG4 (Fig. 2), or \( n = 6 \), based on the structure of \( \{N\;[1-(2,3-dioleoyloxy)propyl]N,N,N \)-trimethylammonium chloride (DOTMA) [10,11]. These lipopolylexes also incorporate multifunctional peptides comprising both a cationic sequence for the complexation of plasmid DNA and an integrin-binding sequence to target the nanoparticles to cells of interest [11]. We have co-formulated the \( n \)-EG cationic lipids with 1,2-dioleoyl-\( sn \)-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPE) in a 1:1 (w:w) ratio of cationic lipid:DOPE, to give a vector which we hypothesise is shielded by an even coverage of short \( n \)-ethylene glycol units. These shielded lipopolylexes were demonstrated to be compact, stable under physiologically relevant conditions, and maintain tumour-specific targeting and transfection properties in vitro [11] and in vivo [12]. In contrast, in previously reported studies of PEG-liposomes [2], the maximum possible loading of shielding lipids such as DSPE-PEG2000 is about 10% of PEG-lipid, resulting in an incomplete surface coverage.

Fig. 1. Neutral, cationic and PEG-lipids used in this study. General structure of DOTA-lipids chelated to paramagnetic or radioactive ions, and schematic showing the multifunctional liposomes formulated.
Multifunctional nanoparticles that combine the delivery of therapeutic agents with the capacity for in vivo imaging are attractive as they enable simultaneous monitoring and treatment of diseases. In recent years there has been considerable interest in the development of such theranostic nanoparticles [13], which allow the distribution, selectivity, efficacy and uptake of the therapeutic agent in target tissues to be determined. In previous research, liposomal delivery of therapeutic agents has been combined with imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [13–20], positron or single-photon emission tomography (PET/SPECT) [21–23] and fluorescence [13–17]. These imaging methods are currently at the forefront of medical diagnostics and widely used in the preclinical and clinical settings for assessment of treatment efficacy. MRI is a non-invasive and widely used imaging modality that produces excellent soft tissue contrast and spatial resolution. However, MRI suffers from poor sensitivity, and therefore the target to be imaged either has to be expressed at a high level, or approaches to increase the contrast payload are needed [14,24]. In contrast, nuclear imaging with PET and SPECT methods provides exquisite sensitivity but has limited spatial and temporal resolution [25]. Therefore, there is a need to combine these imaging modalities in order to elucidate the biological behaviour of liposomes from the cellular level to the macroscopic scale. A drug delivery system that incorporates reporter groups for these imaging modalities would be highly desirable, and a multimodal approach that encompasses all three would be ideal, as it would allow the limitations of each imaging modality to be overcome [26].

One of the most straightforward approaches for the incorporation of both the MRI contrast agent Gd$^{3+}$, and appropriate radioisotopes for PET/SPECT nuclear imaging, into liposomes, is to use a chelating ligand, suitably modified for attachment to an organic scaffold or small molecule [27,28]. An ideal chelator for these purposes is the macrocycle 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA), which has been used extensively for the chelation of a range of metal ions that can provide MRI contrast or PET/SPECT imaging. Due to its cyclic nature, the dissociation of the metal ion from the complex is very slow, especially when compared to linear chelators such as diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA), significantly lowering the toxicity of DOTA-chelated contrast agents [29]. Gd.DOTA (Dotarem®) is clinically approved for use in MRI and has been used previously for the attachment of Gd-chelates to the head group of various lipids [27]. The nature of the groups attached to the DOTA, flexibility of the linker between the liposome and the chelate, and the size of the resulting complex, can all be used to tune the sensitivity of the resulting contrast agent [27,28]. Similarly, liposomes labelled with a wide range of radionuclides have been reported for PET and SPECT nuclear imaging, and for targeted radiotherapy [30], although the majority of these radioactive liposome formulations rely on the encapsulation of radionuclide chelates rather than the inclusion of chelating lipids.

The aim of this study was to develop a multifunctional, multimodal shielded liposomal formulation, incorporating lipids that have short n-EG units to shield the liposomes in vivo; DOTA-lipids
with short n-EG units which can chelate paramagnetic ions and/or radionuclides; a fluorophore-labelled lipid to allow for optical imaging; and the helper lipid DOPE (Fig. 1). We have designed and synthesized three lipids with DOTMA attached at the head group, and with different length n-EG spacer units between DOTA and the lipid acyl chains. Chelation of paramagnetic (Gd³⁺) ions to the conjugated DOTA, will allow these liposomes to be used for the development of imaging tracers for MRI. Similarly, chelation of radioactive ions to the DOTA, post-formulation, will lead to tracers for PET or SPECT. ⁶⁷Cu was selected for these studies. This is increasingly seen as the radionuclide of choice for in vivo PET studies as it has a relatively long half-life (12.7 h); gives high-quality PET images, and can also be used in radionuclide therapy [31]. Similarly, ¹¹¹In has a long half life (2.8 days) and is in clinical use for several applications [32]. Both radionuclides are effectively formulated with varying amounts of lipids bearing short n-EG units, to that of liposomes formulated with PEG2000-bearing lipids to enable future targeting applications for drug delivery and diagnostic imaging.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

1,2-di-octadecyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPE), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[carboxy(polyethylene glycol)₂₀₀₀], ammonium salt (DSPE-PEG2000), N-[fluorescein-5-thiocarbamoyl]-1,2-dihexadecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, triethylammonium salt (FL-DHPE) were prepared as previously described [33]. ITLC-SA plates were from Varian/Aldrich Co. Ltd. (Malvern, UK). MRI experiments were conducted on a Agilent 9.4 T scanner (Agilent, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) using a 39 mm coil (RAPID Biomed, Rimpar, Germany). Glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, triethylammonium salt (DOPE), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, triethylammonium salt (DOPG), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, triethylammonium salt (DOPC) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids Inc. (Alabaster, AL). InChI-InChI was purchased from Coviden Commercial Ltd, Hants U.K. [⁶⁷Cu]-CuCl₂ was produced by proton bombardment of enriched N-64 metal and purified as previously described using Fraction 9 [33]. All the other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd. unless otherwise stated, and used without further purification. All reagents were of commercial quality and used as received and all solvents anhydrous. Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminium backed Sigma-Aldrich TLC plates with Flourescent indicator. Visualisation was done by quenching of UV fluorescence or by staining the plates with potassium permanganate solution (K₃MnO₄ (1.25 g), Na₂CO₃ (6.25 g), water (250 mL)) as previously described [34,35]. 3,6,9,12,15-penta-octadecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylethanolamine (Z-16-(Z)-octadec-9-en-yl)-13,17-diacetoxysaFormatException!}
removed in vacuo and the product dried under vacuo to give 16 (quantitative yield) as a colourless oil. R$_f$ 0.81 (10% MeOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$; r$_{HF}$)$_{\text{CMC}}$) cm$^{-1}$ 2926, 2854, 1724, 1663; 1H NMR (600 MHz; CD$_2$OD) $\delta$ 0.90 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H), 1.22 (m, 44H, m), 1.56 (m, 4H, CH$_2$), 1.91 (m, 18H, CH$_2$), 3.10 (m, 2H, CH$_2$); 6.94 (br s, 1H, note – signals marked under line broadening 2.3–3.7 ppm); 13C NMR (125 MHz; CD$_2$OD) $\delta$ 14.5, 23.8, 26.1, 27.3, 28.2, 30.2–3.09 (signals superimposed), 31.2, 32.1, 32.2, 33.0, 33.1, 33.7, 34.8, 40.3, 41.6, 69.8, 70.4, 70.5, 71.2, 73.4, 72.6, 78.6, 81.3, 130.8, 1316, 174.7; m/z [HRMS ES$^+$/C$_0$] found, 1208.9015, C$_{71}$H$_{134}$N$_7$O$_{16}$ requires 1208.9015.

2.3. Liposome preparation and characterization

The Gd lipids Gd-DEG1SL, Gd-DEG2SL and Gd-DEG3SL were prepared from 15, 16 and 17, respectively, as follows. The free acid – macrocyclic lipids (15, 16, 17) were each dissolved in 2 mL of distilled water, then 0.9 molar equivalents of GdCl$_3$ were added. The solutions were buffered with 0.1M ammonium acetate buffer, pH 6 (20 mg, 27.3 ppm; $J$ = 3.65 (m, 35H), 5.34 (m, 4H), 6.42 (m, 3H), 6.98 (br s, 1H), 6.98 (br s, 1H), note – signals marked under line broadening 2.0–3.5 ppm). 1H NMR (600 MHz; CD$_2$OD) $\delta$ 0.89 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 6H), 1.30 (m, 44H, m), 1.56 (m, 4H, CH$_2$), 1.91 (m, 18H, CH$_2$); 6.94 (br s, 1H, note – signals marked under line broadening 2.3–3.7 ppm); 13C NMR (125 MHz; CD$_2$OD) $\delta$ 14.5, 23.8, 26.1, 27.3, 28.2, 30.2–3.09 (signals superimposed), 31.2, 32.2, 33.0, 33.1, 33.7, 34.8, 40.3, 41.6, 69.8, 70.4, 70.5, 71.2, 73.4, 72.6, 78.6, 81.3, 130.8, 1316, 174.7; m/z [HRMS ES$^+$/C$_0$] found, 1340.9887, C$_{71}$H$_{134}$N$_{17}$O$_{16}$ requires 1340.9887.

Phantoms

A range of concentrations (serial dilutions 1:0.0625 mm) of Gd-DEG1SL, Gd-DEG2SL and Gd-DEG3SL were used to calculate relaxivity of each contrast agent (Gd liposomes) according to the following formula: R$_2$ = $\Sigma$ ($\mu$/dilution factor) * [M]/[Gd] * [Gd] = ($\mu$/dilution factor) * [M] * $\rho$/[Gd] * [Gd] = ($\mu$/dilution factor) * [M] * $\rho$/[Gd]; [Gd] = [Gd]$_{\text{nuc}}$/[Gd]$_{\text{total}}$. The relaxivities were calculated for a range of concentration from 1.0 mm to 10.0 mm and the results revealed a linear relationship between concentration and relaxivity (R$_2$). The relaxivity of each contrast agent was plotted against the Gd concentration and a linear regression line was fitted. The relaxivity of each contrast agent was then compared to the relaxivity of the control Gd-DOTA (0.001 mm). The relaxivities of the contrast agents were found to be: Gd-DEG1SL (0.001 mm), Gd-DEG2SL (0.001 mm) and Gd-DEG3SL (0.001 mm). These results indicate that the relaxivity of the Gd lipids is similar to that of the control Gd-DOTA (0.001 mm).
2.6. Radiolabelling with \( ^{64}\text{Cu} \)

The reaction incubated at 37 °C for 90 min. A sample (10 μL) of each reaction was challenged with 50 mM EDTA (2 μL) for 5 min to bind any free or non-specifically bound \(^{111}\text{In} \). Samples were then analysed by ITLC (Supplementary Data, Table S2). Controls, using water in place of liposomes, were treated as per the liposome samples.

For in vivo studies, the liposomes were prepared as above but with the following changes; to the liposomal solution (in sterilised water, 300 μL) were added 0.1 M ammonium acetate buffer (pH 6.0, 300 μL) and \(^{111}\text{InCl}_3 \) solution (200 μL). The sample was incubated at room temperature overnight, after which the liposomes were purified to remove free \(^{111}\text{In} \) by eluting through a PD10 size exclusion column (GE Healthcare) prior to injection [45]. The radioactivity of the \(^{111}\text{InCl}_3 \) solution was determined by ITLC. The controls, using water in place of liposomes, were treated as per the liposome samples.

Data, Table S2). Controls, using water in place of liposomes, were treated as per the liposome samples.

For both \(^{111}\text{In} \) and \(^{64}\text{Cu} \), analysis of the control reaction using 0.1M ammonium acetate buffer alone with no liposome gave 100% of the radioactivity at the solvent front using this ITLC method.

2.7. In vitro studies

Human HeLa cervical cancer, OVCAR-3 ovarian cancer, and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells were grown in T175 plates (for microscope and FACS analysis) or 100 mm plates (for MRI) and scanned by MRI using the same set up and parameters as phantoms to assess T1 changes. (Supplementary Data Fig. S5, Table S3).

2.8. MRI data analysis (relaxivity and T1)

Images were analysed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, USA [46]) with a region of interest (ROI) drawn to encompass as much of the sample as possible. The mean signal intensities of the ROI’s at different TR values were measured and used to calculate the MR relaxation time T1 using Graphpad Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, USA). The longitudinal relaxivity \( r_1 \) was determined from a linear fit of 1/T1 as a function of Cu concentration (Table 3). Mean T1 values for labelled cell pellets were derived and a two-tailed unpaired t-test assuming equal variances performed to determine significant difference, with a 5% level of statistical significance. The percentage change in T1 was also calculated for these samples.

2.9. In vivo SPECT/CT acquisition and image analysis

Eight male NOD Scid gamma (NSG) mice (6–8 weeks old) (n = 2 per liposome L, N, O or P) were anaesthetized with an isoflurane/O2 mix and a tail vein cannulated for the delivery of approximately 10 MBq of \(^{111}\text{In} \) labelled liposomes in approximately 300–400 μl sterile saline. SPECT/CT scans were acquired for the whole body with mice placed in the prone position immediately post injection and again at 3, 6 and 24 h using a NanoSPECT/CT scanner (Mediso, Hungary). CT images were acquired using a 45 kVP X-ray source, 500 μs exposure time in 180 projections, a pitch of 0.5 with an acquisition time of 11 min. CT images were imaged prior to SPECT which was acquired using an exposure time of 1200 s, obtained over 24 projections (50 s per projection), a 4-head scanner with 4 × 91 mm pinhole apertures in helical scan mode with a total acquisition time of 36 min. CT images were reconstructed in a 352 × 352 matrix using proprietary Bioscan InVivoScope (Bioscan, USA) software, whereas SPECT images were reconstructed in a 256 × 256 matrix using HISPECT (Scivis GmbH, Bioscan). Images were fused and analysed using InVivoScope (Version 1.44, Bioscan). 3D ROI’s were created for heart, lung, liver, spleen, kidneys and bladder at each time point using InvicRO 3D and the counts decay corrected and compared to the total injected at the 40 min time point for each mouse to assess biodistribution.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liposome</th>
<th>DOPE</th>
<th>Gd-lipid</th>
<th>PEG-lipid</th>
<th>DOTMA</th>
<th>FL-DHPE</th>
<th>DLS (PDI)</th>
<th>Zeta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30% Gd-DEG3SL</td>
<td>50% DODEG4</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>96.8 nm (0.2)</td>
<td>(±) 62 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30% Gd-DEG3SL</td>
<td>50% DODEG4</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>92.9 nm (0.2)</td>
<td>(±) 56 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30% Gd-DEG3SL</td>
<td>50% DODEG4</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>177 nm (0.2)</td>
<td>(±) 36 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>30% Gd-DEG3SL</td>
<td>7% DSPE-PEG2000</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>146 nm (0.3)</td>
<td>(±) 45 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30% Gd-DEG3SL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>212.9 nm (0.3)</td>
<td>(±) 55 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30% Gd-DEG3SL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>220.8 nm (0.4)</td>
<td>(±) 61 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30% Gd-DEG3SL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>244.4 nm (0.3)</td>
<td>(±) 44 mV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gd-lipid shielding (A, B, C), stealth lipid shielding (D) and without PEG shielding (E, F, G).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liposome</th>
<th>DOPE</th>
<th>CuIn-lipid</th>
<th>PEG-lipid</th>
<th>DOTMA</th>
<th>FL-DHPE</th>
<th>DLS (PDI)</th>
<th>Zeta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30% Cu-DEG3SL</td>
<td>50% DODEG4</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30% Cu-DEG3SL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30% Cu-DEG3SL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30% Cu-DEG3SL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30% In-DEG3SL</td>
<td>50% DODEG4</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>73.9 nm (0.2)</td>
<td>(±) 54 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30% In-DEG3SL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>30% In-DEG3SL</td>
<td>7% DSPE-PEG2000</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>141.7 nm (0.2)</td>
<td>(±) 43 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30% In-DEG3SL</td>
<td>50% DODEG4</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>70.1 nm (0.2)</td>
<td>(±) 50 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>30% In-DEG3SL</td>
<td>7% DSPE-PEG2000</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>142.6 nm (0.2)</td>
<td>(±) 31 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Q</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50% DODEG4</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control R</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results

3.1. Synthesis of DOTA chelating lipids

As we aimed to develop a multimodal liposomal formulation which would also have an evenly coated n-EG shielding functionality, DOTA conjugates were prepared incorporating different length short ethylene glycol spacers between the C18 unsaturated lipophilic chains and the DOTA chelator. To enhance ready assembly and further protrusion of the DOTA chelate on the exterior of the nanoparticle a succinimide spacer was also incorporated. Synthesis of the DOTA-conjugates was carried out as shown in Scheme 1, incorporating an aminodiol skeleton with two unsaturated oleyl chains via ether links, also present in the shielding cationic lipid DODEG4 (Fig. 1) to be used in this study. Accordingly, diamines 1, 2, and 3 [36,37] were readily mono-Boc protected to give 4, 5 [34,35] and 6 [42] respectively. Addition of succinic anhydride gave 7 [38], 8 and 9 in good yield. 3-Bis[(z)-octadec-9-enedioxy]propan-1-amine (10) was synthesized as previously reported [39] and coupled to 7–9 using standard HBTU or DCC chemistry, then directly deprotected to give 11, 12 and 13. These were then conjugated to the macrocycle 4,7,10-tris-tert-butoxy-carbonylmethyl-1,4,7,10-tetraaza-cyclododec-1-yl)-acetic acid 14, synthesised using reported procedures [40] again using standard HBTU reaction conditions. The tert-butyl ester products were deprotected under acidic conditions to give 15, 16 and 17, the key DOTA lipid chelates for incorporation of Gd³⁺ or other metal ions.

In order to investigate the use of these liposomes in MR imaging applications, chelation of Gd³⁺ with 15, 16 and 17 was first carried out. The key lipid chelates were dissolved in distilled water and stirred overnight at 90 °C with GdCl₃; 0.9 molar equivalents were used to ensure that all of the Gd³⁺ was chelated. The solution was lyophilized overnight and the free Gd³⁺ content quantitatively analysed via the Xylenol Orange assay [44], confirming negligible free Gd³⁺. MALDI MS analysis of the lipid salts gave the desired masses, corresponding to lipids chelated to each of the seven stable Gd isotopes (Supplementary Data, Figs. S1–S3).

3.2. Formulation of liposomes for use as MR contrast agents

To investigate the application of liposomes as multimodal agents, co-formulation of the Gd³⁺-lipids with multiple lipid components was necessary. Introduction of a fluorophore allows tracking and quantification of the nanoparticle via optical means, therefore the commercially available fluorophore-labelled lipid FL-DHPE was employed. The fusogenic lipid DOPE was also used in the formulations, along with the cationic lipids DOTMA and DODEG4.

In order to investigate the effects of the length of the linker of the Gd-lipids on MR relaxivity, and PEG shielding of the liposome on cellular uptake, a series of liposomes A–G were formulated (Table 1). Liposomes A–C and E–G were formulated with 9% DOPE, which is believed to promote liposome fusion with the endosomal membrane and mediate endosomal escape of the payload once the liposome has been internalized [47], and 1 mol% FL-DHPE was added to all formulations. Gd-lipids Gd-DEG1SL (liposomes A and E), Gd-DEG3SL (liposomes B, D and F) and Gd-DEG6SL (liposomes C and G) were added at 30 mol% to enable the liposomes to be imaged using MR. In order to shield the liposomes from interactions with other biomolecules and promote stability under physiological conditions, liposomes A, B and C were formulated with 50 mol% DODEG4, a non-cleavable tetraethylene glycol glycol lipid that we have developed previously [10]. At this high percentage it was reasoned that the liposome should be coated with an even coverage of short n-ethylene glycol units. For comparison, liposome D was formulated with the stealth lipid DSPE-PEG2000 at 7 mol% (partially replacing the DOPE in the other formulations) which has previously been used at similar mol% to produce sterically shielded liposomes [48]. Non-shielded liposomes E, F and G were formulated without incorporating either DODEG4 or DSPE-PEG2000. For all formulations, an appropriate amount of the cationic lipid DOTMA was also added, to give liposomes with a total of 60% of positively charged lipids incorporated.

Liposomes were formed using the thin-film sonication method [11], to give uniform liposomes of low polydispersity and hydrodynamic sizes ranging between 90 and 250 nm (Table 1). The non-shielded liposomes E, F and G were significantly larger, perhaps due to aggregation. The zeta potential of liposomes A–G was also measured (Table 1); despite the differences in PEG shielding, all liposomes had similar, positive surface charges.

3.3. MR analysis of liposomal agents

Phants of serial dilutions from a 1 mm stock solution of Gd labelled liposomes E, F and G, formulated without PEG shielding, showed the relaxivity of the solutions to be 3.05, 2.37 and 1.78 ms⁻¹ s⁻¹ respectively (Table 3). These values are comparable to the relaxivity of non-PEGylated Gd.DOTA (Dotarem), which was measured as 2.68 ms⁻¹ s⁻¹. This suggests that although the functionalization of one arm of the DOTA macrocycle with the lipid moiety does not adversely affect the relaxivity of the macrocyclic compound. The fact that all the relaxivities are slightly different suggests that the length of the n-EG linker does in fact have some influence on the relaxivity.

Relaxivities of liposome formulations including the DODEG4 lipid were also measured, in order to investigate the effect of the interaction between this lipid with a short (n = 4) n-EG group attached, and the various n-EG linker-lengths positioned between the lipid head group and the DOTA chelator. Liposome formulations A (containing Gd-DEG1SL) and B (containing Gd-DEG3SL) with 50 mol% DODEG4 show a very slight reduction in r₁ compared to their non-PEGylated counterparts E and F respectively (<5%). In contrast, a slight increase in relaxivity is seen for C (containing Gd-DEG6SL), compared to the non-PEGylated liposomal formulation G. This indicated that the short n-EG did not have a substantial further effect on relaxivity when compared to the non-PEGylated liposomes.

The relaxivity of liposome D, formulated with the lipid Gd-DEG3SL (with a linker of intermediate length) and with the previously reported stealth lipid DSPE-PEG2000 was also measured. In this case, the relaxivity of liposome D is comparable to the both the other Gd-DEG3SL preparations if slightly reduced in comparison to that of liposome B (with a shallow n-EG coating provided by DODEG4) and liposome F (no EG coating). This suggests that the n-EG linker on the DOTA-lipid has a greater influence on the relaxivity than the n-EG or PEG shielding provided by the other lipids. Nonetheless, both the n-EG and non-n-EG liposomes reduced T₁ by approx. 85–90% relative to water control and are therefore can all be
considered competent MRI contrast agents. It should be noted that we are comparing the relaxivities relative to the gadolinium concentration to assess the effect of the formulation on relaxivity. However, the actual relaxivity for each liposome is much greater: each liposome will incorporate multiple Gd-lipids, and thus the Gd payload per nanoparticle [19] is increased.

3.4. Cellular uptake

Inclusion of the fluorescein lipid allowed confirmation and quantitative analysis of cellular liposomal uptake using FACS (Supplementary Data, Fig. S4 and Table S3) and fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2A, also Supplementary Data, Figs. S5 and S6) and cell viability was also assessed by cell counting with Trypan Blue (Supplementary Data, Fig. S7). All cell lines investigated (HeLa, MDA-MB-231 and OVCAR-3) showed varying degrees of uptake for both the n-EG-shielded liposomes A, B and C (Fig. 2) as well as the non-shielded liposomes E, F and G (Supplementary Data, Fig. S5) by fluorescence microscopy. It was clear that the OVCAR-3 cells took up the least of all three cell lines based on the MRI T1 relaxation, with the MDA-MB-231 cells having the highest uptake (Fig. 2B). However, the OVCAR-3 cells also appeared to be more sensitive to

---

**Scheme 1. Synthesis of DOTA-lipids and Gd-DOTA lipids.** Reagents and conditions: (i) (Boc)$_2$O, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 4 33%, 5 84%, 6 73%; (ii) NEt$_3$, succinic anhydride, 7 55%, 8 90%, 9 58%; (iii) amine 10 and either HBTU and DIPEA, or DCC, CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (iv) TFA/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 11 79%, 12 77%, 13 93% over 2 steps; (v) HBTU, DIPEA, CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (vi) TFA/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 14 28%, 15 65%, 16 54% over 2 steps; (vii) GdCl$_3$, H$_2$O.
liposome uptake, in general exhibiting lower cell viability after liposome incubation (Supplementary Data, Fig. S7). In contrast, cells labelled with liposome D (containing PEG2000) were shown to have less fluorescence. This was the only liposome preparation to present as a halo around the cell, indicative of being electrostatically bound to the cell surface rather than internalised (Fig. 2A). FACS (Supplementary Data, Table S3) confirmed that cells incubated with liposomes A-G were labelled (all liposomes label over 94% of cells) but that cells labelled with liposome D, although exhibiting the same percentage labelling, had a reduced fluorescence shift in the FACS plot (Supplementary Data, Fig. S4). FACS also indicated that there were no differences in the labelling of cells with E-F compared to A-C and also no differences in labelling of samples labelled in serum free compared to normal culture media (Supplementary Data, Table S3). However, fluorescent images suggested that the cells incubated with non-shielded liposomes (Supplementary Data, Fig. S5) and the cells incubated with shielded liposomes B and C in normal media (Supplementary Data, Fig. S6) showed an increase in fluorescence.

Out of liposomes A–C, liposome B (formulated with DEG3SL) was the best tolerated at higher doses (Supplementary Data, Fig. S7: 1 mM and 0.5 mM) but showed the lowest uptake by fluorescence. Liposomes A and C lab the highest uptake, however, highly fluorescent cells were seen to round at high doses losing adherence and reducing cell viability at high concentration (1 mM and 0.5 mM) in both cases (Supplementary Data, Fig. S7). n-EG-shielded liposomes A–C showed less cell rounding compared to the non-shielded equivalents E–F, suggesting DODEG4 inhibits excessive uptake. Of the non-shielded liposomes, fluorescence images of F (also formulated with DEG3SL, as in B) had the highest fluorescence, but liposomes E and G labelled far fewer cells (Supplementary Data, Fig. S5). This may be indicative of high uptake rounding and cell loss prior to imaging, and the fluorescence seen for E and G may therefore be an underestimation. Uptake in cells in normal culture media also resulted in more highly fluorescent cells compared to serum free media, indicating greater uptake, but again accompanied by increased cell rounding (Supplementary Data, Fig. S6).

Finally, liposome D, formulated including DSPE-PEG2000, led to the least reduction in cell viability, probably because these liposomes do not appear to be internalised.

MRI results (Fig. 2C) suggested that liposome B (the best tolerated by the cell lines) gave dose dependent T1 reduction with maximal 27% decrease in T1 compared to unlabelled control cells. Due to the increased uptake of liposomes A and C, leading to highly fluorescent cells with high concentrations of liposomes losing adherence and reducing cell viability, liposomes A and C were not used for MRI analysis. It could be presumed that the increase in concentration of Gd-liposome within the cell would give a greater effect on T1 for these liposome formations. However, due to differences in their relaxivity and a reduction in water interaction due to internalization within the cell any differences in T1 cannot be predicted.

3.5. Liposome radiolabelling with Cu-64 and In-111

In order to extend the imaging repertoire of this delivery system to include PET and SPECT, chelation of the appropriate radionuclides to give 64Cu or 111In-labelled liposomes was investigated. In order to optimise the conditions for efficient complexation, DOTA-lipids DEG3SL (16) and DEG6SL (17) were initially labelled, formulated, with 90% Cu; labelling efficiencies of 96% (16) and 77% (17) were obtained (Supplementary Data, Table S1).

In the preceding in vitro MRI experiments, Gd-liposomes formulated from Gd-DEG3SL or Gd-DEG6SL were observed to have low and high uptake and internalization properties respectively. Liposomes containing these DOTA-lipids were used for post-formulation radiolabeling to give either 111In or 64Cu-labelled liposomes. Liposomes H-M and O, composed of 30 mol% of the uncomplexed DOTA-lipids DEG3SL or DEG6SL, were formulated with and without DODEG4 (Table 2), ensuring, as in all previous cases, that 60% of the lipids carry a positive charge, thus keeping the net charge on the liposome consistent. For comparison, liposome N was formulated with 7 mol% of DSPE-PEG2000 and 30 mol% DEG3SL, and liposome P was formulated with 7 mol% of DSPE-PEG2000 and 30 mol% DEG6SL, again adjusting the percentages of DOTMA and DOPE to keep the net positive charge consistent. Liposomes Q and R, with and without DODEG4, were formulated without DOTA-lipids as controls.

Liposomes H, I, J, K, Q and R (at a concentration of 1 mM with respect to the DOTA-lipid) were labelled with 3 MBq of 64Cu (Table 2), and liposomes L, M, N, O and P were labelled with 16 MBq of 111In. After 1 h, EDTA was added to sequester any unbound ions. The sample was analysed using TLC and plates were visualised with a gamma detector. The labelling efficiency was high (76.0–81.7%) for liposomes using 64Cu (Supplementary Data: Table S1). PEGylation of the particles had little effect on itscomplexing properties. Control liposomes Q and R, without inclusion of the DOTA-lipids, showed minimal (<6%) 64Cu uptake. Labelling efficiency with 111In (Supplementary Data: Table S2) was high for liposomes L (78.4%) and M (88.0%) containing DEG3SL, with no significant difference between the DODEG4-shielded (L) and non-shielded (M) formulations. The labelling efficiency was lower (36.6%) for liposome O containing DEG6SL.

For in vivo injections, liposomes L, N, O and P were prepared using probe sonication in order to obtain consistently small particles, with the size and zeta potential being measured prior to labelling with ~45 Mbi 111In and purification using a PD10 column. All liposome samples had low polydispersities, with the DODEG4 liposomes L and O being smaller and with a greater surface charge than the DSPE-PEG2000 liposomes N and P.

3.6. In vivo SPECT imaging of In-111 labelled liposomes

DODEG4 liposomes L (DEG3SL) and O (DEG6SL) as well as PEG2000 liposomes N (DEG3SL) and P (DEG6SL) were injected intravenously to assess changes in distribution patterns over a 24 h time course (Figs. 3 and 4). The bladder was removed from the images (Fig. 3) at both the 40 min and 3 h time points so that the thresholding of the uptake in the internal organs could be compared. However, the data for the kidneys and bladder show that almost half the radioactivity injected (~40% liposomes L & P and ~60% liposomes N & O) is being excreted at the 40 min time point (Fig. 4). At 3 h there was still some radioactivity in the bladder for all liposome formulations but not at later time points although the kidneys appear to retain some activity throughout (Figs. 3 and 4). All liposome preparations also showed rapid uptake within the liver and spleen even at the 40 min time point. Liposomes L and P had a much higher uptake at 40 min compared to N and O, which may explain the slight reduction in their renal clearance at this time point (Figs. 3 and 4). Liposome P also had the highest uptake within the spleen, although both liposomes L and N presented fairly similar uptakes. In the images, both of the PEG2000 liposomes appear to show a characteristically high uptake in the spleen compared to both DODEG4 liposomes. This may be indicative of specific uptake into a select cell type within the spleen for these liposomes (Figs. 3 and 4). After the initial uptake, at 40 min post-injection further uptake in both the liver and spleen for most liposome preparations appeared to be gradual over the 24 h as indicated by the gradual decrease in radioactivity taken from the heart (indicative of blood pool) which was similar in rate for all
Fig. 3. In vivo SPECT/CT distributions derived from an intravenous administration of ~10MBq labelled DODEG4 (left hand column) liposome preparations L and O, formulated with DEG3SL and DEG6SL respectively, compared to the control DSPE-PEG2000 (right hand column) liposome preparations N and P formulated with DEG3SL and DEG6SL respectively, over the course of 24 h (H – heart, L – liver, S – spleen, and K – kidney). The bladder has been removed from the 40 min and 3 h images, allowing the images to be scaled so they were comparable to each other.

Fig. 4. The radioactivity derived from each organ at each time point for liposomal preparations DEG3SL/DODEG4 (L), DEG6SL/DODEG4 (O), DEG3SL/DSPE-PEG2000 (N), and DEG6SL/DSPE-PEG2000 (P). This is expressed as a percentage of whole body activity at 40 min post-injection.
liposomes (Figs. 3 and 4). This suggests that the liposomes have similar half-lives and that it is therefore differences in uptake and excretion that mostly characterises them. As liposome P (DEG6SL/PEG2000) had the highest uptake in the liver and spleen followed by L (DEG3SL/DODEG4) with liposomes N (DEG3SL/PEG2000) and O (DEG6SL/DODEG4) having the lowest, there is no clear correlation in the biodistribution that is characteristic to either the two different PEG coatings or to the In-DOTA lipids.

4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to develop and optimise multifunctional liposomes for a range of imaging modalities [26], and simultaneously to act as effective passive delivery agents for disease states such as tumour delivery via the EPR effect. In previous work, liposomal formulations incorporating DSPE-PEG2000 have been prepared for in vivo imaging or delivery applications. The resulting large, bulky PEG coating is believed to shield the liposomes, reducing their clearance via the RES, resulting in a long blood half-life and significant uptake within the interstitial space of subcutaneous tumours over 24 h [2,3,5,6]. However, liposomes formulated with the high percentages of DSPE-PEG2000 (7–10%) required for in vivo stability are poorly taken up into cells in vitro [7], reducing the efficiency of delivery at the target tissue and leading to uptake in unwanted tissues.

In the present study, we sought to combat these limitations of PEG2000 shielding by formulating liposomes including a high proportion (50%) of a cationic lipid, DODEG4 [10], bearing short n-ethylene glycol units. We envisaged that this would lead to liposomes with a uniform coverage of shallow PEG shielding, which would still confer a long blood half-life without impairing cellular uptake. To make the liposomes multifunctional for imaging we have prepared lipids containing a chelator (DOTA) in the head group, for the chelation of paramagnetic Gd$^{3+}$ for MRI, and the radionuclides $^{111}$In and $^{64}$Cu for SPECT and PET respectively, and have co-formulated these with DODEG4, DOTMA, DOPE and fluorescent lipids. As the short oligoethylene glycol spacer units on the DODEG4 may interact with functional groups on the other lipid components of the liposomes, three DOTA lipids, DEG1SL (15), DEG3SL (16) and DEG6SL (17), were synthesised with different length oligoethylene glycol spacer units between DOTA and the rest of the lipid. For MRI imaging, the DOTA lipids were chelated with Gd$^{3+}$ to give Gd-DEG1SL, Gd-DEG3SL and Gd-DEG6SL respectively, and liposomes were then formulated with the Gd-lipids (Table 1). For PET/SPECT imaging, liposomes were first formulated from the DOTA lipids themselves and the radiotracers subsequently chelated to the DOTA group post-formulation (Table 2). The qualities of the resulting liposomes as imaging tracers, their uptake in a range of tumour cell lines in vitro, and finally their in vivo distribution, were evaluated and compared to similar liposome incorporating DSPE-PEG2000 as a control.

Formulation with Gd-DEG1SL, Gd-DEG3SL and Gd-DEG6SL resulted in liposomes with $T_1$ relaxivities comparable to that of the Gd-DOTA contrast agent Dotarem, commonly used in clinical imaging applications. Liposomes were also evaluated with and without the incorporation of the short PEG lipid, DODEG4, as well as with PEG2000. Although there was a trend for the relaxivity to go down slightly with PEG shielding in all liposomes except the Gd-DEG6SL formulation, the PEG shielding afforded by DODEG4 did not notably change the relaxivity of the particles. It has been previously been thought that PEG incorporation increases the relaxivities of liposomal MRI contrast agents, due to additional macromolecular bulk structure, causing reduced tumbling rates and hence increased Gd-metal water contact [16,27]. However, other groups have also showed no difference in relaxivities at 1.5 T between liposomes formulated with [49] and without [50] M-PEG2000-PE.

In this study, the most influential factor in liposome relaxivity was the length of the oligoethylene glycol spacer units between the DOTA and the lipid head group. The lipid with the shortest oligoethylene glycol spacer (Gd-DEG1SL) had an increased relaxivity compared to Dotarem, whereas the longest (Gd-DEG6SL) had the greatest reduction in relaxivity. Previous studies of liposomes formulated from other Gd-lipids, such as Gd-DOTA, DSA, have also been shown to have an enhanced relaxivity compared to commercially available Gd-chelates [16,19]. This effect appears to depend on the Gd chelate being rigidly fixed to the exterior surface of the liposome, such that the nanocomplex rotates as a rigid body thus reducing the rotational tumbling rate of these large nano-complexes [51]. Other strategies to restrict the rotational motion of the Gd-chelates in liposomal preparations, such as attaching the DOTA directly to the alkyl chains [52] have also lead to enhanced relaxivities. In our work, the DOTA chelate in Gd-DEG6SL has the most motility away from the polar head group. This local motion reduces the effective rotational tumbling rate and therefore lowers relaxivity, as has been shown for other liposomal Gd-chelates [50]. In contrast, other studies of polymerised liposomes formulated with Gd-chelates have shown that a longer linker between the Gd-chelate and the lipid head group can actually lead to higher molar relaxivities. This was attributed to greater separation between the metal ion and the surface of the liposome, allowing improved aqueous accessibility to the Gd$^{3+}$ ion [53]. Gd$^{3+}$-DTPA-bisamides have also been prepared possessing different alkyl chain lengths where a double bond in the alkyl chain, compared to a saturated chain, gave a more efficient MRI contrast reagent due to greater chain mobility and resulting water exchange [54]. However, in the present study the water exchange rate is not so critical for achieving a high $r_1$ at higher field strengths [55]; the range between the three Gd-lipids is minimal and Gd-DEG6SL is still very much classed as a functional contrast agent, although there may be a greater difference between liposomes formulated from the three Gd-lipids at lower fields [49]. It must also be noted that the relaxivities for each formulation was measured as a function of Gd concentration to assess how our formulation affects relaxivity. However, as the liposome contains numerous DOTA chelators (30%) the Gd payload per liposome [16] is increased and thus the Gd relaxivity per liposome is actually much higher.

Chelation of the radionuclides $^{111}$In and $^{64}$Cu for SPECT and PET was carried out after formulation of the liposomes. The degree of chelation of the radionuclides therefore gives information on how the PEG coating affects the chelating efficiency of the DOTA. This was carried out for formulations H$^-$P-DEG3SL and DEG6SL liposomes were evaluated with and without the short PEG lipid, DODEG4, for $^{64}$Cu, and DEG3SL and DEG6SL liposomes were evaluated with both DODEG4 and DSPE-PEG2000 for $^{111}$In, as the binding would be thought to be consistent for both metals. As our initial studies with Gd$^{3+}$ appeared to indicate that a shorter oligoethylene glycol spacer would lead to reduced availability of the DOTA within the PEG layer that would hinder binding, DEG1SL formulations were not evaluated. Liposome labelling for both $^{111}$In and $^{64}$Cu was high (~80%) although slightly lower for DEG6SL formulations than DEG3SL. This may again be due to the flexibility of the longer oligoethylene glycol spacer, causing folding of the head group into the bilayer and reducing accessibility for binding. These labelling efficiencies of $^{111}$In are slightly lower than those obtained in a recent study by de Vries et al. [21] although these were heated at 50 °C rather than 37 °C, which may aid in maximal chelation. The DEG3SL/DSPE-PEG2000 liposome N and DEG6SL/PEG2000 liposome P also showed similar binding efficiencies to the DEG6SL/DODEG4 formulation O, when assessed by PD10.
purification prior to in vivo imaging studies, indicating that PEG does not inhibit radiolabelling of DOTA on the surface of liposomes. Therefore, these liposomes are suitable nuclear agents for both SPECT and PET scanning.

The in vitro uptake experiments showed that the three different cancer cell types all labelled and internalised each of the Gd chelated lipid formulations A, B and C containing the oligoethylene glycol spacer lipid DODEG4, although to differing degrees. Internalization was characterised by fluorescence microscopy as circular deposits within the cell, consistent with uptake within vesicles within the cell. In general, both the MDA-MB-231 and HeLa cells were fairly tolerant to liposome labeling with the MDA-MB-231 cells showing the highest uptake with the greatest reduction in T1, whereas the OVCAR-3 cells appeared to be the most susceptible to cell death but also had the lowest uptake corresponding to a low reduction in T1. The Gd-DEG6SL liposome **B** was the best tolerated but also showed the lowest uptake. Liposomes **A** and **C** were less tolerated but showed a much higher uptake in cells by both fluorescence microscopy and FACS results. Therefore the degree of uptake is affecting cell viability especially at the higher concentrations in these formulations. We have confirmed this by the dose dependent effect that can be seen by using serial dilutions of Gd-DEG6SL and Gd-DEG3SL. The equivalent non-shielded liposomes **E**, **F** and **G**, formulated with DOTMA rather than DODEG4, showed similar results by FACS to the results for **A**, **B** and **C**. Cellular uptake was also not hindered by serum within the incubation media; indeed, the presence of serum actually enhanced the cellular uptake, increasing fluorescence and also reducing the rate of uptake, resulting in similar cell viabilities as the shielded liposomes at 2 h rather than 4 h incubation. This may result from the serum causing the particles to stick to the cell surface, or in the presence of serum uptake of aggregates is also seen. However, the DSPE-PEG2000 liposome, **D**, showed no cellular internalization, and although the FACS results showed that the percentage of cells that were labelled by liposome **D** was similar to the three DODEG4 liposome formulations **A**, **B** and **C**, the degree of shift in fluorescence was much lower. In fact, when cells labelled with liposome **D** were visualised by fluorescence microscopy, the fluorescence appeared as a dull halo around the cells, indicating that the liposomes were only attached to the outside of the cell and had not been internalised.

Formulations of bimodal cationic liposomes containing Gd-lipids and fluorescence markers, but without PEG shielding, have already been shown to have good uptake properties within HeLa cells with little effect on cell viability [16]. Such liposomes have also proven to be effective for siRNA delivery in vivo to OVCAR-3 cells [17]. However, these papers did not compare the uptake of these non-shielded liposomes with liposomes containing PEG2000 in their in vitro experiments; rather, different formulations were utilised for the in vivo experiments, making a comparison of the effectiveness of liposomes coated with PEG2000 with non-shielded liposomes impossible [16,17]. We hypothesise that the limited uptake of the PEG2000-containing liposome **D** is most likely due to two factors. Firstly, the long neutral PEG2000 lipid hides the charge of the liposome **D**, which affects cell uptake, as cells have been shown to preferentially take up charged particles. Secondly, PEG2000 is hydrophilic in character, which decreases the adsorption of serum proteins and opsonins to the surface of the liposome bilayer, resulting in limited interaction with cell walls, also reducing cellular uptake [1]. For this reason, stealth liposomes formulated with PEG2000 lipids generally require the use of targeting ligands for enhanced cellular uptake [6,7]. Our results show that the use of the lipid DODEG4, with a short oligoethylene glycol spacer, for the preparation of shielded liposomes, appears to limit this inhibiting effect on cellular uptake when compared to liposomes formulated incorporating DSPE-PEG2000. The differences in uptake for the different Gd-lipid formulations **A**, **B** and **C** may relate to how prominent the neutrally charged Gd-DOTA complex is on the surface. The relaxivity measurements may suggest that the Gd-DOTA in the DEG1SL liposome, **A**, is buried within the DODEG4 shielding layer, as the oligoethylene glycol spacers are about the same length. Similarly, the 111In-chelating experiments may indicate that the DOTA chelates in the DEG6SL liposome **C** are folded back into the oligoethylene glycol layer. However, the observed differences in uptake are not correlated to the surface charge of these particles, with liposomes **B** and **C** having similar positive zeta potentials and liposome **A** having the most positive surface charge, nor are they related to the size of the particles, with liposomes **C** and **D** having significantly greater hydrodynamic sizes than liposomes **A** and **B**.

The pharmacokinetic properties of liposomes **L**, **O**, **N** and **P**, as assessed by SPECT/CT, were also markedly different. Directly after injection and at 3 h all liposome preparations showed uptake in the bladder although free indium had been removed by PD10 purification. This may be partly due to the distribution of size within the liposome formulations, with smaller sizes excreted via the kidney. At 40 min post-injection uptake in the liver and spleen could be seen for all liposome preparations, which then gradually increased over the 24 h time course. The 111In-DEG6SL/DSPE-PEG2000 (**P**) showed the highest liver and spleen uptake followed by 111In-DEG3SL/DSPE-PEG2000 (**L**), whereas both the 111In-DEG3SL/DSPE-PEG2000 liposome (**N**) and 111In-DEG6SL/DSPE-PEG2000 liposome (**O**) were shown to have a reduced uptake in the liver. However, liposome **N** did exhibit a high uptake in the spleen, with a characteristic uptake pattern in images that was consistent for both DSPE-PEG2000 liposomes. The gradual increase in uptake over the 24 h indicates that a specific fraction remains in the blood pool at the earlier time points. The reduction in blood concentration is shown by the heart values over the 24 h and indicates that all of the liposomes have fairly similar clearance rates. The uptake and clearance differences between liposomes unfortunately cannot currently be attributed to a specific component of the liposomal formulation. There is no correlation between the degree of uptake in liver and spleen that relates to either the changes in PEG shielding between the DODEG4 and DSPE-PEG2000 formulations, or to the differences in n-EG linker lengths between the In-DOTA lipids in this study, although the images for liposomes formulated with DSPE-PEG2000 do appear to show characteristic uptake in the spleen.

These results are highly significant, as they indicate for the first time that it may be possible to “tune” liposomes to change their distribution in vivo, as we have shown fairly substantial changes by just adjusting the depth and coverage of the PEG shielding and matching this to the length of PEG spacers between the component lipids and the functional groups attached to them. They also indicate that, contrary to other literature in this area, shielding with PEG2000 lipids is not always the predominant factor governing distribution of liposomes in vivo, as liposomes **N** and **P** show very different behaviours. Although the distributions differed between formulation the blood clearance, as judged by activity in the heart, was fairly similar. Therefore it is hard to predict differences which might occur in the degree of uptake of these different liposomes in tumour models by EPR. However, we have also shown that liposomes formulated with DODEG4 shielding have a high cell uptake in vitro, whereas liposomes formulated with DSPE-PEG2000 do not. This would mean that drug delivery for these formulations would be more efficient and therefore deliver an effectively higher payload to target cells, compared to DSPE-PEG2000 formulations, when delivered passively. Further work will be required to determine the relationships between the depth and coverage of the n-EG or PEG shielding, the length of n-EG spacer between lipid and functional group, and the biodistribution and EPR uptake of these formulations.
Remarkably little previous work has been carried out to investigate the overall biodistribution of bimodal liposomes for imaging, or the effects of changes in formulation on biodistribution. Although the incorporation of radionuclides should allow for these effects to be studied, to date no SPECT investigations of liposome biodistribution have been published, with the exception of a key study by Boerman et al [23] looking at the uptake of encapsulated $^{111}$In-PEGylated liposomes, which interestingly also showed a high uptake of liposomes within the spleen. Similarly, although the inclusion of a paramagnetic label should make MRI studies feasible, only liver uptake has so far been quantified by analysing tissue $T_1$ at longitudinal time points for Gd-liposome formulations [16]. The majority of reported biodistribution data has been acquired post mortem by either fluorescence [16–18], or Gd distribution [56], or Geiger counting [23] measurements.

5. Conclusions

We have prepared a series of chelating lipids with oligoethylene glycol spacers of differing lengths between the DOTA chelator and the lipid head group, and used these to formulate multifunctional liposomes, bearing paramagnetic or radiotracer ions, and also bearing fluorophore lipids. We have shown that these multimodal liposomes can be developed as functional MRI contrast agents similar to those fluorophore lipids. We have shown that these multimodal liposomes can be developed as functional MRI contrast agents similar to those fluorophore lipids. We have shown that these multimodal liposomes can be developed as functional MRI contrast agents similar to those fluorophore lipids.
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Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article (MALDI of Gd-DEG6SL, Gd-DEG5SL, Gd-DEG4SL, data on incorporation of $^{64}$Cu and $^{111}$In in DOTA lipids and liposomes, FACs analysis of liposome uptake, confocal microscopy of cellular liposome uptake, cell viability data) can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.09.070.
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