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We show that a central presumption in the debate over black-hole information loss is incorrect. Ensuring that information not escape during evaporation does not require that it all remain trapped until the final stage of the process. Using the recent quantum information-theoretic result of locking, we show that the amount of information that must remain can be very small, even as the amount already radiated is negligible. Information need not be additive: A small system can lock a large amount of information, making it inaccessible. Only if the set of initial states is restricted can information leak.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.081302
PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy, 03.67.-a

The laws of quantum mechanics and quantum field theory ensure predictability—if we completely specify the initial system, and know all the interactions, then we can know the state of the system at all future times. All the known laws of physics satisfy this principle, called unitarity, with one glaring exception: Hawking showed [1] that a black hole apparently causes this predictability to break down. If we have a completely specified system which forms a black hole, and we let the black hole evaporate, then Hawking’s calculation states that it should evolve into thermal radiation, which is maximally random and unpredictable—information is lost [2].

Many general relativists regard this loss of predictability as inevitable, contending that there is no paradox. After all, one can make models where evolution is nonunitary [3]. On the other hand, particle physicists, who cherish unitarity, traditionally insist that the laws of general relativity cannot be strictly true and that unitarity must be preserved. Famously, John Preskill bet Stephen Hawking and Kip Thorne that information is not lost in black holes. While some may have changed their minds [4], few would argue that the situation is resolved, and the mystery is, if anything, more pronounced. If unitarity is preserved, how is it preserved, and if it is lost, how are the laws of quantum mechanics modified?

The essential problem is that quantum mechanics is a unitary theory, and the idea of an essentially irreversible process is antithetical to unitarity, which tells us first and foremost that, when the state of a system is initially in a pure state, it will remain forever after pure. The kind of irreversibility associated with eternal black holes may be disturbing but does not violate unitarity, in that the state of the entire system including the black hole can be pure, even though the state interior to the hole may be inaccessible. This is a limitation on the evolution of states rather than a breakdown of unitarity. The problem arises for evaporating black holes. If Hawking’s original calculation is correct, then initial pure states do evolve into mixed states.

One potential solution to the problem is that information leaks out, preserving unitarity, but only when the black hole reaches the Planck scale—the point where Hawking’s semiclassical calculation breaks down. Alternatively, the evaporation could stop at the Planck scale, trapping all the information in a small remnant. Both solutions were considered unsatisfactory, as it was believed that the Planck-sized black hole or remnant had to contain all the information that formed the hole.

This is problematic, since the well-known black-hole entropy formula of Bekenstein and Hawking [5,6]

$$S_{BH} = 4\pi M^2$$

(where we work in Planck units \(h = c = G = 1\)) tells us that a small black hole of mass \(M_f\) cannot contain all the entropy of a larger initial black hole with mass \(M \gg M_f\). But, since we are discarding semiclassical calculations for tiny black holes anyway, this is not terribly convincing.

A stronger objection to a small black hole containing a large amount of information, \(M^2\) bits, is that the final burst of radiation in which all of this information is released needs to last a time of order \(M^4\). This is such a long time that one is effectively left with a stable remnant [7,8]. Stable remnants are implausible, because if they contain all the original information of the black hole, then there are of order \(M^2/M_p^2\) different species of remnants, with \(M_p\) the Planck mass, and this huge degeneracy would have a noticeable impact on low energy physics due to coupling between remnants and gravitons or soft quanta.

In this Letter, we attempt to clarify the situation by making a careful information-theoretic statement about the problem and then showing that one of the main objections to unitarity is flawed. Recent results in quantum information theory [9,10] tell us that it is not true that simply because information escapes only at the end of a process that all the information must reside in the small object remaining at the end of the process. Instead, we
show that the information can reside in the large Hilbert space of the quanta which have escaped, but this information is inaccessible. It is “locked” and only becomes available with access to the small number of remaining quanta, which act in a manner reminiscent of a cryptographic key. This is a purely quantum effect and cannot be understood using only classical information theory. In the classical case, information must either reside outside the black hole or be left inside. Locking information classically requires a key as large as the information to be concealed. A quantum key can be much smaller. Thus, one can have a unitary process such that the black hole evaporates but leaks little information until the final stages of evaporation. The final remaining quanta act as a key, and, when they are finally emitted, they restore the full information that was trapped in the black hole.

While the locking process might appear to be rather ad hoc, we will further show that it can be made very natural and can arise generically. There are, however, fresh issues which arise when information is locked in a black hole—namely, we will see that an observer with special knowledge about the set of initial states of the system used to create the black hole can get some information out of the black hole at early times.

We thus do not claim to have a complete solution to the information loss problem, which we suspect will require a greater understanding of quantum gravity. We merely wish to clarify the black-hole paradox in light of new effects in quantum information theory. The current discussion is based on presuppositions originating from classical reasoning which are simply untrue once one takes into account the quantum nature of information.

If we believe general relativity, unitarity must break down for evaporating black holes, because Hawking’s calculation explicitly tells us that the radiation from a evaporating black hole is thermal and, therefore, independent of the input state. That is to say, no information can escape from inside the horizon, even when a black hole is undergoing evaporation and losing mass. This is a fundamental consequence of the disconnected space-time structure of the black hole. That Hawking’s calculation results in no information escape is no surprise; the classical causal structure is treated as the background.

We now formulate in a precise way what it means to have no information escape. Usually, this is expressed by saying that, for all initial pure states $\psi$, $S_i(\langle \psi | \psi \rangle) = \rho$, where $\rho$ does not depend on $\psi$ and $S_i$ is the evolution operator acting on an external observer’s state up until time $t$ (production of Hawking radiation in this case). Since we will argue that this is not true for $t \to \infty$ (the overall evolution is unitary), we consider a time $t$ where the hole has evaporated for a while but is still large.

In order to make this more rigorous and physical, and to allow for small effects due to quantum gravity corrections to Hawking’s semiclassical calculation, let us make the condition for no escape of information more precise. Usually, one imagines that a single known state has formed the black hole (an encyclopedia, for example). But a single state contains no information—information is about correlations—it is information about something and is thus defined over ensembles. We should instead imagine a two-party game, where one party A (Alice) forms a black hole from a set of states $\{|i\rangle\}$. The other party B (Bob) observes all the Hawking quanta until time $t$ and, based on measuring the quanta (collectively), tries to guess which state from the set $\{|i\rangle\}$ formed the black hole. As we shall see, low entropy of emitted Hawking radiation for a given initial state need not mean information leakage in and of itself.

We want to say that, no matter the initial state, the output of the black hole at $t$ contains nearly no information about the initial state, i.e., for all of Bob’s measurements $M$ taking $S_i(\langle \psi_i | \psi_i \rangle)$ to classical outcome $j$

$$I(i;j) < \epsilon,$$

where $I(ab) = H(a) + H(b) - H(ab)$ is the classical mutual information and $H$ is the Shannon entropy function. $I$ quantifies the amount of information which leaks out of the black hole, and Eq. (2) says that there will be little correlation between the initial states and Bob’s guess of what these initial states were. We can write this in a mixed classical-quantum notation as

$$I_c(i;S_j \left( \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} |\psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i| \right)) < \epsilon.$$

$I_c(i;\rho)$ is defined as the maximum classical mutual information about $i$ that can be obtained by measuring $\rho$.

In classical information theory, the following always holds:

$$I(xy:z) - I(x:y) \leq I(y:z) \leq H(y).$$

In other words, the additional information about $z$ gained by having both $x$ and $y$ instead of only having $x$ is no bigger than the entropy contained in $y$. It is this classical relation that gives us the false intuition that if nearly no information has escaped a black hole up until time $t$, then the remaining small hole must contain nearly all the information. Quantum mechanically, this intuition is simply wrong.

Following Ref. [9], we define the states

$$\rho = \frac{1}{dn} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j i \langle i | U_j^\dagger,$$

$$\rho^\dagger = \frac{1}{dn} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j i \langle i | U_j^\dagger \otimes | j \rangle \langle j|,$$

with $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ forming orthonormal sets, and the $U_j$’s are a set of $n$ different unitary operators acting on a $d$-dimensional Hilbert space. The difference between these is that the second state includes a classical label (encoded in the orthonormal set of $|j\rangle$’s) telling which of the $n$ possible unitaries $U_j$ was applied. Comparing the differ-
ence in accessible classical information when one does or does not have $j$, it has been shown [9,10] that, for certain choices of $n, d$, and the $U_j$’s,

$$I_c(i; \rho') = \log d,$$

(8)

That is to say that quantumly (4) can be violated by an arbitrarily large amount. The information of “which $i$" is locked by not having access to the $j$. Here the number of different $j$’s can be small. In particular, by choosing $n = (\log d)^3 + k$ and the $U_j$’s at random (over the Haar measure), we have for large $d$ and constant $C$ [11]

$$I_c(i; \rho') - I_c(i; \rho) \gg \log n.$$

(7)

Equation (9) is of the form (3) if only we assume the action of the black hole $S_i$ is to perform one of a set of random unitary operators and apply this evolution to an ensemble of orthogonal states $|i\rangle$.

Now let us rewrite $\rho'$ as

$$\rho_{BH} = \frac{1}{dn} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (U_j|i\rangle\langle i|U_j^\dagger)_B \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|_H.$$

(10)

This is simply an assignment of Hilbert spaces. If $H_H$ is considered to be inside a black hole and inaccessible to $B$, his remaining state would be $\text{Tr}_H(\rho_{BH}) = \rho$. Thus, the small $n$-dimensional Hilbert space of the black hole has kept the mutual information $B$ has about $i$ low. If the black hole completely evaporates, Hilbert space $H_H$ is transferred to $B$ and his final state becomes $\rho'$, with accessible information $\log d$—all the information is restored. Or the information can be locked forever by a remnant which hides the value of $j$ living in $H_H$.

The evolution taking $\rho_0 = (1/d)\sum_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ to $\rho_{BH}$ is not unitary. The entropy in the sum over $j$’s has appeared out of nowhere. We would like to find an evolution with the same $S_i$ describing the state outside the black hole, while not producing extra entropy. We replace $\rho_{BH}$ with

$$\rho_{BH}' = \frac{1}{dn} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (U_j|i\rangle\langle i|U_k^\dagger)_B \otimes |j\rangle\langle k|_H.$$

(11)

Since $\text{Tr}_HT_{BH}' = \text{Tr}_HT_{BH}$, the evolution outside the black hole is the same, and $I_c$ is unchanged. The difference is that the state still inside the black hole is now entangled with the external state rather than classically correlated with it. After complete evaporation, $B$ has a superposition of $j$’s instead of a mixture. He can measure in the $|j\rangle$ basis and collapse the superposition yielding $\log d$ information as before. Thus, we now have a completely unitary process by which a small black hole can lock the large amount of information that it originally contained. When the small hole finally finishes evaporating, all the information is regained.

There is one serious problem with the above analysis. It depends crucially on the black hole having been formed from an ensemble of orthogonal states chosen with uniform probability and spanning nearly the entire Hilbert space of the hole. The locking phenomenon is extremely vulnerable to coding. If the ensemble is restricted to spanning a space of slightly less than $d/n$ dimensions, then nearly all the information is accessible (due to the packing lemma [12]). The actual amount is about $\log d - \log n$ or the same as we would expect classically from (4). Thus, if Alice creates the black hole not with all possible states, but instead restricts the set of states she uses, and Bob knows the restricted set of states, then Bob will be able to guess the value of $i$ before the black hole has fully evaporated. Equivalently, putting many copies of the same state $i$ into many black holes in order to repeat the two-party game will allow Bob to distinguish the value of $i$ because, effectively, the total Hilbert space is being restricted to one with identical copies of the same state.

There is an elegant way of looking at the black-hole information problem based on arguments by Susskind [13]. If evolution takes a state outside of the light cone (from $A$ to $B$, for example), and our theory is relativistically invariant, then there exists a reference frame in which the state has evolved from an initial copy at $A$, to two copies of the state, one at $A$ and one at $B$. Such an evolution cannot be unitary—it violates the no-cloning theorem [14]. In the case of the black hole, one finds a spacelike hypersurface which is well away from the singularity, yet intersects almost all the outgoing Hawking radiation as well as the infalling matter which formed the black hole inside the apparent horizon. This hypersurface contains two copies of the state. Thus, if information eventually escapes the black hole, the no-cloning theorem (and, hence, unitarity and linearity) would be violated. We thus have the amusing situation that, if no information escapes from the black hole, unitarity is violated, yet if information escapes from a black hole, unitarity once again appears to be violated.

In light of information locking, we see that this argument is not strictly true. In our model, the full state cannot be reconstructed from the outgoing radiation until the final burst of radiation (and this burst of radiation is not captured by any hypersurface which avoids the singularity). In other words, one can have information eventually leak out at the Planck scale in such a way that the black hole cannot be used as a universal cloning machine. However, due to the coding argument above, one can use the black hole to clone some subspace of the full Hilbert space—still disastrous for quantum theory, but we hope this clarification may lead to advances removing even this smaller violation of causality.

Turning back to our model, we should point out that the actual state of the outgoing radiation and internal states are not of the form (11), but rather, are quantum fields in a thermal state outside the hole, correlated with the quantum states of the black hole (geometry). Our $S_i$ should be taken only as a simplified model, designed to clarify our central argument. For any initial state, one can find a realistic mapping which takes the output state to one for which
any small number of quanta appear thermal, thus reproducing the semiclassical Hawking result at any instant. It should be possible to find a mapping where this is true for any initial state; however, the totality of the emitted radiation cannot appear thermal, containing phase correlations over many quanta and having small total entropy. This is necessarily in a unitary theory and can be attributed to the semiclassical analysis not taking into account quantum effects of the geometry, e.g., backreaction effects. By some estimates, the semiclassical calculation completely breaks down once the black hole has evaporated a fraction of order $M^{-2/3}$ of its mass [15]. Note that at intermediate times the entropy of the radiation for any particular initial state $|i\rangle$ will be low, although it is high enough that observing the radiation does not allow one to distinguish which state $i$ was used to form the black hole. This low entropy is sometimes taken to mean that information has leaked out. However, as we have seen, this is true only when the number of potential input states is restricted.

It is also true that the remaining small black hole that locks the information until quantum effects dominate needs to contain information growing as $3\log\log d$. Additionally, applying the analysis of Carlitz and Willey [8], one finds that the lifetime of the remnant will not be of order $M^3$ as before, but rather, much shorter, of order $(\log M)^2$. Since these quantities can grow without bound with the size of the initial black hole, the original complaint about the small hole needing to hold a large amount of information still vexes. Fortunately, logarithmic growth is extremely slow. For a solar mass black hole holding at most $10^{38}$ bits of information, the evaporation process can be semiclassical until the black hole is tiny, of size around 20 Planck masses. There may also be a cosmological limit on just how large a black hole one really needs to worry about. Taking Lloyd’s estimate [16] of $10^{60} - 10^{120}$ bits as the total bits in the Universe, then all this information could be locked by a black hole with a mass of only 40 Planck masses. One can easily imagine quantum effects coming into play for a hole that small.

Finally, let us turn to potential mechanisms which might generate such states. It might appear that the state we have used is highly artificial, but this is not the case. Even unitaries chosen at random will work; thus, one expects rather generic mechanisms to yield such states. All one needs is the knowledge of which unitary acted remains inside the black hole. Of course, we are not claiming a precise mechanism for this, which would presumably require a fuller understanding of a quantum theory of gravity. Our purpose is to refute standard presuppositions about black-hole information and to suggest a possible form of evolution resulting from a potential theory of quantum gravity. We have shown that there is an evolution $S_i$ that leads to a state $\rho_{BH}$ where $B$ has nearly no information about what state formed the black hole, but if $B$ has access to the small system $H$, then $B$ has complete information. If the evolution of a black hole were $S_i^\dagger$, then both unitarity and causality could be preserved without requiring a small nearly evaporated black hole to be able to hold all the information that ever fell into its large ancestor. Information can still leak out of the black hole if the initial set of states is known to be restricted. This is related to the fact that the purely quantum information measure the coherent information [17] cannot be locked (which can be shown using standard entropy inequalities). Clearly, further work needs to be done clarifying precisely which measure of information should be used when analyzing black holes and causality, a detail largely ignored in the literature. We hope that this Letter may point future attempts at reconciling black-hole information loss in useful directions.
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[2] For background reading, we refer the reader to the review of John Preskill, hep-th/9209058.
[11] The exponential decrease in Eq. (9) is actually an improvement over the results in Ref. [10] in which $I_\rho(t;\rho)$ goes to a small constant [A. Winter (private communication)]. We believe that locking is possible with $n = \log d + k$.