Astrocytes, a special type of glial cells, were considered to have supporting role in information processing in the brain. However, several recent studies have shown that they can be chemically stimulated by neurotransmitters, and use a form of signaling, in which ATP acts as an extracellular messenger. Pathological conditions, such as spreading depression, have been linked to abnormal range of wave propagation in astrocytic cellular networks. Nevertheless, the underlying intra- and inter-cellular signaling mechanisms remain unclear. Motivated by the above, we constructed a model to understand the relationship between single-cell signal transduction mechanisms and wave propagation and blocking in astrocytic networks. The model incorporates ATP-mediated IP$_3$ production, the subsequent Ca$^{2+}$ release from the ER through IP$_3$R channels and ATP release into the extracellular space. For the latter, two hypotheses were tested: Ca$^{2+}$- or IP$_3$-dependent ATP release. In the first case, single astrocytes can exhibit excitable behavior and frequency-encoded oscillations. Homogeneous, one-dimensional astrocytic networks can propagate waves with infinite range, while in two dimensions, spiral waves can be generated. However, in the IP$_3$-dependent ATP release case, the specific coupling of the driver ATP-IP$_3$
system with the driven Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem leads to one- and two-dimensional wave patterns with finite range of propagation.

**KEYWORDS** astrocytes, ATP wave, Ca$^{2+}$ wave, spiral wave, wave blocking, ATP release
INTRODUCTION

The nervous system consists of two types of cells: the neurons, which are responsible for signal propagation and information processing and the glial cells which exist in between the neurons (Brodal, 1998). A special type of glial cells, which will be the focus of our modeling studies, are the so-called astrocytes. Before 1990, astrocytes were considered to have just supporting role for the neurons, by holding them together and supplying nutrients to them (Haydon, 2001; Nedergaard et al., 2003). This viewpoint was mainly due to the stimulation techniques that were employed: astrocytes are not electrically excitable like the neurons, and studies in which electrical stimuli were applied to them could not reveal their role (Haydon, 2001). One early exception came from the studies of Orkand et al. who showed that neuronal activity results in membrane depolarization of astrocytes (Orkand et al., 1966).

Major insights into the possible role of astrocytes in the mammalian brain have started to appear in the literature in the early 90’s, when new experimental techniques became available (ion-sensitive fluorescent indicators, quantitative imaging techniques, patch clamp recordings from brain slices, confocal microscopy) (Haydon, 2001). The milestone paper by Cornel-Bell showed that glutamate can induce Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves in astrocytic cultures (Cornell-Bell et al., 1990). Many subsequent studies showed that astrocytes use a form of signaling to communicate with each other and with neighboring neurons. Thus, a focal stimulus applied to a specific cell, not only initiates a response from that cell, but it also results in a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave which is then propagated to the culture (Cornell-Bell et al., 1990). Wave propagation also occurs in slices of the hippocampus (Nett et al., 2002) and the thalamus (Parri et al., 2001). The wave can subsequently reach and stimulate neurons (Nedergaard, 1994;
Parpura et al., 1994). Finally, it has been suggested that abnormal wave propagation in astrocytic tissues is linked to pathological conditions such as spreading depression, migraine (Leibowitz, 1992; Nedergaard et al., 2003) and epilepsy (D’Ambrosio, 2004). These findings led to a reconsideration of the role of astrocytes in the brain. Astrocytes were no longer viewed as passive bystanders in the synapse, but as active components playing their role in information processing and signal propagation (Nedergaard et al., 2003).

At the single-cell level, it was discovered that astrocytes can modulate their intracellular calcium concentration when stimulated with a neurotransmitter, such as glutamate (Cornell-Bell et al., 1990) or ATP (Cotrina et al., 1998; Guthrie et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2000). The types of behavior that can be observed after stimulation involve a rapid increase in the cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ concentration followed by a sustained plateau phase, rapid increase in the cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ concentration which finally returns to the basal levels, and Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations that persist for several minutes (Salm and McCarthy, 1990). There are several mechanisms by which the cell can increase its cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$. They include Ca$^{2+}$ influx from the extracellular space or efflux from intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ stores, such as the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the mitochondria. However, it is considered that the main mechanism by which the Ca$^{2+}$ concentration is modulated in astrocytes, involves an IP$_3$-dependent pathway, which results in the release of Ca$^{2+}$ through channels on the surface of the ER.

This pathway is schematically shown in Fig. 1. Astrocytes are known to express a variety of purine receptors (P2Y) (Nedergaard et al., 2003). When a neurotransmitter, such as ATP, binds to these receptors in the membrane of the cell, phospholipase C (PLC) is activated through a G-protein coupled pathway. The activated PLC catalyzes the conversion of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$, PIP$_2$) into
inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (Ins(1,4,5)P₃, IP₃) and diacylglycerol (DAG) (Berridge et al., 1998). IP₃ binds synergistically with Ca²⁺ ions to the IP₃ receptor (IP₃R) on the membrane of the ER and opens channels, through which Ca²⁺ is released into the cytosol. There exist also ATPase pumps on the surface of the ER which return Ca²⁺ back into the ER (Carafoli, 2002). There are two reasons for this: first the Ca²⁺ concentration in the cytosol must be kept low for it to function as an effective messenger and second, prolonged high cytosolic concentration of Ca²⁺ will signal cell death. Transient elevations of intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration are only one consequence of astrocytic stimulation. Stimulated astrocytes are also capable of glutamate uptake (Anderson and Swanson, 2000) as well as release of glutamate (Innocenti et al., 2000), ATP (Newman, 2001) and Ca²⁺ to the extracellular space (Goldman et al., 1994; Holgado and Beauge, 1995).

Moreover, the neurotransmitter- or mechanically- or electrically-induced Ca²⁺ responses do not remain local; they spread over a coupled network of astrocytes in the form of a calcium wave, which is characterized by a finite range of propagation in both space and time. Intercellular Ca²⁺ waves were first reported by Cornell-Bell et al. (1990), who described propagated increases in intracellular Ca²⁺ in astrocytes from rat hippocampus in response to bath application of glutamate. Glutamate-induced Ca²⁺ waves were also studied in rat and human hippocampal astrocytes in many other experiments (Cornell-Bell and Finkbeiner, 1991; Finkbeiner, 1992; Kim et al., 1994; Lee et al., 1995). Mechanically-induced intercellular Ca²⁺ waves were also observed in cortical mixed glia (Charles, 1994; Charles et al., 1991; Charles et al., 1993), C6 glioma cells (Charles et al., 1992), cortical astrocytes (Enkvist and McCarthy, 1992), oligodendrocytes (Takeda et al., 1995) and striatal astrocytes (Venancé et al., 1997; 1995). In addition, electrical stimuli evoked intercellular Ca²⁺ waves in hippocampal
slice cultures (Dani et al., 1992), in cortical astrocyte-neuron cultures (Parpura et al., 1994), in hippocampal astrocyte-neuron cultures (Hassinger et al., 1995) and in rat cortical astrocytes (Guthrie et al., 1999). Finally, local astrocytic stimulation with ATP was also found to result in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave propagation in the acutely isolated retina (Newman, 2001; Newman and Zahs, 1997). Radial, as well as spiral Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves have been observed in cell cultures (Harris-White et al., 1998) with speeds that vary from 10 to 30 µm/s (Charles et al., 1992; Innocenti et al., 2000; Newman and Zahs, 1997; Yagodin et al., 1995; 1994) and range of propagation in the order of 200 µm (Guthrie et al., 1999).

Two mechanisms for intercellular astrocytic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling have been suggested in the literature. Initially, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves were thought to spread as a result of gap-junction-mediated metabolic coupling between astrocytes (Charles et al., 1992; Sanderson et al., 1994; Sneyd et al., 1995). According to this hypothesis, IP\textsubscript{3} diffuses between astrocytes through gap junctions, stimulates the release of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from intracellular ER stores of neighboring astrocytes and consequently leads to a calcium wave. There exists experimental evidence in support of this hypothesis. First, C6 glioma cells, which express few gap junctions do not exhibit Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves unless connexins are artificially expressed (Charles et al., 1992). Moreover, caged IP\textsubscript{3} experiments have indicated that IP\textsubscript{3} diffuses through gap junctions and photolysis experiments have shown Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves propagating in non-injected cells adjacent to those that were injected with the caged compound (Leybaert et al., 1998). However, the intercellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves observed in these experiments usually propagated for much shorter distances (2-3 cell diameters) than those observed in cultures. Thus, the Achille's heel of the IP\textsubscript{3}-mediated hypothesis (intercellular mode of communication) is that IP\textsubscript{3} diffusion by itself does not seem sufficient to account for the range of the wave
propagation. As supported by mathematical studies (Sneyd et al., 1994; Sneyd et al., 1995), there should be a form of regeneration.

Such a regeneration mechanism is present in the second hypothesis that has been suggested to explain the experimentally observed range of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave propagation. This hypothesis involves an extracellular agonist (extracellular mode of communication) and was basically supported by experiments showing that a wave of elevated intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave can “jump” the gap between two groups of cultured astrocytes separated by cell-free lanes. The Ca\textsuperscript{2+} can pass between disconnected cells as long as the gap is less than \( \sim 120 \mu \text{m} \) (Hassinger et al., 1995). It has also been shown that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves are blocked by purinergic receptor antagonists and by pretreatment with apyrase, an ATP degrading enzyme (Guthrie et al., 1999). Moreover, local stimulation with ATP has been found to be sufficient to evoke the experimentally observed Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves (Guthrie et al., 1999). These experiments provided evidence that the aforementioned extracellular mode of astrocytic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling is mediated by ATP released by stimulated astrocytes (Guthrie et al., 1999).

Newman has recently shown that the ATP-mediated extracellular pathway for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave propagation is also used by retinal glial cells. He utilized a bioluminescence assay to show that in addition to intercellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves, ATP released by local mechanical stimulation of astrocytes also spreads in the form of a wave in retinal glial cells (Newman, 2001). The peak ATP concentration was approximately 78 \( \mu \text{M} \), while the range of propagation greater than 100 \( \mu \text{m} \). Moreover, the ATP wave was found to be faster than the corresponding Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave, and the range of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave was found to be smaller than that of the corresponding ATP wave. Finally, Innocenti et al. (2000) have shown that glutamate released from stimulated cultured astrocytes also
propagates in the form of a wave, at an average speed of 26 μm/s and extending to ~100 μm.

Recent reports have shed light into the physiological significance of astrocyte wave propagation by providing evidence for the dynamic interaction between astrocytes and neurons. Nedergaard (1994) showed that focal electrical stimulation of single astrocytes in mixed cultures of rat forebrain astrocytes and neurons triggers a wave of calcium increase, propagating from astrocyte to astrocyte, while neurons resting on these astrocytes respond with large increases in their cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration. Kang et al. (1998) demonstrated that interneuronal firing elicits transient elevations of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in neighboring astrocytes, which, upon stimulation, potentiate miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) in pyramidal neurons. Newman and Zahs showed that spike activity of neurons within the ganglion cell layer changed, when Ca\(^{2+}\) waves in astrocytes and Müller cells reached these neurons (Newman and Zahs, 1997).

As indicated by many of the aforementioned experimental studies, ATP plays a key role in intracellular signaling as well as wave propagation in astrocytic cellular networks. Despite recent progress, the mechanism by which ATP is released after stimulation is still largely unknown. Two main hypotheses have been postulated in the literature. The first hypothesis accounts for Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent ATP release (Cotrina et al., 1998) and the second for IP\(_3\)-dependent ATP release (Wang et al., 2000). The focus of this work is the investigation of the consequences of each hypothesis on the behavior at the single-cell level dynamics and the resulting wave propagation characteristics in entire cell cultures.

Due to the complexity and the partial knowledge of the underlying biological mechanisms, understanding what controls wave propagation and blocking is a
complicated task. Mathematical modeling and computations can obviously help in examining closely the consequences of different hypotheses about the key signaling pathways. To this end, in the following section we develop a model that describes signal transduction and wave propagation in astrocytic cellular networks. The model consists of a subsystem that describes Ca$^{2+}$ release from internal stores and equations which model the IP$_3$ production and ATP release, according to the aforementioned biological hypotheses. Using tools from bifurcation theory, we investigate the consequences of each biological hypothesis and we study the effects of key parameters on the transient and asymptotic behavior at the single-cell level. To study coupled astrocytic networks, we incorporate the model in a reaction diffusion framework. We assume that astrocyte coupling occurs in a homogeneous cell culture through the diffusion of extracellular ATP and we study the relationship between different single-cell ATP release mechanisms and wave generation, propagation and blocking characteristics. Due to the homogeneity assumption, we only consider focal stimulation of cell cultures, since simulations of bath application of ATP would predict the same results at the distributed level as those predicted at the single-cell level in a spatially homogeneous domain.

**MODEL**

We start our modeling studies by describing the mechanisms responsible for the release of Ca$^{2+}$ into the cytosol. After obtaining quantitative insight into the behavior of this calcium subsystem, we expand this single-cell model to include ATP and IP$_3$ dynamics. Finally, we incorporate the entire model in a reaction-diffusion framework that allows us to study the relationship between wave propagation/blocking phenomena and single-cell mechanisms.
Calcium subsystem

$Ca^{2+}$ dynamics have been studied extensively over the past two decades and several models have been presented in the literature. In our study, we have chosen to work with the Li-Rinzel (Li and Rinzel, 1994) reduced version of the De Young-Keizer model (De Young and Keizer, 1992) in order to capture the dynamics of the $Ca^{2+}$ subsystem, because this model strikes the best balance between generality and simplicity. The Li-Rinzel model consists of equations 1 to 7. Eq. 1 is a mass balance for $Ca^{2+}$ in which the following fluxes are considered: efflux from the ER through IP$_3$R channels as well as $Ca^{2+}$ leakage and influx through an ATP-ase pump. Eq. 2 is derived from the scheme proposed by De Young and Keizer for the kinetics of the IP$_3$R by using singular perturbation arguments (Li and Rinzel, 1994). In this equation, h is a dimensionless lumped variable that contains information about the fraction of open channels.

\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = -\left(c_1 \cdot v_1 \cdot m_\infty \cdot h^3 + c_4 \cdot v_4 \cdot \left(C - \left[Ca^{2+}\right]\right) - \frac{v_3 \cdot C^2}{\kappa^2 + C^2}ight)
\]

(1)

\[
\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{h_\infty - h}{\tau_h}
\]

(2)

I and C denote intracellular IP$_3$ and $Ca^{2+}$ concentrations, respectively and:

\[
m_\infty = \left(\frac{I}{1+d_1}\right) \cdot \frac{C}{C+d_4}
\]

(3)

\[
\tau_h = \frac{1}{a_2 \cdot (Q_2 + C)}
\]

(4)

\[
h_\infty = \frac{Q_2}{Q_2 + C}
\]

(5)
\[
\left[ \text{Ca}^{2+}_{\text{eq}} \right] = \frac{c_0 - C}{c_1} \tag{6}
\]

\[
Q_2 = d_2 \frac{1 + d_1}{1 + d_3} \tag{7}
\]

The Li and Rinzel model does not exhibit excitable behavior if the original parameter set (used by De Young, Keizer and Li, Rinzel) is employed (for definition and conditions of excitability see (FitzHugh, 1960; Murray, 1989)). However, it has been experimentally shown that Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics are excitable in a variety of cells, including astrocytes (Bootman et al., 1992; Charles, 1998; Lechleiter et al., 1991; Neylon and Irvine, 1990; Putney, 1993; Shao and McCarthy, 1995; Woods et al., 1987). Detailed investigation of the Li-Rinzel model using phase plane techniques has shown that there exists a clear separation of the timescales of C and h. Moreover two parameters were found able to significantly affect excitability by making the Ca\(^{2+}\) nullcline sufficiently cubic-shaped: a) The dissociation constant for Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to activating site (parameter d\(_5\)), which influences the extent to which the Calcium Induced Calcium Release (CICR (Roderick et al., 2003)) mechanism acts. Increase of this parameter promotes excitability, as it makes CICR more pronounced. b) The threshold constant that affects the operation of the pump (parameter \(\kappa_3\)). Lower values activate the pump at lower Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations, thus promoting all-or-nothing type excitable responses. Since the original parameter values for the channel kinetics (including d\(_5\)), suggested by De Young and Keizer, were shown to yield excellent agreement with experimental data on the fraction of open channels with respect to IP\(_3\) concentration (Bezprozvanny et al., 1991), we chose to change the value of parameter \(\kappa_3\) in order to obtain excitable responses. Fig. 2 shows the nullclines, the vector field and the corresponding transient dynamics for the two cases of the original and
modified values of the pump threshold constant. As shown in the figure, in the case where $\kappa_3$ is half that of the original value the cubic shape of the nullcline is sufficiently pronounced to evoke excitable behavior.

Furthermore, in Fig. 3 a, b the bifurcation diagrams with respect to the IP$_3$ concentration for the two values of $\kappa_3$ are shown and in Fig. 3 c, d the periods of the oscillations are plotted. We note that these and all bifurcation diagrams were computed with XppAut (Ermentrout, 2002); solid lines represent stable steady states and dashed lines unstable ones, while filled circles denote stable periodic orbits and open circles unstable ones; for limit cycles the lower and upper points of the oscillation are plotted so that the amplitude can be illustrated. First, notice that Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations lie in a range of 0.01 - 1.2 $\mu$M. This compares well with experimental data, as the resting Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations in astrocytes have been found to be around 0.1 $\mu$M and raise up to 0.8 $\mu$M after stimulation (Charles, 1998; Charles et al., 1991). Moreover, notice that for the original parameter set the amplitude of the stable oscillation changes noticeably with the IP$_3$ concentration while the period changes less than 5 s. However, for the parameter set that exhibits excitable behavior, the amplitude of the oscillations remains nearly constant, whereas the period changes orders of magnitude, due to an infinite period bifurcation at the knee around [IP$_3$] = 0.5 $\mu$M. These oscillations are thus shown to be frequency encoded, a phenomenon closely related to excitability (Mishchenko and Rozov, 1980; Tang and Othmer, 1995).

**IP$_3$ and ATP dynamics**

The model for calcium dynamics assumes that IP$_3$ remains at constant concentrations in the cytosol. However, it is known that IP$_3$ is produced when
astrocytes are stimulated with a neurotransmitter, such as ATP (Kastritsis et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2000). As shown in experimental data by Kastritsis et al. (1992), ATP-mediated IP$_3$ production follows Michaelis Menten kinetics. Therefore, in order to account for IP$_3$ dynamics we write a mass balance for intracellular IP$_3$, with a Michaelis Menten production term, in which positive Ca$^{2+}$ feedback is taken into account in the way suggested in (De Young and Keizer, 1992); a dimensionless parameter ($\alpha$) quantifies the extent of the feedback. Degradation of IP$_3$ is assumed to be linear.

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = v_4 \cdot \frac{H}{\kappa_4 + H} \cdot \frac{C + (1 - \alpha) \cdot \kappa_5}{C + \kappa_5} - v_6 \cdot I$$

(8)

Clearly, the dynamics of IP$_3$ are coupled with those of extracellular ATP (H). As described in the introduction, ATP stimulates the cell, but the cell also releases ATP into the extracellular space through an unknown mechanism which we intend to investigate. To this end, ATP release is assumed to be either Ca$^{2+}$-dependent or IP$_3$-dependent. This is modeled by utilizing a generic release term $c_\gamma \cdot v_\gamma \cdot F(C) \cdot G(I)$. Since one of the objectives of this paper is to investigate the potential, unknown role of Ca$^{2+}$ and/or IP$_3$ in ATP release, we considered quite general expressions for $F(C)$ and $G(I)$ that can describe both activating and/or inhibitory roles of these signals depending on their intracellular levels. To distinguish between the two mechanisms, in the case of IP$_3$-dependent ATP release, function $F(C)$ is set equal to 1, while $G(I)$ has a bell-shaped functional form to account for the possible promoting or inhibitory role of IP$_3$ in ATP release for different IP$_3$ concentrations. Similarly, in the case of Ca$^{2+}$-dependent ATP release, $G(I)$ is set equal to 1 and $F(C)$ takes the form of a bell-shaped function to account for concentration-dependent, promoting and/or inhibitory effects of Ca$^{2+}$ on ATP release. The functional forms for $F(C)$ and $G(I)$ have been
constructed such that \( F(C) \) (\( G(I) \)) reaches a maximum when \( C = C_{\text{max}} \) (\( I = I_{\text{max}} \)), and is equal to \( F_0 \) (\( G_0 \)) for \( C = 0 \) \( \mu M \) (\( I = 0 \) \( \mu M \)), where ATP is released only due to leakage.

We considered a small, non-zero value for \( F_0 \) and \( G_0 \) to describe basal ATP release when \( C = 0 \) \( \mu M \) (\( I = 0 \) \( \mu M \)). Also, the function is normalized, which means that the maximum value is equal to unity. ATP degradation to adenosine is assumed to follow Michaelis-Menten kinetics. Therefore the mass balance is:

\[
\frac{dH}{dt} = c_7 \cdot v_7 \cdot F(C) \cdot G(I) - v_8 \cdot \frac{H}{K_h + H} 
\]

(9)

where:

\[
F(C) = \begin{cases} \frac{F_0}{F_0 - 1} - \frac{2 \cdot C}{C_{\text{max}}} & \text{for Ca}^{2+} - \text{dependent ATP release} \\ \frac{1}{F_0 - 1} - \left( \frac{C}{C_{\text{max}}} \right)^2 & \text{for IP}_3 - \text{dependent ATP release} \end{cases}
\]

(10)

\[
G(I) = \begin{cases} \frac{G_0}{G_0 - 1} - \frac{2 \cdot I}{I_{\text{max}}} & \text{for Ca}^{2+} - \text{dependent ATP release} \\ \frac{1}{G_0 - 1} - \left( \frac{I}{I_{\text{max}}} \right)^2 & \text{for IP}_3 - \text{dependent ATP release} \end{cases}
\]

(11)

Thus, the full single-cell model describes the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) mobilization from the ER and the release of ATP to the extracellular space when an astrocyte is stimulated by an increase in the extracellular ATP concentration. It consists of Eq. 1-11 with parameter values shown in Tables 1-3.

**Distributed model**

In order to study wave propagation in a coupled network of astrocytes, we
incorporated these four equations into a reaction-diffusion framework with no flux boundary conditions. The general formulation is as follows:

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D \cdot \nabla^2 u + f(u) \quad (x,y) \in D \]  

\[ u(x,y,0) = u_0(x,y) \quad n \cdot \nabla u|_{\partial D} = 0 \]  

where:

\[ u = \begin{bmatrix} \text{ATP} \\ \text{IP}_3 \\ \text{Ca}^{2+} \\ h \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} D_{\text{ATP}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_{\text{IP}_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f(u) = \begin{bmatrix} f_{\text{ATP}} \\ f_{\text{IP}_3} \\ f_{\text{Ca}^{2+}} \\ f_h \end{bmatrix} \]  

The reaction terms are the ones that appear as right hand sides in Eq. 1, 2, 8 and 9. Notice that in order to accommodate the intercellular and extracellular modes of astrocytic communication, we allow for diffusion of ATP and/or IP₃ although our focus is the former. Ca²⁺ diffusion has been experimentally found to have negligible contribution to astrocytic wave propagation due to buffering effects (Allbritton et al., 1992), therefore it was omitted. Clearly, \( D_h = 0 \) \( \mu m^2/s \), since channels do not diffuse. This reaction-diffusion model was simulated in both one and two dimensional domains, with appropriate ATP focal stimuli as initial conditions. Simulations and results will be described in the following section.

To solve the reaction-diffusion problem numerically, a variety of Finite Difference and Finite Element schemes for spatial discretization were implemented, in conjunction with different time integrators. To verify the validity of these algorithms, we applied them to model reaction-diffusion problems where analytical solutions can be easily obtained. Moreover, through comparison with results published in the literature, we also saw that our numerical schemes can successfully simulate the
reaction-diffusion problem with FitzHugh-Nagumo reaction terms. For the one-dimensional case, a Central Difference spatial discretization scheme in conjunction with the Trapezoid Rule (Adams-Moulton 2nd order) for time integration was found to offer the best results. In two-dimensional simulations, a nine-point Finite Difference approximation of the Laplacian with Runge-Kutta 4th order as the time integrator was shown to be the most computationally efficient.

RESULTS

In this section, we will present results from our simulation studies, aiming at quantitatively elucidating the relationship between single-cell signal transduction mechanisms and wave propagation properties in a coupled astrocytic network. Specifically, we will investigate the qualitative and quantitative differences observed at both the single-cell and cell network levels due to Ca^{2+} or IP_{3}-dependent ATP release mechanisms.

Ca^{2+}-dependent ATP release

The overall system at the single-cell level can be thought as an assembly of two coupled modules: the driver ATP-IP_{3} system and the driven Ca^{2+} subsystem. In the case of Ca^{2+}-dependent ATP release, the “communication” or coupling between these two, occurs in terms of two signals: the IP_{3} concentration, which is the output of the driver system and the input to the driven, and the Ca^{2+} concentration, which is the output of the driven system and the input to the driver system (see Fig. 4 for a schematic of the interactions). As mentioned earlier, the Ca^{2+} concentrations lie in a range of 0.01 - 1.2 \mu M. Therefore, if we set the maximum of \text{F}(C) at 1.5 \mu M, we essentially assume that the driven Ca^{2+} subsystem mainly exerts positive feedback on ATP release, while for lower values of \text{C}_{\text{max}}, some negative feedback is also exerted.
for higher Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations.

Fig. 5 shows the local bifurcation diagram for the four variables of the system in the case where Ca\textsuperscript{2+} plays an activating role in the release of ATP (C_{\text{max}} = 1.5 \mu M). The main bifurcation parameter is the inverse of the IP\textsubscript{3} degradation rate. This choice is due to the availability of a range of experimentally measured values of this parameter (degradation rate constants from 0.0 to 0.284 s\textsuperscript{-1} in mouse neuroblastoma cells (Wang et al., 1995), yet, to our knowledge, no such studies have been performed on astrocytes).

First, notice that lower IP\textsubscript{3} degradation rates lead to higher equilibrium ATP concentrations. This is a direct consequence of the fact that slower IP\textsubscript{3} degradation increases the IP\textsubscript{3} equilibrium concentration, as can be easily seen from Eq. 8. This, in turn, leads to an increase in the equilibrium Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration as also shown in Fig. 5c. However, for values of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration close to C_{\text{max}}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} enhances ATP release, thus leading to the observed increase in the equilibrium ATP concentration.

Furthermore, notice that the ATP and IP\textsubscript{3} bifurcation structures, strikingly resemble that of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} subsystem. A stable sigmoid branch of steady states becomes unstable at a subcritical Hopf bifurcation which occurs before the first turning point bifurcation. It becomes stable again through another subcritical Hopf which occurs after the second turning point. The observed branch of periodic orbits is generated through an infinite period bifurcation that occurs right before the knee. The periodic orbits become unstable through a turning point bifurcation and they vanish at the second subcritical Hopf. Moreover, the amplitude of the stable periodic orbits is almost constant for all species under consideration, while the period varies significantly (results not shown). Thus, for a significant region of the parameter space, the entire system exhibits frequency-encoded oscillations. In addition, for values of
1/ν₆ lower than the turning point bifurcation value, the entire system is excitable. Specifically, the ATP stimulus leads to a transient elevation of intracellular IP₃ concentration. When the IP₃ concentration becomes sufficiently large, it shifts the Ca²⁺ dynamics into the oscillatory regime, thus a Ca²⁺ spike is produced. This leads to ATP release into the extracellular space and subsequently IP₃ is regenerated. However, if the IP₃ degradation dominates over the production, no further Ca²⁺ spikes are observed, because the IP₃ concentration drops below the window that forces Ca²⁺ to oscillate. Thus, only excitable behavior is observed (values of 1/ν₆ before the knee). On the other hand, if the IP₃ degradation is relatively small compared to IP₃ production, persistent oscillations are observed (values of 1/ν₆ in the oscillatory regime).

The predicted behavior can be explained as follows. By analyzing the ATP-IP₃ system as a module independent of Ca²⁺ concentration (the latter was treated as a parameter), it was shown that the former does not possess excitability and frequency encoding properties on its own (see Appendix for a proof). Thus, the behavior of the ATP and IP₃ concentrations depicted in Fig. 5 is due to the fact that the excitable Ca²⁺ subsystem exerts a purely positive feedback on the driver ATP-IP₃ system. Hence, the ATP and IP₃ bifurcation structures are essentially “inherited” from the Ca²⁺ subsystem, which is excitable and exhibits frequency encoded oscillations by itself as already shown in Fig. 3.

A drastically different behavior of the system is observed if ATP release is significantly inhibited by high Ca²⁺ concentrations (this happens for lower values of C_max). Fig. 6a shows the ATP bifurcation diagram for a different extent of Ca²⁺ inhibition (C_max = 0.5 µM). First, notice that the steady state now appears in higher concentrations, because C_max can be reached and the maximum possible positive
feedback in ATP can be attained. After that, the steady state falls to lower values because of the inhibitory effects. For even stronger inhibition effects ($C_{\text{max}} = 0.1 \, \mu\text{M}$), a rather interesting folding of the steady state branch appears (Fig. 6b). The crosspoint of the folding is not a singular point as it may seem at first glance; it appears this way because of the projection of the 4-dimensional bifurcation structure on a 2-dimensional plane. Fig. 7 explains how strong inhibition creates the folding. The bottom sketch (Fig. 7e) presents the Ca$^{2+}$ bifurcation structure. We note the region of Ca$^{2+}$ concentration where Ca$^{2+}$ inhibits ATP release for the chosen value of $C_{\text{max}}$, as well as the region where Ca$^{2+}$ enhances ATP release. The left upper sketch (Fig. 7a) is the ATP bifurcation diagram, drawn as if no inhibition took place. Due to the inhibition at values higher than $C_{\text{max}}$ the branch above the dashed curve folds and creates the characteristic “lasso” shown in Fig. 7b. A major effect of this increasingly inhibitory role of Ca$^{2+}$ in ATP release (represented by the lower $C_{\text{max}}$ values) is that the overall excitability of the system is suppressed. Thus, despite the fact that the underlying Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem remains excitable, the excitable behavior is no longer inherited to the ATP-IP$_3$ system. Therefore, a purely inhibitory role of Ca$^{2+}$ on ATP release leads to non-excitable single-astrocyte behavior.

We further explored the effect of the ATP degradation Michaelis-Menten constant ($\kappa_8$), since this parameter is known to vary greatly not only between cells of different types, but also within the same cell population (Cunha et al., 1998; Dunwiddie et al., 1997). Lower values of $\kappa_8$ result in lower ATP steady state concentrations for the same value of IP$_3$ degradation rate. As a result, IP$_3$ production is reduced, and the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem is forced out of the oscillatory regime. Thus, the beginning of the oscillatory regime will move to the right. This is shown in Fig. 8 in which the point where the oscillatory regime starts is plotted as a function of $\kappa_8$. The increase of the
IP3 production Michaelis-Menten constant ($\kappa_4$) has a very similar effect on the system (results not shown).

The extracellular volume can be experimentally manipulated in astrocytic cell cultures. The effect of such a manipulation can be quantitatively studied with our model by changing parameter $c_7$, which is the ratio of the cytosolic volume over the extracellular volume. Small values of $c_7$ indicate large extracellular volumes. The bifurcation diagram (Fig. 9) reveals two interesting effects of the increase of the extracellular volume (decrease of $c_7$). First, the steady state of ATP is lowered significantly, as the production term for ATP at the extracellular space is lowered. Second, stable periodic solutions cease to exist, due to the domination of ATP degradation over ATP production. Furthermore, transient simulations reveal that excitability of the Ca$^{2+}$ system is diminished by dilution, because no significant amount of IP3 is produced in order to force the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem into the oscillatory regime. Similar is the effect of parameter $v_7$, which is the maximum ATP release rate. The effect of the maximum ATP degradation rate ($v_8$) is the opposite of that of $v_7$: domination of the degradation term (large values of $v_8$) lowers the ATP steady state and suppresses oscillations.

Finally, the effect of Ca$^{2+}$ feedback in IP3 production was investigated, as there exists experimental evidence indicating that Ca$^{2+}$ is responsible for PLC activation (Mouillac et al., 1990; Smrcka et al., 1991). To study this effect, the value of parameter $a_5$ is modified. For $a_5 = 1$, Ca$^{2+}$ exerts feedback at the fullest possible extent, thus no production of IP3 occurs if no Ca$^{2+}$ is present in the cytosol. For values of $a_5$ less than unity and greater than zero, Ca$^{2+}$ exerts only partial feedback, but there is also “leak” IP3 production not attributed to Ca$^{2+}$. The bifurcation diagrams in Fig. 10 show that for stronger positive feedback (higher value of $a_5$), stable oscillations
become suppressed. In this case, when Ca\(^{2+}\) exists in low or moderate concentrations, it hinders the production of IP\(_3\) and consequently its own release from the ER. Thus, the positive and negative feedback loop that is necessary for the oscillations cannot manifest itself. Instead of stable oscillations, only a branch of unstable oscillations exists, which is destroyed through an infinite period bifurcation.

After obtaining quantitative insights into the behavior of single cells, we focus on investigating how single-cell mechanisms modulate cell culture behavior. We first consider a one-dimensional coupled astrocytic network (i.e. a line series of cells). We assume medium homogeneity in the sense that production/degradation or release/uptake of species as well as diffusion occurs throughout the simulated domain. In a real cell culture however, there exist inhomogeneities such as reaction free zones, where only diffusion takes place (gap junctions). Also, the two modes of communication occur in different control volumes, which is a source of inhomogeneity itself. We did not investigate such geometric effects here, since our intention was to focus on the relationship between single-cell signal transduction mechanisms and wave propagation properties.

In all distributed simulations presented, the extracellular pathway is assumed to be dominant (thus only extracellular ATP is allowed to diffuse). However, we have performed simulations in which both pathways are present (both extracellular ATP and intracellular IP\(_3\) are allowed to diffuse) or only the intracellular pathway is dominant (only IP\(_3\) diffuses). The results of such simulations are qualitatively the same. This happens because the dynamics of IP\(_3\) production are strictly dependent on ATP, which receives feedback from Ca\(^{2+}\). Thus the two species that dominate in shaping the response of the system are Ca\(^{2+}\) and ATP; IP\(_3\) is just used as an intermediate messenger (see also supplemental text). Estimated values for ATP and
IP$_3$ diffusion coefficients were found in the literature: $D_{\text{ATP}} = 330 \sim 500 \ \mu$m$^2$/s at 20°C - 37°C (Graaf et al., 2000; Newman, 2001) and $D_{\text{IP3}} = 283 \ \mu$m$^2$/s (Allbritton et al., 1992).

Furthermore, the choice of parameter values for the distributed simulations has been made in such a way that the astrocytic network initially rests at a stable steady state, which gives basal concentrations for all the species. The reason is that we are interested in reproducing experimentally observed behaviors. In experiments with cultures or tissue slices of astrocytes, the cells are excited from a rest state. The concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$ and ATP are within basal levels ($[\text{Ca}^{2+}] \approx 0.1 \ \mu$M and extracellular $[\text{ATP}] < 10 \ \mu$M). Therefore the oscillatory regime is not of interest. Similarly, the regime in which a stable steady state with high concentrations exists (large $1/\nu_6$ values) is not of interest, since the concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$ and/or ATP are well beyond the basal levels. However, these regimes, as well as the regime in which a stable steady state coexists with a stable limit cycle, were investigated and the simulations indicate that no chemical waves can be generated there (see supplemental text and figures). In the oscillatory regime, an ATP stimulus results in a shift of phase, which propagates by diffusional coupling to neighboring cells, yet this is not an chemical wave. In the regime where the upper steady state exists, an ATP stimulus merely produces a response since the cells are already excited and their Ca$^{2+}$ levels are already high. This behavior is also observed in the regime in which the steady state coexists with the limit cycle.

The choice of a stimulation protocol was made on the basis of reproducing experimental results. To reproduce focal stimulation, in all 1-D simulations a narrow ATP square pulse in space was imposed, while cylindrical ATP pulses were used in 2-D simulations. All cells were initially at rest. Focal stimulation initially raises the
local levels of ATP, and consequently IP₃ and Ca²⁺ only in a very small region of the astrocytic culture. Simulations of bath applications of agonist would not show any patterns that could explain experimental findings because we have assumed homogeneity of the astrocytic network. Thus, simulating the distributed network will yield the same results as if the single cell model were simulated. Therefore, we will only present results in which a focal ATP stimulus is applied to an astrocytic network which rests at the basal steady state.

Due to the excitable nature of the local dynamics, if Ca²⁺ has a sufficiently promoting role in ATP release (higher values of Cₘₐₓ), the system can generate and propagate waves. Fig. 11 shows such a traveling wave pulse generated by a focal ATP stimulus (D_ATP = 350 µm²/s, no IP₃ diffusion). Due to the excitability properties of the entire system, in this case the wave has infinite range of propagation in both space and time. The wave speed was found to be proportional to the square root of the diffusion coefficient, in agreement with the theory of wave propagation. Since only one species diffuses, the diffusion coefficient just affects the space scaling (Fife, 1979). The observed wave speeds lie in a range of 10 µm/s ~ 20 µm/s which is in the same order of magnitude with experimentally observed wave speeds (Innocenti et al., 2000; Newman and Zahs, 1997).

We further expanded our analysis to simulate wave propagation in two-dimensional homogeneous cultures. A particularly interesting pattern that has been observed experimentally is the formation of spiral waves (Harris-White et al., 1998; Jung et al., 1998). It has been suggested that spiral waves are the most stable type of waves in two-dimensional excitable media (Fernandez-Garcia et al., 1994) because their wavelength is less than of any other type of wave.

For generation of spiral waves we exploit a property termed “vulnerability”,
Specifically, a stimulus placed appropriately at an anisotropically excitable medium can generate a unidirectionally propagating wave (Starobin et al., 1994; Wiener and Rosenblueth, 1946). In two dimensions a sequence of two point ATP stimuli can generate ATP spirals as shown in Fig. 12. We note that the ATP spiral wave presented in Fig. 12 is accompanied by a similar Ca\(^{2+}\) spiral wave (results not shown). The first stimulus generates a circular wave that creates the desired anisotropy as it propagates: each cell undergoes a refractory period after excitation, but cells along the direction of propagation have been excited in different times. Cells close to the front were excited more recently so they are in the early refractory period, while the cells away form the front were excited earlier, so they are in the late refractory period. As a result, it is very difficult (if at all possible) to re-excite cells close to the front, but this doesn’t apply to cells away from the front. Therefore, anisotropy arises. The second stimulus generates a circular front which breaks as it tries to propagate to cells that are in the early refractory period. We note that the stimulus must be carefully placed in space and time so that vulnerability is exhibited. Premature stimuli do not lead to wave generation at all and late stimuli generate circular fronts.

Spiral waves in the context of Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling have also been presented in previous modeling studies (Atri et al., 1993; Hofer et al., 2001; Wilkins and Sneyd, 1998). However, the authors considered the IP\(_3\)-mediated intercellular mode of cellular coupling in conjunction with the existence of spatial inhomogeneities, such as gap junctions. Our results indicate that this mode of cellular signaling in the presence of reaction-free gap junctions is not the only mechanism that can explain spiral wave generation and propagation. In particular, ATP and Ca\(^{2+}\) spiral waves can be obtained if appropriate stimulation patterns are employed in homogeneous astrocytic cell cultures where the extracellular (ATP-mediated) mode of communication dominates.
astrocytic coupling.

**IP$_3$-dependent ATP release**

As opposed to the bi-directional communication between the ATP-IP$_3$ and Ca$^{2+}$ systems in the case of Ca$^{2+}$-dependent ATP release, in this case, the two systems are coupled in a unidirectional fashion (see Fig. 13 for the interaction diagram). Specifically, the output of the ATP-IP$_3$ system (IP$_3$ concentration) drives the excitable Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem, without Ca$^{2+}$ feeding back to ATP. As a result, the local bifurcation structure of the ATP-IP$_3$ system is fundamentally different than in the case of Ca$^{2+}$-dependent ATP release (compare Fig. 13 and 5). There exists a unique, globally stable ATP concentration for each value of the IP$_3$ degradation rate, while oscillations and excitable behavior are no longer observed. A similar behavior is predicted for the IP$_3$ concentration (not shown). In contrast, the Ca$^{2+}$ system still exhibits frequency encoded oscillations and excitability. These bifurcation structures are a direct consequence of the lack of feedback from Ca$^{2+}$ to the driver system, due to which the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem cannot “transmit” its special properties to the driver ATP-IP$_3$ system.

The range of the IP$_3$ degradation rate (v$_6$) for which oscillatory behavior is observed in the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem, is a function of the extent of inhibition of IP$_3$ in ATP release, as quantified by parameter I$_{\text{max}}$. The effect of I$_{\text{max}}$ is shown in Fig. 14 and can be understood in terms of the changes of the slope of the IP$_3$ steady state. As discussed earlier, there is a specific IP$_3$ concentration window leading to oscillatory Ca$^{2+}$ dynamics (see Fig. 3). If the slope of the IP$_3$ steady state concentration is high (first case, panels $a$, $b$, I$_{\text{max}} = 1.5$ µM), IP$_3$ exits the regime for which Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations are observed for higher IP$_3$ degradation rates. As a result, the oscillatory regime will shrink, while, for smaller slopes (second case, panels $c$, $d$, I$_{\text{max}} = 0.1$ µM) the oscillatory regime is magnified.
The sensitivity of these bifurcation structures to values of the parameters which quantify the effect of ATP degradation ($\kappa_8$) and the feedback of ATP to IP$_3$ ($\kappa_4$) was investigated. The analysis in the present situation is simplified in comparison to the Ca$^{2+}$ dependent ATP release case: here the steady-state mass balances for IP$_3$ and ATP (Eq. 8 and 9) can be solved separately as a 2×2 system. The IP$_3$ concentration as a function of the main bifurcation parameter can be then used to predict the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem behavior. It was thus found that lower values for the ATP degradation Michaelis-Menten constant $\kappa_8$, result in lower ATP steady-state concentrations and consequently lower IP$_3$ concentrations for the same IP$_3$ degradation rate. This occurs because the ATP degradation term becomes dominant, consequently the IP$_3$ produced is not enough to excite the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem. Therefore, stronger ATP degradation diminishes excitability; the same effect was observed for the Ca$^{2+}$ dependent ATP release case. Furthermore, higher Michaelis-Menten constants for IP$_3$ production ($\kappa_4$) result in lower values for the IP$_3$ production term for the same ATP concentration. Therefore the ATP steady-state concentrations shift to lower (higher) values in the region where IP$_3$ has a promoting (inhibitory) effect. Moreover the peak ATP concentration as well as the oscillatory regime for the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem shift to lower IP$_3$ degradation rates (higher $1/v_6$ values).

To study the qualitative differences between the two ATP release mechanisms with respect to their wave propagation characteristics, we performed detailed one-dimensional simulations for the IP$_3$-dependent ATP release case. As argued previously, we are interested in simulating a focal ATP stimulus applied to an astrocytic network which rests at the basal steady state. The other parameter regimes (oscillatory regime, upper steady state and regime of coexistence) are not of physiological interest and were found unable to support wave generation and
Fig. 15 shows a representative example for the case where astrocytic communication occurs through the extracellular mode of communication ($D_{\text{ATP}} = 350 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ - $D_{\text{IP}_3} = 0 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$). The stimulus is a square pulse (in space) superimposed on the basal ATP concentration. Notice the striking qualitative difference between this wave and the ones generated in the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent ATP release case (Fig. 11). The wave here vanishes after propagating for several cell diameters.

The number of cells that the signal manages to excite depends on the amplitude of the stimulus. Moreover, for large enough stimuli ([ATP]>1mM) multiple Ca$^{2+}$ waves with finite range of propagation are predicted (results not shown). These regeneration phenomena, which have also been experimentally observed (Salm and McCarthy, 1990), are a consequence of the prolonged high concentrations of IP$_3$ produced locally as a result of high ATP concentrations, which keep the local Ca$^{2+}$ dynamics in the oscillatory regime long enough for multiple spikes to be generated. However, we emphasize the fact that the pattern of this finite range of propagation is not influenced by the amplitude of the stimulus. It is also very robust to variations in the parameter values, such as the diffusion coefficient or parameters of the signal transduction pathway. The predicted pattern of finite range of propagation is a direct consequence of the single cell dynamics. In particular, the non-excitable ATP-IP$_3$ driver system (see Appendix A for a proof) drives the excitable Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem. Due to the lack of Ca$^{2+}$ feedback in ATP release in the case of IP$_3$-dependent ATP release, the excitable properties of the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem cannot be transmitted to the driver ATP-IP$_3$ system. Hence, ATP regeneration is insufficient to sustain the wave and this explains the diffusion-like pattern for the propagation of the ATP signal that is shown in Fig. 15. Therefore, as soon as the extracellular ATP concentration, which drives IP$_3$-mediated
Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release, drops below a certain value, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} subsystem will return to rest as well. As a result, despite the excitable properties of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} subsystem, the generated ATP, IP\textsubscript{3} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves can only have a finite range of wave propagation. On the contrary in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent ATP release case, discussed earlier, IP\textsubscript{3} is continuously regenerated due to the positive feedback that the excitable Ca\textsuperscript{2+} system exerts on ATP release. Thus, both the ATP and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves will never become blocked once generated in a spatially homogeneous medium.

We note that the aforementioned unidirectional coupling between IP\textsubscript{3} and ATP constitutes a semi-regenerative mechanism for calcium wave propagation. A different mechanism has been postulated by Hofer et al. (2002) to explain such phenomena. Since this study focused on the intercellular pathway of astrocytic coupling, semi-regeneration of calcium waves was explained on the basis of simultaneous intercellular diffusion of IP\textsubscript{3} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} through gap junctions. However, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} diffusion was found to have negligible contribution to astrocytic wave propagation due to buffering effects (Allbritton et al., 1992). Thus, the proposed mechanism of partial regeneration of IP\textsubscript{3} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves with finite range of propagation due to IP\textsubscript{3}-dependent and not Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent ATP release offers an alternative explanation for the experimentally observed patterns.

In addition to the finite range of wave propagation, the aforementioned unidirectional coupling between the ATP-IP\textsubscript{3} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} systems at the single-cell level can also explain several other experimental findings. In particular, it can explain the fact that the calcium wave does not propagate beyond the excursion range of the ATP wave (Wang et al., 2000) and that ATP waves travel faster than Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves (41 \(\mu\)m/s versus 28 \(\mu\)m/s, data from (Newman, 2001)). Intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels become elevated only in cells in which IP\textsubscript{3} is above a certain threshold. Moreover, IP\textsubscript{3} levels
are modulated by ATP, which is not regenerated sufficiently due to the lack of Ca\(^{2+}\) feedback on ATP release. Thus, the range and speed of the Ca\(^{2+}\) wave can never exceed those of ATP and IP\(_{3}\). Moreover, Wang et al. (2000) observed a large decrease of the ATP signal as a function of space and time after the initial stimulation but only a modest attenuation of the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal. This cannot be explained if Ca\(^{2+}\) exerts a significant positive feedback on ATP release. However, it can be understood in terms of the IP\(_{3}\)-mediated release mechanism. Finally, the finding that flash photolysis of caged Ca\(^{2+}\) does not initiate a wave, but the increase of IP\(_{3}\) in the cell is sufficient for wave generation (Leybaert et al., 1998) further supports the hypothesis for IP\(_{3}\)-dependent ATP release.

We note that in all simulations presented so far we assumed that IP\(_{3}\) production is not significantly decreased by low intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations (i.e. we set a\(_{5}\)=0). If this is not the case, the strictly unidirectional coupling between the two subsystems is destroyed. However, we emphasize that the pattern of finite range of propagation remains intact. This is due to the fact that Ca\(^{2+}\) cannot invoke the production of IP\(_{3}\) by itself and lower cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations may hinder IP\(_{3}\) production, thus shrinking the oscillatory regime for the Ca\(^{2+}\) subsystem and diminishing excitability.

In two-dimensional astrocytic networks, circular waves with finite range of propagation can be observed. Such a wave is shown in Fig. 18. A focal ATP stimulus creates a wave of ATP and a wave of Ca\(^{2+}\), which both exhibit finite range. The radius of the stimulus is 7.5 \(\mu\)m which means that one cell is stimulated, while the amplitude is 500 \(\mu\)M, which is less than the intracellular ATP content of one cell. The wave propagates for a radius of approximately 100 \(\mu\)m, (roughly 10 cell diameters), which compares well with experimental data. The maximum range is reached approximately 10 seconds after stimulation and then it starts to fade until the system returns to rest.
Moreover, the speed of the ATP wave speed seems to be greater (around 40 - 50 µm/s) than the speed of the Ca²⁺ wave (around 15 µm/s). It is interesting to observe that initially, the ATP wave spreads quickly and its attenuation is very large. On the contrary, the Ca²⁺ signal exhibits only modest attenuation, but it finally vanishes as shown in the last panel.

**DISCUSSION**

We developed a model that describes signal transduction mechanisms in a single astrocyte. The model describes ATP release, the production of IP₃ from extracellular ATP stimuli and the subsequent secretion of Ca²⁺ to the cytosolic space due to the opening of IP₃R channels. By incorporating the equations in a reaction-diffusion framework, wave generation, propagation and blocking were also studied. Two biological hypotheses about the mechanism of ATP release postulated in the literature were tested: Ca²⁺- and IP₃-dependent ATP release. Using this framework we studied the relationship between single-cell signal transduction mechanisms and wave propagation properties of coupled astrocytic networks.

The overall single-cell system consists of two subsystems, the ATP-IP₃ non-excitatory driver system and the Ca²⁺ excitable subsystem. In the case of Ca²⁺-dependent ATP release, the coupling between the two systems is bidirectional: the means of communication is the IP₃ concentration (output of the driver, input to the driven) and the Ca²⁺ concentration (output of the driven, input to the driver). This is not the case however in the IP₃-dependent ATP release, in which the coupling is unidirectional: the IP₃ concentration is the only “signal” (output of the driver, input to the driven). The different coupling mechanisms were found to have a striking effect on the single cell and the coupled astrocytic network dynamics.
Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent ATP release leads to excitable behavior and frequency-encoded oscillations in the entire system at the single-cell level, since these properties of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} subsystem are inherited to the whole system. This behavior is observed only if Ca\textsuperscript{2+} has a sufficiently enhancing effect on ATP release, for when Ca\textsuperscript{2+} inhibits ATP release, the excitability of the system is destroyed. Infinite range of wave propagation is predicted in one-dimensional cell cultures when the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} positive feedback loop is sufficiently strong. The speed of the wave was found to scale with the square root of the diffusion coefficient of ATP, in agreement with the theory of reaction-diffusion equations. Significant Ca\textsuperscript{2+} feedback in IP\textsubscript{3} production has a stabilizing effect, damping the oscillations due to the depression of IP\textsubscript{3} production when Ca\textsuperscript{2+} exists in low concentrations.

In two dimensional homogeneous astrocytic cellular networks, ATP and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} spiral waves were generated from appropriate ATP stimulation patterns in the case where astrocytic coupling occurs predominantly through diffusion of extracellular ATP. These results suggest an alternative mechanism for the generation of the experimentally observed spiral waves than the one postulated by other authors, where spirals were a result of spatial inhomogeneities in conjunction with the IP\textsubscript{3}-mediated intercellular mode of communication.

On the other hand IP\textsubscript{3}-dependent ATP release leads to a unidirectional coupling of the non-excitable ATP-IP\textsubscript{3} master system, which drives the excitable Ca\textsuperscript{2+} subsystem. Thus, waves of finite range of propagation are generated in both the one and two dimensional homogeneous domains. The range of wave propagation depends on the amplitude of the ATP stimulus, but is always finite due to the single-cell level signal transduction mechanisms. For large enough ATP stimuli (higher than 1mM), multiple Ca\textsuperscript{2+} waves with finite range of propagation can be generated, as a result of the
prolonged high concentration of IP$_3$ in the cytosol of the cells close to the point of stimulation.

In conclusion, the IP$_3$-dependent ATP release hypothesis can help us understand finite range of propagation in terms of the single-cell mechanisms and the coupling between the ATP-IP$_3$ and the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystems. We have not considered spatial inhomogeneities in our computational analysis. Thus, we show that finite range of propagation may not necessarily be a result of the geometry of the astrocytic network (namely the location of the ATP release sites and the dimensions of the reaction-free gap junctions through which IP$_3$ can diffuse between astrocytes). The underlying mechanisms may inherently possess the ability to block waves from spreading throughout the whole cell culture. We have shown that in the case where a non-excitable driver system (ATP-IP$_3$) drives an excitable subsystem (Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem) finite range of propagation can be predicted.
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APPENDIX

We will show that, independently of the ATP release mechanism, the ATP-IP₃ subsystem cannot be excitable.

i) Ca²⁺-dependent ATP release:

From Eq. 10 and 11 the nullclines can be expressed in the H-I plane as follows:

\[
H = \frac{\kappa_8 \cdot c_7 \cdot v_7 \cdot F(C)}{v_8 - c_7 \cdot v_7 \cdot F(C)} \quad (A1)
\]

\[
H = \frac{I \cdot v_6 \cdot \kappa_4}{v_4 \cdot \frac{C + (1 - \alpha_5) \cdot \kappa_5 - I \cdot v_6}{C + \kappa_5}} \quad (A2)
\]

The maximum degradation term is assumed to be greater than the maximum production term (to ensure that the concentration never blows up) thus the denominator in the expression A1 is always positive. For every C value, the nullcline \( \frac{dH}{dt} = 0 \) is a horizontal line (independent of IP₃) and the nullcline \( \frac{dI}{dt} = 0 \) is a monotonically increasing function of I, with a singularity at:

\[
I = \frac{v_4 \cdot C + (1 - \alpha_5) \cdot \kappa_5}{v_6 \cdot \frac{C + \kappa_5}{C + \kappa_5}} \quad (A3)
\]

Thus, the ATP-IP₃ subsystem itself cannot have cubic shaped nullclines. Therefore, it cannot be excitable. Also it is interesting to note that it cannot even have multiple of solutions (C always assumed to be constant).

ii) IP₃-dependent ATP release

From Eq. 10 and the nullcline for \( \frac{dH}{dt} = 0 \) can be expressed in the H-I plane as follows:

\[
H = \frac{\kappa_8 \cdot c_7 \cdot v_7 \cdot G(I)}{v_8 - c_7 \cdot v_7 \cdot G(I)} \quad (A4)
\]

The nullcline \( \frac{dI}{dt} = 0 \) is the same as previously (Eq. A2). In this case the \( \frac{dH}{dt} = 0 \)
nullcline is a bell-shaped function of I since $G(I)$ is bell-shaped too. Taking the derivative of the expression of Eq. A4, with respect to $I$ we obtain:

$$\frac{dH}{dI} = \frac{v_8 \cdot \kappa_8 \cdot c_v \cdot v_7 \cdot G'(I)}{(v_8 - c_v \cdot v_7 \cdot G(I))^2} \quad (A5)$$

Since all parameters are positive and the denominator positive and finite, the roots of $dH/dI = 0$ are exactly the same as the roots of $G'(I)$. Therefore, the $dH/dt = 0$ nullcline has exactly as many extrema as $G(I)$. Thus, since $G'(I)$ has one root the $dH/dt = 0$ nullcline has only one extremum. Hence, this nullcline can never be cubic since a cubic nullcline has two extrema. The nullcline for $dI/dt = 0$ is monotonic as explained before. Therefore, as in the case of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent ATP release excitable behavior is not possible. Notice however that in this case we can have multiplicity of solutions for the ATP-IP\textsubscript{3} system itself.
REFERENCES


signaling by controlling ATP release. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 95 (26),
15735-15740.

hippocampal synaptic transmission requires localized extracellular catabolism
by ecto-nucleotidases into adenosine and channeling to adenosine A1

D'Ambrosio, R., 2004. The role of glial membrane ion channels in seizures and
epileptogenesis. Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 103 (2), 95-108.
doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2004.05.004.

doi:10.1016/0896-6273(92)90271-E.

De Young, G. W., Keizer, J., 1992. A single-pool inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate-receptor-
based model for agonist-stimulates oscillations in Ca^{2+} concentration. PNAS.
89 (20), 9895-9899.

quantitative conversion to adenosine in the extracellular space in rat
hippocampus. J. Neurosci. 17 (20), 7673-7682.

Enkvist, M. O., McCarthy, K. D., 1992. Activation of protein kinase C blocks
astroglial gap junction communication and inhibits the spread of calcium

Ermentrout, B. 2002. Simulating, analyzing, and animating dynamical systems : a
guide to XPPAUT for researchers and students: Philadelphia : Society for
Industrial and Applied Mathematics, c2002.


FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** Signal transduction mechanisms. ATP binds to P2Y purinergic receptors in the membrane of the cell activating PLC which catalyzes IP$_3$ production from PIP$_2$. IP$_3$ binds synergistically with Ca$^{2+}$ in receptors on the surface of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and opens channels through which Ca$^{2+}$ ions are secreted in the cytosol. Ca$^{2+}$ has both promoting and inhibitory role on its own release. There is also a Ca$^{2+}$ leak due to the large Ca$^{2+}$ concentration gradient. Ca$^{2+}$ is returned back to the ER by an ATP dependent pump. As a result of this cascade ATP is released in the extracellular space. There are two hypotheses about ATP release (shown in dashed lines): Ca$^{2+}$-dependent or IP$_3$-dependent ATP release.

**Figure 2.** Effect of the Ca$^{2+}$ pump Michaelis Menten constant $\kappa_3$ on the excitability of the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem. The nullclines and vector field for the non-exitable case are shown in panel (a) ($\kappa_3 = 0.1 \mu$M). The cubic shaped nullcline corresponds to $dC/dt = 0$. The cubic shape is not sufficiently pronounced although there is a time scale separation. Thus, threshold phenomena are not observed as shown in the two transient simulations of panel (c), where the system starts from steady state conditions and a square pulse in time is imposed on [Ca$^{2+}$]. The duration of the pulse is 5 s and the amplitude is 0.25 $\mu$M for the lower curve and 0.75 $\mu$M for the upper curve. Notice that the response in the two cases is proportional to the amplitude of stimuli. On the contrary, in the excitable case ($\kappa_3 = 0.05 \mu$M) the cubic shape is sufficiently pronounced (panel (b)) and sharp responses are observed for stimuli above a threshold as shown in panel (d), where again a square pulse of duration 5 s is imposed on the [Ca$^{2+}$] steady state concentration. The amplitude for the lower curve is 0.55 $\mu$M and
for the upper is 0.60 µM. Notice how large departure from the steady state is invoked by such a small difference between the two stimuli.

**Figure 3.** Effect of excitability in the bifurcation structure. Solid lines correspond to stable steady states, dashed, to unstable ones. Filled circles denote stable limit cycles, open circles unstable ones. In panels (a) and (c) all the attractors and the period of the limit cycles are shown for the non-excitatory case ($\kappa_3 = 0.10\mu$M, all other parameters as in table 1), with respect to IP$_3$ concentration. In panels (b) and (d) the bifurcation diagram and the periods are shown for the excitatory case ($\kappa_3 = 0.05$ µM, all other parameters as in table 1). In the excitatory case frequency encoded oscillations are observed: the amplitude remains roughly constant but the period changes noticeably.

**Figure 4.** Interaction diagram for all the species in the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent ATP release case. A feedback loop exists since Ca$^{2+}$ can promote or inhibit ATP release.

**Figure 5.** Bifurcation diagrams for all four species in the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent ATP release case. The bifurcation structure of the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem is inherited to ATP and IP$_3$. Excitability and frequency encoding are observed for all species. All parameters as in tables 1-3.

**Figure 6.** Effect of the extent of Ca$^{2+}$ inhibition on ATP release as quantified by parameter $C_{\text{max}}$. For $C_{\text{max}} = 0.5$ µM (panel a) the ATP steady state shifts to higher values, since the maximum positive feedback can be achieved, and starts decreasing.
For $C_{\text{max}} = 0.1 \, \mu M$ (panel (b)) the inhibition is rather strong and an interesting folding appears.

**Figure 7.** Illustration of how the folding in Fig. 6 is created. The left column panels ((a), (c) and (e)) correspond to the hypothetical case where no $Ca^{2+}$ inhibition on ATP release is present, while in the right column panels ((b), (d) and (f)) $Ca^{2+}$ inhibition is included. Panels (e) and (f) present the $Ca^{2+}$ bifurcation structure. We note the region of $Ca^{2+}$ concentration where $Ca^{2+}$ inhibits ATP release for the chosen value of $C_{\text{max}}$, as well as the region where $Ca^{2+}$ enhances ATP release. Panel (a) shows the ATP bifurcation diagram, drawn as if no inhibition took place. Due to the inhibition at values higher than $C_{\text{max}}$ the branch above the dashed curve folds and creates the characteristic “lasso” shown in panel (b). The range of IP$_3$ for which oscillations occur is the same as the range depicted in Fig. 4 $b$.

**Figure 8.** Effect of the ATP Michaelis-Menten degradation rate threshold ($\kappa_8$). A two parameter bifurcation diagram $\kappa_8 - 1/v_6$ is shown. Lines denote turning points and closed circles the Hopf point. Lower values of $\kappa_8$ shift the right turning point, where the oscillations cease, to lower IP$_6$ degradation rates, thus shrinking the oscillatory regime. At roughly $\kappa_8 = 3.4 \, \mu M$ the Hopf point is trapped inside the turning points and the oscillatory regime vanishes.

**Figure 9.** Effect of the increase of extracellular volume as quantified by parameter $c_7$. In panel (a) $c_7 = 0.5$ and in (b) $c_7 = 0.25$ (larger extracellular volume for smaller values of $c_7$). The steady state of ATP shifts to lower values and the stable oscillatory
regime vanishes. Thus, excitability is diminished by dilution because no significant amount of IP$_3$ is produced in order to force the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem to the oscillatory regime.

**Figure 10.** Effect of Ca$^{2+}$ feedback on IP$_3$ production as quantified by parameter $\alpha_5$. In panel (a) the bifurcation structure for ATP is presented for $\alpha_5 = 1$, where the maximum possible feedback is obtained. In panel (b) a 2 parameter bifurcation diagram is shown. Solid lines show the turning points, while closed circles the Hopf point, from which the unstable periodic orbits of panel (a) arise. At around $\alpha_5 = 0.35$ the Hopf point is trapped inside the two turning points consequently the periodic orbits that arise are destroyed through homoclinic bifurcations before becoming stable.

**Figure 11.** Snapshots of traveling wave ATP and Ca$^{2+}$ pulses of infinite range in one-dimensional cell cultures for Ca$^{2+}$-dependent ATP release and the extracellular mode of communication ($D_{\text{ATP}} = 350 \, \mu m^2/s$; $D_{\text{IP3}} = 0 \, \mu m^2/s$). The corresponding time in seconds is given above each snapshot. Parameters as in tables 1, 2, 3 except $v_6 = 6.0 \, s^{-1}$.

**Figure 12.** Generation of a two armed spiral by applying point stimuli in ATP concentration. A circular wave is initiated at $t = 0 \, s$ (stimulus radius 7.5 $\mu m$, amplitude 100 $\mu M$). At $t = 73 \, s$ another stimulus generates a unidirectionally propagating front that evolves to a two armed ATP spiral. $D_{\text{ATP}} = 350 \, \mu m^2/s$; $D_{\text{IP3}} = 0 \, \mu m^2/s \, v_6 = 6.0 \, s^{-1}$. Other parameters as in tables 1-3.
**Figure 13.** Interaction diagram in the IP$_3$-dependent ATP release case. The system now consists a “master” non-excitable ATP-IP$_3$ subsystem, which drives the “slave” excitable Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem.

**Figure 14.** Panels (a) and (b): bifurcation diagrams for ATP and Ca$^{2+}$ in the IP$_3$-dependent ATP release case parameters as in tables 1-3. A qualitatively different picture than in the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent ATP release case is observed, since ATP exhibits a stable steady state; no frequency encoded oscillations or excitability is observed. The effect of strong IP$_3$ inhibition is shown in panels (c) and (d) ($I_{\text{max}} = 0.1$ µM): the ATP steady state drops to lower values and the oscillatory regime is enlarged.

**Figure 15.** Snapshots of ATP and Ca$^{2+}$ spatial profiles in a one-dimensional domain for the case of IP$_3$-dependent ATP release generated by an ATP point stimulus (amplitude 500 µM, diameter 15 µm which is slightly greater than a cell diameter) are shown. The corresponding time in seconds is given above each snapshot. IP$_3$ degradation rate $v_6 = 7.2$ s$^{-1}$, all other parameters as in tables 1-3.

**Figure 16.** Generation of a circular wave of finite range of propagation by applying a point ATP stimulus. At $t= 0$ s a stimulus of radius 7.5 µm (roughly 2 cells are stimulated) and amplitude 500 µM on ATP is applied and creates an ATP and a Ca$^{2+}$ wave that propagate for approximately 100 µm (approximately 10 cell diameters). Notice the large decrease of the ATP signal in contrast to the modest attenuation of the Ca$^{2+}$ signal, which is also experimentally observed. IP$_3$ degradation rate: $v_6 = 7.2$
s^{-1}; all other parameters as in tables 1-3.
### Table 1. Parameters for the Ca\(^{2+}\) subsystem of the Li-Rinzel reduced model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c(_0)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>(\mu)M</td>
<td>total (\text{Ca}^{2+}) concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c(_1)</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>(dim/less)</td>
<td>ratio ER Volume / Cytoplasm Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v(_1)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>s(^{-1})</td>
<td>channel flux constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v(_2)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>s(^{-1})</td>
<td>(\text{Ca}^{2+}) leak flux constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v(_3)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(\mu)M(\cdot)s(^{-1})</td>
<td>max pump flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\kappa(_3))</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>(\mu)M</td>
<td>constant in Hill function (this is the only parameter different than Li-Rinzel where (\kappa(_3) = 0.1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(_2)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>((\mu)M(\cdot)s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>rate constant for (\text{Ca}^{2+}) binding in inhibitory site presence IP(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(_1)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>(\mu)M</td>
<td>dissociation constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(_2)</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>(\mu)M</td>
<td>dissociation constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(_3)</td>
<td>0.9434</td>
<td>(\mu)M</td>
<td>dissociation constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(_5)</td>
<td>0.08234</td>
<td>(\mu)M</td>
<td>dissociation constant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Parameters for the IP$_3$ balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$v_4$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>µM·s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>max IP$_3$ production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_4$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>constant in Michaelis-Menten func. for H$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_5$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>(dim/less)</td>
<td>calcium feedback in IP$_3$ production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_5$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>constant in Michaelis-Menten func. for Ca$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_6$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>IP$_3$ degradation rate constant $^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ from Kastritsis et al., 1992 and Charest et al., 1985 the Michaelis-Menten constant is about at $-\log([\text{ATP}]) = 5.5 - 6.5$ we use the values $10^{-6.5} \approx 0.3$ µM.

$^\dagger$ this is the same value as in De Young and Keizer, 1992.

$^\dagger$ range of values for this parameter can be found in Wang et al., 1995.
Table 3. Parameters for the ATP balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>(dim/less)</td>
<td>ratio Cytoplasm Vol./Extracellular Vol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>µM·s⁻¹</td>
<td>max production rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₀, G₀</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>(dim/less)</td>
<td>constant (ATP feedback)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_max, I_max</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>constant (ATP feedback) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₈</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>µM·s⁻¹</td>
<td>max degradation rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>κ₈</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>constant in Michaelis-Menten function for ATP degradation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* these values for C_max, I_max are representative maximum values for intracellular Ca²⁺ and IP₃ concentrations that have been experimentally observed.
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Distributed model: different parameter regimes

The simulations presented here show that no wave generation and propagation occurs at the following parameter regimes: the oscillatory regime, the regime where stable oscillations coexist with an upper stable steady state and the regime where only an upper stable steady state exists. The parameter values used in simulations are as reported in tables 1, 2, 3 of the main paper, unless otherwise indicated.

\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) dependent ATP case

In Fig. S1 a, b results are shown for the oscillatory regime in the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-dependent ATP release case. The IP\(_3\) degradation rate is such that at the single cell level a stable limit cycle coexists with an unstable steady state (all parameters values as in tables 1, 2, 3 of main paper except \( v_6 = 4.7 \text{ s}^{-1} \)). The concentrations of ATP (panel (a)) and \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) (panel (b)) are shown as contour plots with respect to space and time. Note that in this case all cells are oscillating indefinitely: if we observe the ATP concentration for a specific point in space, say \( x = 10 \text{ \mu m} \), we see that through time the concentration raises (bright stripes) and falls (dark stripes) continuously (similar oscillations are observed for the other
species). If and only if all cells were oscillating in phase, they would raise and lower their intracellular species’ concentrations simultaneously, therefore, the contour plot would have the form of straight bright stripes parallel to the x axis, followed by dark stripes.

We now choose to impose a localized ATP stimulus at a point in time in which the minimum extracellular ATP concentration is observed, so that the response of the cells is more pronounced; if we stimulate when the cells “fire”, no further “firing” is possible so the response would be minimal. The ATP stimulus incurs a shift in the phase of oscillations of nearby cells. This is shown in Fig. 1a, b as a disturbance in the straight shape of the contours: we observe that in the point where the ATP stimulus was applied, the neighboring cells “fire” earlier, thus we get peak shaped contours, corresponding to the cells oscillating out of phase. However, for small times, the cells far away from the stimulus still oscillate in phase, that is why the contours of panels (a) and (b), for example, are straight parallel lines for $x < 300 \mu m$. For large times (see the insets of panels (a) and (b)) this disturbance in the phase of oscillations is propagated to cells via diffusional coupling; this is not a chemical wave. Thus, the peaks of the contours are seem to smoothen out and the contours themselves tend to become straight lines. This means that the cells progressively return to the state observed before we stimulated them, namely the synchronized in phase oscillations. We see therefore that ATP diffusion and the bidirectional coupling of the ATP-IP$_3$ driver system finally results in the resynchronization of the cells. Large ATP diffusion coefficients result in faster resynchronization.

Suppose now that we performed a similar simulation for a parameter set for which the stable limit cycle coexists with an upper stable steady state ($v_6 = 4.0 \, s^{-1}$). If we imposed
the exact same stimulus on an oscillating astrocytic network at the time in which the minimum ATP concentration was observed we would observe a response similar to that described previously (shown in Fig. S1 a and b). No cells can equilibrate to the upper steady state, because the IP\textsubscript{3} concentration rapidly increases as a result of ATP stimulation, thus it does not stay for sufficient time in levels appropriate for the cells to rest to the steady state.

On the other hand, a different behavior is observed if a focal ATP stimulus is imposed on the upper steady state, which coexists with a stable limit cycle. As shown in Fig. S1 b, c (v\textsubscript{6} = 4.0 s\textsuperscript{−1}), here the astrocytic network initially rests at the upper steady state, no cells oscillate. In this case, the ATP stimulus merely produces a response, it fades out and no wave is generated. As the ATP stimulus vanishes, the IP\textsubscript{3} concentration does not remain for sufficient time within levels able to initiate oscillations of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} subsystem, thus no cells can enter the oscillatory regime. This behavior is also seen in the case in which the upper stable steady state is the only attractor (for large 1/v\textsubscript{6} values). So, if we simulate an astrocytic network resting at the only stable upper steady state, the responses produced will be qualitatively identical to that shown in Fig. S1 c, d. This is also intuitively expected, since the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} subsystem is already excited and it cannot be further triggered to release more Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, thus producing a response.

**IP\textsubscript{3} dependent ATP case**

Similar simulations were performed for the IP\textsubscript{3}-dependent ATP release case. In Fig. S2 a, b, an astrocytic network is perturbed from a limit cycle, which is the only stable attractor for this parameter regime (all parameters values as in tables 1, 2, 3 of main paper except v\textsubscript{6} = 7.19 s\textsuperscript{−1}). Note that in this case, the ATP – IP\textsubscript{3} master system equilibrates and
only the Ca\(^{2+}\) subsystem (species Ca\(^{2+}\), h) oscillates, in contrast to the precious case (Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent ATP release), in which all species oscillated. We now impose a localized ATP stimulus at a point in time when the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration is at the minimum value (this is done in order to produce the most pronounced response as argued previously). In contrast to the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent ATP release case, here the ATP concentration returns to the equilibrium value after the stimulus is imposed. However, the IP\(_3\) that is produced, triggers the Ca\(^{2+}\) subsystem, resulting in a shift of the phase of Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations of nearby cells. After a while, the IP\(_3\) concentration returns to the steady state as the ATP concentration did, yet, the shift in the phase of Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations remains: notice that, for large times (inset of Fig. S2 b), the contours of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration do not tend to smoothen out to straight lines. This means that the cells continue oscillating asynchronously, even after a long time has passed from the moment they were stimulated. This is another qualitative difference from the previous case (Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent ATP release) and can be attributed to two factors: 1) the unidirectional coupling between the ATP-IP\(_3\) driver system and the Ca\(^{2+}\) subsystem and 2) the fact that within the Ca\(^{2+}\) subsystem no coupling exists (Ca\(^{2+}\) does not diffuse).

Moreover, suppose that the astrocytic network now exists in the parameter regime where the oscillations coexist with the stable upper steady state. Provided that the network is perturbed from the limit cycle, as before, the same qualitative behavior will be observed.

On the contrary, if the astrocytic network initially rests in the upper steady state and a localized ATP stimulus is imposed, no response is essentially triggered, the ATP stimulus fades and apparently no wave generation occurs as shown in Fig. S2, c, d (v\(_6\) = 4.17 s\(^{-1}\)).
In this simulation, the upper steady state coexists with the limit cycle, but no cells enter the limit cycle, since the IP3 does not remain in high enough levels for sufficient time, so as to initiate oscillating responses from the Ca^{2+} subsystem.

If the same simulation was performed in the parameter regime in which the only stable attractor is an upper stable steady state (large 1/v6 values), then similarly to the case just described, no response would be triggered. This can be attributed to the fact that the Ca^{2+} subsystem is already excited, it cannot be further excited, thus no response is initiated and no wave generation is observed. Thus, the behavior of the network would be qualitatively the same to that displayed in Fig. S2 c, d.

**Distributed model: modes of intercellular communication**

**Ca^{2+} dependent ATP case**

The effect of diffusion of both messenger species (ATP and IP3) was investigated and was found to be negligible for the experimentally reported values of IP3 diffusion coefficient ($D_{IP3} = 280 \mu m^2/s$). This is shown in Fig. S3, in which panel (a) presents the case where only ATP diffuses and panel (b) the case where both ATP and IP3 diffuse. Contour plots of the Ca^{2+} concentrations with respect to space and time are shown and are found to be nearly indistinct. This happens because the dynamics of IP3 production are strictly dependent on ATP, which receives feedback from Ca^{2+}. Thus the two species that dominate in shaping the response of the system are Ca^{2+} and ATP; IP3 is just used as an intermediate messenger. To support this hypothesis, we performed simulations in which we used values for IP3 diffusion several times larger than the experimentally measure value (for example 10-fold). If the above reasoning was correct, then an increase
of the IP$_3$ diffusion coefficient would not be able to change wave propagation characteristics, namely the speed of the wave. This is indeed what was observed: for $D_{IP3} = 0, 280, 2800 \ \mu$m$^2$/s the speed of the wave remains constant at approximately 10 \mu$m/s. However we have to note that very large values of the IP$_3$ diffusion coefficient, result in the transient flattening of the IP$_3$ concentration profile. This means that the IP$_3$ produced by the ATP stimulus rapidly diffuses away, consequently no wave generation is observed as the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem cannot be excited.

**IP$_3$ dependent ATP case**

For the IP$_3$ dependent ATP release hypothesis, the effect of IP$_3$ diffusion was also found to be negligible for the experimentally reported values of $D_{IP3}$. In Fig. S4, a wave is generated and is found to have nearly the same range of propagation in the cases where only ATP diffuses (panel (a)), or both ATP and IP$_3$ diffuse (panel (b)). In this case, the approximately same range of propagation can be attributed to the fact that ATP diffusion is the predominant mode of intercellular communication. Indeed, for significantly larger values of the IP$_3$ diffusion coefficient, larger ranges of propagation are observed, provided that the ATP stimulus is sufficiently high. The large magnitude of ATP stimulus is required, because larger values for $D_{IP3}$ tend to flatten the IP$_3$ concentration profile quickly. Therefore, if large quantities of IP$_3$ are produced (from a large ATP stimulus), IP$_3$ will diffuse rapidly, resulting in a larger range of propagation. On the other hand an insufficient ATP stimulus will produce a small amount of IP$_3$ which will be quickly flattened through diffusion, resulting in a smaller range of propagation.
Figure S1: Ca²⁺ dependent ATP release case: panels (a), (b): imposing a localized ATP stimulus on the oscillating astrocytic network causes a shift in the phase of nearby cells ($v_6 = 4.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$). The disturbance is propagated to cells in a diffusive manner; this is not a chemical wave. Simulations indicate that diffusional coupling tends to smoothen out the phase gradient (the insets show the ATP and Ca²⁺ concentrations for large times). Panels (b), (c): imposing a localized ATP stimulus on the upper steady state merely produces a response. In this case $v_6 = 4.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$, thus the steady state coexists with a stable limit cycle. Parameters values (except $v_6$) as in tables 1, 2, 3. Only ATP diffuses $D_{\text{ATP}} = 350 \text{ µm}^2/\text{s}$. The stimulus is a square pulse in space of width 15 µm for $a$ and $b$ (30 µm for $c$ and $d$) and amplitude 200 µm imposed at $x = 1000 \text{ µm}$ at $t = 0 \text{ s}$. 
Figure S2: IP$_3$ dependent ATP release case: panels (a), (b): imposing a localized ATP stimulus on the oscillating astrocytic network causes a shift in the phase of nearby cells ($v_6 = 7.19$ s$^{-1}$). Note that in this case only the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem oscillates. In contrast with the previous case (Ca$^{2+}$ dependent ATP release) the ATP stimulus fades quickly and the phase gradient is not smoothed out since no diffusional coupling exists in the Ca$^{2+}$ subsystem. Panels (c), (d): imposing a localized ATP stimulus on the upper steady state which coexists with a limit cycle, merely produces a response ($v_6 = 4.17$ s$^{-1}$). Parameters values (except $v_6$) as in tables 1, 2, 3. Only ATP diffuses $D_{\text{ATP}} = 350$ µm$^2$/s. The stimulus is a square pulse in space of width 30 µm and amplitude 1000 µm imposed at x = 1000 µm at t = 0 s.
Figure S3: Ca$^{2+}$ dependent ATP release: comparison of the case in which only ATP diffuses, $D_{\text{ATP}} = 350 \ \mu m^2/s$ (panel a) with the case in which both ATP and IP$_3$ diffuse, $D_{\text{IP3}} = 280 \ \mu m^2/s$ (panel b). The stimulus in both cases is a square pulse in space of width 12 $\mu m$ and amplitude 3 $\mu m$ imposed at $x = 1000 \ \mu m$ at $t = 0$ s. The responses are qualitatively the same, quantitatively they differ only slightly.
Figure S4: IP$_3$ dependent ATP release: comparison of the case in which only ATP diffuses, $D_{ATP} = 350 \, \mu m^2/s$ (panel a) with the case in which both ATP and IP$_3$ diffuse, $D_{IP3} = 280 \, \mu m^2/s$ (panel b). The stimulus in both cases is a square pulse in space of width 15 \, \mu m and amplitude 500 \, \mu m imposed at $x = 1000 \, \mu m$ at $t = 0 \, s$. The responses are nearly indistinct.