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**ABSTRACT**

*Early B-cell factor 2 (Ebf2)* is one of four mammalian members of the helix-loop-helix transcription factor family *Collier/Olf/Ebf* (COE). COE proteins EBF1, EBF2 and EBF3 have been implicated in various aspects of neural development including neurogenesis, cell differentiation, neuronal migration and axonal fasciculation. Here, I examined the role of one of these proteins, EBF2, on the development of the cerebral cortex.

*Ebf2* mRNA, detected by *in situ* hybridisation, was transiently expressed in the developing mouse cerebral cortex. In particular, it was found in the cortical hem, septum and preplate/marginal zone, which are known sites of origin and migration for Cajal-Retzius cells, respectively. In order to permanently label *Ebf2* positive cells even after the downregulation of the gene expression, the transgenic line *Ebf2*\(^{GFP;Cre}\) was crossed with the reporter line *Rosa26*\(^{YFP}\). It was found that the expression of *Ebf2* matched the expression of markers for Cajal-Retzius cells, subplate cells and a subpopulation of layer V pyramidal neurons. Although *Ebf2*\(^{−/−}\) mice showed a delay in the migration of Cajal-Retzius cells at early stages of development, which was rescued by the end of gestation, they did not present any other gross defect in cortical topography and cellular organisation. *In vivo* and *in vitro* studies have suggested that *Ebf1* and *Ebf3*, also expressed in the cortical hem and septum, may be involved in complementing the lack of *Ebf2* function. These results suggest a role for the EBF family in controlling Cajal-Retzius cell development.
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### ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-Tub</td>
<td>Alpha-Tubulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μm</td>
<td>Micrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μm</td>
<td>Micromolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACSF</td>
<td>Artificial cerebrospinal fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEP</td>
<td>Eteropeduncolar area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am</td>
<td>Amygdala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE</td>
<td>ApolipoproteinE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC</td>
<td>Bacterial artificial chromosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Gal</td>
<td>beta-Galactosidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP</td>
<td>Bone morphogenetic protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNST</td>
<td>Bed nucleus stria terminalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>Base pair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc</td>
<td>Corpus callosum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calb</td>
<td>Calbindin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calr</td>
<td>Calretinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cb</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>Complementary-Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGE</td>
<td>Caudal ganglionic eminence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Cortical hem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Cytochrome oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COE</td>
<td>Collier Olf Ebf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoP</td>
<td>Commminsural plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUP-TF</td>
<td>Chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CP  Cortical plate
CR  Cajal-Retzius
Ctip  COUP-TF interacting protein
Cux  Cut homeobox gene
d  Dorsal
Dab1  Disabled 1 gene
DAPI  4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole
DBD  DNA binding domain
Dbx1  Developing brain homeobox gene 1
Dlx  Distalless gene
DMEM  Dulbecco modified eagle’s medium
DNA  Deoxyribonucleic acid
DTB  Diencephalic-telencephalic boundary
E  Embryonic day
Ebf  Early B-cell factor
EGF  Epidermal growth factor
Emx  Empty spiracles gene
Ep  Electroporated
Er81  Ets domain transcription factor
Et  Epithalamus
F  Forebrain
FBS  Fetal bovine serum
FGF  Fibroblast growth factor
Foxg1  Forkhead box G1
FSH  Follicle stimulating hormone
gm  Grams
GABA  γ-Aminobutyric acid
GE  Ganglionic eminence
GFP  Green fluorescent protein
Gli  Glioma associated gene
GnRH  Gonadotropin-releasing hormone
Gp  Globus pallidus
Gsh  Glutathione synthetase gene
H  Hippocampus
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nurr1</td>
<td>Nuclear receptor related 1 protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB</td>
<td>Olfactory bulb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE</td>
<td>Olfactory epithelium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opg</td>
<td>Osteoprotegerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Postnatal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&lt;</td>
<td>Probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p21</td>
<td>Cyclin-dependant kinase inhibitor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p73</td>
<td>Tumor protein 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Polyadenylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pax6</td>
<td>Paired box gene 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCx</td>
<td>Pyriform cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA</td>
<td>Paraformaldehyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K</td>
<td>Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNS</td>
<td>Peripheral nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POA</td>
<td>Preoptic area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>Preplate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSPB</td>
<td>Pallial subpallial boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pv</td>
<td>Paravalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reln</td>
<td>Reelin gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>Ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROAZ</td>
<td>Rat O/E-1-associated zinc finger protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDF</td>
<td>Stromal derived factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec</td>
<td>Seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Standard error of the mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shEbf</td>
<td>Short hairpin ebf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shh</td>
<td>Sonic hedgehog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shMock</td>
<td>Short hairpin scrambled Ebf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Subplate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>Septum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp8</td>
<td>Zinc finger transcription factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td>Striatum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVZ</td>
<td>Subventricular zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tbr</td>
<td>T-Box brain gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>Thalamo-cortical axon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Thalamic eminences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF</td>
<td>Transforming growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Thalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>Ventral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vax</td>
<td>Ventral anterior homeobox containing gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vldlr</td>
<td>Very low density lipoprotein receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VZ</td>
<td>Ventricular zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WNT</td>
<td>Wingless gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zi</td>
<td>Zona incerta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zic</td>
<td>Zinc finger transcription factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Above all do not fear difficult moments, the best comes from them.

(Rita Levi Montalcini)
The nature, structure, and regulation of genes have been a central topic of interest for more than 100 years. However, early theories of heredity, even without any scientific support, can be found as early as in Greek philosophers’, such as Hippocrates and Aristotle, doctrines. Aristotle's major biological works formulated in *On the Generation of Animals* raises the fundamental questions about reproduction, development, and heredity. In attempting to explain how animals produce offspring like themselves, Aristotle examined two major models of development known as preformation and epigenesis. Preformationist theories hold that a miniature individual pre-exists in either the egg or the semen and begins to grow into its adult form when properly stimulated. The theory of epigenesis, which Aristotle favoured, asserts that the new organism is gradually produced from an undifferentiated mass by the addition of parts. Aristotle's theories formed the core of biological thought for hundreds of years.

The history of modern genetics is generally thought to have started with the work of the Augustinian friar, Gregor Mendel. It was his work on pea plants, published in 1866, that described for the first time what is now widely known as the Mendelian inheritance theory. Wilhelm Johannsen, however, only coined the name “gene” in 1909. Later, the work on *Drosophila* of Thomas Hunt Morgan and his colleagues established that genes were located on chromosomes and, in 1940; Oswald Avery demonstrated that genes were composed of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). It was only the discovery of the structure of DNA in 1953 by James Watson and Francis
Crick that set the beginning for years of research into gene function. Moreover, the generation of the first knockout mouse by Mario Capecchi, Martin Evans and Oliver Smithies, awarded the Nobel Prize in Medicine in 1989, and the various genome sequencing projects, made possible to study the effects of loss of genes and gain of function. This allowed medical and biological research to understand how genes influence the development and functions of different organs in model animals as well as in humans. Moreover, mutations of different genes have been now linked with different diseases.

So far, many genes have been identified and studied for their roles in brain development, which is one of the most complex and finely regulated organs in the human body. However, the nature of thousands of genes expressed in the nervous system is still poorly understood prompting the efforts of many scientists to keep researching for new discoveries (Mayr, 1984).

1.1. ADULT CEREBRAL CORTEX STRUCTURE

The cerebral cortex is the most elaborate structure of the mammalian brain, and is related to the achievement of complex brain functions such as motor and sensory processing, and cognitive capabilities. Proper assembly of the cortex requires precise gene expression patterns and regulation of neuronal migration during its development. Disruptions of these processes lead to abnormalities that can result in severe neurological diseases.

1.1.1 Organization of the adult cortex

Phylogenetically, the cerebral cortex is subdivided in allocortex (evolutionary older region) and neocortex (or isocortex, evolutionary younger region). The allocortex is further subdivided in paleocortex and archicortex. The paleocortex gives rise to olfactory regions whereas the archicortex contains the hippocampus (Gotz, 2001).
Mainly two types of neurons populate the adult cerebral neocortex: projection neurons, also called pyramidal cells, and interneurons. These cells are organised into six different layers consecutively arranged from the pial surface to the subcortical white matter (Kandel, 2000): I - molecular layer; II - external granular layer; III – external pyramidal layer; IV - internal granular layer; V - internal pyramidal layer; VI - multiform or fusiform layer (Figure 1.1).
Figure 1.1  Layer organisation in the adult cerebral cortex

Roman numbers from I to VI indicate the different cortical layers.
Golgi stain for whole neurons

Nissl stain for somata

Myelin stain for axons

(Modified from ‘The human body’, 2nd Ed Mosby, 1988)
1.1.2 Neuron populations

Projection cells, called pyramidal neurons after their soma shape, are the most abundant population in the neocortex. Morphologically, they have a long apical dendrite that ascends vertically to reach the cortical surface and basal dendrites that are directed horizontally. Dendrites bear spines that are the sites of synaptic contacts. Pyramidal cells project a long axon towards different territories and, as such, they are the main output neurons of the cortex. They use the excitatory amino acid glutamate as neurotransmitter (Kandel, 2000).

Interneurons or local circuit neurons are inhibitory in function; they use the neurotransmitter γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA). Interneurons represent approximately the 25% of the cortical neuronal population, and they are distributed in all six layers. Several types of interneurons have been described based on their pattern of connections and morphological appearance. It is possible to distinguish basket cells, chandelier cells, bipolar cells and stellate cells. Moreover, interneurons express different calcium binding proteins such as Calbindin (Calb), Calretinin (Calr) and Parvalbumin (Pv), as well as neuropeptides and enzymes. Interneurons make synapses with other interneurons and pyramidal cells, but their axons are distributed entirely within the cortex (Kandel, 2000).

1.1.3 Connections

Cortical afferents that arise from the thalamus, called thalamocortical axons, reach mainly layer IV as well as layers I, III and VI. Afferents from cortical neurons of the same or opposite hemisphere contact, instead, layers II and III. There are three different types of cortical efferents or corticofugal projections: corticothalamic fibers that reach the thalamus from layer VI, corticocortical from layers II and III and corticosubcortical from layer V. Corticocortical efferents are subdivided into controlateral fibers, which connect neurons in the two hemispheres (also called commissural fibers), and ipsilateral fibers, which connect areas in the same hemisphere. Corticosubcortical efferents connect the neocortex with the striatum,
superior collicus, pons and spinal cord (later known as corticospinal or pyramidal tract (Kandel, 2000).

1.2 DEVELOPMENT OF THE EMBRYONIC CEREBRAL CORTEX

The cerebral cortex is a very complex structure, composed by different areas, which have specialised functions such as sensory processing and motor control. The areal identity seems to be determined by mechanisms suggested by two hypotheses. On one hand, the protomap hypothesis (Rakic, 1988) is in favour of a genetic specification of cortical neurons in the proliferative zone at the time of birth. According to this model, the early neuroepithelium is programmed to generate specific cohorts of neurons in different cortical regions, and the relative positions and sizes of cortical areas are so pre-specified. In contrast, the protocortex model (O'Leary, 1989) supports the role of thalamo-cortical connections in specifying functional areas of the cortex (O'Leary et al. 1994).

1.2.1 Regional organization

In mammals, the central nervous system (CNS) arises from the neural plate. During neurulation, the neural plate folds to form the neural tube, which will differentiate into brain and spinal cord. At embryonic day 9.5 (E9.5) in the mouse, the anterior end of the neural tube expands unequally producing a series of swellings that will form the forebrain (prosencephalon), the midbrain (mesencephalon) and the hindbrain (rhombencephalon) regions. The forebrain contains the telencephalon and the diencephalon. The telencephalon is subdivided into a dorsal domain called the pallium and a ventral domain called the subpallium. The two primary structures of the pallium are the cerebral cortex and the hippocampus. The main subpallial structures are the basal ganglia and the entopeduncular/preoptic area (AEP/POA). The basal ganglia arise from two bulges surrounding the later ventricles, the medial ganglionic eminence (MGE or globus pallidus primordium) and the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE or striatum primordium). A third eminence, called the
caudal ganglionic eminence (CGE), is thought to give rise to the amygdala (Gotz, 2001) (Figure 1.2).
Figure 1.2 Anatomical organization of the developing forebrain.

A: Schema of a sagittal section through the brain of an E12.5 mouse showing forebrain, diencephalon and telencephalon. The pallium is in light grey colour.

B: Schema of a transverse section through the telencephalon of an E12.5 mouse indicating its main subdivisions.

(Modified from Marin et al. 2003)
1.2.2 Laminar organization

Cortical neurogenesis is characterised by generation of post-mitotic neurons that arise from the ventricular surface and settle beneath the pial surface. This event transforms the neuroepithelium in a layered structure composed at the beginning by the ventricular zone (VZ) and the preplate (PPL), which contains the first generated neurons. At E13.5, the PPL splits in two parts due to the arrival of the cortical plate (CP), the marginal zone (MZ) and the subplate (SP) (Marin-Padilla, 1978; 1988). The MZ, which will became layer I, is populated by Cajal Retzius (CR) cells and interneurons. The CP is populated by pyramidal cells, whereas the SP by subplate cells and interneurons. Birth-dating experiments have demonstrated that layers in the CP are formed in an “inside-out” manner. This means that layer VI neurons are born before those in layer V, and the last neurons to be generated are in layers II and III. Thus, later generated neurons migrate through the entire CP to reach and to settle at its surface (Gotz, 2001). A secondary germinal zone, called subventricular zone (SVZ), forms superficial to the VZ around E15.5. The intermediate zone (IZ), prospective white matter, appears early (E13.5), and contains fibers and migrating neurons. Neurogenesis of the neocortex is completed before birth in rodents. However, in the dentate gyrus (part of the hippocampus) and in the anterior SVZ, neurogenesis continues throughout life (Rakic, 1988; Gotz, 2001) (Figure 1.3).
Figure 1.3  Cortical layer development

The development of the cerebral cortex is shown at different embryonic stages.

(Modified from Molnar et al. 2006)
1.2.3 Formation of cortico-thalamic connections and the function of subplate cells

The pathfinding of thalamic axons towards the isocortex involves several phases. Thalamic axons first grow towards the cortex through the internal capsule, part of basal ganglia, and after their arrival in the cortex they extend tangentially along the IZ without entering the CP. This mechanism is regulated by the presence of growth promoting molecules, such as fibronectin, tenascin and proteoglycans, in the IZ and in the SP layer and of repulsive cues in the CP. This is necessary to avoid the arrival of thalamic axons in the cortex when the generation of their target cells in layer IV is not yet complete. In fact, prior to the establishment of neurons in layer IV, the thalamic fibers reside in the SP layer (Kanold et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2010).

Subplate neurons play a key role in early cortical development in coordinating reciprocal thalamocortical innervation. Subplate cells at early developmental stages are found in the PPL. Following the splitting of this layer, these cells are localised in the SP layer (Konig et al. 1981). Subplate cells acquire a neuronal phenotype early during embryonic development, even before CP neurons (Clancy et al. 2001; Arias et al. 2002), including the formation of synaptic inputs and the generation of action potentials. These cells project axons into the internal capsule where they serve an important role in innervating the thalamus and providing a scaffold for the innervation of the cortex by the thalamocortical axons (Kanold et al. 2003). It has been shown that if the SP cells are lesioned during development, thalamic axons fail to reach their correct cortical targets (McConnell et al. 1994), and cortical columnar organisation does not develop normally (Kanold et al. 2003).

After serving as transient innervation targets for thalamocortical axons, most of SP cells die, and layer IV neurons become innervated by thalamic axons. However, 10-20% of these cells survive, remaining at the bottom of layer VI and in the white matter in adult life.
1.3 GENE EXPRESSION SPECIFIES TELENCEPHALIC DEVELOPMENT

1.3.1 Patterning of the cerebral cortex at early developmental stages

The onset of neurogenesis coincides with the appearance of patterning centers positioned at the perimeters of the telencephalon that generate across the developing cortex the graded expression of various transcription factors (TF) (for example COUP-TFI, EMX2, PAX6 and Sp8) that promote area specification. The two major dorsal telencephalic patterning centers are: the commissural plate (CoP) at the anterior margin of the forebrain, which expresses several members of the fibroblast growth factor family (FGFs, in particular Fgf8 and Fgf17), and the cortical hem (CH) at the posteromedial border, which expresses bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) and vertebrate orthologs of Drosophila Wingless (Wnts) genes (O'Leary et al. 2007). Moreover, two other additional patterning centers have been identified: the first, located in the ventral telencephalon, expresses Sonic hedgehog (Shh) and is implicated in the regional patterning of the diencephalon and, indirectly, in cortical arealisation (Grove et al. 1999). The second, the antihem, located in the lateral area of the GE, secretes the Wnt antagonist Secreted Frizzled Related Protein-2 (SFRP-2), FGF7, Epidermal Growth Factors (EGF) family members (such as Neuregulins 1,2, 3) and Transforming Growth Factor-α (TGF-α) (Assimacopoulos et al. 2003). The CH and antihem have been suggested to cooperate with the CoP to establish the anterior-posterior and medial-lateral axes of the developing cortex. For example, ectopic expression of FGFs and SHH inhibit the expression of BMPs and WNTs (Shimogori et al. 2004).

It has been shown that Fgf8, secreted by the CoP, promotes also the graded expression of Chick Ovalbumin Upstream Transcription Factor I (COUP-TFI) and Empty Spiracles homebox 2 gene (Emx2). These factors are characterised by a low anterior and high posterior expression gradient. In utero electroporation experiments have shown that Fgf8, whose expression is initiated by Hox genes and maintained by Shh and zinc finger TF Sp8 (related to Buttonhead gene in Drosophila), controls the formation of motor areas in the anterior part of the telencephalon (Fukuchi-Shimogori et al. 2001). It seems that Emx2 is able to repress Fgf8 by suppressing the
function of Sp8 (Sahara et al. 2007). In fact, in the absence of Emx2, Fgf8 shows extended expression to posterior domains (Rakic et al. 2009). Moreover, pallial neuroblasts in Emx2 mutants are converted into subpallial neuroblasts, which accumulate in an aberrant structure resembling the basal ganglia (Muzio et al. 2002). Analysis of the cerebral cortex has detected the expression of EMX2 not only in the VZ but, interestingly, also in CR cells (Mallamaci et al. 1998). Emx2 expression in the proliferative layer of the developing cerebral cortex and in the CR cells suggests a potential role for the gene in the control of neuronal proliferation, migration and differentiation processes.

Furthermore, COUP-TFI is also involved in the specification of posterior telencephalic area together with Emx2. In fact, COUP-TFI null mice show lack of somatosensory areas and posterior expansion of anterior frontal and motor domains (Zhou et al. 2001). On the other hand, the CH (called roof plate together with the choroid plexus) is specified by LIM-homeodomain 5 gene (Lhx5). Loss of Lhx5 expression leads to loss of choroid plexus, CH and a defective hippocampal formation (Sheng et al. 1997; Zhao et al. 1999). In contrast, the lack of Lhx2, which is expressed in the cortical VZ, allows the choroid plexus and CH to dramatically expand, whereas the neocortex is reduced in size (Bulchand et al. 2001). Moreover, in the absence of Forkhead box G1 (Foxg1), neocortical progenitors are not specified and progenitors of the hippocampus and CH, in particular the CR cell population, are expanded (Monuki et al. 2001).

Other regulatory genes are also involved in the specification of the cortical areas; among these Paired box gene 6 (Pax6) plays multiple roles in the development of the cerebral cortex (Scardigli et al. 2003; Guillemot et al. 2006). Firstly, Pax6 is involved in the dorso-ventral specification of telencephalic progenitors and its expression is opposite to Emx2. In the cortical primordium, the early expression of Pax6 and the homeobox gene Gsh2 outline the anlage of the pallium and subpallium, respectively, and cross-repressive interactions between these two genes establish the pallial–subpallial border (PSPB) (Toresson et al. 2000; Yun et al. 2001). Disruption of the PSPB in the Pax6–Small eye mutant, where Pax6 is not expressed, leads to an expansion of subpallial markers such as Dlx1, Dlx2, Gsh2, Mash1, Vax1 into the pallium (Corbin et al. 2000; Stoykova et al. 2000; Toresson et al. 2000; Kroll et al. 2005). In addition, to these intrinsic mechanisms involving gene regulation, extrinsic mechanisms have also been considered especially at later stages of telencephalic
development. In fact, it has been shown that thalamo-cortical axons (TCAs) may influence the specification of its different areas. However, further studies are required to clarify these mechanisms (O'Leary et al. 2007)(Figure 1.4).
Figure 1.4  Patterning centers and genes expressed in the developing forebrain.

A: Positions of the different patterning centers in the developing embryos. The table lists key molecules and their corresponding expression patterns.

B: Graded expression of Emx2, Pax6, Coup-TFI, and Sp8 along the anterior-posterior and lateral-medial axes. Emx2 is expressed in a high P-M to low A-L gradient. Pax6 expression pattern is opposite to that of Emx2, with a high A-L to low P-M gradient. Coup-TFI has a high P-L to low A-M gradient. Sp8 is expressed in a high A-M to low P-L gradient. While the expression of Emx2, Pax6, and Coup-TFI is sustained in the VZ, Sp8 expression is quickly downregulated around the onset of cortical neurogenesis.

C: In the anterior signaling center, Fgf8 establishes the low anterior-graded expression of the TFs Emx2 and COUP-TFI by repression, and promotes the high anterior gradient of Sp8 expression. Fgf8 expression is also regulated positively by direct transcriptional activation by Sp8 and indirectly by Emx2, which represses the ability of Sp8 to directly induce Fgf8. Putative posterior signaling molecules Bmps and Wnts, expressed in the CH, positively regulates the high caudal gradient of Emx2 expression.

D: Summary of all reports of loss-of-function or gain-of-function mouse mutant for TFs that regulate area patterning. Reducing Emx2 levels in the cortex of the heterozygote mutant mice results in posterior shifts of areas, while overexpression of Emx2 under the control of the Nestin promoter shifts areas anteriorly. The small eye mutant shows anterior area shifts and YAC transgenic mice of Pax6 do not show area changes other than a slight, but significant, reduction in the size of S1 (asterisk). Loss of COUP-TFI in cortical progenitors transforms the fate of primary sensory areas into frontal/motor areas. The analysis of Sp8 conditional knockout mice shows anterior shifts of gene markers.
(A is modified from Rakic et al. 2009; B-D are modified from O'Leary et al. 2007)
1.3.2 Specification of pyramidal neurons

As neurogenesis begins, cortical neuroepithelial cells start to differentiate. Specific TFs are involved in maintaining the right equilibrium between proliferation and differentiation. The basic Helix-Loop-Helix (bHLH) molecules are among the TFs tightly involved in these processes. For example, the telencephalic proneural genes Neurogenin1 (Ngn1) and Neurogenin2 (Ngn2) (Fode et al. 2000; Nieto et al. 2001) regulate the key steps of commitment of stem cells to the neuronal fate, cell cycle exit and initiation of a neuronal differentiation program. Double mutants for Ngn2 and Mash1 show reduced cortical neurogenesis (Fode et al. 2000; Nieto et al. 2001). In wild-type mice, expression of Ngn1 and -2 in dorsal telencephalic progenitors, direct the differentiation of neurons towards a glutamatergic phenotype (Schuurmans et al. 2004). Ngn1 and 2 mutant animals present defects in expression of laminar-specific markers in early-born neurons in layers VI and V, while late-born neurons in layers IV and II/III appear to be normal (Schuurmans et al. 2004).

Once cells are committed, additional TFs, such as Tbr1, Ctip2 and Cux1/2, consolidate differentiation of the projection neuron phenotype and begin to specify projection neuron subtypes related to their laminar fate. In the developing cortex, Cux1 and Cux2, two homologues of Drosophila Cut gene, are specifically expressed in the VZ and in mitotically active cells of the SVZ, respectively, whereas later on their expression is confined to distinct subpopulations of the upper cortical layers (Schuurmans et al. 2004; Zimmer et al. 2004). Interestingly, the expression of Cux2 and Cux1 in the upper cortical layers is severely diminished in the Pax6-mutant cortex, suggesting that these two genes might also act in the specification of the upper cortical layer neurons in a Pax6-dependent pathway (Schuurmans et al. 2004; Zimmer et al. 2004). Tbr1 and Ctip2 have been involved, instead, in the specification of neurons in lower layers (Molyneaux et al. 2007; Guillemot et al. 2006).
1.3.3 Specification of interneuron progenitors

The embryonic subpallium or ventral telencephalon contains amongst other structures the MGE, LGE, CGE and AEP/POA domains. These subpallial domains express specific genes, such as \textit{Dlx1}, \textit{Dlx2}, \textit{Gsh1}, \textit{Mash1}, \textit{Gsh2}, \textit{Nkx2.1}, \textit{Nkx5.1}, \textit{Isl1}, \textit{Six3} and \textit{Vax1} that define their identities and are involved in the specification of interneurons and oligodendrocytes (Marin \textit{et al.} 2003). \textit{Dlx} homeobox genes are transcription factors that act as critical molecular determinants in forebrain development (Panganiban \textit{et al.} 2002). They are specifically required to co-ordinate the timing of GABAergic interneuron migration and neuronal process formation (Anderson \textit{et al.} 1997; Yun \textit{et al.} 2002). In double \textit{Dlx1} and \textit{Dlx2} null mutants, migration is almost abolished and cells accumulate in the GEs (Cobos \textit{et al.} 2005; 2006; 2007). Ectopic expression of \textit{Dlx} has been shown to induce GAD65/67 expression in neuronal progenitors of the cerebral cortex normally committed to a glutamatergic phenotype (Stuhmer \textit{et al.} 2002). Interestingly, \textit{Dlx1} single null mutants show no migrational defects. Recent studies suggest that DLX factors do not only have a role in migration, but also in morphogenesis of interneuron subtypes. Thus, abnormal dendritic morphology has been reported in subsets of somatostatin (SST) and Calr interneurons in \textit{Dlx1} and \textit{Dlx2} double-null mutants (Cobos \textit{et al.} 2005). Another homeobox transcription factor, \textit{Nkx2.1}, appears to play a pivotal role during the commitment of interneuron progenitors. In fact, \textit{Nkx2.1} is fundamental for the correct MGE specification (Sussel \textit{et al.} 1999), whereas LGE development is affected by the expression of \textit{Gsh2} (Hsieh-Li \textit{et al.} 1995). Expression of \textit{Pax6} also distinguishes the LGE from the MGE and may contribute to the specification of the former. The AEP/POA territory, adjacent to the MGE, is also specified by \textit{Nkx2.1} expression but, although the expression of common transcription factors indicates that MGE and AEP/POA may share some properties, progenitors arising from these structures appear molecularly distinct (Flames \textit{et al.} 2007). These results suggest that the MGE and AEP/POA are anatomically defined sites characterised by distinct gene expression domains, \textit{Gsh2} and \textit{Lhx7} for the former and \textit{Nkx5.1} for the latter. As such, they give rise to distinct populations of cortical interneurons (Xu \textit{et al.} 2004; Butt \textit{et al.} 2005).
Earlier *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments on the origins of interneuron subtypes suggested that they arise from different subpallial progenitor pools (Fishell, 2007). More recent *in vitro* experiments have shown that expression of Nkx2.1 in the MGE is required for the specification of MGE-derived interneurons. Upstream of Nkx2.1, the morphogen Shh appears to play a critical role in the establishment of Nkx2.1 expression in the MGE (Sussel *et al.* 1999; Fuccillo *et al.* 2004) and in the maintenance of its expression during neurogenesis (Xu *et al.* 2005). Thus, mice carrying mutations in Shh expression within the neural tube fail to express the interneuron fate-determining gene Nkx2.1 in the MGE. This effect was also reproduced by inhibiting SHH signalling in slice cultures (Gulacsi *et al.* 2006). Recent lineage experiments using the Cre/loxP system have shown that another member of the Nkx gene family, Nkx5-1, appears to specify a population of early post-mitotic AEP/POA cells towards interneuron fate (Gelman *et al.* 2009) (Figure 1.5).
Figure 1.5  Drawings of medial (A), caudal-intermediate (B) and caudal (C) coronal sections of E13.5 mouse brain showing the expression of different genes in the subpallial domain

Broken lines delineate the VZ. Sub-domains that express two or more genes are marked with stripes.

D: A summary of the expression of different genes in the LGE, MGE, CGE and POA.

++: High level of expression, +: lower level of expression, -: lack of expression.
(F. Chiara from review Hernandez-Miranda et al. 2010)
1.4 MODES OF CELL MIGRATION IN THE EMBRYONIC CORTEX

Cell migration is a crucial process involved in the proper assembly of the cerebral cortex. Two major types of neuronal migration have been implicated in corticogenesis: radial migration of pyramidal neuron precursors and tangential migration of interneurons as well as of CR cells.

1.4.1 Radial migration of pyramidal cell precursors

Pyramidal cell progenitors, born in the pallial VZ/SVZ, migrate radially towards the CP and eventually form the cortical layers. The formation of the cortical lamination in an “inside–out” manner requires precise guidance of these neurons from the VZ to CP (Ayala et al. 2007). Generation of these cells occurs through a combination of three different modes of cell division: symmetrical division that expands the pool of neuronal progenitors, asymmetrical division that gives rise to a self-renewed progenitor and a neuron, and symmetrical terminal division that produces two neurons, thus depleting the pool of proliferating cells (Mione et al. 1997). Retroviral labeling techniques and time-lapse experiments have shown that radial glia cells mainly populate the VZ. These cells predominantly undergo asymmetrical division giving rise to a self-renewed radial glia cell and a daughter cell, which migrates away from the ventricle. A small percentage of asymmetric divisions produce a self-renewed radial glia cell and an intermediate progenitor cell that undergoes symmetrical division in the SVZ to produce upper cortical layer neurons (Noctor et al. 2004). The process of migration progresses through different phases. Firstly, the newborn neuron assumes a bipolar shape and migrates in the SVZ where it acquires a multipolar shape. Subsequently, the neuron contacts the ventricle through a leading process and migrates retrogradely. Finally, after contacting the ventricle, it acquires a bipolar morphology and migrates towards the CP (Noctor et al. 2004). Radial glia cells born in the VZ at early stages during development mostly guide radial migration of pyramidal cells. Structurally, each cell has its body in the VZ and a long process that reach the pial surface. Radial glia cells have two main
functions during embryonic development: they are a source of neuronal precursors and also provide a scaffold for their radial migration (Parnavelas et al. 2001). After birth, radial glia cells differentiate into astrocytes. Molecular abnormalities that affect radial glia development can also cause defects in neuronal progenitor migration (Hatten 1999; Marin et al. 2003). Two major modes of radial neuronal migration have been identified in the cortex: somal translocation and glia-guided locomotion (Nadarajah et al. 2001; Borrell et al. 2006; Rakic et al. 2007). During early corticogenesis, somal translocation is the predominant mode and some neurons appear to migrate solely by this mode. At later stages, locomotion becomes more common, but these neurons switch to somal translocation when their leading processes reach the pial surface. The cells that undergo somal translocation typically have a long, radially oriented basal process that terminates at the pial surface, and a short, transient trailing process. By contrast, cells that adopt glia-guided locomotion have a shorter radial process that is not attached to the pial surface and require a continuous formation and breakage of adhesive interactions with the radial glia. Integrins, a family of cell adhesion receptors mediating cell–extracellular matrix and cell–cell interactions, were long speculated to play a role in this process (Anton et al. 1999; Schmid et al. 2004). Two main cellular events take place during neuronal locomotion: growth of the leading process and movement of the cell body and nucleus (nucleokinesis) (Tsai, et al. 2005).

1.4.2 Tangential migration of interneurons

Unlike projection neurons, the vast majority of GABA-ergic interneurons are first born in the subpallial VZ and migrate tangentially towards the cortex. This migration pattern by interneurons was originally established based on dye labelling of migrating cells as well as analysis of Dlx1/2 double mutant mice (De Carlos et al. 1996; Anderson et al. 1997). The main source of cortical interneurons is the MGE. The LGE and the CGE also contribute in a small part (Marin et al. 2003; Metin et al. 2006). Interneurons are guided from the ventral to the dorsal telencephalon by a combination of chemorepulsive (SLIT1 and semaphorins) and chemoattractive (neuregulin, SDF-1) factors. Tangential migration is independent of interactions with radial glia. Initially, interneurons enter in the developing cortex in defined streams at
the level of the PPL and IZ and, after the splitting of the PPL, through the MZ, SP and SVZ. It seems that, once interneurons reach areas where they will reside, they start to migrate radially, inward and outward, in the direction of the CP. Recent studies using time-lapse imaging and tracer-labelling techniques have also shown that interneurons, after reaching the developing cortex, migrate in the direction of the cortical VZ. This ventricle-directed morphological feature suggests the hypothesis that interneurons actively seek the cortical VZ to receive layer information that is essential for their correct positioning into the developing cortex (Nadarajah et al. 2002). Finally, cortical interneurons position themselves in layers in an “inside-out” manner, together with pyramidal cells born at the same time. This suggests that projection neuron lamination and interneuron positioning are tightly coordinated. Indeed, in reeler cortex, interneurons have been found to adopt a similar inverted pattern of laminar positioning as projection neurons despite their independence of Reelin signalling (Pla et al. 2006). Recent studies found that the chemokine Cxcl12, also known as Stromal Cell Derived Factor-1 (SDF-1), plays a key role in this process (Lopez-Bendito et al. 2008). Cxcl12 is highly expressed along the streams of migrating interneurons in the MZ and SVZ, whereas its receptor Cxcr4 is expressed in interneurons. The specific migration patterns shown by different neuronal types in the developing cortex suggest that the genetic program regulating cell migration is part of neuronal cell fate specification.
1.5 CAJAL-RETZIUS CELLS

Cajal–Retzius cells are a transient population that cover, like a sheet, the entire cortex early during development (Ramón y Cajal, 1911; Retzius, 1894; Soriano et al. 2005). Cajal–Retzius cells, which are found in the PPL and subsequently in the MZ, display characteristic radial ascending processes that contact the pial surface, and a horizontal axon located in the deep MZ. These cells secrete Reelin, a molecule important for the correct formation of the cortical lamination (Soriano et al. 2005). In the dentate gyrus, Reelin expression by CR cells provides positional cues for organising granule cell lamination (Zhao et al. 2004). Transplantation of embryonic CR cells into the adult cerebellum also induces a transient rejuvenation of host Bergmann glia (Soriano et al. 1997). Moreover, ectopically positioned CR cells can reverse the direction of granule cell migration in cerebellar slices (Soriano et al. 1997). Lastly, loss of function studies suggest that lack of the transcription factor Foxg1, which acts as a positive regulator of neuron proliferation and repressor of cell cycle exit in the pallial VZ, leads to abnormal differentiation of early-born cortical progenitors that adopt CR cell fate (Hanashima et al. 2004). This consequently causes an increased production of Reelin that alters the expression of a HLH transcription factor called Early B-cell factor 2 (Ebf2). The same effect (hyperproduction of CR cells and Reelin) has been observed in Glioma-associated gene 3 (Gli3) mutants (Hanashima et al. 2007).

1.5.1 Origin, migration, functions and postnatal fate of CR cells

More than a century after their discovery, CR neurons are still surrounded by controversy. Firstly, the origin of these cells has been elusive for a long time, but recent lineage tracing experiments have finally begun to clarify it. Cajal-Retzius neurons are amongst the earliest born cells in the neocortex, starting around E10–12 in the mouse (Hevner et al. 2003). Electroporation experiments suggest that the vast majority of CR neurons are most likely generated in three locations: the CH (Takiguchi-Hayashi et al. 2004; Garcia-Moreno et al. 2007), the septum and the
ventral pallium (Bielle et al. 2005). In fact, fate mapping experiments using a Wnt3a-Cre line to trace cells derived from the CH, confirmed that this structure gives rise to the vast majority of CR cells (Yoshida et al. 2006). Interestingly, ablation of the CH did not result in major perturbation of cortical layer formation (Yoshida et al. 2006). Further experiments, using a Dbx1-Cre line, have identified two additional sources for CR cells outside the cortex, including the ventral pallium and septum (caudally identified as AEP/POA) (Bielle et al. 2005). Recently, thalamic eminence has also been proposed as a possible source of CR cells (Tissir et al. 2009; Abellan et al. 2010). After their birth, CR cells migrate tangentially to cover the entire cortical MZ. Cortical meninges have been found to act as substrate for CR cells as well as chemoattractant system during their migration. In fact, the chemokine Cxcl12 (or SDF-1) is expressed by the meninges and plays a role in attraction and dispersion of CR cells (Borrell et al. 2006). In addition, loss of Cxcr4, which is the receptor for Cxcl12, expressed by CR cells, results in failure of their migration. In fact, Paredes et al. (2006) found that, a disrupted meningeal basement membrane with loss of Cxcl12 expression, results in CR cell displacement.

A number of different TFs and nuclear proteins have been implicated in the control of CR cell differentiation, migration and survival. These TFs have also been used as markers of different CR cell populations: Tbr1, Pax6, Emx1 and Emx2 (Mallamaci et al. 1998; Hevner et al. 2001; Muzio et al. 2003; Stoykova et al. 2003), p73 (Meyer et al. 2002), p21 (Siegenthaler et al. 2008), Zic 1-3 (Inoue et al. 2008) specify septal and hem derived cells, Er81 septal cells (Zimmer et al. 2010) and Ebf2 the ventral pallium (Hanashima et al. 2007) (Figure 1.6 and Table 1.1).

The postnatal fate of CR neurons is another subject of debate. Cells with the typical CR morphology are difficult to find in the adult cortex. One theory is that they undergo a morphological changes in order to become resident interneurons in adult neocortex (Parnavelas et al. 1983; Sarnat et al. 2002). Others have proposed that the decrease in CR neuron density is caused by dilution following the expansion of the cortex during development, without any morphological transformation (Marin-Padilla, 1990). Recent experiments, using a Ebf2-GFP mice for in vivo two-photon imaging, have shown that the majority of CR neurons die by apoptosis during the second postnatal week and less than 3% of the population survive into adulthood (Chowdhury et al. 2010). So far, the best understood function of CR neurons is in cortical lamination. In fact, these cells secrete Reelin (Ogawa et al. 1995; Rice et al.
to orchestrate neuronal migration in the typical “inside-out” pattern (Caviness, 1982). However, in the last decade, studies showing phenotypic variability (Radnikow et al. 2002; Soda et al. 2003) and multiple origins of CR neurons (Bielle et al. 2005; Garcia-Moreno et al. 2007) support the hypothesis that these cells could evolve to carry out different functions throughout cortical development. It is known that CR neurons are capable of firing action potentials (Radnikow et al. 2002; Soda et al. 2003) and are spontaneously active (Schwartz et al. 1998; Aguilo et al. 1999; Soda et al. 2003). Moreover, gap junctions exist between CR cells and pyramidal neurons (Radnikow et al. 2002) and these cells can fire synchronously (Soda et al. 2003). Lastly, Portera-Cailliau and colleagues have previously demonstrated that the axon tips of CR neurons have large growth cones that continue to grow during postnatal development (Portera-Cailliau et al. 2005). Together, these data suggest that CR neurons could influence network activity of pyramidal neurons.
Figure 1.6  Origins and migration of CR cells

A-C: Schematic figures showing rostral and medial coronal sections of E11.5 and E13.5 mouse brain. PSPB, Sp, AEP/POA and CH between E10-E11.5 give rise to different subpopulation of CR cells that eventually will cover the surface of the entire pallium. Recently, TE has also been proposed as possible source of CR cells. These cells migrate tangentially into the pallium and their process of migration is completed by E13.5/E14.5. Different sites of origin for CR cell populations are characterised by the expression of different genes (See Table 1.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Gene expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical hem</td>
<td><em>Tbr1, Pax6, Emx1, Emx2, p73, p21, Zic 1-3, Ebf2-3, Calretinin, Reelin, Lhx6, Wnt-3a</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSPB (Ventral Pallium)</td>
<td><em>Dbx1, Reelin, Calretinin</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEP/POA</td>
<td><em>Tbr1, Pax6, Emx1, Emx2, p73, p21, Zic 1-3, Ebf2-3, Reelin, Er81</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septum</td>
<td><em>Dbx1, p73, Ebf2, Ebf3, Reelin</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td><em>Δnp73, Reelin, Ebf3</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.5.2 Reelin

Reelin is a secreted extracellular matrix protein containing 3461 amino acids, encoded by the *Reln* gene (D'Arcangelo *et al.* 1995; Ogawa *et al.* 1995; Tissir *et al.* 2003). In Reeler mutants, a spontaneous mouse line carrying *Reln* mutation, the normal inside-out pattern of cortical formation is replaced by an inverted cortical lamination, where the PPL fails to split and neurons incorrectly position in the lower layers of the cortex (Caviness *et al.* 1973). Defects observed in mutant mice are more severe in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus and cerebellar cortex, but anomalies have also been found in other structures, such as the olfactory bulb and inferior olive. However, it is still poorly understood how *Reln* influences neuronal migration and proper lamination of the cortex. Reelin signal is received by migrating neurons by two redundant cell surface receptors, Very Low Density Lipoprotein Receptor (Vldlr) and Apolipoprotein E Receptor 2 (ApoER2), and double mutation of Vldlr and ApoER2 results in cortical lamination defects similar to Reeler mutants (D'Arcangelo *et al.* 1999; Hiesberger *et al.* 1999). Downstream of Vldlr and ApoER2, the adaptor protein Disabled-1 (Dab-1) is required for mediating Reelin signalling and Dab-1 mutant mice also display Reeler-like cortical lamination phenotypes (Howell *et al.* 1997). Thus, Reelin signalling is essential for cortical neurons for proper radial neuron migration and cortical layer formation.

Recent analysis have shown that Vldlr and ApoER2 may play distinct roles in regulating cortical neuron migration: Vldlr may mediate a stop signal for migrating neurons, whereas ApoER2 may promote the migration of late born cortical neurons (Hack *et al.* 2007). Moreover, *Reln* has also been implicated in regulating neuronal dendrite and spine development (Niu *et al.* 2004; 2008). Interestingly, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) signalling is required to mediate Reelin signaling downstream of Dab-1 in both neuronal migration and dendrite development, but neuronal migration is independent of mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) signalling which, in contrast, is required for *Reln* regulation of dendrite growth (Jossin *et al.* 2007).

An alternative mechanism of Reelin signaling has been recently shown by Rakic and colleagues who implicated Notch signaling in Reelin regulation of radial neuron migration (Hashimoto-Torii *et al.* 2008). These authors found that Notch
Intracellular Domain (ICD), the proteolytic fragment of Notch that translocates to the nucleus when Notch receptor is activated, is significantly reduced in the *Reeler* cortex. Indeed, simultaneous deletion of Notch 1 and 2 from migrating neurons results in a phenotype similar to that in *Reeler* cortex. Most importantly, overexpression of Notch ICD in cortical neurons of *Reeler* mouse mitigates their migration defects.
1.6 THE TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR FAMILY Collier/Olf/Ebf

One of the most important mechanisms to regulate cell-type function in somatic cells is the differential activation of tissue-specific genes. This is controlled by TF proteins that promote gene transcription by directly interacting with DNA. So far, many TF families have been characterized during cellular differentiation; one of these is the group of HLH proteins. The Collier/Olfactory/Early-Bcell (COE) TFs are a conserved family of HLH proteins present in vertebrate and invertebrate species. The first member of the COE family, Ebf1/OE-1 (or the rat orthologue Olf-1), was isolated as a TF that specifically binds promoters of various olfactory genes and the Mb-1 gene in developing B-lymphocytes (Hagman et al. 1995; Wang et al. 1997). Subsequently, additional members of the same family were isolated in mouse: Ebf2/OE-3, Ebf3/OE-2 and 0/E-4 (Garel et al. 1997; Malgaretti et al. 1997; Wang et al. 1997). Furthermore, EBF orthologues have been found in other organisms. In C. elegans, Unc-3 determines the ventral nerves fasciculation (Prasad et al. 1998; Liberg et al. 2002). In Drosophila, mutations in the Collier gene, a member of the COE family, lead to wing, head and muscle defects (Crozatier et al. 1996). Finally, in Xenopus Xebf2 and Xebf3 are involved in neuronal cell differentiation (Pozzoli et al. 2001). The mouse EBF proteins show 75% identity. EBF1 and EBF3 are the most similar, whereas EBF2 differs at the carboxy-terminal domain used to interact with other proteins. In humans, four Ebf orthologous genes were discovered: Ebf1-related (chromosome 5), Ebf2-related (chromosome 8), Ebf3-related (chromosome 10) and OE-4-related (chromosome 20). Phylogenetic analysis has revealed that the exons-introns structure of Ebf/s is conserved in different species (Crozatier et al. 1999; Dubois et al. 2001).
1.6.1 The structure of EBF proteins

The COE family contains several conserved regions. This indicates that the specific structure is of crucial importance for the correct function of EBF proteins. Firstly, these factors, through a histidine and cysteine-rich domain of 250 amino acids located in the amino-terminal region of the protein, bind the specific DNA sequence. The DNA binding occurs in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ ions that binds a zinc finger-like motif made up by three cysteines and one histidine. Moreover, this structure, which is required for the DNA binding process, is highly conserved in all the COE proteins (Crozatier et al. 1999).

Downstream of the DNA binding domain (DBD), there is a second conserved region with apparent similarity to an IPT/TIG (immunoglobulin-like, plexins, transcription factors/transcription factor immunoglobulin) domain also present in the DNA binding region of transcription factors such as NFAT and NF-$kB$ (Aravind et al. 1999). The role of this region is unclear although it has been suggested to be involved in the interaction with the Rat O/E-1 associated zinc finger (ROAZ) (Tsai et al. 1997). Another possibility comes from the findings that IPT plexin-rich domains are involved in axonal guidance and semaphorin signaling, suggesting a role of the COE proteins in neuronal migration (Prasad et al. 1998; Yu et al. 1999; Tamagnone et al. 2004).

EBF1, EBF2, EBF3 and OE-4 bind DNA as homo- or heterodimers, and dimerisation is dependent on a region downstream of the DBD containing a HLH domain. The typical HLH contains two dissimilar amphipathic helices, helix 1 and helix 2, connected by a loop. EBFs do not contain any sequence corresponding to helix 1 but rather a duplication of helix 2 resulting in a domain that mediates dimerisation. The helix 2 duplication is only present in vertebrate EBFs and it can be deleted without affecting the capacity of the proteins to bind DNA (Wang et al. 2002).

EBF factors contain two transactivation domains, TSI located within the DBD and TSII in the C-terminal part of the protein with the ability to interact with other proteins. This region is poorly conserved amongst all the family members,
suggesting the potential of EBF proteins to interact with different factors and, as a result, play different roles during development (Hagman et al. 1995) (Figure 1.7).

**Figure 1.7 COE proteins structure**

**A:** Structure of mammalian EBFs.
Green- Transactivation domain I. This includes the DNA binding domain and the zinc finger domain. Orange- IPT/TIG domain. Red- Helix1. Blue- duplicated Helix2. Yellow- Transactivation domain II.

**B:** Comparison between EBFs from different species. Mouse (mCOE), human (hCOE) and Xenopus EBF (xCOE) show high sequence similarity. Members of other species, such as Drosophila (dCOE), Zebrafish (zCOE) and C.elegans (cCOE) present variation at the transactivation domain II.
(Modified from Liberg et al. 2002)
1.6.2 The function of EBF proteins

COE proteins play important roles during embryonic development, in particular during neurogenesis in different model systems. The Collier gene in Drosophila is fundamental for head and trunk segmentation and in the formation of a specific embryonic somatic muscle (Crozatier et al. 1996; 1999).

COE proteins are also closely linked to Notch signalling during later inhibition events, which occurs during neuronal development. In Xenopus, Notch signalling suppresses neuronal differentiation downregulating the proneural gene bHLH X-neurogenin-1. XeBF2 appears to act downstream of this protein, but upstream of the neurogenic xNeuroD, which seems to be activated by XeBF2. XeBF2 is, therefore, involved in maintaining high neural potential of precursor cells, but at the same time in promoting the commitment into neuronal fate by the activation of XneuroD. Instead, XeBF3 acts downstream of XneuroD stimulating the development of specific cell subtypes such as cranial sensory ganglia cells (Pozzoli et al. 2001). Furthermore, in C. elegans, the loss of expression of Unc-3, which is a member of the COE family, causes defects in specific motor neurons of the ventral nerve cord and in nerve fasciculation (Liberg et al. 2002).

In chick embryos, Ebf gene function is necessary to initiate neuronal differentiation and migration towards the mantle layer in neuroepithelial progenitors, but is not required for cell cycle exit (Garcia-Dominguez et al. 2003). Ebf genes, therefore, appear to control neuronal differentiation and migration, and may also play a role in cell commitment and specification. In mouse, Ebf1, Ebf2 and Ebf3 were originally studied for their expression in the olfactory epithelium, but they are also transiently expressed in postmitotic neurons during embryonic development in overlapping as well as in different territories (Garel et al. 1997; Malgaretti et al. 1997; Wang et al. 1997). Ebf1 and Ebf3, which map on mouse chromosomes 11 and 7, respectively (Garel et al. 1997), are co-expressed at most sites in the mantle layer of the developing neural tube, whereas Ebf2, which maps on mouse chromosome 14, is expressed by postmitotic neural cells located in the subventricular layer of the spinal cord primordium (Garel et al. 1997; Malgaretti et al. 1997). In the developing nervous system, the functions of Ebf1 and Ebf3 appear to be redundant. The Ebf1 null mouse was originally reported to develop normally due to the redundant function of
Ebf3. However, there are defects in the striatum and in the facial motor nucleus, where Ebf1 is the only gene of the COE family to be expressed (Garel et al. 1997; 1999). Moreover, in Ebf1 null animals a subset of thalamic axons does not reach the neocortex, and the projections that do form between thalamic nuclei and cortical domains have a shifted topography in the absence of regionalisation defects in thalamus or cortex (Garel et al. 1999; 2000).

There is evidence to suggest that COE proteins interact with ROAZ that is involved in bone morphogenetic signalling (BMP). ROAZ, acting together with Smad, activates Xvent-2. The impaired ability of ROAZ to stimulate Xvent -2 in response to BMP-2, due to ectopic expression of COE, suggests that COE might be involved in modulation of BMP signaling during development (Hata et al. 2000). So far, target genes of EBFs have not yet been identified, but it has shown recently that IGF-1 (Insuline growth factor-1) may be a target candidate gene of Ebf2 involved in Purkinje cell survival (Croci et al. 2011).

COE proteins are also expressed in the developing cerebellum. Ebf1 and Ebf3 are broadly expressed during embryonic development and postnatally in the Purkinje cells, whereas Ebf2 is expressed in a specific subpopulation of postmitotic Purkinje cells (Corradi et al. 2003; Croci et al. 2006). Furthermore, studies have shown that Ebf3s are transiently expressed in the cerebral cortex; specifically Ebf2 and Ebf3 have been found to be expressed in CR cells during corticogenesis (Yamazaki et al. 2004; Hanashima et al. 2007; Chowdhury et al. 2010). Outside the nervous system, EBFs are involved in the development of B-lymphocytes and in the genetic control of the adipogenic cascade (Sigvardsson et al. 2002; Jimenez et al. 2007) (Table 1.2).
Table 1.2  Expression roles and putative targets of the COE factors
(Dubois et al. 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species/gene name</th>
<th>Expression roles and putative targets</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rodent</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ebf1/Olf-1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNS and PNS: differentiating post-mitotic neurons</td>
<td>Differentiation of striatal neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olfactory neuron precursors and mature neurons</td>
<td>Control of fbm migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subventricular zone of laterl ganglionic eminance</td>
<td>Cerebellum development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Differentiating facial brachiomotor (fbm) neurons</td>
<td>Specification of B-cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pro-B and pre-B lymphocytes</td>
<td>(Ebf1- mouse available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ebf2</strong></td>
<td>PNS, CNS subventricular zone of neural tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progenitors of fbm neurons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bones development and adipogenic cascade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNS and PNS: differentiating post-mitotic neurons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progenitors of fbm neurons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xenopus</strong></td>
<td><strong>xEbf2</strong></td>
<td>Commitment of primary neurons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precursors of primary neurons</td>
<td>(Notch signaling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>xEbf3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Differentiating primary neurons</td>
<td>Differentiation of primary neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Notch signaling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drosophila</strong></td>
<td><strong>Collier</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Embryonic head</td>
<td>Formation of head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progenitor and precursor cells of somatic muscle DA3</td>
<td>Muscle specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central region of the wing disk</td>
<td>Patterning of the wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C.elegans</strong></td>
<td><strong>Unc-3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventral motor neurons</td>
<td>Axonal pathfinding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.6.3 \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} mice

\textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} mice were generated by target mutation within the insertion of a promoterless LacZ cDNA that replaced the translation initiation site and the first five exons of the gene. Although \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} mice complete the embryonic development successfully, mutants show defects in different territories (Corradi \textit{et al.} 2003). Firstly, in the CNS, the migration of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neurons from the vomeronasal organ to the hypothalamus is defective, although \textit{Ebf1} is co-expressed with \textit{Ebf2}. However, \textit{Ebf2} is not required for the birth of these neurons. As a result, the formation of the neuroendocrine axis is impaired and homozygotes adult mice show hypogonadotropic hypogonadism characterised by testis hypoplasia in the absence of Leydig cell hyperplasia and sterility (Corradi \textit{et al.} 2003). Moreover, \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} mice are characterised by disruption of the cerebellar corticogenesis. In fact, the cerebellum is dramatically reduced in size with foliation defects, especially in the anterior vermis. \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} mice show a typical ataxic gait closely associated with the abnormalities of the cerebellar development. During embryogenesis, \textit{Ebf2} is strongly expressed in a postmitotic subpopulation of Purkinje cells, in domains that are also positive for \textit{Ebf1} and \textit{Ebf3}. The fact that the cerebellar cortex is malformed in the \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} suggests that EBF2, despite the tight structural similarity to EBF1 and EBF3, may actually exert distinct functions in Purkinje cell development. The \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} mutation does not affect cell proliferation or cell cycle exit, and the development of Bergmann glia cells appears normal. Conversely, the mutant cerebellum displays a clear defect in the migration of a subset of Purkinje cells. At birth, numerous delayed-migrating Purkinje cells accumulate in the proximity of the ventricular zone and subsequently die by apoptosis. Furthermore, \textit{Ebf2} expression in the wild-type cerebellum is restricted to ZebrinII (ZII)-negative Purkinje cells, and in the \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} cerebellum ZII-negative Purkinje cell stripes are selectively disrupted leading to strong changes in the cerebellar topography (Croci \textit{et al.} 2006). In addition to defects in the CNS, \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} mice feature glial and axonal defects in the sciatic nerve. These include incomplete axon sorting, which results in defective axonal fasciculation. In parallel, mutant nerves feature signs of segmental dysmyelination and hypomyelination (Corradi \textit{et al.} 2003). \textit{Ebf2}^{-/-} animals are reported to develop
normally, suggesting that $Ebf2$ function could be gene dosage dependent (Croci et al. 2006).

Finally, outside the nervous system, EBF2 is expressed in osteoblast progenitors as a regulator of osteoclastogenesis and bone homeostasis through the activation of osteoprotegerin ($OPG$). $Ebf2^{-/-}$ mice show reduced bone mass and an increase in the number of osteoclasts due to a downregulation of the expression of $OPG$ (Kieslinger et al. 2005) (Figure 1.8).
Figure 1.8  β-Gal expression in Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup> mice

A- C: Ebf2 expression in three mouse embryos at different developmental stages. Ebf2 is strongly expressed in the telencephalon at E11.5, but its expression is subsequently downregulated in this region at E13.5 (black arrows) (Croci L., G. G. Consalez, unpublished).
1.7 AIMS

The regulated expression of specific genes is tightly associated with the correct development of different organs (e.g. brain) into the complex structure that characterise adult animals. Mutations that disrupt these mechanisms may lead to dramatic defects. In this work, I will analyse the role of a novel group of genes, called *Ebf*s, in telencephalic specification. So far, it is known that EBF factors play a pivotal role in various aspects of neuronal development. In particular, it has been found that *Ebf2* is involved in neuronal fate determination and migration. Absence of this factor leads to striking defects in mice, especially in the correct development of the cerebellar cortex. Studies on *Ebf2* have been focused on its characterisation in the midbrain and hindbrain, but the role *Ebf2* expression during forebrain development still needs to be clarified. In particular, recent studies have suggested a correlation between CR cells and *Ebf2* expression, but it is still unkown whether lack of expression of this gene could lead to severe defects in this specific cell population. Moreover, due to the high sequence similarity between the COE members, I will assess whether EBF2 function in the cerebral cortex could be influenced by other EBF proteins.
CHAPTER 2
MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 MATERIALS

2.1.1 Buffers

All solutions were prepared with reagents from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd (Dorset, England) unless otherwise stated.

Artificial Cerebrospinal Fluid (ACSF)

25 mM KCl, 2 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 25 mM HEPES, 37 mM D-Glucose, 10 mM MgSO$_4$, 175 mM Sucrose, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$ in double-distilled (dd)H$_2$O. Adjust pH to 7.4 with NaOH. Add penicillin/streptomycin (5000 units of Penicillin/5000 µg Streptomycin, Gibco-Invitrogen Ltd, Paisley, UK) and filter with 0.2 µm membrane (Millipore, Watford, UK)

In Situ Hybridisation Buffer

50% Formamide (Roche), 5X SSC, 1% SDS, 500 µg/ml tRNA (Roche-Applied Science, Newhaven, UK), 200 µg acetylatedBSA, 50 µg/ml Heparin

NTMT

100 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.5, 50 mM MgCl$_2$, 1% Tween20, 2 mM Levamisole in ddH$_2$O
1X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)
   2 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 8 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 2.5 mM KCl, 140 mM NaCl, add ddH$_2$O and adjust pH to 7.2-7.3. For PBST 0.2% of TritonX-100 was added
4% Paraformaldehyde (PFA)
   4 % PFA, 1X PBS to volume, adjust to pH 7.4 by adding 10 M NaOH

Proteinase K (PK) Buffer for genotyping
   50 mM Tris-HCl pH8, 100 mM EDTA pH8, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5% SDS(20%) in ddH$_2$O

Permeabilisation (P) Solution
   10 g Sucrose, 1 ml 5 M NaCl, 300 µl 1 M MgCl$_2$, 2 ml 1 M Hepes pH 7.4, 2.5 ml 20% TritonX100

10X Running Buffer
   250 mM Tris Base, 1.9 M Glycine, 10% Methanol, 1% SDS

Ripa Buffer
   150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% DOC (deoxycholic acid), 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, ddH$_2$O to volume

20X Saline Sodium Citrate Buffer (SSC)
   175.3 g di NaCl and 88.2 g di NaCitrate add ddH$_2$O to volume of 1 L

TE STOP Buffer
   10 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 10 mM EDTA pH 8.0 and ddH$_2$O to volume

10X Transfer Buffer
   250 mM Tris Base, 1.9 M Glycine, 10% Methanol

1X Tris Acetate Buffer (TAE)
   0.4 M Tris pH8, 0.2 M Sodium Acetate, 20 mM EDTA pH8 in ddH$_2$O
10X Tris Buffered Saline (TBST)

100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Tween-20 in ddH₂O. Working solution was diluted to 1X in ddH₂O

2.1.2 Cell Culture Buffers

Cell Line Culture (CLC) Medium

Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Gibco), 2 mM L-Glutamine (Gibco), 2500 units Penicillin/2500 μg Streptomycin (Gibco)

Slice Medium

DMEM-F12, 5% FBS (Gibco), 1X N2 Supplement (Gibco), 100 μM L-Glutamine (Gibco), 2.4 g/L D-Glucose, 2500 units Penicillin/2500 μg Streptomycin (Gibco)

2.1.3 Animals

Animals were maintained by UCL Biological Services. Experiments were performed in accordance to the personal licence and to Animal Act 1986 (UK).

Mice

The mouse lines Ebf2 \(^{+/−}\), Gt(ROSA)26Sor\(^{tm1(EYFP)Cas}\) \(^{(R26R YFP)}\); Jackson Laboratories, first described in Srinivas et al., 2001) and Ebf2\(^{GFP;Cre}\) were kindly provided by Dr. G. G. Consalez (San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy). Experiments on Ebf2\(^{+/−}\) mice were carried out on F1 hybrids obtained by crossing Ebf2\(^{+/−}\) pure-bred FVB/N (N9) females with Ebf2\(^{+/−}\) pure-bred C57BL/6J males. This hybrid strain was chosen to obviate the low fertility and poor maternal behavior of C57BL/6J heterozygous mothers, as described before (Croci et al. 2006). All studies were conducted using wild-type control littersmates. CD1 pure-bred mice were used for in utero electroporation experiments.
## 2.2 TABLES OF MATERIALS

### Table 2.1 Primers for genotyping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOUSE LINE</th>
<th>FORWARD (5’→3’)</th>
<th>REVERSE (5’→3’)</th>
<th>FRAGMENT SIZE (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ebf2&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>GAGGCGGCAGATCTGAAG</td>
<td>Wt-CCAATGCTGCCAGCAATG Mutant-CATTCAGGCTGCGCAACTGTT</td>
<td>Wt-250 Mutant-600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebf2&lt;sup&gt;GFPiCre&lt;/sup&gt; R26R&lt;sup&gt;RFP&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATGGTGCCAAAGATGACTCT</td>
<td>CCTCGAGCAGTCCTCAACC</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTTTCAAGGTTTTTGCTCCTCAACC</td>
<td>GGAGCGGGAGAAATGGATATG</td>
<td>Wt-600 Mutant-250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2.2 Primers for RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENE</th>
<th>PRIMER SEQUENCE (5’→3’)</th>
<th>FRAGMENT SIZE (bp)</th>
<th>SPECIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mEbf1-F</td>
<td>AGGTTGGATTCTGCTACGAAAGTT</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mEbf1-R</td>
<td>TCAGGCCCTTTTAAGAGGAATCA</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mEbf2-F</td>
<td>TGGAGAATGACAAAAGGAAGCAG</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mEbf2-R</td>
<td>TTTGAAACACGGGAAGCAA</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mEbf3-F</td>
<td>TCGTAATATGACACCGTTTGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mEbf3-R</td>
<td>CCTCGAGACATTCTTTTCTGTACTCAT</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>mouse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2.3 Plasmids for cell transfection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>5’ TAG</th>
<th>PLASMID</th>
<th>SUPPLIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ebf1-flag</td>
<td>FLAG</td>
<td>pcDNA 3.1</td>
<td>G. G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebf2-flag</td>
<td>FLAG</td>
<td>pcDNA 3.1</td>
<td>G. G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebf3-flag</td>
<td>FLAG</td>
<td>pcDNA 3.1</td>
<td>G. G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shEbf1</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>pcDNA 6.2</td>
<td>G. G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shEbf2</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>pcDNA 6.2</td>
<td>G. G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shEbf3</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>pcDNA 6.2</td>
<td>G. G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shEbf1-2-3</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>pcDNA 6.2</td>
<td>G. G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shMock</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>pcDNA 6.2</td>
<td>G. G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2.4 In situ probes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENZYME for linearization</th>
<th>POLYMERASE</th>
<th>SPECIES</th>
<th>SUPPLIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dhx1</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>T7</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>T. Shimogori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebf1</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>G.G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebf2</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>G.G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebf3</td>
<td>SalI</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>G.G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoxG1</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
<td>T7</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>A. Mallamaci</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>T. Shimogori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reelin</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>T. Shimogori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt3a</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>T7</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>T. Shimogori</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.5  Primary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANTIGEN</th>
<th>HOST</th>
<th>CLONE</th>
<th>DILUTION</th>
<th>SUPPLIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ctip2</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/1000&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/3000&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>chicken</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/500&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Aves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pan-Ebf</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/2000&lt;sup&gt;WB&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gift from G. Consalez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reelin</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>Monoclonal</td>
<td>1/3000&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gift from F. Goffinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurr1</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/100&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gift from Z. Molnar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p73</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/100&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cux1</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/100&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-tubulin</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>Monoclonal</td>
<td>1/5000&lt;sup&gt;WB&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paravalbumin</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>Monoclonal</td>
<td>1/500&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-FLAG</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>Monoclonal</td>
<td>1/100&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calbindin</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/5000&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Swant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calretinin</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1/2000&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Swant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abcam, Cambridge, UK; Aves Labs, Oregon, USA; RnD Systems, Abingdon, UK; Santa Cruz, Heidelberg, Germany; Swant, Bellinzona, Switzerland
Table 2.6  Secondary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANTIBODY</th>
<th>HOST</th>
<th>CONJUGATED WITH</th>
<th>DILUTION</th>
<th>SUPPLIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>1/200</td>
<td>Vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>1/200</td>
<td>Vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rat IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>1/200</td>
<td>Vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-goat IgG</td>
<td>donkey</td>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>1/200</td>
<td>Vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-chicken IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>FITC</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>FITC</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>FITC</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rat IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>FITC</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rat IgG</td>
<td>donkey</td>
<td>TRITC</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>TRITC</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>TRITC</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>1/5000</td>
<td>Amersham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>1/5000</td>
<td>Amersham</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Vector, Peterborough, UK; Amersham, GE Healthcare, Netherlands
2.3 METHODS

2.3.1 Extraction of nucleic acids from tissues/cells

DNA extraction from tissues

DNA was extracted from mouse tissues (ear notches for adults and yolk sacs for embryos) and analyzed by PCR reaction.

Tissues were incubated overnight (ON) in PK Buffer plus Proteinase K (1mg/ml, Sigma) at 55°C. Genomic DNA was extracted with phenol-chloroform, precipitated with 100% iso-propanol and eluted in ddH₂O.

DNA was analyzed by Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using different primers to discriminate wild-type, heterozygous and knock-out/transgenic animals (See Table 2.2.1).

RNA extraction from cells

RNA was extracted from cells using a commercially available kit from Qiagen (West Sussex, UK). RNA was eluted in ddH₂O. Genomic DNA contamination was eliminated by treating with DNaseI (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was retro-transcribed into DNA prior to analysis according to the protocol shown below. Specific primers were used for the analysis of extracted RNA (See Table 2.2.2).

2.3.2 Analysis of DNA/RNA samples

Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

First strand DNA was synthetised from RNA using the kit SuperscriptII RT (Invitrogen). Briefly, 500 ng of RNA were mixed with Oligo dT, dNTPs and ddH₂O up to 12 µl following the manufacturer’s instructions. The mix was incubated at 65°C for 5 minutes (min) and chilled on ice. First Strand Buffer, DTT and RNAasin were added to the mix and incubated at 42°C for 2 min. Subsequently, 200 units of
Superscript II Polymerase were added and the samples incubated at 42°C for 1 hour (h). The reaction was stopped by heating the sample at 75°C for 15 min.

Each reaction was performed in a total volume of 20 µl. Products were amplified by using a non-proof reading Taq Polymerase (Promega, Dublin, IR). The mixture was composed of 50 ng of DNA, 1X Taq Buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.5 µM Forward and Reverse primers, 1.25 units of Taq and ddH2O to final volume. The general PCR conditions used were the following:

INITIAL DENATURATION: 95°C, 2 min;
DENATURATION: 95°C, 30 seconds (sec);
ANNEALING: temperature was set according to the composition of the primers (Tm=2x (nA+nT) +4x (nG+nC)) and duration was usually between 30 sec and 1 min.
EXTENSION: temperature was set at 72°C and duration was set according to the size of the fragment to be amplified and the processing capacity of Taq (1 kb for 1 min).
FINAL EXTENSION: 72°C, 5 min.

PCR products were analyzed on 1 or 2% agarose gel electrophoresis as described below.

Agarose gel electrophoresis

1%-2% agarose gel was used to resolve DNA samples according to the size of amplified product. Agarose powder was melted in 1X TAE by heating the mix with a microwave and 0.2 µg/ml Ethidium Bromide was added. The solution was poured in a gel tray with a comb and left to set at RT for 30 min. Samples were mixed with Loading Buffer (Promega) and the gel was run in the appropriate apparatus (Bio-Rad, Hertfordshire, UK). For fragment size identification a ladder (Promega) was run together with the PCR samples.

2.3.3 Cell cultures

COS7 cells

COS7 cells were obtained from African green monkey kidney and transformed with SV40. These cells were cultured in CLC medium at 37°C in 5% CO2 in a humified incubator. They were passaged by trypsinisation in 0.25% trypsin/1 mM
EDTA (Gibco) until cells were detached from the plate. Activity of trypsin was stopped by adding NCC medium. COS7 cells were transfected with Ebfs-flag plasmids and/or shEbfs (see Table 2.2.3) with Lipofectamine2000 according the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). Three days after transfection, cells were either fixed with 4% PFA for immunohistochemistry or collected for RNA and/or proteins extraction. The transfection efficiency was calculated 48 h post-transfection by counting the number of flag/GFP-positive cells in 10 random fields imaged with a 20 X objective.

GN11 cells

The GN11 cell line was obtained by genetically targeted tumorigenesis of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neurons in mice (Radovick et al., 1991). This cell line was a kind gift of A. Cariboni and R. Maggi (University of Milan, Italy). GN11 cells show high migratory behavior in vitro and are, therefore, a good model for studying molecular mechanisms of neuronal migration (Maggi et al. 2000). Cells were cultured, propagated and treated as described for COS7 cells. GN11 cells were transfected with Ebfs-flag and shEbfs (Table 2.2.3) using Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) and used to perform in vitro migratory assays, RNA/proteins extraction or fixed with 4% PFA for immunohistochemistry. The transfection efficiency was calculated 48 h post-transfection by counting the number of flag/GFP-positive cells in 10 random fields imaged with a 20 X objective.

2.3.4 In vitro migration assays

Chemomigration assay of GN11 neurons (Boyden chamber)

Chemotaxis is a migratory response of cells to chemotropic gradients that can be studied with Boyden’s chamber (Boyden, 1962; Neuroprobe). The Boyden chamber contains two compartments, the upper cell chamber and the lower chemotropic chamber separated by PVP-free polycarbonate filter through which cells migrate. Filters have different pore sizes depending on cell type. For GN11 cells, porous filter are treated with 0.5 M acetic acid, rinsed in 1X PBS and coated with gelatin.

Transfected GN11 were trypsinised and resuspended in normal medium without FBS, as this was used as chemoattractant at a concentration of 1%. The same number
of cells was loaded in each well, and different conditions were tested (GN11 towards DMEM or towards DMEM+FBS). A gelatine coated membrane of pore size 8 µm was placed between the two compartments. GN11 cells were incubated for 3 h at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humified incubator to allow migration. Subsequently, the migrated cells were fixed in methanol for 2 min, whereas the non migrated cells that had not migrated were attached to the upper surface of the membrane, and were scraped. Migrated cells were then stained with Tiazin and Eosin for 2 min each, rinsed in ddH₂O and mounted onto a glass slide. The total cell number in 1 mm² of three different fields for each well was counted. Results were expressed as a mean number of migrated cells per well+/- the standard error of the mean (SEM) and significance was calculated by the Student’s t-test (Microsoft Excell 2007).

2.3.5 Extraction and analysis of proteins from cells or tissues

Protein isolation from cells

Cells were lysed in RIPA BUFFER containing protease (PMSF 1 mM, aprotinin 2 µg/ml, leupeptin 5-10 µg/ml, pepstatin 1 µg/ml) and phosphatase inhibitors, and left on ice for 20 min. Adherent cells were scraped off the dish using a cold plastic cell scraper and then gently transferred into a pre-cooled tube.

Cell suspension was centrifuge microcentrifuged using a at 4°C at 12,000 rpm for 20 min and the supernatant collected. Protein concentration was determined using Bio-Rad Protein assay based on the method of Bradford. It utilizes the dye comassie blue g-250 wich is red of a pH below 1, but turns blue when binding to a protein causing a shift in the pKa of the bound dye. 1 µl of protein lysate was added to 800 µl of ddH₂O and 200 µl of Bio-Rad reagent. The absorbance (OD) reading of these samples was recorded at the wavelength of 595 nm. These values were then compared to a curve drawn from a standard curve (BSA curve), and 30 µg of protein was usually used for Western blot analysis.

Protein sample preparation

Laemmli sample (Bio-Rad) buffer added to β-mercaptoethanol is especially formulated for protein sample preparation. 2X Laemmli buffer was added to each protein sample at a ratio of 1:1 and heated on a heating block for 1-5 min.
Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

Gels were prepared in a vertical gel electrophoresis separation system (Bio-Rad). Resolving gel was prepared according to protein size (EBFs proteins are about 65 kDa) containing 10% Bis/Acrylamide, 1.5 M Tris-HCl pH8.8, SDS (pH 7.2), ddH2O, ammonium persulfate (APS) and TEMED. The stacking gel contained 5% acrylamide in Tris pH6.8, SDS, APS and TEMED. Proteins were loaded into the gel and resolved at a constant voltage (100 V) in 1X Running Buffer. Rainbow marker (Amersham) was run together with proteins samples (Sambrook, Fristsch and Maniatis, 1989).

Transfer of proteins onto a nitrocellulose membrane

Transfer was done on nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham) which was placed next to the gel. The two are sandwiched between absorbent 3 MM paper and sponges (sponge/paper/gel/membrane/paper/sponge), and the sandwich is clamped between solid supports to maintain tight contact between the gel and membrane after ensuring no air bubbles have formed between the gel and membrane. The sandwich was then submerged in transfer buffer to which an electrical field was applied. The negatively-charged proteins travel towards the positively-charged electrode, but the membrane stops them, binds them, and prevents them from continuing on. The transfer was made ON at 30 V at 4°C.

Visualization of proteins on membranes

The morning after the membrane was washed in 1X PBST and then placed in blocking solution containing 5% non-fat milk (Marvel) in PBST at RT for 1 h. The membrane was then transferred into the blocking solution to which the primary antibody was added and left ON at 4°C in agitation. The morning after, the membrane was washed several times in PBST while agitating, 5 min or more per wash, to remove residual primary antibody and then placed in blocking solution to which the secondary antibody was added at the suggested dilution for 1-2 h at RT with agitation. Enhanced Chemiluminiscence reagent (ECL, Amersham) was used, according to manufacturer’s instructions, to detected bound antibodies. The reaction was detected using GEL-DOC detection machine (Bio-Rad). The camera inside the machine detects the chemiluminescence emanating from the membrane, transforming
the signal into a digital image for rapid analysis with software provided with the detection machine.

2.3.6 Immunohistochemistry

Specimens’ collection and preparation

While collecting brains of different embryonic stages, the day of the plug formation was considered as E0.5. The day of birth was considered as P0. Pregnant animals were culled by cervical dislocation. Embryos and P0 animals were anesthetized by hypothermia prior to decapitation. Adult animals were anaesthetized with isoflurane and subsequently perfused through the heart with PFA. Embryos and adult brains were dissected in cold 1X PBS and placed in PFA for 30 min up to 4 h at 4°C depending on age.

Coronal sections were obtained by cutting either with cryostatat (20 µm, embryonic tissues) or freezing microtome (40 µm, embryonic tissue for \textit{in situ} hybridization or adult tissues). For cryostat sections, brains were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in 1X PBS and frozen in OCT with isopentane prior to cutting. For freezing microtome sections, adult brains were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose and frozen directly on dry ice dust. Cryo-sections were collected onto superfrost plus glass slide (VWR, Leicestershire, UK), whereas freezing microtome sections were left free-floating and mounted at later stage.

Immunoperoxidase

Firstly, sections were treated with 0.3% H₂O₂ in 1X PBST for 20 min at RT followed by 3 washes in 1X PBST. They were subsequently, incubated in P-solution for 10 min at RT and in Retrieval solution (BD Pharmagen, Erembodegem, Belgium) at 70°C for 15 min and left to cool down at RT for 10 min. Sections were then rinsed in 1X PBST and incubated in DAKO blocking solution for 1 h (DAKO, Cambridgeshire, UK), and then ON at 4°C with the primary antibody (See Table 2.2.5) diluted in DAKO solution. The following day, sections were washed in 1X PBST and incubated with secondary antibodies (See Table 2.2.6) at RT for 1h. They were then treated with the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC-HRP kit, dilution 1/100, Vector) at RT for 2 h. The activity of the peroxidase was revealed using DAB (0.005% 3,3’-diaminobenzidinetetrachloride in H₂O, Sigma) as chromogen and
0.005% H₂O₂ as substrate. The reaction was stopped with 1X PBS, and sections dehydrated through ascending ethanol concentrations (70, 80, 95, and 100% for 1 min each) and mounted in DPX (VWR).

**Immunofluorescence**

Sections were incubated in P-solution at RT for 10 min and in Retrival solution (BD Pharmagen) at 70°C for 15 min and then, left to cool down at RT for 10 min. They were subsequently rinsed in 1X PBST and incubated in DAKO blocking solution for 1 h (DAKO). Sections were incubated ON at 4°C with the primary antibody (See table 2.2.5) diluted in DAKO solution. Different primary antibodies raised in different hosts were used for double labeling. The following day, sections were washed in 1X PBST and incubated with fluorescent conjugated secondary antibodies at RT for 1 h (See Table 2.2.6). The secondary antibody was washed out with several washes with 1X PBS and tissues were treated with DAPI (4’, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, 2.5 µg/ml, Sigma) for 5 min. Sections were mounted in Mowiol (Sigma).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 10 min and rinsed in 1X PBST. Immunoreaction was performed as described for tissue sections, except that coverslips were placed culture side up on strips of parafilm mounted on glass slides.

## 2.3.7 Nissl Staining

In order to visualize cortical layers, sections were stained with 0.025% thionin solution, dehydrated through ascending ethanol concentrations (70, 80, 95, and 100% for 1 min each) and mounted in DPX (VWR).
2.3.8 RNA expression analysis in organic specimens

Non-radioactive in situ hybridization with labelled probes

Brains were placed in 4% PFA/30% Sucrose for up to one week, and cut with a freezing microtome at 40 µm. Embryonic brains were embedded in gelatin solution prior freezing (20% Sucrose, 10% Porcine Gelatine in 1X PBS).

Preparation of digoxigenin/fluorescin-labelled probes

20 µg of plasmid DNA was linearised with the correct restriction enzyme, extracted twice with equal volumes of phenol-chloroform, precipitated with 2.5 volumes of ethanol and 1/25 of 2 M NaCl, washed with 70% ethanol, resuspended in 20 µl DEPC-ddH2O.

In vitro transcription reactions were composed by: 1 µg linear template DNA, 1X transcription buffer (Promega), 100 µM DTT; 1X digoxigenin (DIG) or Fluorescin (F) RNA labelling mix (10 mM each of ATP,CTP,GTP, UTP, DIG-UTP) (Roche), 20 units RNasin (Promega), 20 units of the appropriate RNA polymerase in 20 µl of DEPC-ddH2O. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 2 h. The RNA was precipitated with 2.5 volumes of ethanol and 1/25 of 2 M NaCl, washed with 70% ethanol, resuspended in 100 µl of DEPC-ddH2O and stored at -80°C.

Different probes were tested (See Table 2.2.4)

First day: Hybridization

Sections were placed in plastic slide mailers (Sigma) and fixed for 20 min in 4%PFA, washed in 1X PBS 3 times, and placed in buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8 and 50 mM EDTA pH8 added with proteinase K (PK, Roche) at 0.5 µg/ml for 20 min. The reaction was stopped by fixing the sections in 4% PFA for 15 min. In the meantime, 70 µl of probe was added in 15 ml of Hybridization solution. For two-colours in situ, a DIG-labelled probe and F-labelled probes were added. The slides were either placed in hybridization solution without probes and frozen for up to six months at -20°C or added in the mailer with the probes and incubated at 70°C ON in a water bath.
Second day: post-hybridization washes and antibody staining

After overnight hybridization, slides were washed in a solution containing 50% formamide, 2X SSC and 1% SDS at 70°C for 45 min, 3 times.

The slides were incubated in 1X TBST blocking solution containing 2% blocking reagent (Boehringer) and 10% heat-inactivated sheep serum at RT for 1 h. The blocking solution was then replaced with anti-digoxigenin AP-conjugated antibody (Fab fragments; Roche) diluted 1:5000 in blocking solution, and the incubation continued overnight at 4°C (or 2 h at RT).

Third day: Post-antibody washes and colour reaction

The slides were washed 3 x 5 min in 1X TBST; then 2 x 10 min in NTMT buffer. Subsequently, 0.2 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate (BCIP, Roche), 0.2 mM nitroblue tetrazolium salt (NBT, Roche) were added in NTMT buffer in order to stain the sections. Sections were incubated in the dark at 37°C until the signal reached a satisfactory intensity (usually a few hours to overnight). The slides were then washed in 1X TBST to clear the background and the reaction stopped in TE STOP buffer and mounted with Glicergel Cytomatic (DAKO).

Second colour reaction

For the second colour reaction with fluorescein, slides were incubated in solution containing 50% Formamide, 2X SSC and 1% SDS at 70°C for 15 min in order to remove the first antibody for 2 times. The slides were incubated in 1X TBST blocking solution containing 2% blocking reagent (Roche) and 10% heat-inactivated sheep serum for 1 h at RT. The blocking solution was then replaced with anti-fluorescein AP-conjugated antibody (Fab fragments; Roche) diluted 1:5000 in blocking solution, and the incubation continued overnight at 4°C (or 2 h at RT). The slides were washed 3 x 5 min in 1X TBST; then 2 x 10 min in NTMT buffer. Subsequently, 0.2 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate (BCIP, Roche), 0.2 mM trinitroblue tetrazolium salt (TNT, 50 mg/ml in 85% Dimethylformamide, Sigma) were added in NTMT buffer in order to stain the sections. Sections were incubated in the dark at 37°C until the signal reached a satisfactory intensity (usually a few hours to overnight). The reaction was stopped in TE STOP buffer and slides mounted with Glicergel Cytomatic (DAKO).
2.3.9 Electroporation experiments

In vivo in utero electroporation in mouse embryonic brain

The in utero electroporation is a technique that allows a quick and direct approach to studying the function of genes, rather than a generation of a transgenic or knock-out line. This technique involves an electrical mediated transfer of DNA into a specific tissue. The electricity causes the formation of pores in cell membranes allowing the uptake of large molecules such as DNA (Fukuchi-Shimogori et al. 2001; Shimogori et al. 2008).

Time-pregnant mice (CD1 background) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 µg per gram bodyweight; intraperitoneally; Sigma) and the abdomen was shaved. After an abdominal incision, the uterine horns were exposed on PBS-moistened cotton gauze. The embryos were visualized placing a flexible fibre cable (Leica, Japan) under the uterus, without the use of a microscope. The uterus was positioned between the light source and the thumb and squeezed gently in order to push up the embryos close to the uterine wall. DNA (1 µg) (See Table 2.2.3 for list of plasmids used) mixed with fast green (Sigma) was then injected in one of the two telencephalic ventricles via a glass capillary with the use of a micromanipulator (KD Scientific, Japan). The target region was placed in between of two electrodes made of tungsten, negatively charged, and platinum, positively charged. A three square wave current pulse (7-10 V, 100 ms) using a pulse generator (A-M Systems 2100, Japan) was then passed three times at interval of 1 sec. When all the embryos were electroporated, the uterine horns were placed back into the abdomen and the surgical incision was sutured. Mice were kept in a warm place until they were fully recovered.
2.4 DATA ANALYSIS

2.4.1 Image analysis

Bright field pictures of specimens were taken using a LEICA DM500 microscope. Fluorescence pictures were taken using either the same microscope or confocal microscope LEICA SPE-I. Different magnifications were used. Scale bars were adjusted every time according to magnification.

Pictures were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS3 program.

2.4.2 Data Collection and Statistical analysis

Cortical thickness, white matter thickness and thalamic area were measured using ImageJ software. The same scale bar was set up prior to every measurement.

Cell counts were performed using the Methamorph software. Only cells showing visible neurites or nuclei were considered for cytoplasmic staining and nuclear staining, respectively. Quantification of cell numbers was carried out at medio-caudal levels. In embryonic stages, at E13.5, all the cells in the pallial area present in the section were counted, or as otherwise stated, and; at E16.5, the number of cells was counted in boxes that were placed over pallial regions matching control and mutant sections. All measurements realised in these boxes were normalised in number of cells per 250 µm (measured at the pial surface). In postnatal tissue, the number of cells was counted in boxes in regions matching control and mutant sections. All measurements realised in these boxes were normalised in number of cells per 500 µm (measured at the pial surface) and radial column.

For all experiments, we calculated the mean of at least three independent samples. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM); error bars represent the standard error of the mean. To determine the statistical significance, we used a paired t test; p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant and indicated with an
asterisk; a p-value of < 0.01 was indicated with two asterisks and a p-value of < 0.001 with three asterisks. Statistical analysis was performed using Excel 2008 (Microsoft).
CHAPTER 3

EBF2 IS EXPRESSED IN THE DEVELOPING CEREBRAL CORTEX, BUT LOSS OF FUNCTION DOES NOT LEAD TO MAJOR CORTICAL DEFECTS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

As discussed in Chapter 1, Ebf2/− mice show multiple defects, especially in the cerebellum, suggesting that this gene may play important roles in brain development (Corradi et al. 2003; Croci et al. 2006). So far, Ebf2 expression and the effects of its loss of function on the development of the cerebral cortex have not been extensively studied. Garel and colleagues (1997) reported that Ebf2 is transiently expressed in post-mitotic neurons of the developing mouse forebrain, although it has always been difficult to establish the neuronal cell types that depend on its expression for their development. In this chapter, I have analysed in detail the expression of Ebf2 with two different approaches. Firstly, by in situ hybridisation at different developmental stages in wild type (wt) mice of different developmental ages; secondly, to overcome the early downregulation of the gene expression, Ebf2+ cell fate was followed using a genetic approach. Specifically, Ebf2+ cells were permanently labelled using a transgenic mouse line, which expressed the improved Cre recombinase gene (iCre; Shimshek et al. 2002) under the control of the Ebf2 promoter (Gong et al. 2003; Heintz, 2004). Finally, Ebf2 loss of function defects were analysed using an Ebf2+/− mouse line kindly provided by Dr. Giacomo G. Consalez (San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan). These studies were focused on the analysis of the anatomical and cellular integrity of the embryonic and adult forebrain in Ebf2+/− mice.
3.2 CHARACTERISATION OF Ebf2-EXPRESSING CELLS IN THE DEVELOPING FOREBRAIN

3.2.1 Ebf2 mRNA expression in the developing mouse forebrain

To identify the pattern of expression of Ebfn during forebrain development, brains of mice of different embryonic stages, ranging from E10.5 to adult, were analysed using in situ hybridization. Ebfn was expressed in different areas of the developing forebrain. In the telencephalon, it was expressed in post-mitotic regions of the pallium, namely septum, CH, hippocampus, neocortex (PPL/MZ) and piriform cortex (PCx). Expression was not detected in the pallial proliferative zone or in any of the subpallial domains. In the diencephalon, it was expressed in the VZ of the epithalamus, hypothalamus, thalamic eminences (TE) and diencephalic-telencephalic boundary (DTB). Expression was first detected around E10.5 in the CH, hippocampus and neocortical PPL (Figure 3.1, A). At E11.5 (Figure 3.1, B) and E12.5 (Figure 3.1, C), its expression was extended to PCx. At E13.5, Ebfn showed maximum expression and it was found rostrally, in the cortical PPL and septum (Figure 3.2, A), while medially and caudally, it was detected in the dorsal PPL, in the lateral MZ and SP (as PPL splits due to the arrival of CP; Figure 3.2, B and C; Figure 3.3, B), hippocampus, CH, PCx, epithalamus, DTB and TE (Figure 3.1, D; Figure 3.2, B and C). The expression in the telencephalon did not show a rostro-caudal gradient (Figure 3.2, A-C).

Cajal-Retzius cells, located in the cortical PPL/MZ, are believed to originate in the CH, septum and pallial-subpallial boundary (PSPB) (Bielle et al., 2005). The expression of Reelin mRNA, which is a specific marker for this cell population, matched the expression of Ebfn in the cortical PPL, the CH and the septum (Figure 3.4, A-E). Interestingly, Ebfn expression did not coincide with Reelin mRNA expression in the PCx (Figure 3.4, F). The hypothesis that the Ebfn transcription factor plays a role in the development of CR cells and, possibly, in the regulation of the Reelin pathway was extensively analysed in the Ebfn-/- mouse (see Chapter 3.3). Between E14.5 and E15.5, a general downregulation of the Ebfn gene occurred in the PPL/MZ, hippocampus and CH (Garel et al., 1996) (Figure 3.3, C), whereas the
expression in the PCx, TE and DTB persisted (Figure 3.1, E and F). At P0, Ebf2 expression was detected only in subcortical nuclei identified as zona incerta and bed nucleus of stria terminalis, probably derivatives of DTB and TE (Figure 3.1, G).

### 3.2.2 Ebf2GFPiCre line: a genetic approach to map Ebf2-derived cells

In order to overcome the difficulty of tracking the lineage of Ebf2+ cells due to early downregulation of the gene in the telencephalon, Ebf2GFPiCre transgenic females were crossed with reporter animals expressing the yellow fluorescent protein under the control of the ubiquitous promoter Rosa 26 (R26R) to permanently label Ebf2+ cells (Figure 3.6). The Ebf2GFPiCre transgenic line was generated in the laboratory of Dr. Giacomo G. Consalez by using the Bacterial Artificial Chromosome Recombinogenic Engineering (BAC Recombineering; Copeland et al. 2001; Lee et al. 2001; Testa et al. 2003), based on the homologous recombination in specific E. coli strains (Figure 3.5, A). In order to produce a classic transgenic mouse by this approach, the integration of a green fluorescent protein fused with an improved Cre recombinase protein (GFPiCre) construct (Badaloni A, unpublished) was targeted into the first coding exon of the Ebf2 locus in the BAC RP24-283N8 (Figure 3.5, A) (Gong et al. 2003; Heintz 2004; Chiara F. and A. Badaloni, unpublished). This BAC contains the Ebf2 promoter, the beginning of the Ebf2 coding sequence located in exon 2 and the first seven exons of the gene (for BAC specifications: Gene Expression Nervous System Atlas, GENSAT, www.gensat.org). The transgenic line obtained, coupled with a Cre-inducible reporter transgene, permits the execution of fate mapping experiments, allowing GFPiCre-expressing cells and their progeny to be indelibly labelled in vivo, even long after the Ebf2 gene is developmentally downregulated (Figure 3.6, A-C). Preliminary characterisation of the transgene expression, particularly focused on the cerebellum, compared to the endogenous gene expression, has demonstrated that BAC RP24-283N8 contains most of the regulatory sequences necessary for correct transgene expression in the brain (Chiara F., A. Badaloni and G. G. Consalez, unpublished).

The expression of the GFPiCre transgene was also analysed by in situ hybridisation in the telencephalon and compared with the expression of the endogenous Ebf2 (Figure 3.5 B-E). At E13.5, GFPiCre expression matched the expression of Ebf2 in the CH, septum, hippocampus, cortical PPL/MZ, SP and PCx
(Figure 3.5, B compared to C). At E15.5, dowregulation of transgene signal occurred in the expected territories such as CH, septum, hippocampus and MZ, but persisted in the PCx (Figure 3.5, D compared to E). The expression of GFPiCre gene in the MZ and PCx was less intense compared to that of the endogenous gene in the same areas. This difference is explained by the use of in situ probes of different length as well as a different mRNA stability of the transgene compared to the mRNA of the endogenous gene (Figure 3.5, B-E). Subsequently, Ebf2GFPiCre/R26RYFP mice were collected at different developmental stages and the expression of YFP was followed from E11.5 to postnatal life. YFP immunohistochemistry at E13.5 and E16.5 revealed that Ebf2 was expressed in pallial structures, such as neocortex, CH, septum, hippocampus and PCx (Figure 3.7, A and B). Moreover, YFP-expressing cells in the neocortex were detected in the PPL/MZ, the CP and in the SP (Figure 3.7, A-E). In the diencephalon, the transgene was expressed in the epithalamus, hypothalamus and DTB (Figure 3.7, B). No expression was detected in the thalamic nuclei or axons arising from this area (Figure 3.7, B). At P7, Ebf2+ cells in the cerebral cortex were positioned in layer I (former PPL/MZ), layer V and in the SP at the bottom of layer VI (Figure 3.7, E).

Next, YFP signal was compared with the expression of different markers for known cortical cell populations. Only medial sections of coronally cut forebrains were considered. At E11.5, YFP was expressed in the PPL and its expression coincided with that of p73 (Figure 3.8, A-D'). As previously described, p73 protein is an early specific marker for CR cells originating from the septum and CH (Meyer et al. 2002). Ebf2, as previously shown by in situ hybridisation, was specifically expressed in CH and septum, thus explain the correlation between p73 and YFP signals (Figure 3.8, D'). Moreover, the expression of YFP matched the expression of Reelin in the PPL (Figure 3.8, E-H; H' shows double positive cells in the circle). However, not all YFP+ cells did express Reelin (Figure 3.8, H'; arrowhead points to YFP+ cells only). Reelin is a marker of all CR cells, however it has been shown that its expression in the CH appears later compared to p73 protein (Meyer et al. 2002; Hanashima et al. 2007). Thus, YFP+/Reelin- cells were most likely derived from the CH, whereas YFP+/Reelin+ cells (Figure 3.8, H'; arrow points to Reelin+ cells only) possibly came from the PSPB, where Ebf2 was not expressed. A third marker, Calr, was also used to label specifically CR cells, although it has been shown that the polyclonal anti-Calr antibody produced by Swant and used in these experiments does
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not recognise a subpopulation of Calr+ CR cells deriving from the septum (Bielle et al. 2005). At E11.5 the majority of cells were Calr+/YFP+ indicating that Ebf2 was expressed in CR cells as confirmed previously with other CR-specific markers (Figure 3.8, I-L; L’ shows double positive cells in the circle). Thus, YFP+/Calr- cells were derived from the septum (Figure 3.8, L’; arrowhead points to green cells only) and YFP+/Calr+ cells from the PSPB (Figure 3.8, L’; arrow points to red cells only). At E13.5, Calr labelled CR cells in the MZ (PPL is split in MZ and SP), but also SP cells (Figure 3.9, A-D) positioned underneath the CP at this stage (Figure 3.9, C; circle shows SP cells). In the MZ, YFP+/Calr- were CR cells derived from CH (Figure 3.9, D; square), and in the SP layer YFP+/Calr+ represented Ebf2 expression in SP cells (Figure 3.9, D; circle). YFP+ cells localised in the CP and not expressing Calr (Figure 3.9, D), were most likely early-born pyramidal cell precursors that will later occupy layer IV and V in the developed neocortex (see below). Moreover, at E13.5 cortical migrating interneurons were also positioned in the MZ (Figure 3.9, G; arrow) and specifically labelled by Calb antibody. Calbindin immunoreactivity was not detected in YFP+ cells, suggesting that Ebf2 was not expressed in migrating interneurons (Figure 3.9, H; rectangle). These results were confirmed also postnatally (Figure 3.10). At P7, caudal sections of the cerebral cortex showed that YFP was expressed in CR cells, labelled with Calr antibody in layer I (Figure 3.10, C and C’). However, postnatally Calr was also expressed in a subpopulation of interneurons, which did not co-express YFP/Ebf2 (Figure 3.10, C and C**; layer IV). Moreover, a small percentage of layer IV cells were also found to be YFP+, but not Calr+, suggesting that at caudal level Ebf2 was also expressed in pyramidal cells (Figure 3.10, A and C). Nuclear receptor related 1 (Nurr1) (Figure 3.10, D-F’), a postnatal marker for SP cells, was also found to be expressed in YFP+ cells at the bottom of layer VI (Figure 3.10, F and F’). Moreover, YFP-expressing cells seen in the lower parts of developing CP at E13.5 and E16.5 (Figure 3.7, A-D; Figure 3.9, D) were positioned in layer V postnatally, representing a small percentage of COUP-TF interacting protein 2 (Ctip2)+ cells (Figure 3.10, G-I’), which is a marker of pyramidal cells in lower cortical layers (V-VI). Thus, Ebf2 is expressed in a subpopulation of layer V neurons (Figure 3.10, I and I’).

In conclusion, during the Ebf2-expressing phase, YFP is found in the septal and hem-derived CR cell subpopulations and SP cells. Moreover, long after the disappearance of Ebf2 expression from the cortex, YFP could still be detected in
these cells postnatally, making the \( \text{Ebf2}^{\text{GFP\text{Cre}}} \) mouse line an excellent tool to follow the fate of these two transient, but very important cortical cell populations.
Figure 3.1  *Ebf2* expression during cortical development

*In situ* hybridisation; coronal sections, 40 μm.

**A-C:** At E10.5, E11.5 and E12.5, *Ebf2* is detected in the PPL, CH and hippocampus of the telencephalon. At E11.5 and E12.5, the gene is found expressed in the PCx.

**D:** At E13.5 *Ebf2* is expressed in the PPL, CH, hippocampus and PCx of the pallium. Moreover, the gene is expressed in diencephalic regions such as the TE and Et.

**E, F:** *Ebf2* is downregulated in pallial regions from E15.5. However, expression persists in the PCx and TE.

**G:** Postnatal expression of *Ebf2* is localised in Zi and BNST.


Scale bars: (A-D) 100 μm, (E-G) 200 μm
Figure 3.2  Rostro-caudal expression of *Ebf2* in the developing cortex at E13.5

*In situ* hybridisation; coronal sections, 40 μm.

**A-C:** Rostral, medial and caudal sections illustrate the equally distributed expression of *Ebf2 mRNA* along the telencephalic rostro-caudal axis. In B’ high magnification of the developing NCx shows the specific signal in the PPL (arrow).


Scale bar: 200 μm (A, B and C), 50 μm (B’).
Figure 3.3  Higher magnification images of Ebf2 expression in the PPL and MZ of the developing cortex

*In situ* hybridisation; coronal sections, 40 µm.

**A, B:** At E12.5 and E13.5, Ebf2 is expressed in the PPL/MZ and CH. In **B**, PPL is split in the most ventral part of the pallium into MZ and SP layers by the forming CP. Ebf2 expression is visible in the SP layer (arrow).

**C:** Ebf2 expression is dowregulated in the MZ by E15.5.


Scale bar: 100 µm
Figure 3.4 Expression pattern of *Reelin mRNA* and *Ebf2* at E13.5

*In situ* hybridisation; coronal sections, 40 µm.

**A, B:** *Reelin mRNA* and *Ebf2* expression match in the PPL and CH.

**C:** Merge of *Reelin mRNA* and *Ebf2* expression. *Reelin mRNA* (brown) and *Ebf2* (purple) signals overlap.

**D, E:** *Reelin mRNA* and *Ebf2* are both expressed in the septum. The area of the septum is identified by the black dots and subdivided in dorsal (d) and ventral (v) domains. *Reelin* is expressed in d and in v, whereas *Ebf2* expression is confined mainly in d.

**F:** Merge of *Reelin mRNA* and *Ebf2* expression in the PCx shows no colocalisation in this area.


Scale bars: (A-C) 100 µm, (D-F) 200 µm
Figure 3.5  Validation of the $Ebf2^{GFPiCre}$ transgenic mouse

*In situ* hybridisation; coronal sections, 40 µm.

**A:** The BAC-RP24 contains part the *Ebf2* locus: the hypothetical promoter and the first two exons. The transgene *GFPiCre* was inserted through bacterial recombination in the second exon as shown in the figure.

**B, C:** E13.5, *Ebf2* and *GFPiCre* are expressed in the same territories.

**D, E:** E15.5, *GFPiCre* expression is downregulated in the pallial region, as is *Ebf2*; which is still expressed in the PCx.


Scale bar: (B-E) 250 µm
Figure 3.6    Validation of the Cre-recombinase activity in $Ebf2^{GFPiCre}$

**A:** The schema shows the loxP recombination driven by the Cre recombinase at the Rosa26 locus. In the $Ebf2^{GFPiCre/R26R-YFP}$, the activation of the Cre recombinase leads to the excision of the stop signal and the permanent expression of the YFP protein in $Ebf2$ expressing cells.

**B, C:** Whole-mount YFP expression in $Ebf2^{GFPiCre/R26R-YFP}$ at E13.5 and E17.5 shows the activity of Cre recombinase in $Ebf2^+$ cells.


Scale bar: (B, C) 50 mm
A  

R26R\textsuperscript{YFP}

\begin{align*}
\text{ROSA26} & \quad \text{STOP} & \quad \text{YFP} \\
\text{loxP} & \quad \text{loxP} & \\
\end{align*}

\text{Ebf2}\textsuperscript{GFPI\textbackslash cre/R26R-YFP}
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\text{ROSA26} & \quad \text{YFP} \\
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\end{align*}

\text{No YFP expression}

\rightarrow

\begin{align*}
\text{Permanent YFP expression in Ebf2 positive cells}
\end{align*}

\begin{center}
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\hline
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\text{MB} & \text{HB} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
Figure 3.7  Fate mapping of Ebf2* cells in Ebf2GFPCre/R26R-YFP

Immunohistochemistry; coronal sections, 20 µm for the embryonic tissue and 40 µm for the adult tissue.

A, B: Expression of YFP at E13.5 and E16.5. The transgene is expressed in the MZ, SP, CH, H, PCx, Sp, Am, H, Et and DTB.
C, D: High magnification images of the pallium at E13.5 and E16.5 reveal that Ebf2 is not only expressed in CR cells, but also in SP cells and neurons in the CP.
E: YFP cells are found in layer I, as well as in layer V and at the bottom of layer VI (SP cells).


Scale bars: (A, B, E) 100 µm, (C) 25 µm, (D) 50 µm
Figure 3.8   Fate mapping of *Ebf2* positive cells in *Ebf2*<sub>GFP<sup>i</sup>C<sub>re</sub>R<sub>26R-YFP</sub> at E11.5

Immunofluorescence; coronal sections, 20 μm.

**A-D’:** Expression of YFP perfectly matches the expression of p73, a marker of CR cells deriving from the CH and septum (D’).

**E-H’:** YFP expression matches the expression of Reelin (H’); however, a proportion of cells expressing YFP did not Reelin (H’, arrowhead) and *vice versa* (H’, arrow). Cells circled are YFP<sup>+</sup>/Reelin<sup>+</sup> (H’).

**I-L’:** Square show double positive cells (L’), arrowhead points to YFP<sup>+</sup>/Calr<sup>−</sup> cells and arrow points to YFP<sup>−</sup>/Calr<sup>+</sup>. Cells circled are YFP<sup>+</sup>/Calr<sup>−</sup> (L’).


Scale bar: 25 μm
Figure 3.9  Fate mapping of $Ebf2$ positive cells in $Ebf2^{GFPiCre/R26R-YFP}$ at E13.5

Immunofluorescence; coronal sections, 20 µm.

A-D: After the splitting of the PPL, CR cells are positioned in the MZ, whereas SP cells are found in the SP layer (C, circle). YFP$^+$/Calr$^+$ are present in the MZ (D, square) and in the SP layer (D, circle).

E-H: Expression of Calb (MZ, arrow), a marker for interneurons, does not match the expression of YFP (H, rectangle).


Scale bar: 50 µm
Figure 3.10  Fate mapping of *Ebf2* positive cells in *Ebf2*^{GFPiCre/R26R-YFP} at P7

Immunofluorescence; coronal sections, 40 μm.

**A- C”**: Postnatally Calr is expressed in interneurons but, some CR cells still retain this marker at P7. In C’ cells in the MZ co-express Calr and *Ebf2*. C’’, high magnification of Calr⁺ interneurons in layer IV which do not show expression of *Ebf2*.

**D- F’**: Expression of Nurr1, a postnatal marker for SP cells, matches the expression of YFP.

**G- I’**: CTIP2, a marker of layers V and VI neurons, co-localises with YFP in layer V (I’).


Scale bars: (A-I) 250 μm, (C’, C’’, F’, I’) 10 μm
3.3 ANALYSIS OF THE CORTICAL STRUCTURE AND CELLULAR ORGANISATION IN Ebf2⁻/⁻ MICE

3.3.1 Development of the CR cell population in Ebf2⁻/⁻ mice

In situ hybridisation and fate mapping studies have shown that Ebf2 is expressed in CR cells between E10.5 and E13.5 and, as a consequence, it might play a role in their function. In order to study its role in the development of this cell population, I have analysed in Ebf2⁻/⁻ mice the integrity of CR cell specification and migration in the neocortex.

Cajal Retzius cell commitment was analysed through in situ hybridizations for transcription factors that are known to be involved in cell specification in the septum, PSPB and CH during early developmental stages (Figure 3.11). Dbx1, a homeodomain transcription factor, specifies two CR cell subpopulations originating from the septum and the PSPB. Its expression was found in the expected territories in Ebf2⁻/⁻ mice. However, Dbx1 signal in the septum of Ebf2⁻/⁻ was reduced, whereas, it was augmented in the PSPB compared to wt controls (Figure 3.11, A compared to B, septum; C compared D, PSPB). Wnt3a is, instead, specifically expressed in the CH. Its expression was found in the expected territories of Ebf2⁻/⁻ mice and it looked expanded compared to wt controls (Figure 3.11, E compared to F). Foxg1 is involved in the specification of the telencephalon, and promotes self-renewal of neuronal precursors antagonising their neuronal differentiation (Martynoga et al., 2005; Hanashima et al., 2004). In fact, loss of function studies show that lack of Foxg1 leads to abnormal differentiation of early-born cortical progenitors that adopt CR cell fate (Hanashima et al. 2004). This consequently causes an increased production of Reelin that alters the expression of Ebf2. However, its expression was found to be normal in Ebf2⁻/⁻ mice (Figure 3.11, K compared to L).

I have also analysed the expression of Reelin mRNA, as it is a secreted factor, which plays a pivotal role in the specification of all the CR cell subpopulations. Its expression was found diminished in the septum of Ebf2⁻/⁻ mice compared to wt controls (Figure 3.11, G compared to H). Interestingly, a stronger signal of Reelin mRNA was detected in the CH of Ebf2⁻/⁻ mice compared to wt controls (Figure 3.11, I compared to J). Moreover, it was expressed less in the dorsal PPL and more in the
lateral PPL of Ebf2−/− mice (Figure 3.11, J; arrow and arrowhead, respectively) compared to wt controls (Figure 3.11, I; arrow and arrowhead, respectively).

Migration was analysed by tracking the position of CR cells using a combination of different CR subpopulation markers such as Calr, Reelin and p73. Firstly, at E13.5, Calr+ cells were found in the MZ (Figure 3.12, B’ and C’) and CH (Figure 3.12, B and C). Cell counts revealed a significant reduction in the number of Calr+ cells in the MZ of Ebf2−/− mice compared to wt controls (Figure 3.12, A, B’, C’ and J; Ebf2+/+ 42±2, Ebf2−/− 31±2; n=6; p< 0.001). At the same time, more Calr+ cells were found in the CH of mutant animals, suggesting a possible problem in the migration of CR cells from the CH into the cortex (Figure 3.12, A, B, C and J; Ebf2+/+ 44±3, Ebf2−/− 73±3; n=6; p< 0.001). However, Calr alone is not a good marker of CR cells, as it recognises other cell types in the MZ such as SP cells. Reelin+ and p73+ cells were also examined. Reelin cell number was found to be significantly reduced in the MZ, and, on the contrary, increased in the CH and septum of Ebf2−/− mice (Figure 3.12, D-F’ and K; MZ, Ebf2+/+ 88±2, Ebf2−/− 79±2; n=6; p<0.01; CH, Ebf2+/+ 68±1, Ebf2−/− 90±1; n=6; p<0.05; Sp, Ebf2+/+ 64±1, Ebf2−/− 86±4; n=6; p<0.05). Six mutants embryos were considered and showed significant differences in Calr and Reelin counts when compared to the respective wt littermates.

Interestingly, p73 cell number was unchanged in the MZ and in the CH, but it was slightly increased in the septum of Ebf2−/− mice compared to wt controls (Figure 3.12, G-I’ and L; MZ, Ebf2+/+ 49±2, Ebf2−/− 50±2; n=3; CH, Ebf2+/+ 126±1, Ebf2−/− 120±1; n=3; Sp, Ebf2+/+ 97±4, Ebf2−/− 118±2; n=3; p<0.05). However, this may be explained by the use of unreliable antibody.

CR cell migration in pallial regions is terminated by E16.5, and these cells are positioned in the MZ. Calretinin, Reelin and p73 immunohistochemistry, labelling almost exclusively CR cells in the MZ, did not show any reduction in CR cell numbers in Ebf2−/− animals (Figure 3.13 A-C; Calr, Ebf2+/+ 21±3, Ebf2−/− 23±2; n=3; Reelin, Ebf2+/+ 70±3, Ebf2−/− 65±4; n=3; p73, Ebf2+/+ 44±2, Ebf2−/− 46±2; n=3).

In conclusion, it appears that Ebf2 is not involved in CR cell commitment, but in their early migration. However, the rescue of the migration defect at later stages of development, suggests that this gene alone is not necessary in regulating CR cell migration and function.
Figure 3.11  CR cell fate determination

In situ hybridisation; E12.5 forebrain, coronal section, 40 µm.

A-D: Dbx1 expression in the septum and PSPB (Ebf2 is not expressed in this region).
E, F: Wnt3a expression in the CH.
G-J: Reelin mRNA expression in the CH/PPL and septum, respectively. Arrow indicates dorsal PPL and arrowhead points to lateral PPL.
K, L: Foxg1 expression in cortical progenitors of the NCx.


Scale bar: 100 µm
Figure 3.12  CR cell migration

Immunohistochemistry; E13.5, coronal sections, 20 µm.

**A, D and G:** Schemas indicating the rostro-medial expression areas of Calr, Reelin and p73, respectively.

**B-C’; E-F” and H-I’**: Expression of Calr, Reelin and p73, respectively, in the Sp (E and F, Reelin; H and I, p73), CH (B and C, Calr; E’ and F’, Reelin; H’ and I’, p73) MZ (B’ and C’, Calr; E” and F”, Reelin; H” and I”, p73) of *Ehf2*+/+ and *Ehf2*−/−. Arrows point in each of Calr and Reelin samples to some visible cells that were counted.

**J, K and L:** Counts of Calr, Reelin and p73, labelled cells in the MZ, CH and Sp.


Figure 3.13  E16.5 CR cell migration

A-C: Calr, Reelin and p73 cell counts in the MZ.

MZ: marginal zone.
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3.3.2 Analysis of the cytoarchitecture of the cerebral cortex of Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} mice

As previously described, Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} adult mice appeared smaller compared to wt littermate controls (Corradi et al., 2003; Croci et al., 2006). The overall size and weight of the mutant brains were reduced by approximately 20% compared to wt brains (Figure 3.14, A-C; Ebf2\textsuperscript{+/+} 0.525±0.008, Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} 0.425±0.02; n=10; p<0.001). This may be due to the dramatic reduction of the cerebellum, as previously described by Croci and colleagues (2007). The mutant telencephalon did not show any gross defects in the structure (Figure 3.14, A compared to B).

As Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} mice showed a delayed migration of CR cells at the early stages of development, I have evaluated the presence of phenotypical defects in Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} cortex by analysing the cortical layer organization postnatally (Figure 3.15). It is known that loss of Reln leads to failure of PPL splitting with the formation of a ‘superplate’ layer and misplaced CP layers in reeler mutants (Caviness and Sidman, 1973). However, it has been shown that ablation of CH or CR cells loss does not necessary lead to major cortical defects, indicating that even a small amount of Reelin may be sufficient for the formation of the correct cortical lamination (Yoshida et al., 2006).

Postnatally, cortical six layer organisation was maintained in Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} mutants (Figure 3.15, A compared to B). Moreover, immunostaining with specific markers for upper, Cux1, and lower cortical layers, Ctip2, showed that these were not inverted in mutants compared to controls (Figure 3.15, C-F). Although the number of Ctip2 and Cux1 positive cells were unchanged in Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} mice (Figure 3.15, G and H; Ctip2, Ebf2\textsuperscript{+/+} 446±22, Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} 412±34; n=3; Cux1, Ebf2\textsuperscript{+/+} 1474±72, Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} 1346±65; n=3), an overall reduction of 10% of the cortical thickness along the entire rostro-caudal length of the telencephalon was observed in Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} (Figure 3.14, D-F; Ebf2\textsuperscript{+/+} 970±11, Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} 935±12; n=10; p<0.01). White matter thickness was unchanged in Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} mutants (Figure 3.14, D-F; Ebf2\textsuperscript{+/+} 180±4, Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} 176±3; n=10). Interestingly, the total thalamo-hypothalamic area was found significantly reduced in Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} mice compared to wt controls (Figure 3.14, G-I; Ebf2\textsuperscript{+/+} 6.17±0.1, Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-} 5.22±0.1; n=10; p<0.001).
Figure 3.14  *Ehf2*/* cortices are reduced in size compared to littermate controls

Nissl staining, coronal sections, 40 μm.

A-C: The brain weight of *Ehf2*/* mice was significantly reduced (C, p<0.001).
D-F: Only the cortical, but not the white matter thickness, was found to be reduced in *Ehf2*/* mice (p<0.01).
G-I: Black dots in G and H outline the thalamic and hypothalamic areas which are reduced in *Ehf2*/* mice (I).


Scale bars: (A, B) 50 mm, (D, E, G, H) 500 μm
The images show comparisons of brain sections stained with Calbindin between Ebf2+/- and Ebf2-/- mice. "A" and "B" show the overall brain structures at P60. "C" compares total adult brain weight between Ebf2+/- and Ebf2-/-, with a significant difference indicated by "**". "D" and "E" illustrate cortical and white matter thickness at E13.5, showing a change in "NCx" and "wm". "F" and "G" highlight the thalamus-hypothalamus area at P60, with "**" indicating a significant difference in thickness.
Figure 3.15  Postnatal (adult) cortical layer organisation in Ebf2^-/- mutants

Immunohistochemistry and Nissl staining, coronal sections, 40 µm.

**A, B:** The adult Ebf2^-/- mouse cortex is a six-layer structure, similar to control brains.

**C-F:** Layers in Ebf2^-/- mutants are correctly positioned, as confirmed by Ctip2 and Cux1 staining. Despite the reduction in cortical thickness in Ebf2^-/- mice, cell number in upper and lower cortical layers is not reduced (G and H, respectively).


Scale bar: 100 µm.
3.3.3 Interneuron migration and organisation is not affected in *Ebf2*−/− mice

*Ebf2* is expressed during embryonic development in the PPL and MZ. These layers are used as a route for migration/settlement of three different cell populations, namely CR cells, SP cells and interneurons. In Paragraph 3.2.2 (Figure 3.9), I have shown that *Ebf2* is expressed in CR cells and SP cells, but not in interneurons. However, interneuron development and migration was analysed in *Ebf2*−/− mutants in order to exclude non-cell autonomous effects of *Ebf2* loss of function on this cell population.

Calbindin, a calcium binding protein is expressed in cortical interneurons during embryonic development and adult life. It has been used as a specific interneuron marker, particularly in embryonic stages (Anderson et al. 1997; Andrews et al. 2006). At E13.5 and E16.5, immunohistochemistry experiments did not reveal a reduction of Calb expression or impaired migration of Calb+ cells in *Ebf2*−/− animals in the cortex (Figure 3.16, E16.5, C, D and F; *Ebf2*+/+ 155±6, *Ebf2*−/− 152±7; n=3). Specifically at E13.5, Calb+ cells were found in the MZ and IZ, and they were counted in two adjacent (a and b) regions in order to exclude a possible delay in the migration of interneurons from the GE (Figure 3.16, A, B and E; a: MZ, *Ebf2*+/+ 101±3, *Ebf2*−/− 109±3; IZ, *Ebf2*+/+ 78±3, *Ebf2*−/− 76±3; b: MZ, *Ebf2*+/+ 44±2, *Ebf2*−/− 38±3; IZ, *Ebf2*+/+ 27±3, *Ebf2*−/− 25±1; n=6)

Postnatally, interneurons, labelled with antibodies to Calb, Calr and Pv, which together stain the vast majority of the adult GABAergic interneurons, did not show any abnormalities in laminar position and number in *Ebf2*−/− mice compared to wt controls (Figure 3.17; Calb, *Ebf2*+/+ 77±2, *Ebf2*−/− 82±3; Calr, *Ebf2*+/+ 95±3, *Ebf2*−/− 89±3; b: Pv, *Ebf2*+/+ 231±9, *Ebf2*−/− 238±10; n=3).
Figure 3.16  Interneuron migration is not affected in $Ebf2^{-/-}$ mutants

Immunohistochemistry and Nissl staining, coronal sections, 20 µm.

A-F: During embryonic life, Calb$^+$ cells are found migrating in the MZ and IZ. There are no significant changes in their number in $Ebf2^{-/-}$ mice compared to wt controls. Arrows point to visible cells.
At E13.5, cells were counted in two different areas, a) at the PSPB, and b) in the NCx.


Scale bars: 100 µm
Figure 3.17  Postnatal settlement and numbers of interneurons are not affected in $Eb f2^{+/\ominus}$ mutants

Immunohistochemistry and Nissl staining; coronal sections, 40 µm.

A-I: Three different markers, Calb, Calr and Pv, were used in order to stain the vast majority of adult cortical interneurons. Cell counts of the three different populations did not reveal significant changes between $Eb f2^{+/\ominus}$ mice and wt littermates.


Scale bar: 100 µm
Ebf2\textsuperscript{+/+}  

Ebf2\textsuperscript{-/-}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Calb} & \textbf{P60} \\
\hline
\textbf{Calr} & \textbf{P60} \\
\hline
\textbf{Pv} & \textbf{P60} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{P60 Calbindin} & \textbf{P60 Calretinin} \\
\hline
\textbf{P60 Parvalbumin} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
3.3.4 Analysis of SP cells and thalamocortical connections in *Ebf2*<sup>−/−</sup> mice

Subplate cells, as described in Chapter 1, play a pivotal role during development in guiding the formation of thalamic connections to the correct areas of the cerebral cortex. I have shown and confirmed in the *Ebf2<sup>GFPiCRE</sup>* line co-expression of Calr or Nurr1 with *Ebf2* in the SP layer during embryonic and postnatal development. At E16.5, SP layer was fully developed, and cells were clearly visible and labelled with Calr. There were no significant changes detected in the cell number in *Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup>* mice compared to wt littermate controls (Figure 3.18; *Ebf2<sup>+/+</sup>* 45±3, *Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup>* 41±2; n=3). Postnatally, the number of Nurr1 positive cells was counted in mutants and compared to wt animals. Changes in position or cell number were not detected in *Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup>* mice (Figure 3.19; *Ebf2<sup>+/+</sup>* 104±12, *Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup>* 103±11; n=3).

In order to exclude thalamic defects, suggested also by the thalamic area reduction seen in mutants, the correct formation of the barrel cortex was analysed. The barrel cortex refers to dark-stained regions found in layer IV of the somatosensory cortex that receive inputs from the thalamus. Barrels are found in rodents and other species that specifically rely on whiskers for proprioception. Inputs from the thalamus carrying information from a given whisker terminate of layer IV forming anatomically distinguishable areas (barrels), which are separated from each other by septa. Neurons within the layer IV barrel cortex directly code for whisker displacement. Postnatally, barrels were visualized by DAPI and cytochrome oxidase (CO) staining in mutants and control animals (Figure 3.20). In mutants, barrels are clearly visible in the DAPI and CO staining (Figure 3.20, A compared to B is a DAPI staining; C compared to D detects the CO activity; squares and arrows delineate the barrels in C and D), and they are organised with the expected structure (the septa are clearly visible between barrels).
Figure 3.18 Embryonic SP cells counts

Immunohistochemistry; coronal sections, 20 μm.

A, B: Calr$^+$ cells are clearly visible in the SP layer.
C: Cell counts do not reveal significant changes in $Ebf2^{-/-}$ compared to wt controls.

Calr: calretinin, SP: subplate.

Scale bar: 100 μm
Figure 3.19  Postnatal SP cells counts

Immunohistochemistry; coronal sections, 40 µm.

**A, B:** Nurr1 is a specific marker of postnatal SP cells.

**C:** Cell counts do not reveal significant changes in Ebf2−/− mice compared to wt controls.

Nurr1: nuclear related receptor 1, SP: subplate.

Scale bar: 150 µm
Figure 3.20  Barrel cortex in *Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup>* mice

DAPI and CO staining; coronal sections, 40 μm.

**A, B:** DAPI staining show barrel columns, delimited by the square, in wt and mutant slice.

**C, D:** Pattern of CO activity in layer IV of wt controls and *Ebf2* mutants. High enzymatic activity characterise the darker areas, called barrels, delimited by black square. The barrels are separated by low metabolic activity regions called septa.


Scale bars: 100 μm
3.4 DISCUSSION

3.4.1 *Ebf2* is expressed in CR cells and other cortical cell populations during forebrain development

In this chapter, I have shown that *Ebf2* is expressed in the developing mouse forebrain between E10.5 and P0. In the telencephalon, it is expressed in post-mitotic regions of the pallium, such as septum (rostrally), CH (caudally), PPL/MZ (along the entire rostro-caudal axis) and PCx until E14.5. In the diencephalon, it is expressed in the epithalamus, hypothalamus and TE. The expression of *Ebf2* matches the expression of Reelin in CH, PPL/MZ, dorsal part of the septum but, interestingly, not in PSPB/PCx. Reelin, commonly used to label CR cells, is an important secreted factor that plays a pivotal role in cortical layer organisation. Thus, this data have shown a strong correlation between *Ebf2* expression and position of CR cells. However, due to the early cortical downregulation of the gene and the absence of an efficient antibody able to discriminate EBF proteins, the tracking of the *Ebf2* protein expression was so far difficult. Using as genetic approach the mouse transgenic line *Ebf2^GFP^Cre/R26R-YFP* (Chiara F, Badaloni A and Consalez G, unpublished data), I was able for the first time to effectively and permanently labelled *Ebf2* expressing cells in the forebrain. In fact, I have shown that *Ebf2* is expressed in CR cells that have most likely originated from the septum and CH. Three main sites of origin of CR cells have been proposed, namely septum, CH and PSPB; and *Ebf2* is expressed in all but one (PSPB). Three different markers, widely used for labelling CR cells, such as Reelin, Calr and p73, have been used in this chapter to follow the expression of *Ebf2* in CR cells. The expression of these markers was compared with the expression of YFP of caudo-medial coronal sections only, containing mostly CH-derived CR cells (Bielle et al., 2005; Yoshida et al., 2006; Griveau et al., 2010). Calretinin and Reelin have shown a partial match with *Ebf2* expression, and this is explained as follows. Calretinin labels CR cells derived from CH and PSPB, but not from septum. Thus, Calr^-/-Ebf2^+ cells come from the septum and Calr^-/-Ebf2^- cells from the PSPB. Reelin is a broad marker of CR cells originating from septum, CH and PSPB. Thus,
Reelin\textsuperscript{+/}\textit{Ebf2}\textsuperscript{−/−} cells come from the PSPB. p73 is a specific marker of CR cells derived from the septum and CH; and p73 completely co-localised with \textit{Ebf2} in CH-derived cells and partially in septum-derived cells as \textit{Ebf2} is detected only dorsally in this area.

A recent paper by the Portera-Cailliau group (Chowdhury \textit{et al.} 2010), using a similar transgenic line expressing only the GFP protein under the control of the \textit{Ebf2} promoter, has shown similar results. In contrast with my data, they showed a persistent postnatal expression of \textit{Ebf2} in PPL/MZ cells based only on the study of the mere transgenic expression of the protein GFP. However, it is known that GFP is a stable protein, which is expressed much longer after its mRNA production has ceased (Jusuf \textit{et al.} 2009). In fact, my data have indicated a downregulation of the \textit{Ebf2} promoter activity confirmed in both wt animals and the transgenic line \textit{Ebf2}\textsubscript{GFPiCre} by the lack of mRNA expression after E14.5 in pallial structures. The permanent labelling of \textit{Ebf2}-expressing cells was achieved only by crossing the transgenic line with the reporter line \textit{R26R\textsuperscript{YFP}} allowing a permanent modification of the \textit{Rosa26} locus in \textit{Ebf2}\textsuperscript{+} cells by the Cre recombinase. Even though these two transgenic lines share similarities, analysis of the cell fate of \textit{Ebf2}\textsuperscript{+} cells is only possible by using the \textit{Ebf2}\textsubscript{GFPiCre} mice described in this thesis.

\textit{Ebf2} is also expressed in SP cells, as confirmed by the embryonic marker Calr and the postnatal marker Nurr1 (Hoerder-Suabedissen \textit{et al.} 2009), and by a subpopulation of layer V pyramidal neurons. \textit{Ebf2} expression in subpallial structures (identified in the adult as BNST and ZI) as well as in diencephalic areas was not analysed in detail, as this study focused primarily on cerebral cortex development.

### 3.4.2 Early CR cell migration defect is rescued at later stages of development in \textit{Ebf2}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice

Cajal-Retzius cell migration was affected during early development in \textit{Ebf2}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. At E13.5 the number of Calr\textsuperscript{+} and Reelin\textsuperscript{+} cells was significantly reduced in the PPL/MZ of \textit{Ebf2}\textsuperscript{−/−} animals compared to wt controls, whereas the number of Calr\textsuperscript{+} and Reelin\textsuperscript{+} cells was increased in the septum and CH of mutant animals. At E16.5, the number of Calr\textsuperscript{+} and Reelin\textsuperscript{+} cells in the MZ of \textit{Ebf2}\textsuperscript{−/−} was unchanged compared
to control littermates. Borrell and Marin (2006) show that the meningeal membranes are a necessary and sufficient substrate for the tangential migration of hem-derived CR cells in a CXCR4-dependent manner. My data suggest a delayed migration of CR cells that seem to be retained for longer in the septum and the CH. Thus, Ebf2 may control CR cell migration targeting directly the expression of CXCR4. Future experiments should analyze in Ebf2−/− the integrity of the expression of CXCR4 receptor and the ability of CR cells to respond to its ligand SDF-1. However, the migratory defect is rescued at later stages of development due most likely to a compensatory expression of other genes involved in similar functions. Reelin+ cell number defects are in accordance with the changes in the expression of its mRNA in the PPL/MZ and CH. In fact, Reelin mRNA was expressed more in the CH and less in the dorsal PPL of Ebf2−/− mice compared to wt controls suggesting a delay in the migration of CR cells. Interestingly, more signal was, instead, detected in the lateral PPL indicating a possible increase in the migration of CR cells from other sources, such as the PSPB (Griveau et al., 2010). This could explain as well the changes detected in the expression of Dbx1 and Wnt3a in the septum/PSPB and CH, respectively, at early developmental stages. However, as only caudo-medial coronal sections were considered, the migration defect was probably CH specific, whereas the changes detected in the other areas were due to rescue mechanisms by a pallial redistribution of other CR cell subpopulations (Yoshida et al., 2006; Griveau et al., 2010). This hypothesis will be explained in detail in Chapter 4 (see Discussion 4.4.2). Cortical layer organisation was not affected in Ebf2−/− by the migratory delay of CR cells. Interestingly, the number of p73+ cells in the MZ and CH in Ebf2−/− mice remain unaltered, whereas a significant increase in p73 cells was detected only in the septum. However, this may be explained by the use of unreliable antibody.

3.4.3 Subplate cells develop normally in Ebf2−/−

Subplate neurons are the first cortical neurons to send corticofugal projections, thus pioneering the thalamocortical connections (McConnell et al. 1994). Furthermore, a large number of cortical afferents reside in the SP layer and form transient synaptic interactions with SP cells. For thalamic fibers, this is crucial for the
entry of axons to the overlying cortex and their later segregation into layer IV. There is general agreement that most SP cells disappear during postnatal stages by cell death, a few remaining in the adult white matter as interstitial neurons. I have shown that Ebf2 is expressed in SP cells during development. However, Calr in embryos and Nurr1 in postnatal animals did not reveal significant changes in cell counts or localisation of SP cells in Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup>.

Thalamo-cortical axon development in the cortex was not affected in Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup> as revealed by the analysis of the barrel cortex organisation in Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup>. Together, these data suggest that Ebf2 alone may not be required for the correct development of the SP cell population.

### 3.4.4 Telencephalic organisation is not affected in adult Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup>

The overall brain size and the cortical thickness was reduced by approximately 20% in Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup> mice, but counts of pyramidal cells and interneurons as well as Nissl staining analysis did not reveal significant changes in the cell number or distribution. However, the absence of misplaced cortical cells as a result of migratory defects may not be completely excluded. In fact, the techniques used in this thesis were not sensitive enough to detect small changes in the position for example of layer IV or V pyramidal cells that express Ebf2. Bromodeoxiurydine (BrdU) injections at different developmental time points and analysis of the position of the BrdU labelled cells in the adult cortex will help to clarify this hypothesis.

The overall reduction of the brain and cortical thickness size could be explained by the fact that Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup> mice are smaller compared to wt littermates, so that the organs adapt to the size of the mutant animal. A bone mass reduction and an increased number of osteoclasts were also detected. Thus, bone development defects could influence the final size of Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Kieslinger et al. 2005). Moreover, Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup> mice show hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism as a consequence of GnRH neuron death during development. This results in decrease or lack of gonadal stimulating pituitary hormones: follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH).

Failure of the hypothalamus-gonads negative feedback regulation could lead to reduce body mass.
3.4.5 Conclusions

The mild defects observed in $Ebf2^{-/}$ mice prompted me to analyse the expression and function in cerebral cortex development of other members of the COE family, $Ebf1$ and $Ebf3$. In fact, previous studies suggest that $Ebf1$ and $Ebf3$ are expressed in overlapping territories of the developing forebrain and may play a redundant role. This hypothesis was analysed in Chapter 4.
CHAPTER 4

A REDUNDANT FUNCTION OF EBF FACTORS IN THE COMMITMENT AND MIGRATION OF CR CELLS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

As presented in Chapter 3, I found that Ebf2 was transiently expressed in different areas of the developing forebrain. Interestingly, in addition to its expression in the PPL/MZ of the telencephalon, it was found in the septum and CH, known sites for the origin of CR cells. Fate mapping studies on Ebf2 expressing cells, using the transgenic mouse line Ebf2\(^{GFPiCre/R26R:YFP}\), have revealed that the gene is specifically expressed in the CH and septum-derived CR cell subpopulations, SP cells and a subpopulation of layer V pyramidal neurons. However, the analysis of the development and functions of these cell groups in Ebf2\(^{-/-}\) mice did not show any abnormalities, with the exception of a transitory delay in the migration of CR cells from the CH and septum into the PPL/MZ. The mild defects observed in the telencephalon of Ebf2\(^{-/-}\) mice suggest that other factors expressed in the telencephalon may compensate its loss of function. Previous studies have implied that Ebf1 and Ebf3 are expressed in overlapping territories of the developing forebrain and may play redundant roles (Garel et al. 1997). In fact, it has been shown that the mouse EBF proteins show 75% identity. EBF1 and EBF3 are the most similar, whereas EBF2 differs at the carboxy-terminal domain used to interact with other proteins (Crozatier and Vicent, 1999; Dubois and Vicent, 2001). For instance, the olfactory epithelium of Ebf1\(^{-/-}\) mice is reported to develop normally due to the redundant function of Ebf3 (Garel et al. 1997; 1999). In contrast, Ebf2 plays a specific function in this territory. In fact, the olfactory epithelium of Ebf2\(^{-/-}\) mice
gives rise to defective GnRH neurons, which are unable to migrate towards their positions in the hypothalamus. Thus, these factors could very well compensate for each other during telencephalic development.

In order to test this hypothesis, I have analysed in this chapter the expression of $Ebf1$ and $Ebf3$ in the telencephalon of wt and $Ebf2^{-/-}$ mice. According to their patterns of expression, I have tested in vitro and in vivo whether the overexpression or downregulation of the EBFs may affect CR cell specification and migration.

4.2 COE MEMBERS $Ebf1$ AND $Ebf3$ ARE EXPRESSED IN THE DEVELOPING TELENCEPHALON IN $Ebf2^{−/−}$ TERRITORIES

4.2.1 Analysis of $Ebf1$ and $Ebf3$ mRNA expression in the developing mouse telencephalon

Previous studies by Garel and colleagues (Garel et al. 1997) have reported that $Ebf1$ and $Ebf3$ are transiently expressed together with $Ebf2$ during forebrain development. As mild defects were observed in the migration of CR cells at early embryonic stages (see Chapter 3), I have focused the analysis of their expression in the cerebral cortex. To identify the pattern of expression of $Ebf1$ and $Ebf3$ in the pallium, brains of mice at different ages were analysed using in situ hybridisation. $Ebf1$ and $Ebf3$ were detected from E10.5 to E15.5, when they were downregulated in a similar fashion as it was previously reported in Chapter 3 for $Ebf2$ (Chapter 3.2.1).

At E13.5, $Ebf1$ and $Ebf3$ expression was similar to that of $Ebf2$ in the CH and PPL (Figure 4.1, A and C compared to B). Additionally, $Ebf3$ was detected in the PSPB (Figure 4.1, C'), which is one of the site of origin of CR cells. In the septum, $Ebf3$ was expressed strongly dorso-ventrally (Figure 4.1, F; rectangle), $Ebf2$ was detected just in the most dorsal area (Figure 4.1, E; rectangle), whereas $Ebf1$ was weakly expressed dorso-ventrally (Figure 4.1, D; rectangle). At E15.5, $Ebf1$ expression was downregulated in the pallium (Figure 4.2, A) similar to $Ebf2$ (Figure 4.2, B), whereas $Ebf3$ was still detected in the CH and MZ (Figure 4.2, C; arrow and arrowhead,
respectively). Ebf3 expression persisted in these areas also after birth (data not shown).

4.2.2 Ebf1 and Ebf3 expression in Ebf2\(^{-/-}\) mice

Ebf1 and Ebf3 expression was also analysed in Ebf2\(^{-/-}\) mice in order to assess whether its loss of function could lead to differences in the mRNA expression of these two factors. At E13.5, \textit{in situ} hybridisation experiments showed that Ebf1 and Ebf3 were expressed in the CH and PPL (Figure 4.3, A and E compared to B and F, respectively). However, their expression was found diminished in the septum of Ebf2\(^{-/-}\) mice compared to wt controls (Figure 4.3, C and G compared to D and H, respectively). Furthermore, Ebf3 expression in the PSPB was increased in Ebf2\(^{-/-}\) mice compared to wt controls (Figure 4.3, G compared to H).
Figure 4.1  

_Ebf3 expression in the cortex at E13.5_

_In situ_ hybridisation; coronal sections, 40 µm.

**A-C:** _Ebf1_, _Ebf2_ and _Ebf3_ expression in PPL and CH. _Ebf3_ is also detected in PSPB (C’)

**D-F:** _Ebf1_, _Ebf2_ and _Ebf3_ expression in the septum. Rectangle divides the area in dorsal (d) and ventral (v)


Scale bars: 100 µm
Figure 4.2  *Ehfs* expression in the cortex at E15.5

*In situ* hybridisation; coronal sections, 40 μm.

**A, B:** *Ehf1* and *Ehf2* are downregulated in the MZ and CH

**C:** *Ehf3* is detected in the CH (arrow) and in MZ (arrowhead)

CH: cortical hem, MZ: marginal zone, St: striatum.

Scale bars: 200 μm
Figure 4.3  *Ebf1* and *Ebf3* expression in the cortex of *Ebf2<sup>-/-</sup>* mice at E13.5

*In situ* hybridisation; coronal sections, 40 μm.

**A-F:** *Ebf1* and *Ebf3* are detected in the PPL and CH in wt and in *Ebf2<sup>-/-</sup>* mice

**C-H:** *Ebf1* and *Ebf3* are expressed in the septum of wt and in *Ebf2<sup>-/-</sup>* mice. However, expression in *Ebf2<sup>-/-</sup>* mice is diminished compared to wt controls, whereas *Ebf3* expression is augmented in the PSPB of *Ebf2<sup>-/-</sup>* mice.


Scale bar: 100 μm
4.3 OVEREXPRESSION AND DOWNREGULATION OF EBFs AFFECT CELL MIGRATION

*In situ* hybridisation analyses revealed that *Ebf* were transiently expressed in the same pallial structure, specifically in the CH and septum, and PPL/MZ, the site of origin and migration of CR cells, respectively. Thus, they could act together in regulating CR cell development. To test this hypothesis, I have used two different approaches, overexpression and downregulation of single or multiple *Ebf* genes *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The plasmids used in the following experiments were kindly provided by Dr Giacomo G. Consalez.

4.3.1 *In vitro* validation of overexpressing and silencing plasmids

The specificity of the *Ebf*-flag and short hairpin (sh) *Ebf* plasmids were tested *in vitro* in COS cells, which do not express any of the COE genes (data not shown). Confluent COS cells were transfected with *Ebf1/2/3-flag* separately and cultured for 24 h. Cells were split and subsequently transfected with different combinations of *shEbfs* as follows:

1. *Ebf1-flag +*  
   a) *shEbf1*  
   b) *shEbf2*  
   c) *shEbf3*  
   d) *shEbf1-2-3*  
   e) *shMock*  

2. *Ebf2-flag +*  
   a) *shEbf1*  
   b) *shEbf2*  
   c) *shEbf3*  
   d) *shEbf1-2-3*  
   e) *shMock*

3. *Ebf3-flag +*  
   a) *shEbf1*  
   b) *shEbf2*  
   c) *shEbf3*  
   d) *shEbf1-2-3*  
   e) *shMock*

Non-transfected COS cells were used as negative controls and indicated as Blank.

After 72 h past the second transfection with the *shEbfs*, cells were collected and proteins extracted to be used for Western blot analysis. Proteins were quantified and the same amount was used for each sample (Figure 4.4, 1, 2 and 3; α-tubulin shows
protein concentration). The intensity of the shMock (containing scattered RNA, used as positive control) band on the blot was used as reference.

A significant reduction in the expression of the EBF proteins compared to shMock transfected cells was observed in the following samples (Figure 4.4, 1, 2 and 3; red arrows):

- Ebf1-flag transfected COS cells and treated with shEbf1 and shEbf1-2-3
- Ebf2-flag transfected COS cells and treated with shEbf2 and shEbf1-2-3
- Ebf3-flag transfected COS cells and treated with shEbf3 and shEbf1-2-3

Moreover, immunolabelling for flag and GFP, expressed by the overexpressing and shEbf plasmids, respectively, confirmed a downregulation of the EBF proteins in cells co-expressing the specific shEbfś (Figure 4.4, 4; A-J, circles). A number of cells expressing the Ebf-flag plasmids, not co-transfected with shEbfś, showed anyway a decreased flag signal, raising questions about the specificity and efficiency of the RNAi plasmids. This discrepancy could be due by a different efficiency rate of tranfection as the two plasmids were transfected at different time point. However, the western blot results undoubtely confirmed that the Ebf-flag plasmids lead to a specific expression of the EBF proteins in COS cells. Furthermore, EBF proteins can be effectively and specifically silenced by shEbf plasmids.
**Figure 4.4  Analysis of the function and specificity of overexpressing and silencing Ebf plasmids**

1-3: Western blot analysis of EBF protein expression in transfected COS cells with different combination of *Ebf*-flag and *shEbf* plasmids (See Chapter 4.3.1 for details).

4: Immunohistochemistry for flag (*Ebf*-flag plasmids) and GFP (*shEbf* plasmids) shows downregulation in the expression of EBF in COS cells co-transfected with *Ebf1*-flag (A-D), *Ebf2*-flag (E-G), *Ebf3*-flag (H-L) and the specific *shEbf* (cells in the circles double transfected with *shEbf* and *Ebf*-flag show a reduction of the intensity of the flag signal).

α-tub: alpha-tubulin, DAPI: 4'-6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole, GFP: green fluorescent protein, kDa: kilo-Dalton

Scale bar: (A-L) 10 µm
4. Immunohistochemistry on Ebfs-flag transfected cells
4.3.2 Overexpression and downregulation of EBF proteins affect the migration of GN11 cells in vitro

GN11 cells, a cell line of immortalised immature neurons with high migratory behavior (Radovick et al., 1991), were found to express Ebf1 and Ebf3 but not Ebf2 (Figure 4.5, B). For this reason, they were used as a model to study the role of Ebf genes in migration. These cells, maintained in culture, were transfected with the Ebf-flag and shEbf plasmids, and analysed in a chemotactic assay using the Boyden chamber (Figure 4.5, A).

Firstly, GN11 cells were treated with Ebf1, Ebf2 and Ebf3-flag to study the effect of the overexpression of these genes on cell chemotaxis. Cells were transfected with Ebf-flag plasmids and cultured 48 h prior the Boyden chamber. GN11 cells transfected with Ebf1, Ebf2 and Ebf3-flag migrated more towards the chemoattractant (1% FBS) compared to the control cells (Mock) (Figure 4.5, C: a compared to b; c, Mock 609±36, Ebf1-flag 1522±30, Ebf2-flag 1585±24, Ebf3-flag 1747±48; n=3; p<0.001).

As EBFs overexpression caused an increase in the migration of GN11 cells, I have subsequently analysed the effects of their downregulation on cell chemotaxis. Cells were transfected with shEbf plasmids and cultured for 72 h before the chemotactic assay. GN11 cells treated with shEbf1, shEbf3 and shEbf1-2-3 showed a decreased migration compared to the Mock and shEbf2 treated GN11 (Figure 4.5, D: a compared to b; c, Mock 1273±58, shEbf2 1200±60, shEbf1 544±59, shEbf3 603±80, shEbf1-2-3 500±36; n=3; p<0.01). Interestingly, the migration of shEbf1-2-3 transfected cells was more affected compared to GN11 cells treated with a single shEbf.

Moreover, I have tested whether the defective migration of shEbf treated GN11 cells could be rescued by the transfection with plasmids overexpressing the Ebf genes (Ebf-flag). Cells were transfected with shEbf1, shEbf3 and shEbf1-3, cultured for 72 h and transfected with Ebf1/3-flag plasmids. Boyden chamber was performed after 48 h the second transfection. However, the flag constructs used in the following experiment were not shRNA resistant. In order to overcome a possible downregulation of the flag by the RNAi, a greater quantity of flag plasmids was
transfected (according to manufacture’s instruction) in order to saturate the \textit{shEbf} plasmids.

The defective migration of GN11 cells caused by the \textit{Ebf} downregulation was successfully rescued by their later overexpression (Figure 4.6, a compared to b; c, \textit{Mock} 1273±58, \textit{shEbf1} 544±59 and \textit{shEbf1+Ebf1-flag} 1740±66, \textit{shEbf3} 603±80 and \textit{shEbf3+Ebf3-flag} 1740±66, \textit{shEbf1-3} 500±36 and \textit{shEbf1-3+Ebf1-flag} 1679±76, or \textit{+Ebf3-flag} 1594±88, or \textit{+Ebf1/3-flag} 1902±43; n=3; p<0.001). Surprisingly, \textit{Ebf3-flag} rescued the migration of \textit{shEbf1} treated cells (Figure 4.6, D; c: \textit{shEbf1} 544±59, \textit{shEbf1+Ebf3-flag} 1501±74, n=3; p<0.001) and \textit{Ebf1-flag} of \textit{shEbf3} treated cells (Figure 4.6, D; c: \textit{shEbf3} 603±80, \textit{shEbf3+Ebf1-flag} 1496±63, n=3; p<0.001).

In conclusion, these results suggest that \textit{Ebf} factors are strongly involved in neuron migration and compensate for each other’s the function \textit{in vitro}. 
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Figure 4.5  *Ebfs-flag* and *shEbfs* affect the migration of GN11 cells *in vitro*

A: Schema of the Boyden chamber.

B: Agarose gel shows the expression of *Ebf1* and *Ebf3* in GN11 cells.

C: a and b show GN11 cells bound to the porous membrane after the chemotactic assay. Fewer cells are visible in the Mock sample (a) compared to the *Ebfs*-flag (b). (c) Chart shows the effect on the migration of GN11 cells towards the chemoattractant FBS when treated with *Ebfs* overexpression plasmids. GN11 cells do not migrate towards pure DMEM (left side of the chart).

D: a and b show GN11 cells bound to the porous membrane after the chemotactic assay. More cells are visible in the Mock sample (a) compared to the *shEbfs* (b). (c) Chart shows the effect on the migration of GN11 cells when treated with *Ebfs* silencing plasmids. GN11 cells do not migrate towards pure DMEM (left side of the chart).

DMEM: Dulbecco modified eagle’s medium, FBS: fetal bovine serum.
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Figure 4.6  The migration of GN11 cells treated with shEbf1-3 is rescued when transfected with Ebf1/3-flag plasmids

A-C: GN11 cells bound to the porous membrane after the chemotactic assay. More cells are visible in the Mock sample (a) compared to the Ebf-flag (b). However, the migration is rescued after transfection with Ebf-flag plasmids.

D: Chart shows the effect on the migration of GN11 cells towards the chemoattractant FBS when treated with shEbf1s and Ebf-flag plasmids. GN11 cells do not migrate towards pure DMEM (left side of the chart).

DMEM: Dulbecco modified eagle’s medium, FBS: fetal bovine serum.
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4.3.3 Cortical hem and septum targeted in utero electroporation of shEbf

Preliminary in vitro experiments on GN11 cells in vitro have shown that EBFs can strongly affect cell migration and compensate each other loss of function. As they are expressed in overlapping pallial territories (Chapter 4.2), I analysed the effect of a selective downregulation of these factors specifically in CR cells. Embryos at E10.5 were electroporated in utero in the CH of left telencephalic hemispheres with different combinations of shEbf as follows: shEbf1-2, shEbf2-3 and shEbf1-2-3. Electroporated embryos were subsequently collected at E13.5, and CR cell commitment was analysed by in situ hybridisation. Expression of Reelin mRNA, Wnt3a and Foxg1 in the electroporated telencephalic side (Ep-side) was compared with the non-electroporated side (wt-side). A GFP in situ probe visualised the site of the electroporation. shEbf1-2 were electroporated in the CH (Figure 4.7, A; GFP), but changes were not detected in Reelin mRNA (Figure 4.7, A; Ep-side compared to wt-side, arrow), Wnt3a and Foxg1 expression (Figure 4.7, D; Ep-side compared to wt-side, arrow). shEbf2-3 were electroporated in the CH and neocortex (Figure 4.7, B; GFP), but no significant changes were found in Reelin mRNA (Figure 4.7, B; Ep-side compared to wt-side, arrow), Wnt3a and Foxg1 expression (Figure 4.7, E; Ep-side compared to wt-side, arrow). To test whether a downregulation of all the three Ebfs was required to give a defective phenotype, shEbf1-2-3 were electroporated in the CH (Figure 4.7, C; GFP). shEbf1-2-3 brains did not show changes in Reelin mRNA (Figure 4.7, C; Ep-side compared to wt-side, arrow), Wnt3a and Foxg1 expression (Figure 4.7, F; Ep-side compared to wt-side, arrow). Moreover, CR cell migration was followed in shEbf3 electroporated brains. Embryos were electroporated at E10.5 with shEbf3 in the CH and collected at E13.5, when CR cell migration was almost completed. Calretinin antibody was used to label CR cells and GFP to discriminate electroporated cells. shEbf3 was electroporated in the CH and GFP+ migrating cells were visible in the PPL. Electroporated cells were also positive for Calr, a marker for CR cells (Figure 4.8, C; GFP+/Calr+, arrow), and localised in the CH and PPL (Figure 4.8, A-C) as in N-Ep (non-electroporated) brains (Figure 4.8, D-F; arrow points to Calr+). In conclusion, the downregulation of Ebfs in the CH did not affect the commitment and migration of CR cells. Most likely the lack of
phenotype was due to an insufficient downregulation of the endogenous gene expression by the shRNA \textit{in vivo} as well as the increased complexity of the biological system when compared with cells cultured \textit{in vitro}. 
**Figure 4.7**  **CR cell fate in utero electroporated brains**

*In situ* hybridisation; E13.5 forebrain, coronal sections, 40 µm.

*In utero* electroporation was performed at E10.5

Dotted lines separate Ep-side from wt-side.

**A, D:** Double-colour *in situ* hybridisation on *GFP/Reelin mRNA* (A) and *Wnt3a/Foxg1* (D) *shEbf1-2* electroporated embryos.

**B, E:** Double-colour *in situ* hybridisation on *GFP/Reelin mRNA* (B) and *Wnt3a/Foxg1* (E) *shEbf2-3* electroporated embryos.

**C, F:** Double-colour *in situ* hybridisation on *GFP/Reelin mRNA* (C) and *Wnt3a/Foxg1* (F) *shEbf1-2* electroporated embryos.


Scale bar: 100 µm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E13.5 (Ep E10.5)</th>
<th>shEbf1-2</th>
<th>shEbf2-3</th>
<th>shEbf1-2-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Ep-side</td>
<td>PPL/MZ</td>
<td>GFP/Reelin</td>
<td>Wnt3a/Foxg1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt-side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Ep-side</td>
<td>PPL/MZ</td>
<td>GFP/Reelin</td>
<td>Wnt3a/Foxg1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt-side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Ep-side</td>
<td>PPL/MZ</td>
<td>GFP/Reelin</td>
<td>Wnt3a/Foxg1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt-side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Images:**
- **A**: Ep-side of shEbf1-2 showing PPL/MZ and GFP/Reelin, CH, Wnt3a/Foxg1.
- **B**: Ep-side of shEbf2-3 showing PPL/MZ and GFP/Reelin, CH, Wnt3a/Foxg1.
- **C**: Ep-side of shEbf1-2-3 showing PPL/MZ and GFP/Reelin, CH, Wnt3a/Foxg1.
Figure 4.8 CR cell migration is not affected in *shEbf3 in utero* electroporated brains

Immunofluorescence; E13.5 forebrain, coronal section, 20 μm.

In utero electroporation was performed at E10.5

A, C: *shEbf3* electroporation was targeted in the CH (A, GFP\(^+\) cells). Calretinin\(^+\) (B) and electroporated cells (GFP\(^+\), C) are migrating out the CH to cover the PPL as expected.

D, F: Non electroporated brain samples show wt migration of Calr\(^+\).


Scale bar: 100 μm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>shEbf3</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Calr</td>
<td>GFP/Calr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-Ep</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Calr</td>
<td>GFP/Calr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 DISCUSSION

4.4.1 Ebf1 and Ebf3 transcription factors are expressed in overlapping pallial territories and compensate the Ebf2 loss of function

COE genes encode phylogenetically conserved HLH transcription factors and are implicated in various aspects of neural development, such as neuronal differentiation (Dubois et al. 1998; Pozzoli et al. 2001), migration (Garcia-Dominguez et al. 2003; Garel et al. 2000) and axon fasciculation and guidance (Garel et al. 1999; 2002).

Previous studies have shown that these factors are expressed in overlapping territories in different areas of the developing nervous system, including the olfactory epithelium, forebrain and cerebellum, playing redundant as well as specific functions (Garel et al. 1997; Croci et al. 2006). For example, Ebf1 and Ebf3 play redundant roles in the olfactory epithelium, whereas Ebf2 is necessary for GnRH neuron migration and its loss of function leads to apoptosis of these cells (Corradi et al. 2003). In the cerebellum, all Ebf genes are expressed in Purkinje cells. Ebf1 and Ebf3 are expressed among the entire cell population, whereas Ebf2, which is specifically expressed in a subpopulation, is indispensable for their migration and its loss of function leads to dramatic defects in the topography of the cerebellar cortex (Croci et al. 2006). Although Ebf1<sup>−/−</sup> and Ebf2<sup>−/−</sup> mice are available, Ebf3<sup>−/−</sup> mice are not viable (S. Garel and G. Consalez, unpublished), making it difficult to understand its role compared to other members of the COE family. Despite the work of Garel and colleagues (1997), Ebf<sub>s</sub> were never extensively studied during forebrain development. I have, for the first time, specifically characterised Ebf2 expression and the effects of its loss of function during pallial development. Moreover, in Chapter 4, I have shown that Ebf1, Ebf2 and Ebf3 are also expressed in the same cortical territories; in particular, within sites of origin and migration of CR cells. These results link the Ebf transcription factors to CR cells, and suggest that the lack of gross pallial defects in Ebf<sub>2<sup>−/−</sup></sub> mice may be due to a common function of Ebf genes in regulating the development of CR cells arising from the CH and septum. Specifically, Ebf<sub>s</sub> are expressed in the CH, PPL/MZ and septum of the pallium at early developmental stages and are subsequently downregulated in these areas at E15.5 (Chapter 4). Interestingly, Ebf2 and Ebf3 are strongly expressed in the CH and
PPL/MZ, whereas *Ebf1* is weakly detected in these areas. This suggests two different scenarios responsible for this phenomenon. Firstly, *Ebf2* and *Ebf3* may regulate the level of *Ebf1* expression as they are already co-expressed in the same territory. Secondly, *Ebf1* may be expressed exclusively within a subpopulation of CH derived CR cells, as is the case in the cerebellum. In the septum, a similar trend was observed. *Ebf3* was strongly expressed dorso-ventrally, but *Ebf1* was only expressed weakly and *Ebf2* was confined dorsally. The expression of *Ebf1* and *Ebf3* in *Ebf2*−/− mice was unchanged in the CH and PPL/MZ, whereas it was downregulated in the septum. Previously, in *Xenopus*, Pozzoli and colleagues (2001) have shown that *Ebf2* is activated during Notch signalling and is involved in maintaining high neural potential of precursor cells, but at the same time promotes the commitment into neuronal fate. In this model, *Ebf3* acts downstream of *Ebf2*, stimulating the development of specific cell subtypes.

Moreover, *Ebf3* was the only member of the COE transcription factor family expressed in the PSPB. According to recent findings of Griveau and colleagues, ablation of a CR cell subpopulation cause a redistribution of CR cells from other sources as a compensatory mechanisms. The expansion of the *Ebf3* domain in the PSPB of *Ebf2*−/− mice suggests therefore a non cell-autonomous compensatory mechanism of the migratory defect seen in the mutants.

In conclusion, these data suggest that *Ebf2* may be involved in regulating the expression of *Ebf1* and *Ebf3* in the septum, affecting CR cell migration from this region, while *Ebf1* and *Ebf3* compensate its loss from the CH, and *Ebf3* from the PSPB.

4.4.2 Alternative mechanisms are involved in the compensation of *Ebf2*−/− mouse phenotype

Cajal-Retzius cells are a complex, transient population organized into subpopulations specified by different domains, such as the CH, septum and PSPB (Bielle *et al.* 2005, Griveau *et al.* 2010). The combinations of these different CR cell subtypes populate distinct regions of the pallium rostro-caudally. Recently, Griveau and colleagues (2010) have shown that the genetic ablation of the septum leads to a redistribution of PSPB and CH-derived CR cells along with changes in early
patterning events and cell division and differentiation. However, alterations to cell division and differentiation do not lead to major cortical defects (Griveau et al. 2010). These findings could suggest a possible redistribution along the rostro-caudal axis of CR cell subpopulations in Ebf2−/− mice, as well as increased production of CR cells from other sources. In fact, Ebf2−/− mice have shown a decreased number in Reelin and Calr positive cells in the MZ of caudo-medial sections and an increase of Reelin and Calr cells in the CH, suggesting a delay in the migration of CR cells from this region. Conversely, Dbx1 expression was diminished in the septum, together with Ebf1 and Ebf3, suggesting that Ebf2 may be an upstream factor regulating its expression and function in this area as well as the CR cell commitment and migration (Pozzoli et al. 2001). Moreover, the number of mitotic cells (PH3 positive, data not shown) was decreased in the pallial VZ at E11.5, whereas it was increased in the CH at E12.5. According to recent findings of Griveau and colleagues, these data suggest compensatory mechanisms, which induce a precocious generation of postmitotic neurons to populate the early preplate (Kowalczyk et al. 2009) and a possible redistribution of the other CR subpopulations, as suggested by the expansion of the Dbx1 and Ebf3 domains in the PSPB of Ebf2−/− mice. Furthermore, these defects correlate with changes in the size and positioning of cortical areas at postnatal stages without affecting signaling centers or cortical lamination (Griveau et al. 2010; Yoshida et al. 2006), which could also be the case in Ebf2−/− mice. In fact, the reduction of cortical thickness in these mutants may mask arealisation defects, which were not examined in this thesis. Thus, Ebf2 loss of function may be recouped by two different mechanisms, a redundant expression of Ebf1 and Ebf3 in the CH as well as CR cell redistribution, possibly from the PSPB.

4.4.3 Ebf factors influence the migration of immortalized immature neurons in vitro

Ebf factors are strictly connected with cell migration in different species. Ebf2 is involved in the migration of a subpopulation of Purkinje cells in the cerebellum and GnRH neurons from the olfactory epithelium to the hypothalamus (Corradi et al. 2003; Croci et al. 2006). In Chapter 3, I have shown that Ebf2−/− mice displayed a transitory migration defect in CR cells restored later by compensatory mechanisms.
Therefore, I have tested whether the overexpression and silencing of one or a combination of more Ebfs could affect the migration of neurons, with a specific focus on the activity of migration. As a model, I have used GN11 cells, which are neurons derived from immature, immortalised GnRH cells. RT-PCR and micro-array analysis have confirmed that these cells express Ebf1 and Ebf3, but not Ebf2. (A. Cariboni, unpublished). Overexpression of Ebf1 and Ebf3 genes in GN11 cells lead to increased migration in comparison with control mock-transfected cells (Chapter 4). Interestingly, Ebf2 overexpression caused an increase in cell migration, suggesting that this gene, even when not expressed, can trigger migratory mechanisms most likely acting through the same signal pathway as for Ebf1 and Ebf3.

On the other hand, downregulation of Ebf1 and Ebf3 caused a significant decrease in cell migration compared to the non-treated control cells. Downregulation of both genes caused a stronger phenotype, suggesting that the function of Ebf genes may be dose-dependent. As expected, downregulation of Ebf2 did not lead to significant changes in GN11 cell behavior. Moreover, transfection of Ebf1 and Ebf3 in shRNA-treated GN11 cells were able to rescue the defective migration of these cells. Interestingly, Ebf1 was able to rescue the phenotype caused by shEbf3 and vice versa. Thus, this suggests that Ebfs can play a redundant function and complement each other.

Taken together this data confirm that Ebf factors are involved in neuron migration. They may play redundant functions and, most likely, affect the migration of other neuron types expressing Ebfs, in particular, CR cells.

4.4.4 Target in vivo downregulation of Ebfs expression in CR cells by in utero electroporation

Single mutants are available for Ebf1 and Ebf2. However, Ebf3 single mutants are not yet available. Alternatively, in utero electroporation, performed in collaboration with Dr T. Shimogori (Riken BSI, Tokyo), was used to specifically downregulate the expression of Ebf genes in the CH where they are expressed at high levels. The electroporation was performed at E10.5 and the electroporated embryos analysed at E13.5. Cell commitment and migration was studied in successfully electroporated
cells. Changes were not detected in any of the electroporated brains for any of the different combinations of shRNA used. Technical limitations render these experiments extremely challenging. Firstly, to target the CH and septum in early embryos was extremely difficult because of the size and positions of the anatomical areas. Moreover, the viability of the electroporated embryos was very low, especially when two or more shEbfs were combined together, suggesting possible toxic effects of the plasmids. On the other hand, the lack of phenotype was most likely due to an insufficient downregulation of the endogenous gene expression by the shRNA in vivo as well as the increased complexity of the biological system when compared with cells cultured in vitro.

4.4.5 Conclusions and Future experiments

The present thesis provides several pieces of evidence that Ebf factors may play an important role in the development of CR cells. However, different hypothesis should be still tested to further complete my work.

First, I have shown that Ebf factors strongly affect the migration and compensate each other’s functions in GN11 neurons in vitro. However, due to technical limitations the experiments were not yet reproducible specifically in CR cells. For this reason, I am currently pursuing a different approach to target the expression of EBF proteins in CR cells in collaboration with Dr Eikcholt (King’s College London). This approach consists of dissociating cells from murine CH at E11.5 and, after transfection by electroporation (Lonza) with overexpression or silencing Ebf plasmids, tests their ability of migration in vitro by stripe assays. Stripe assays test the ability of cells to migrate on a coverslip coated with various protein combinations such as laminin or CR cell chemoattractants, for example, SDF-1.

Second, Ebf2−/− mice displayed changes in the expression of different genes in the CH, PSPB, neocortex (PPL/MZ) and septum. However, these results were confirmed only by in situ hybridization experiments. A specific microarray analysis on Ebf2+ cells may clarify the targets of Ebf2 transcription factor, which are still mostly unknown. In particular, I would cross breed the Ebf2−/− mice with Ebf2GFPiCre/R26R-YFP line, specifically isolate the YFP expressing cells and compare them with YFP+ cells from the pure Ebf2GFPiCre/R26R-YFP line. This strategy will permit to follow the
commitment and migration of $Ebf2$ expressing cells in the mutant and wt background, even after the downregulation of the endogenous gene.

Moreover, several evidences in the $Ebf2^{+/}$ mice showed a possible redistribution of CR cells populations in the cortex, possibly from the PSPB. To confirm this hypothesis, it would be useful to cross $Ebf2^{+/}$ mice with the transgenic $Dbx1^{β-gal}$ line (Bielle et al. 2005) and follow the fate of $Dbx1^+$ cells compared to $Dbx1^+$ cells in the wt background. Calretinin antibody would be used to distinguish PSPB-$Dbx1$ and septum-$Dbx1$ derived CR cell subpopulations.

Finally, most of the information on the functions of $COE$ genes comes from the analysis of $Ebf1$ and $Ebf2$ mutants. It is known that $COE$ members control neuronal commitment and cell migration during CNS development, playing redundant as well as specific functions, but little is still known about $Ebf3$ transcription factor. I have shown that $Ebf$ factors are expressed in sites of origin of CR cells. However, the analysis of $Ebf1$ (Garel et al. 1999) and $Ebf2$ mutants did not reveal a specific role in the development of CR cells. The creation and phenotypic analysis of conditional $Ebf3^{+/}$ mice, as $Ebf3^{+/}$ mice are reported to be embryonically lethal, may elucidate an unique role of this factor in the development of CR cells.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

GENETIC NETWORKS AND REDUNDANCY DEFINE
THE COMPLEXITY OF BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS

Genetic redundancy is an amazing property of genomes and has only recently become evident as a result of negative knockout experiments. In fact, knockout strategies have demonstrated that the function of many genes cannot be studied by simply disrupting them in model organisms because the inactivation of these genes does not lead to any phenotypic effects. For living systems, the phenomenon of genetic redundancy seems common (Borger, 2008). Genetic redundancy involves two or more genes that can perform overlapping functions (Tautz, 1992; Nowak et al. 1997), but recent findings suggest that this is not always the case. In the following paragraph I will present two theories explaining the genetic redundancy and its effects.

Most common ideas still follow the Darwin theories on gene evolution. Susumo Ohno writes in his book “Evolution by Gene Duplication”, that duplications of genes provide redundancies, which then accumulate mutations and adopt novel biological functions. Duplicates transforming into improved, novel genes are then favored by natural selection as they have an advantage over other genes. Meanwhile, the genetic redundancy will protect old functions, reducing the lethality of mutations. He also provides an explanation for the knockouts without phenotype: if genes duplicate fairly often, then it is expected to find redundancy in most genomes, because, according to natural selection, duplicates provide an organism with “back-up” genes (Ohno, 1970). However, in 2000, Wagner demonstrated that genes considered not essential are not more likely to have duplicates than other “essential” genes that cause a phenotype when they are knocked out. Wagner concluded that the no-
phenotype effect cannot be caused exclusively by gene duplication and redundancy, but concomitant with interactions between unrelated genes (Wagner, 2000). The molecular biologist Peter Borger suggests that no-phenotype knockouts are explained if we take into account the non-linearity of biochemical systems. He argues that biological systems do not work like linear causality, where A causes B causes C causes D causes E. Biological systems are, instead, designed as a complex networks. He proposes instead a “simple non-linear biological system with nodes” where A may cause B, but A also causes D independent of B and C. Thus, if A fails to make the link with D, there are still B and C to make the connection. This theory gives the idea that not only gene duplication is important to maintain the stability of a living organism, but also the cross-talk between different genes, which could potentially give rise to an organization of genetic networks. Thus, genetic networks stabilize the complex regulatory mechanisms of living systems. They control homeostasis, regulate the maintenance of genomes and provide regulatory feedback on gene expression (Borger, 2008).

In conclusion, if we consider complex biological systems such as mammals, it is most likely then these two mechanisms may coexist and cooperate together. Mario Cappecchi, a pioneer in the development of knockout technology, once said: “I don’t believe that there is a single mouse (knockout) that does not have a phenotype. We just aren’t asking the right questions.” (Quoted from H. Pearson, 2002), suggesting that in biology there is far more behind the expression and function of one simple gene.


