Observation of $B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \Lambda K^+$
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We report the first observation of the charmless hyperonic \(B\) decay, \(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \Lambda K^+\), using a 140 fb\(^{-1}\) data sample recorded at the \(Y(4S)\) resonance with the Belle detector at the KEKB \(e^+e^-\) collider. The measured branching fraction is \(\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \Lambda K^+) = (2.91^{+0.90}_{-0.70} \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-6}\). We also perform a search for the related decay mode \(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \Lambda \pi^+\), but do not find a significant signal. We set a 90% confidence-level upper limit of \(\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \Lambda \pi^+) < 2.8 \times 10^{-6}\).

Charmless hadronic \(B\) decays are of great interest since they provide opportunities for probing \(CP\) violation, as well as for testing our understanding of strong interactions. While charmless mesonic modes were first established over ten years ago [1], \(B\) decays to charmless baryonic final states such as \(p\bar{p}K^+\) [2], \(p\Lambda \pi^-\) [3], \(p\bar{p}K^0, p\bar{p}\pi^+\), and \(p\bar{p}K^{*+}\) [4] were first seen only recently. The branching ratios for these three-body decays are larger than those for two-body baryonic modes such as \(B^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}\), for which only upper limits have been reported [5]. Another intriguing feature is the threshold peaking behavior commonly observed in the baryon pair mass spectrum [2–4]. Both features were anticipated by theory [6], but the underlying dynamics are still far from understood [7–12].

In this Letter we report the observation of \(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \Lambda K^+\) decay, the first example of a charmless \(B\) decay to a final state containing two hyperons [13]. The rate is found to be comparable to that of other charmless three-body baryonic modes. The invariant mass of the \(\Lambda \Lambda\) system has a prominent near-threshold peak.

With three strange particles in the final state, the \(\Lambda \Lambda K^+\) mode may complement \(b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s\) dominated mesonic modes such as \(B \rightarrow \phi K^{(*)}\) [14–17], for which the polarization and \(CP\) asymmetry may be sensitive to new physics. With the three-body final state and self-analyzed polarization information from the \(\Lambda\) decay [6,9–11], the \(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \Lambda K^+\) process can be used to probe not only \(CP\) violation, but \(T\) (time reversal symmetry) violation as well.

We use a data sample of 140 fb\(^{-1}\) integrated luminosity, consisting of 152 \(\times 10^6\) \(B\bar{B}\) pairs with no accompanying particles, collected by the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric energy \(e^+e^-\) (3.5 on 8 GeV) collider [18]. The Belle detector is a large solid angle magnetic spectrometer that consists of a three-layer silicon vertex detector (SVD), a 50-layer central drift chamber (CDC), an array of aerogel threshold Cerenkov counters (ACC), a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintillation counters (TOF), and an electromagnetic calorimeter comprised of CsI(Tl) crystals located inside a superconducting solenoid coil that provides a 1.5 T magnetic field. An iron flux-return located outside of the coil is instrumented to detect \(K^0_L\) mesons and to identify muons. The detector is described in detail elsewhere [19].

Since KEKB operates with a center-of-mass energy at the \(Y(4S)\) resonance, which decays into a \(B\bar{B}\) pair, one can use the following two kinematic variables to identify the reconstructed \(B\) meson candidates: the beam constrained mass, \(M_{bc} = \sqrt{E^2_{\text{beam}} - p^2_{\bar{B}}},\) and the energy difference, \(\Delta E = E_{B} - E_{\text{beam}}\), where \(E_{\text{beam}}, p_{\bar{B}},\) and \(E_\pi\) are the beam energy, the momentum, and energy of the reconstructed \(B\) meson in the \(Y(4S)\) rest frame, respectively. The \(M_{bc}\) resolution of about 3 MeV/c\(^2\) is dominated by the beam energy spread. The \(\Delta E\) resolution for \(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \Lambda K^+\) ranges from 12 to 17 MeV, depending on \(M_{\Lambda \Lambda}\).

The event selection criteria are based on the information obtained from the tracking system (SVD + CDC) and the hadron identification system (CDC + ACC + TOF), and are optimized using Monte Carlo (MC) simulated event samples.

All primary charged tracks are required to satisfy track quality criteria based on the track impact parameters relative to the interaction point (IP). The deviations from the IP position are required to be within ±0.3 cm. 
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The likelihood ratio identification system. To identify kaons/pions, we require the likelihood ratio \( L_{K(\pi)}/(L_K + L_\pi) \) to be greater than 0.6. For kaons (pions), this requirement has an efficiency of 86\% (89\%) and a pion (kaon) misidentification probability of 8\% (10\%). A candidates are reconstructed via the \( p\pi^- \) decay channel using the method described in Ref. [5].

The dominant background for the rare decay modes reported here is from \( e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q} \) continuum processes (where \( q = u, d, s, c \)). The background from generic \( B \) decays and known baryonic \( B \) decays is negligible. This is confirmed using an off-resonance data set (10 fb\(^{-1}\) taken 60 MeV below the \( Y(4S) \) and MC samples of generic \( B \) decay, 150 \( \times 10^6 \) continuum events and known baryonic \( B \) decays. In the \( Y(4S) \) rest frame, continuum events tend to be jetlike while \( BB \) events tend to be spherical. We follow the scheme defined in [20] that combines seven shape variables to form a Fisher discriminant [21] in order to optimize continuum background suppression. The variables used have almost no correlation with \( M_{bc} \) and \( \Delta E \). Probability density functions (PDFs) for the Fisher discriminant and the cosine of the angle between the \( B \) flight direction and the beam direction in the \( Y(4S) \) frame are combined to form the signal (background) likelihood \( L_{(b)} \). We require the likelihood ratio \( \mathcal{R} = L_{(b)}/(L_s + L_{(b)}) \) to be greater than 0.4; this suppresses about 73\% of the background while retaining 88\% of the signal. The optimal likelihood requirement is determined by optimizing \( n_s/n_s + n_{(b)} \), where \( n_s \) and \( n_{(b)} \) denote the number of signal and background; here a signal branching fraction of \( 4 \times 10^{-6} \) is assumed. We also require only one candidate per event. In the case of multiple \( B \) candidates (about 2.6\% of the events), we choose the candidate with the highest \( R \) value. The signal PDFs are determined from MC simulation; the background PDFs are obtained from the data sideband events with 5.2 GeV/c\(^2 \) \( < M_{bc} < 5.26 \) GeV/c\(^2 \) and 0.1 GeV \( < |\Delta E| < 0.3 \) GeV.

To ensure that the decay is charmless and to extract the three-body branching fraction, we exclude the regions 2.85 GeV/c\(^2 \) \( < M_{\Lambda\Lambda} < 3.128 \) GeV/c\(^2 \) and 3.315 GeV/c\(^2 \) \( < M_{\Lambda\Lambda} < 3.735 \) GeV/c\(^2 \) where charmonium decays from \( J/\psi, \eta_c, \psi' \), and \( \chi_{c0,1,2} \) mesons may contribute.

We perform an unbinned extended maximum likelihood fit to the events with \( -0.15 \) GeV \( < \Delta E < 0.3 \) GeV and \( M_{bc} > 5.2 \) GeV/c\(^2 \) to estimate signal yields. The extended likelihood function \( \mathcal{L} \) is

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{e^{-(N_s + N_{(b)})}}{N!} \prod_{i=1}^{N}[N_sP_s(M_{bc_i}, \Delta E_i) + N_{(b)}P_{(b)}(M_{bc_i}, \Delta E_i)],
\]

where \( P_s(P_{(b)}) \) is the signal (background) PDF and \( N_s(N_{(b)}) \) denotes the number of signal (background) candidates. The signal PDF is the product of a Gaussian function, which represents \( M_{bc} \), and a double Gaussian for \( \Delta E \). The means and the widths of the signal PDFs are determined by MC simulation. Differences between data and MC calculations are corrected by using the \( B^- \rightarrow D^0\pi^- \) and \( D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \) control sample. The \( \Delta E \) mean is shifted by \(-3.6 \) MeV with respect to MC, while the \( \Delta E \) resolution is 21\% wider.

We use the parametrization first suggested by the ARGUS Collaboration [22],

\[
f(M_{bc}) = M_{bc}\sqrt{1 - (M_{bc}/E_{beam})^2}\exp[-\xi(1 - (M_{bc}/E_{beam})^2)],
\]

with a statistical significance of 7.4 standard deviations. The significance is defined as \( \sqrt{2\ln(L_0/L_{max})} \), where \( L_0 \) and \( L_{max} \) are the likelihood values returned by the fit with signal yield fixed at zero and floating, respectively [23].

We fit the signal yield in bins of \( M_{\Lambda\Lambda} \) and the result as a function of \( \Lambda\Lambda \) mass is shown in Fig. 2. The observed mass distribution peaks at low \( \Lambda\Lambda \) mass, similar to those observed in [2–4]. Since the decay is not uniform in phase space, we calculate the partial branching fraction for each \( M_{\Lambda\Lambda} \) bin with the corresponding detection efficiency determined from a large phase space MC sample and an additional special MC sample with a \( M_{\Lambda\Lambda} \) peak near-threshold [24]. The \( \Lambda\Lambda \) invariant mass spectrum for the events in the \( B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\Lambda K^+ \) signal region \( (|\Delta E| < 0.05 \) GeV and \( M_{bc} > 5.27 \) GeV/c\(^2 \) with 2.85 GeV/c\(^2 \) \( < M_{\Lambda\Lambda} < 3.15 \) GeV/c\(^2 \) is shown in the inset of Fig. 2. A

![FIG. 1 (color online). \( \Delta E \) and \( M_{bc} \) distributions of \( B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\Lambda K^+ \) candidates for \( M_{\Lambda\Lambda} < 2.85 \) GeV/c\(^2 \). The solid and dashed curves represent the fit results and the signal, respectively; the dotted curve shows the background contribution.](211801-3)
clear $J/\psi$ signal is evident. The results of the fits along with the efficiencies and the partial branching fractions are given in Table I. We sum the partial branching fractions in Table I to obtain $B(B^+ \to \Lambda \Lambda K^+) = (2.91^{+0.70}_{-0.70}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.38(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-6}$, with a statistical significance of 5.1 standard deviations.

The systematic uncertainty in particle selection is studied using high statistics control samples. Kaon/pion identification is studied with a $D^{++} \to D^0 \pi^+$, $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ sample. The tracking efficiency is studied with a $D^+$ sample, using both full and partial reconstruction. Based on these studies, we assign a total 7.8% error for the tracking efficiency and 0.6% for kaon/pion identification.

For $\Lambda$ reconstruction we have an additional error on the efficiency for off-IP track reconstruction, determined from the difference of $\Lambda$ proper time distributions for data and MC simulation. For the four tracks from the $\Lambda \Lambda$ pair this error is 6.1%. By studying the $\Lambda \to p \pi^-$ sample we assign an error of 1% for each identified proton. There is also a 1% error for each $\Lambda$ mass selection and a 0.7% error for each $\Lambda$ vertex selection [5]. Summing the correlated errors for $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda$ reconstruction, we obtain a systematic error of 6.9% for both $\Lambda$'s.

Continuum suppression is studied using a two-body $B^- \to D^0 \pi^-$, $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ sample, which is topologically similar to the studied decay due to the peaking behavior of $M_{\Lambda \Lambda}$. By changing the selection criteria on $R$ in the interval 0–0.4, the efficiencies of data and MC differ by 3%.

The systematic uncertainty from fitting is 4.9%, which is studied by varying the parameters of the signal and background PDFs by $\pm 1\sigma$. The MC statistical uncertainty and modeling with six $M_{\Lambda \Lambda}$ bins contributes a 5.0% error (obtained by changing the $M_{\Lambda \Lambda}$ bin size). The error on the total number of $B\bar{B}$ pairs is determined to be 0.7%. The error from the subdecay branching fraction of $\Lambda \to p \pi^-$ is 0.8% [23].

We sum the correlated errors linearly and then combine the result with the uncorrelated ones in quadrature. The total systematic error is 13.0%.

We perform a cross check of the analysis by using the events that were removed by the charmonium veto. We measure $B(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+)$ by following the same analysis procedure with 3.06 GeV/$c^2 < M_{\Lambda \Lambda} < 3.14$ GeV/$c^2$. The signal yield is $11.4^{+3.9}_{-3.2}$ candidates with a statistical significance of 7.3 standard deviations. The obtained product branching fraction is $B(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+) \times B(J/\psi \to \Lambda \Lambda) = (1.55^{+0.53}_{-0.44}) \times 10^{-6}$. By using $B(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+) = (1.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$ [23], our measured branching fraction is $B(J/\psi \to \Lambda \Lambda) = (1.54^{+0.53}_{-0.43} \pm 0.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$, which is in agreement with the world average value [23]. The third error comes from the uncertainty of $B(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+)$. We also search for the decay mode $B^+ \to \Lambda \Lambda K^+$, which is an example of a $b \to u\bar{u}d$ process with $s\bar{s}$ popping. The background from $B^+ \to \Lambda \Lambda K^+$ is negligible. We perform a two-dimensional unbinned likelihood fit to the $\Delta E-M_{\text{bc}}$ distribution using the same analysis procedure. No significant signal is found. The $M_{\text{bc}}$ and $\Delta E$ distributions with fit projections are shown in Fig. 3.

We use the fit results to estimate the expected background, and compare this with the observed number of events in the signal region in order to set an upper limit on the yield at the 90% confidence level [25]. The estimated background is 37.5 ± 1.0, the number of observed events is 41, the systematic uncertainty is 15%, which is also considered in background estimation. The 90% confidence-level upper limit yield on the yield is 21.7.
The efficiency, estimated from the phase space MC calculation, is found to be 5.05%. The 90% confidence-level upper limit for the branching fraction is $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+) < 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$.

In summary, we have performed a search for the rare baryonic decays $B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$ and $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+$ with 152 $\times 10^6$ $B\bar{B}$ events. A clear signal is seen in the $B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$ mode, where we measure a branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+) = (2.91^{+0.90}_{-0.70} \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-6}$, which is comparable to $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow p\Lambda\pi^-$. The observed $M_{\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}}$ spectrum peaks toward the threshold as in the above mentioned modes. This measurement is the first observation of a $B$ meson decay to a hyperon pair. The $B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+$ mode is not statistically significant, and we set the 90% confidence-level upper limit $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+) < 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$.
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[13] Throughout this report, the inclusion of charge conjugate mode is always implied unless otherwise stated.
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[24] We generated a special $B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$ MC sample with $2.23 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}} < 2.85 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The efficiency was obtained using 1.6 $\times 10^5$ events generated according to phase space and 5 $\times 10^4$ events of special MC.