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Abstract
This article challenges the common idea of Italy’s obsession with Italian music, and with Verdi in particular, an image which supports stereotypes of Italians narrowly focussed on their own national culture, mentally sealed off from what is happening outside the peninsula. Starting from an analysis of the frequently rather mixed or even negative reception of Verdi’s music in Italy, the article outlines the general crisis which affected Italian opera after Unification. One of the responses to this crisis was a remarkable internationalisation of the repertoire on the Italian stages. Taking music and opera as an example, the article demonstrates the extent to which Italy articulated its experience of modernity and nation building through a transnational exchange of ideas and a generous reception of European culture.
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‘The most important meeting point of the entire society, the only entertainment, is the theatre’.¹ In these words a newspaper from Cagliari described in 1859 the role of the theatre in Italy’s structures of municipal sociability. Following this description, our idea of nineteenth-century opera in Italy is often based on the image of bel canto and that of Verdi as the icon of the enthused audiences of the Teatro di San Carlo in Naples or the famous Teatro alla Scala in Milan. National and municipal cultures were closely interlinked and the historian of nineteenth-century Italy cannot study one without considering the other. However, while by the 1850s Verdi had become the most frequently performed Italian opera composer in Europe, he always had ‘a difficult relationship with the Neapolitan public’, accusing it of being ‘fussy any time you present it with something different;’ and between 1845 and 1887 not a single opera by Verdi was premiered in Milan.² Notwithstanding the common association of the Risorgimento with opera, after Unification Italy’s musical life entered into a profound crisis. Naples had problems attracting new productions and due to financial shortages the city’s principal theatre remained closed for three successive seasons during the 1870s. Many Italian theatres did not survive the decline of public subsidies after Unification or were unable to attract impresari capable of operating on the dramatically reduced budgets. Many theatres in smaller cities no longer had a full
season. The Pergola in Florence ceased regular performances of opera in 1877 and La Fenice in Venice remained closed for eleven out of 24 years.³

The Italian repertoire itself was in crisis. Rossini’s last opera had been Guillaume Tell in 1829; Bellini had died in 1835; Donizetti in 1848. Mercadante was increasingly viewed as reactionary. The success of Verdi’s Triologia (Rigoletto, Il Trovatore, La Traviata) dates back to the 1850s.⁴ After Un ballo in maschera, in 1859, Verdi’s wife feared that her husband ‘had forgotten how to write music’.⁵ While Garibaldi fought for the liberation of the South, Verdi retired to the gentle life of a countryman. Eventually he wrote La forza del destino (1862), but for the Imperial Theatre of St. Petersburg, keeping Italy waiting for a revised version until 1869. Don Carlos (1867) was a French opera based on a German drama and written for the stages in Paris. Aida (1871) was commissioned by the Ismail Pasha, the Khedive of Egypt, and instead of celebrating the completion of the Risorgimento after the liberation of Rome, the work inaugurated the Cairo Opera House, built in connection with the opening of the Suez Canal.⁶ Two further Shakespeare operas followed many years later, Otello in 1887 and Falstaff in 1893. Hence, after Unification Verdi almost ceased to contribute to the consolidation of a national culture through opera. Meanwhile, Boito and Ponchielli were unable to match Verdi’s reputation; and the Giovine scuola, with Puccini and Mascagni as its protagonists, took until the 1890s to revive Italian opera, generating rather mixed responses. In musical terms italianità was still difficult to define. The great connoisseur Thomas Mann observed in 1920 that, compared to Puccini, Verdi seemed almost German: less superficial and entertaining, more than just bellezza. The final scene of Aida was, for Mann, “ein italienischer Liebestod”, an open reference to Wagner’s Tristan und Isolde.⁷ Verdi had become a European more than an Italian composer.

Despite the apparent crisis of Italian opera after Unification, the theatre remained the focus of municipal sociability in Italy; and discourse on national identity was frequently articulated through references to music and opera – only that, increasingly, this music was no longer Italian by origin. This article challenges the common idea of Italy’s obsession with Italian music, and with Verdi in particular, an image which contributes to widespread stereotypes of Italians narrowly focussed on their own national culture, mentally sealed off from what is happening outside the peninsula. The article will highlight the critical reception of Verdi’s music in Italy as well as the general crisis which affected Italian opera after Unification. Within this context the article will then analyse the internationalisation of the repertoire on the Italian stages and demonstrate the extent to which Italy articulated its experience of modernity and nation building through a transnational exchange of ideas and a generous reception of European culture. Scholarship on nineteenth-century European nationalism overemphasises the role of national cultural production in the process of identity formation and should take into consideration the extent to which Europeans engaged with a culture that crossed the new national boundaries as easily as it travelled through Europe during the times of the Ancien Régime, thus contributing to the formation of transnational identities and of a trans-European cultural sphere. Verdi and Rossini marked the French and German theatre as much as Wagner and Massenet contributed to overcoming the crisis of Italian opera after Unification.
For many people ‘opera in Italy’ still means Rossini, Bellini and Donizetti, the middle and later Verdi, and as a curiosity possibly Giordano’s *Andrea Chénier* and Ponchielli’s *La Gioconda*, a schedule completed during the *fine secolo* with Puccini, Leoncavallo and Mascagni. While this is an accurate reflection upon ‘Italian opera in the world’, it is a distortion of what was happening on the Italian stages between Unification and World War One. Although many of the major works of Rossini, Donizetti, Bellini and Verdi stayed in the repertoire throughout the nineteenth century, *impresari* as well as municipal administrators after Unification were keen to internationalise the repertoire of their theatres. In doing so they were able to build upon a remarkable tradition.

The German school in particular was popular among Italians. Between the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century German composers had enjoyed considerable success in Italian opera houses, including Johann Christian Bach, Johann Adolf Hasse, Adalbert Gyrowetz, Peter von Winter, Joseph Weigl and in particular Johann Simon Mayr, who wrote over seventy works for all the major Italian theatres and who counted Donizetti among his students. Mozart’s operas stayed in the programme of La Scala and Rossini, during his early years in Lugo, learned from a German maestro, to be charged later ‘with having adulterated the pure fount of Italian melody by bringing in far too much noisy German harmony’. Stanislao Mattei, one of Italy’s most influential teachers of composition, was known for his love of Mozart and Haydn, while the popular *Don Pasquale* of his famous pupil Donizetti owed to Mozart and Schubert. At a time when Verdi already was an icon of Italian music, the works of the Prussian Hofkapellmeister and founder of the Vienna Philharmonic Otto Nicolai were hugely popular in Italy. Mazzini discovered Beethoven, Mozart and Wagner during his exile in London and greatly appreciated their work, which had a major impact on his *Philosophy of Music* of 1836.

Not just German music influenced Italians. In their development as composers, Mercadante, Marchetti and Verdi were all marked by French *grand opéra*. The 1830s are rightly regarded as the great season of Bellini and Donizetti in Italy. However, in 1838 La Scala opened its carnival season with Auber’s *La Muta di Portici*, followed by the work of another ‘foreign’ composer, Ferdinand Hiller. Mercadante was interested in the Northern tradition of symphonic music. Along with a number of less formal symphonic pieces he wrote in 1861 a *Sinfonia Garibaldi* and as director of Naples’ conservatory he organised performances of the Viennese classics, and of Weber, Auber and Offenbach. The following generation of Italian composers, Catalani, Giordano and Puccini, all learned from studying French and German scores. Not only the repertoire of Italian theatres was marked by its cosmopolitan ambition, also the casts were increasingly international. Verdi’s most important soprano (and mistress), Teresa Stolz, was from Bohemia. In 1881 the influential Italian critic Francesco d’Arcais noted that during the Scala’s current season ‘only one, Tamagno, is Italian; the other three are foreigners: the soprano from Vienna, the baritone is French and the bass Polish.’

While the great popularity of Verdi among audiences and major critics is beyond doubt, Italian responses to the *compositore nazionale* were not always positive. Emilio Treves’ *Universo Illustrato* remarked in 1867 that Verdi had ‘suffered more
than one fiasco and often saw his merits questioned.16 His first published opera, Oberto, generated mixed responses in the press and Un giorno di regno was a complete failure.17 Only his third opera, Nabucco (1842), marked the beginning of Verdi’s extraordinary career on the Italian stages. At La Scala and in Parma the premieres of Nabucco were an enormous success, repeated during the following decades throughout the peninsula. However, the Scala’s first revival of the opera left the composer disappointed. In 1861, despite the patriotic enthusiasm for the current events, Genoa disapproved of Nabucco and stopped the performances after just two evenings.18

According to the arguments of Alberto Banti, the works of Rossini, Bellini and Donizetti, as well as the early Verdi, influenced the political ideas of the protagonists of Italy’s national movement and had a direct impact on the transformation of the Risorgimento from a small sect into a political mass movement.19 However, if we look at the contemporary reception of these works we notice that many of them were rejected explicitly on patriotic grounds. Most prominent among those who criticised Rossini, Bellini and Donizetti was Giuseppe Mazzini, who in his Philosophy of Music pleaded for a radical departure of Italian music from its lyric tradition.20 Even Verdi, often seen as an icon of the Risorgimento, did not necessarily enjoy the approval of the Italian patriots.21 In January 1848, on the occasion of a performance of Ernani, the audience in Austrian occupied Mantua booed during the chorus of ‘glory and honour to Charlemagne’, because they saw the Emperor as a German.22 When shortly after the proclamation of the Republic in 1849 La Battaglia di Legnano was premiered in Rome it was understood as a celebration of patriotic freedom. This is despite the fact that the work is not overtly political or historical, focussing instead on a traditional triangle of love. In Bologna, after the liberation from the Papal regime, the opera was criticized because it did not properly reflect the ‘patriotic affection’ of Berchet’s poem on the same subject. Instead, the work was perceived as a ‘private story about love and jealousy’.23 Moreover, the author of the libretto, Salvadore Cammarano, was an official poet for the San Carlo in Naples,24 considered as one of the theatres most severely affected by censorship. Another opera often read in a political-national context, I Lombardi, was criticized by the Austrian censors for its failure to show respect for the faith, but not because it was too patriotic. Rather than referring to national sentiment, La Rivista di Firenze commented that the work satisfied ‘the local pride of the Lombards’.25 The choice of Schiller for I Masnadieri (1847, based on Die Räuber) cannot necessarily be read as an overtly political statement. The choice of the libretto had much to do with Verdi’s interest in literature and drama, and discussions with his librettist and translator of Schiller, Andrea Maffei. About the same time he developed an interest in Shakespeare. When preparing Macbeth he engaged closely with the translations of the Bolognese scholar Carlo Rusconi and studied August Wilhelm Schlegel’s lectures on drama. During his long sojourns in Paris he became more and more fascinated with the effective representation of emotions and human psychology on stage, previously underdeveloped in Italian theatre.26 Verdi started controlling details of his productions almost fanatically, in this respect not dissimilar to Wagner’s new approach to theatre. Printing the disposizioni sceniche for his operas, with details of the staging, was part of this project. His interest in dramatic action, more than politics and history, were at the centre of his work as composer.
While it is not surprising that the Jesuit Civiltà Cattolica referred to Verdi’s works ‘as bad examples of taste in matters of politics, religion, or morality,’ there were other reasons too why some Italians did not like Verdi. Considering that one went to the opera for distraction and entertainment, numerous periodicals criticised the ‘troppo assordante rumore’ of Nabucco. La Scala’s first performances of La Forza del destino, I Masnadieri, Un Ballo in maschera and Aida were all marked by hissing and discontent among the audience. Writing to his friends after the Venice premier of La Traviata in 1853 the composer reported only ‘Fiasco. Fiasco. Fiasco’. The 1857 premiere of Aroldo in Rimini went well, but Verdi was disappointed about the opera’s reception in Bologna and Turin as well as in Naples, where the responses recalled the bitter lesson of the first performance of Alzira. In Bologna the end of the Papal regime did not silence Verdi’s critics. On the occasion of Vittorio Emanuele’s first visit the city’s Teatro Comunale presented I Lombardi, followed during the autumn season by Un ballo in maschera - ‘difficult music’ with ‘abstruse harmonic and melodic combinations’, according to the city’s principle newspaper, the liberal Monitore di Bologna. One of Bologna’s most influential musical commentators, Enrico Bottrigari, opined that Un ballo in maschera lacked ‘vigour and imagination.’ About I due Foscari he wrote that the composer ‘lacks thought and has no sense of melody. Rigoletto was just too ‘loud’; Stiffelio artificially ‘forced’. On the occasion of crown prince Umberto’s visit to Bologna the Comunale presented Simon Boccanegra, but the citizenry did not appreciate its ‘abstruse and complicated’ tunes and the local officer corps even refused to renew its subscription for the season. Bologna welcomed La forza del destino, but disliked the complicated libretto. The premiere of Verdi’s Don Carlos was recognised as a great success for the city, but the national symbolism of the work, based on Schiller’s drama, just bored Bottrigari. Elsewhere the reception of Don Carlos was also rather mixed. The editor of L’Universo Illustrato published an illustration of the setting, but concluded that ‘the opera is too long; the music is monotone, a continuous flow of sadness.’ Moreover, he was criticised for fashionable foreign influences: ‘Even [Verdi] sacrifices himself to new idols, counting on the future…’ The reception at the Civico in Cagliari, a decade later, was equally mixed; and when the opera’s revised Italian version returned to Naples in 1888, the local newspaper soon commented ‘that the audience had enough of this opera.’ In 1881 Luisa Miller, another Schiller opera, provoked such discontent at Bologna’s Teatro Dal Verme that the police had to intervene. Although most of the local newspapers praised the Aida of 1877, the frequent comparisons with the music of Wagner were not necessarily understood as a compliment and Il Nuovo Alfiere called it ‘un aborto d’un colosso’. On the question of why he was not at the theatre that night, the municipal civic engineer, Leopoldo Lambertini, replied to the famous writer Edmondo De Amicis ‘because they are putting on this idiocy of Aida’, continuing with a harangue against the composer’s orchestration, his vulgarity and his choruses ‘da osteria - stuff for heavy drinkers’, he said, ‘though one couldn’t tell if the drinkers took it from Verdi or Verdi from the drinkers.’

As a consequence, many leading theatres staged most of Verdi’s operas only once or twice, often with several years delay after the Italian premiere. Bologna’s Comunale had to wait twelve years for La Battaglia di Legnano and then it was
staged only once in the composer’s life-time; _Simon Boccanegra_ appeared once, five years after the premiere; _Forza del destino_ once, seven years after the premiere in Saint Petersburg; _Aida_ had to wait six years. _Oberto, Un giorno di regno, Alzira_ and _Il Corsaro_ as well as _Stiffelio_ and _Jérusalem_ never made it onto the Comunale’s stages during the composer’s lifetime. Between 1870 and World War One there were many years without a single Verdi opera on the programme. For Naples we notice a similar pattern. Despite the theatre’s outstanding role in the nation’s musical life, _Don Carlos_ had to wait four years for its first performance and _La Forza del destino_ arrived only fifteen years after the prima. As Roger Parker remarks, in the years immediately following Verdi’s death only about eight of his twenty-seven operas kept their places in the repertoire. ‘All the early works, and quite a few of the later ones, had fallen out of fashion’.

Hence, a simple association between Verdi and post-Risorgimento Italy is not an accurate reflection of what was happening in Italian theatres. In the view of many the _Schaffenskrise_ of Italian opera-composers was part of a larger intellectual crisis which hit Italy profoundly during the years between 1871 and 1890. Although about 100 new Italian operas were staged during this period, the only works to make an impact were Boito’s _Mefistofele_ and Ponchielli’s _La Gioconda_. None of them was able to revive the general euphoria with which the operas of the first half of the century had been greeted. In 1865 the _Gazzetta Musicale di Napoli_ drew a disappointing picture of the decline in the musical life of the former capital, affecting the churches, the _salotti_ of the nobility and the many theatres of Naples, which after Unification produced only prose and comedy. Even the San Carlo, despite having a higher endowment than most Italian theatres, had lost its ancient splendour. No singer, no ballerina equalled the level of the theatre’s ancient tradition, _L’Omnibus_ complained in 1867. According to the paper, Meyerbeer and Gounod could not possibly compete with Scarlatti, Paisiello and Cimarosa, even if there were hardly any Italian composers around who could take their place.

However, the contemporary accounts of the musical press seem exaggeratedly pessimistic, possibly the consequence of a general lack of orientation and confusion after the political and social turmoil of the past years. Looking in particular at the situation in Naples, the 1860s also saw the organisation of Italy’s first _Congresso musicale_, the foundation of the Neapolitan _Società del Quartetto_ and the city’s first _Concerti Popolari_. Like Mercadante, Giuseppe Martucci had a strong interest in the symphonic repertoire, where Naples was able to triumph with one of Italy’s best philharmonic orchestras. Musical life in Italy changed focus. Francesco d’Arcais remarked in 1881 that ‘the musical life moves outside the theatres and the educated audience seeks more sophisticated artistic satisfaction in concerts.’ Rather than Italy’s own eighteenth-century tradition of instrumental music, the repertoire which marked the new symphonic emphasis were the Viennese classics and German romantics – works which were still largely unknown to Italian audiences, but which had characterised the creation of the so-called ‘musical museum’ in the major urban centres of Central and Northern Europe since the first half of the nineteenth century.
Returning to the article’s opening quote, rather than speaking about Italy’s musical life in national terms, it is important to consider its municipal specificities. As Francesco d’Arcais wrote in 1869, ‘in our country, music lives a municipal life’.\(^{48}\) Meanwhile, this municipal musical culture was shaped through a constant dialogue with international intellectual and aesthetic developments from all over Europe. Starting from the cultural stagnation of the late Papal regime under Austrian occupation, during the fine secolo Bologna became one of the centres of Italy’s musical avant-garde, playing a crucial role in the nation’s opening towards the European repertoire.\(^{49}\) The city’s Teatro Comunale had a major influence on the Italian opera scene as a whole; and as the example of Naples’ concert-life shows, Bologna was not alone in promoting non-Italian music. At the 1884 *Esposizione Italiana* in Turin, conceived as a showcase of the Italian nation, the musical programme conducted by the young Giuseppe Martucci included works by Mozart, Beethoven, Mendelssohn, Schumann, Berlioz and Wagner, but no Verdi, despite the fact that symphonic concerts at the time frequently included extracts and arrangements of operatic works. The only Italian composers on the programme were the French citizen Luigi Cherubini; Domenico Scarlatti, who mainly worked in Spain and Portugal; and Luigi Boccherini, who spent most of his life in Spain, France and Austria, and then became court-composer of Friedrich Wilhelm of Prussia.\(^{50}\)

Considering the role of opera in Italy’s self-perception as a *Kulturnation*, the internationalisation of the theatres’ repertoire after Unification seems even more remarkable than the sudden interest in French and German symphonic music. This trend began in the 1860s with the later works of Meyerbeer, continued after 1871 with Wagner, and marked all of the peninsula’s major theatres with Gounod and Massenet during the following decades. Florence was in many respects a frontrunner in the internationalisation of the repertoire. First during the 1820s, then from the 1850s it had been ‘la città meyerberiana per eccellenza’.\(^{51}\) Italy’s most famous conductor around the time of Unification, Angelo Mariani, established Meyerbeer as an integral part of the repertoire, convincing the initially hesitant audience by his own professional genius and the continuously improving quality of the productions. The Milanese music critic Filippo Filippi hailed Meyerbeer for his fruitful references to different German tradition, from Bach and Handel to Beethoven and Weber. Mazzini admired him for moralising musical drama, ‘making it an echo of the world and its eternal vital problem’. Soon, the German master of French *grand opéra* appeared in the popular open-air potpourris of municipal bands and during the commemorations for the revolution of 1848.\(^{52}\) Meyerbeer’s posthumous *L’Africaine* was a spectacular success, first in Bologna (1865) and from 1866 in Milan, where the work was scheduled for three consecutive seasons. We can observe exactly the same phenomena in Naples: it was Meyerbeer, previously performed only sporadically, who since the 1860s marked the opening of the San Carlo towards the international repertoire, countering the crisis of Italian opera and offering Italians the opportunity to engage with Europe’s aesthetic responses to the experience of the modern age.\(^{53}\) Cagliari, with a limited operatic experience, discovered most of Meyerbeer’s works with a delay of several decades, but generously welcomed the German composer of *grand opéra*.\(^{54}\) A similar role was given to the new French masters. While Paris had been hesitant about the premiere of Gounod’s *Faust* in 1859, the Italian premiere at La Scala was celebrated as a fantastic synthesis of French and German tastes. Even
smaller Italian theatres such as the Rossini in Lugo performed Faust (1869, 1882), Massenet’s Manon (1903) and Werther (1912). Many of the younger Italian composers were strongly influenced by the French and German schools: Stefano Gobatti, celebrated as the Italian Wagner; Arrigo Boito, the maestro of Mefistofele; and Alberto Franchetti, who had studied in Dresden and Munich before presenting Italy with his operas Asrael, Germania and Cristofo Colombo. Ferdinando Fontana, author of Puccini’s Le villi and Franchetti’s Asrael, stood for Italy’s interest in Nordic mythology. Catalani’s operas were influenced by Weber’s romanticism and the fashion of German fairy-tale plots.

Obviously, this does not mean that the internationalization of the repertoire went without criticism. Traditional anti-Semitism, as well as modern ethnic and racial stereotypes, served to justify prejudice against ‘foreign’ music. In 1869 Il Monitore di Bologna remarked, bizarrely, that Mendelssohn was ‘German and Jewish, a severe figure in the arts […] one with his tribe, not one of us’. Meyerbeer was described as ‘più filosofo che maestro’. Ever since the 1871 Italian premiere of Wagner’s Lohengrin influential critics and wide sections of the public expressed fears of a Germanisation of the Italian lyric tradition and in 1915 the Futurist composer Balilla Pratella published a manifesto Per una cultura della sensibilità musicale italiana, attempting to hold back Germanic and French influences on Italy’s musical life. However, such voices were unable to reverse the internationalisation of the repertoire. In an age which saw cultural self-representation as a means to articulate national pride, the image emerging here is that of a nation which understood its cosmopolitan orientation as an integral part of its cultural value system, its intellectual ambition and its humanist legacy.

Who characterised Italy’s turn to the European repertoire and to musical modernism more than any other composer was Richard Wagner, starting with Bologna’s spectacular Italian premiere of Lohengrin in 1871, translated by Arrigo Boito. Milan presented its first Lohengrin two years later, Turin in 1876, Naples in 1881. While the performance at La Scala resulted in a fiasco, the success in Turin and particularly in Naples was as great as it was unexpected. The failure of Milan’s Lohengrin had much to do with the ongoing fights between supporters of Verdi and those of Wagner, with the fact that the spectacular Italian prima of Aida was presented just a few months before Lohengrin. However, if the Milanese audience rejected Wagner in 1873, they warmly welcomed Weber’s Freischütz just a few months earlier, demonstrating that they were not generally opposed to foreign imports. In many respects Venice remained in provincial isolation even after 1866. However, La Fenice staged Rienzi in 1874, Lohengrin in 1881 and Italy’s first complete Ring under Angelo Neumann in 1883, shortly after the composer’s death in the Lagoon.

The Italian capital of Wagnerism remained Bologna. Between 1871 and 1914 the Teatro Comunale had Wagner 23 times on the programme, while Verdi appeared only twelve times. During the same period Bellini, Donizetti and Rossini made it only eleven times onto the programme. It cannot be denied that in this respect the Teatro Comunale differed from other Italian theatres – the theatres of provincial cities as well as the major houses of the peninsula. But even in Naples, from the 1860s onwards, almost every season had one or two non-Italian operas on the programme;
and not infrequently these ‘foreign’ operas represented the work that was most frequently performed during the season, like Halévy’s L’ébrea in 1871 with 26 performances, compared to only nine performances of Il Trovatore and four performances of La Traviata.\textsuperscript{62} Moreover, the fact that a selection of bel canto and Verdi figured prominently on the programmes of most theatres does not necessarily mean that they were the most popular among the audience. For many smaller and medium-sized theatres they represented the usual daily business of the company, often performed by mediocre casts and with sets that appeared rather démodé.\textsuperscript{63} As a consequence of the poor quality of many of the revivals, the highlights of the season were the few more exceptional works and the new productions. One of the most spectacular events in the history of La Scala was the first performance, in 1870, of Il Guarany by the Brazilian composer Carlos Gomes.\textsuperscript{64}

In Bologna, Lohengrin became the most frequently performed opera on the Comunale’s schedule, well ahead of La Traviata and with Tristan following in third place.\textsuperscript{65} And despite the cost involved in staging Wagner, the composer became a commercial success. In 1861 Ricordi refused Wagner a contract for the publication of his works in Italy, causing him to turn to Giovannina Lucca, who rather unexpectedly made a fortune with the German composer and became a major figure in Bayreuth circles. Lucca held the rights for the non-Italian composers David, Gounod, Halévy, Hérold, Meyerbeer, Flotow and Goldmark. Obviously, Ricordi’s Gazzetta had no interest in writing favourably about Wagner. Its dislike was expressed through references to italianità and loyalty to Verdi, which contributed to the 1873 fiasco of Lohengrin at La Scala. Subsequently, very much to Ricordi’s satisfaction, La Scala did not put any Wagner on until 1889.\textsuperscript{66} During that time the Comunale produced Tannhäuser in 1872, Rienzi in 1876, Der Fliegende Holländer the following year, its first complete Ring just days after Venice, in 1883, and Tristan, again in prima italiana, in 1888. Then Ricordi bought the publisher Lucca and his attitude to Wagner’s work changed.\textsuperscript{67}

Following a proposal by Bologna’s first Democratic mayor, Camillo Casarini, Richard Wagner and Angelo Mariani, who had conducted Italy’s first Lohengrin, were both given honorary citizenship. Wagner was elected a member of Bologna’s Accademia Filarmonica, for a long-time seen as the bulwark of musical tradition. In 1876 the city’s mayor Tacconi invited Wagner to visit Bologna and to attend a performance of Rienzi.\textsuperscript{68} A new production of Lohengrin, conducted by Luigi Mancinelli in 1882, ran for twenty performances. In addition to a performance of the Ring under Angelo Neumann and Anton Seidl in 1883, Wagner’s death was marked with Bologna’s own staging of Gotterdämmerung. Enrico Panzacchi spoke at the city’s official commemoration. The poet Giosuè Carducci described his experience of the music he heard on the occasion to his lover Dafne Gargioli in the following words:

‘Isoldes Liebestod is for me the most extraordinary music I have ever heard. What greatness, what longing … what holy pain! ‘Walkürenritt’: fantastic, beyond every human creation and at the same time a product of perfect technique. All this is a miracle. Finally, the opening of the Meistersinger, a treasure of music, not even to mention Tannhäuser…!’\textsuperscript{69}
Angelo Neumann described Bologna's performance of the *Ring* as a celebration for the *Meister* which went beyond anything he had ever seen in Germany. Based on a project Wagner himself had initiated shortly before his death, the *Ring* in Venice and Bologna was followed by performances in Rome, Turin and Trieste. The King attended the Roman Vorabend (*Rheingold*), Queen Margherita three of the four evenings. The Italian railway company supported the event with a 75% reduction on the transport cost for the entire cast. Five years later Bologna celebrated its Great Exhibition of 1888 with the Italian premiere of *Tristan*, conducted by Giuseppe Martucci, in the presence of the composers Arrigo Boito, Alfredo Catalani, Alberto Marchetti, Franco Faccio, the young Arturo Toscanini, the poets Giosuè Carducci and Gabriele D'Annunzio, the local Wagnerians Enrico Panzacchi, Luigi Torchi and Corrado Ricci, as well as diplomats, political representatives from all over Italy and the crème of the nation's musical press.

In contrast to our contemporary image of Wagner, in nineteenth-century Italy the composer was largely associated with the Dresden barricades of 1848, with the experience of political exile after the revolution, which he shared with so many Italian patriots of the Risorgimento, and with his Russian friend, the anarchist Mikhail Bakunin, who was deeply involved in the peninsula’s revolutionary politics. Italy understood Wagner’s theoretical texts on the ‘music of the future’ and the reform of the theatre as a response to and an application of Mazzini’s *Filosofia della musica* of 1836; and until the 1890s it was mostly the Democratic and Republican Left which promoted Wagnerism in Italy. However, beyond these political connotations, the Italian debate on the composer reflects the search for a response to the experience of modernity in aesthetic modernism, as illustrated by an article on *Lohengrin*, published in the *Gazzetta dell’Emilia* two days before the opera’s Italian premiere in Bologna:

‘It is beyond doubt that our epoch is characterised by the fermentation of ideas, of battles between the most disparate principles - an epoch of transition, what conducts us straight to the great question, which is if reaction will prevail or if progress reaches apotheosis.’

According to the *Gazzetta* ‘music of the future’ stood for the opposite of everything the ‘esclusivisti of the music of the past’ referred to. Everywhere in Europe the followers of Wagner saw themselves as an avant-garde, as ‘an intellectual cadre supporting works that it claimed were progressive and, by definition, controversial.’ Wagnerism was just as much celebrated in nineteenth-century Italy as it was in France, Belgium, England and Spain. The debate which arose in Italy from the confrontation with Wagner was not necessarily one about the music of a particular country or a particular composer, but about the concept of aesthetic progress and about the question whether the idea of progress and future could be associated with music. Wagner stood for a modernist aesthetic response to the experience of modern time, which contrasted with Italy’s lyric tradition and the habitual role of theatre in society. Modernity was perceived as a phenomenon which concerned Europe as a whole.

Traditionally, aesthetic debates in Italian music theory were primarily concerned with melody and rhythm, the horizontal dimension of music. By the same token,
participants in those debates often reacted with perplexity to the Germanic (and to some extent French) emphasis on developing music’s vertical dimension through experimentation with the harmonic system and the symphonic structure of sound. Owing to the changing social context of opera in nineteenth-century Italy, the aesthetic expectations associated with music-theatre changed after Unification. As the influential critic Filippo Filippi remarked with reference to Verdi’s *Un ballo in maschera* of 1859, the great music of the first half of the century had been superseded by a new musical style which better fitted modern times, ‘a brilliant reflex of the present civilisation, an eloquent and ideal commentary of our history’. For certain parts of the audience, as well as for the politicians in charge of the municipal theatres, the works of Rossini, Bellini and Donizetti no longer corresponded to the experience of societal change; and before long even Verdi only partly lived up to their expectations. The appeal of Wagner’s operas, as before those of Meyerbeer, lay in the fact that they did not correspond to any established genre of the Italian tradition. The *Rivista Bolognese di Scienze, Lettere, Arti e Scuole* claimed that the listener thirsts ‘for new things, new combinations, wishing to hear strong and innovative counterpoints, to be moved by original and unexpected melodramatic moments’, words that resemble the theoretical writings of Baudelaire, Nietzsche and Wagner on modern aesthetics. Thus, new political and cultural actors, men like Bologna’s Democratic mayor Camillo Casarini, the critic Enrico Panzacchi, the poet Gabriele D’Annunzio or the conductors Angelo Mariani and Giuseppe Martucci, were able to introduce Wagner as the composer of a new aesthetic, capable of generating meaning through new musical forms. *Musica del futuro* acquired a double meaning: on the one hand the term referred to a technically innovative apparatus; on the other, it stood for an aesthetic capable of making the contemporary experience of modernity meaningful. Modern time was marked by a sense that the past and the future no longer coincided. Through this new temporal dynamic the future was perceived as open and unpredictable. The music of the future had to be a music of infinity. It is only by exploring the reactions to both of these aspects of *musica del futuro* that we can fully understand this form of modernism in relation to Italy’s experience of changing times.

A year after the premiere of *Lohengrin* the Italian parliament discussed the state of the country’s music schools, with certain deputies voicing their concern about the presumed dominance of German innovation over Italian tradition. During the debate Casarini denounced Italy’s ‘ecstatic state of contemplative isolationism’ in everything regarding music. In his view Italy was out of step with the general progress of modern times. With reference to the ‘Italianissimi’ Rossini and Verdi’, he maintained that Italy’s musical genius had always been inspired by contacts with ideas from abroad. Consequently, one could not deprive Italian students and audiences of Meyerbeer, Beethoven, Gounod or Mozart, and of Haydn’s and Händel’s oratorios. As a matter of fact, there was no reason to fear that Italians were deprived of foreign musical influences. In 1893 the *Cronaca Wagneriana* listed a total of 993 performances of Wagner in Italy, including 705 performances of *Lohengrin*. By 1900 the opera had been staged ninety-three times in Italy, and not only at La Scala (1873) or the San Carlo (1881), but also in smaller theatres like Treviso (1885), Piacenza (1889), Genoa (1890) or Cagliari (1899), and in cities not far from Bologna,
like Ferrara (1889), Modena (1890) and Lugo (1900). The Teatro Massimo in Palermo presented its first Lohengrin within a year of its inauguration, in 1898. Since 1871 Bologna had seen six stagings of Italy's most popular Wagner opera during this period, not only at the Comunale (repeated in 1882, 1887, 1889), but also at the Teatro Brunetti (1884, 1891). Luigi Mancinelli included Viennese classic in his concerti populari, as well as works by Schumann, Brahms, French composers and extracts from Wagner's operas. Giuseppe Martucci, as director of Bologna's Liceo Musicale, introduced French, German as well as English and Irish composers. After the performance of Mendelssohn's Elias on the occasion of Bologna's Great Exhibition of 1888, the city witnessed in 1913 Bach's St. Matthew-Passion, performed by the Berlin Philharmonic and the Sing-Akademie. Even an early exponent of Italy's Political Catholicism like the architect Alfonso Rubbiani remained 'profoundly touched' by this Protestant music: 'This is not l'art pour l'art, but art for religion', he wrote.

Italy's rediscovery of historical music should not be misunderstood as aesthetic conservatism. The supporters of this trend were driven not only by an interest in the documentation of ancient music, but also in its aesthetics as an abstract means of expression which helped to formulate responses to the experience of modernity and aiming to establish a dialogic relation with Italy's own musical tradition. Italian modernism sought 'to mediate between the necessity of giving formal expression to the sense of alienation and futility of artistic practice', associated with the experience of modernity, 'and the desire to recuperate in a critical fashion the cultural tradition.'

The enthusiasts of ancient music were mostly those who at the same time introduced Italy to aesthetic modernism and the European avant-garde.

Italy's musical modernism clearly reached beyond Wagner. In 1905 Toscanini confronted Bologna with Humperdinck's Hänsel und Gretel, followed by stagings in Palermo and Genoa. Turin, in 1906, saw the Italian premiere of Strauss' Salome based on Oscar Wilde's drama, conducted by the composer himself. In 1908 the Italian premiere of Pelléas et Mélisande left a major impression on the Milanese audience; a similar success was Strauss' Rosenkavalier in 1911. Turin celebrated the 50th anniversary of Italy's Unification in 1911 with Claude Debussy. Bologna's autumn programme for the same year included Dukas' Arianna e Barbablù, on a libretto by Maeterlinck, and Mussorgsky's Godunov. Between 1901 and 1913 twenty-one Italian operas at the Comunale competed with nineteen 'foreign' works. During the same period the theatre presented five times an opera by Verdi, with altogether 39 performances, while Wagner appeared eleven times on the programme, with a total of 122 performances. Remarkably, it gave an opera by Puccini only twice: Tosca in 1900 and Madama Butterfly in 1905. During the Carnival 1894-95 La Scala presented six French operas: Reyer's Sigurd, Bizet's Pescatori, Manon and Werther by Massenet, Sansone by Saint-Saëns and Paladilhe's Patria. Bologna followed Naples in its discovery of Bizet, a trend soon picked up by a number of smaller theatres. In 1896 Milan premiered Henry VIII by Camille Saint-Saëns, followed by his Hélène in 1903. Samson reached Genoa in 1896, Bologna in 1899 and Palermo in 1907. Also at La Scala foreign imports outnumbered native composers and Milan's major prose theatres preferred French to Italian works. Paris during the fin-de-siècle is often considered a European and
cosmopolitan capital of culture. However, while Massenet was occasionally able to dominate the repertoire in France, Verdi never came close to a similar position in Italy. Italian culture during the decades around the turn of the century was profoundly cosmopolitan. Contrary to claims emphasising the role of opera in the nationalisation of European society, internationalisation was an important aspect of opera’s aesthetic as well as its commercial success.96

Shortly before his death Wagner had determined that for thirty years his Festspielhaus should have the exclusive right to perform his last opera, Parsifal, a rule broken only by stagings in London (1884) and New York (1903 in German; 1904 in Yiddish).97 On the earliest permissible occasion, the 1st of January 1914, Bologna vied with Rome to present the first Italian Parsifal, a major event in the history of opera in Italy. Bologna got ahead only thanks to the decision to start the performance a few hours earlier, but it was beaten by Barcelona, which opened its Parsifal, fashioned as a Catalan national opera, at midnight on New Year’s Eve.98 Milan presented its Parsifal on the 9th of January. By this time the association of Wagner with the avant-garde had become less obvious, also in Italy. The Futurists rejected Parsifal for its historical theme as well as its aesthetic techniques. Admirers of Wagner in their early years, by the time of World War One Giovanni Papini and Filippo Tommaso Marinetti shouted ‘down with Wagner; long live Stravinsky!’99

However, Bologna could still claim to be Italy’s capital of musical modernism. Balilla Pratella introduced his own understanding of futurismo with his opera La Sina d’Vargòun, premiered at the Comunale in 1909.100 The following year Ottorino Respighi, a pupil of Martucci and Rimsky-Korsakov, presented his opera Semirama in Bologna. Rimsky-Korsakov’s own opera The Golden Cockerel had been premiered at Turin’s Teatro Regio. Shortly before its spectacular Parsifal, Bologna appointed Ferruccio Busoni as director of the Liceo Musicale. His appointment was perceived as a radical opening towards new aesthetic horizons, serving as another example for the international orientation of Italy’s musical life during the period.101 In 1907 Busoni had published the first edition of Entwurf einer neuen Ästhetik der Tonkunst, a manifesto for a musical avant-garde.102 His opera Die Brautwahl had been presented in Hamburg, a city at the time widely associated with aesthetic modernism. At the Musikhochschule in Berlin he later prepared the ground for Arnold Schönberg’s appointment. Not only was Italy’s musical life open towards the wider world, Italy’s musical avant-garde, before World War One, enjoyed wide recognition well beyond the peninsula. Gustav Mahler, during his last concert at Carnegie Hall in New York, shortly before his death, conducted the world premiere of Busoni’s Berceuse élégiaque, Martucci’s second piano concerto, Leone Sinigalia’s Ouverture Le barouffe Chiozzotte and the Intermezzi Goldoniani by Marco Enrico Bossi.103

Italy’s musical modernism was understood as Futurism long before an aesthetic movement using this term established itself in Italy. And before Futurism became linked with aggressive nationalism, Italian aesthetic concepts of the future were European and cosmopolitan. The aesthetic concepts of Ardengo Soffici, Papini and Prezzolini were rooted in their experience of intellectual and artistic life in fin-de-siècle Paris. As late as 1910 anarchists and syndicalists, who were generally sympathetic towards the Futurists, interrupted a lecture by Filippo Tommaso Marinetti
in Milan with a chorus of *Evviva l’internazionalismo*.

Openly discussing moments of crisis at home, Italians fostered their new identity through the embrace of an artistic and intellectual culture which they perceived as modern, but no longer as ‘foreign’. The Italian engagement with (and assimilation of) European modernism obviously provoked intellectual and political controversy. Since the turn of the century, in line with the nationalistic credo of the time, certain sections of the avant-garde continuously referred to concepts of Italian primacy. However, the image of *fine secolo* Italy as a nation enclosed in its own traditions, obsessed with Verdi and proud of a history which set them apart from the rest of Europe, is utterly misleading.

The European experience of modernity gave rise to a number of aesthetically different modernisms. The engagement with and assimilation of modernism in the arts implies that recipients undertake subjective appropriations of the modernisms circulating in Europe, depending upon the particular socio-political context in which modernity is experienced. Esra Akcan speaks in this context about *translation*, a conceptual framework pointing to ‘interaction’ as well as to ‘mutual dependence’ between different national contexts. The trans-nationality of both modernity and modernism continuously produces ‘new hybrids and dialectical relations’, with the result that ‘the definition of the local is always in flux’.

Modernism was international and trans-national, but did not speak a single language, even when it was concerned with the same object of symbolic representation: the same modern opera or style could have different meanings depending upon the social context of its location. More specifically, in Bologna in 1871 the music of *Lohengrin* represented a stronger ‘antithesis’ of the past than was the case in Germany or France, where by that time the repertoire – including Wagner’s own operas - had already evolved in a different direction. The perceived modernist content is always dependent on the specific context of reception. Ernst Bloch’s concept of *Ungleichzeitigkeit* or non-synchronicity, as well as recent work on Metamorphic Modernism (Russia), Provincial Modernities (Hamburg) or Multiple and / or Alternative Modernities (in the case of Fascist Italy or in Latin-America), presents similar phenomena.

Within this perspective, the historiographical tradition of depicting Italian society as a deviation from general European developments, or as a people wholly absorbed in its own traditions, seems barely plausible. Italy’s intellectual life at the turn of the century was at one with the wider European experience of modernity. When examining Italian identity, not only do we have to take account of regional diversity and strong municipal traditions, but also we should appreciate that Italian culture engaged closely with wider European experiences. Challenging the stereotypical idea of a nation obsessed with its own tradition and absorbed in its music, Italy’s political, intellectual and cultural transformation during the nineteenth century was closely linked to the European experience of modernity, reflected in philosophical attempts at making modern change meaningful, in aesthetic debates and in particular in Italy’s cosmopolitan approach to music and opera, an art-form which since the eighteenth century had occupied a central position in Italians’ cultural self-representation.

---
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