Monodromy of the LiNC/NCLi molecule
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Abstract

Using the potential surface of Essers, Tennyson, and Wörner in [Chem. Phys. Lett. 89 (1982) 223] we show that the system of bending vibrational states of the isomerizing molecule LiNC/NCLi has monodromy. On the basis of a deformed spherical pendulum model we explain dynamical and geometric reasons of this phenomenon and of its absence in the similar system HCN/CNH.

Monodromy is an interesting property of certain quantum systems whose levels cannot be labelled globally by one set of quantum numbers. More precisely, even though such systems have no obvious subsystems, each with its own set of quantum numbers, quantized energy cannot be expressed as one smooth function of any quantum numbers. Dynamical meaning of this phenomenon can be uncovered [1,2] by analyzing monodromy of the corresponding classical analogue. For the details of the classical theory see [3] and references therein.

Monodromy is not uncommon. It has been found in several fundamental atomic and molecular systems, notably the perturbed hydrogen atom [4], Stark effect in rotating dipolar molecules [5], rotating quasi-linear triatomic molecules [6], systems with coupled angular momenta [7], H$_2^+$ [8]. Floppy triatomic molecules with linear equilibrium configuration(s) is another candidate
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for a system with monodromy [9]. In this letter we describe monodromy of LiNC/NCLI. We compare this system to HCN/CNH, which we have analyzed recently in [10].

Our study of LiNC/NCLI uses the two-dimensional potential [14] which gives the energy of the LiNC configurations as function of the bending angle $\gamma$ and Li-to-NC stretch distance $R$ for the length $r$ of the N-C bond fixed at 2.186 bohr. We assume approximate separability of the two degrees of freedom corresponding to overall rotations of the molecule about the two axes which are roughly orthogonal to the N-C axis and we only consider states without such rotations. For these states the total angular momentum $J$ equals the angular momentum $\ell$ induced by the rotations of Li about axis N-C. The other bending degree of freedom is described by $\gamma$, and the remaining third degree is stretching vibrations of $R$. We find the minimum energy path $R(\gamma)$ and consider small stretching oscillations about this path. The frequency of these oscillations and the bending mode frequencies are incommensurate. This allows us to average the stretching mode out using the quantum analogue [13] of the canonical perturbation theory [11,12] and introduce stretching quantum number $n_R$. We obtain the reduced effective bending mode Hamiltonian $H_{\ell,n_R}(p_{\gamma}, \gamma)$ and use it for the ground stretching state with $n_R = 0$. We study all $\ell$-states and the advantage of our approach is that the value of quantum number $\ell$ is predefined.
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**Fig. 1.** Energy-momentum diagram of the LiNC/NCLI system computed for the potential in [14]. Filled and hollow circles show levels attributed to the LiNC and NCLI minimums; bold solid lines show energies of classical relative equilibria.

Using $H_{\ell}(p_{\gamma}, \gamma)$ we computed quantum energies for each $\ell$. The energies (of the $\ell = 0$ band origins?) are in good agreement with full quantum calculations in [15] and this justifies our approach (at least for small $\ell$). We also found
energies of classical *relative equilibria* which correspond to Li rotating about
the axis of N-C at constant $\gamma$ and can be found as equilibria of the classical
analogue system with Hamiltonian $H_{\ell}(p_{\gamma}, \gamma)$ for $\ell \neq 0$. For $\ell = 0$ we have two
stable linear equilibria of which LiNC is the lowest in energy and a barrier
separating the two wells.

Results of our computation are presented in the form of the *energy–momentum
diagram* shown in fig. 1. This diagram should be considered as a two-leaf
surface. The leaves are bounded by the energies of relative equilibria. In fig. 1,
the smaller NCLI leaf and the larger LiNC leaf are shaded white and gray
respectively. The NCLI leaf is closed, while the LiNC leaf is unbounded from
above. The NCLI leaf is *glued* along its upper boundary to the LiNC leaf.
This latter has therefore a critical “cut” surrounded by regular values of the
energy–momentum map.

The energies of quantum states form a *lattice* in each of the leaves. As the
labels imply, the two leaves represent states localized near the LiNC and NCLI
equilibria, but the LiNC leaf also includes delocalized states which correspond
to Li making complete tours in $\gamma$ about NC. While NCLI states are all “locked”
in their leaf, there is nothing to separate localized LiNC states from delocalized
states at sufficiently high $\ell$. Of course, the energy–momentum diagram has
only two dimensions and the two leaves and their lattices are shown overlapped
in fig. 1.

We determine the monodromy of LiNC/NCLI using the *elementary cell* method
of Zhilinskiĭ [7] which blends the initial approach in [1,2] and the methods used
to describe the defects of crystal lattices. We begin by choosing a nearly square
elementary cell of the LiNC lattice at $\ell = 0$ and energy $E \approx 4000$ cm$^{-1}$, i.e.,
above the singular cut, see fig. 1. This cell reflects our choice of *local quantum
numbers*, it defines neighbour states whose one or both quantum numbers differ
from those of the given state by 1. We *continue* our elementary cell along
the path which goes counterclockwise around the cut in *small steps*. Note that
in the overlap area of the LiNC and NCLI leaves, we can only use LiNC states
(black dots in fig. 1). At each step the next cell is defined unambiguously by
the current cell, i.e., by the current choice of local quantum numbers. However,
when we come back to $\ell = 0$ and $E \approx 4000$ cm$^{-1}$ after one tour, the final cell
\( \sqcup \) does *not* correspond to the original cell \( \Box \). The transformation is given by
the monodromy matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, the monodromy is 1 (the off-diagonal
element of $M$). Note that a very similar phenomenon has been recently studied
in [8], where it was somewhat misleadingly called “island monodromy”.

The origins of monodromy of LiNC/NCLI can be best understood after com-
paring the bending motion of LiNC/NCLI to that of the spherical pendulum
in [3, Chap. IV.3]. This latter can be represented as a motion of a particle placed in a linear potential $V(z) = z$, e.g., in the field of gravity, and constrained to a sphere. It has one stable equilibrium at the bottom of the sphere that corresponds to the minimum energy and one unstable equilibrium; both equilibria are at $\ell = 0$. In the image of the energy-momentum map, the unstable equilibrium together with all its homoclinic orbits is represented by an isolated critical point, shown by a black circle dot in fig. 2, left.

Fig. 2. Energy-momentum values (left to right) of the spherical pendulum [3, Chap. IV.3], LiNC/NCLi ($\epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$), this work, and HCN/CNH ($\epsilon > \frac{1}{3}$) [10].

Comparing the above to the molecular systems LiNC/NCLi or HCN/CNH, we notice two principal differences: the potential and the "shape". Both linear equilibria of these molecules are stable. This can be described qualitatively if the linear potential of the pendulum is replaced by a quadratic potential $V(z) = z + cz^2$. The shape is given by the distance $R$ between Li (or H) and the CN diatom at different values of the bending angle $\gamma$ along the minimum energy path of the potential surface. In the spherical pendulum $R$ is fixed. As shown in fig. 3, the molecules are shaped more like a peanut because Li (or H) can get closer to the diatom in the T-configuration when $\gamma \approx \frac{1}{2}\pi$. We can model such shape using $R(\gamma) = R_{\text{max}}(1 - \epsilon \sin^2 \gamma)$ where $\epsilon \geq 0$ is the asphericity parameter.

Fig. 3. Geometry of the HCN/CNH and LiNC/NCLi systems computed using the potentials in [16] and [14] respectively.
When the deformation of the original spherical pendulum system is small, i.e., for \( \epsilon \) small compared to 1, the energy-momentum map should not change a lot. Concrete computations [10] show that the isolated singular point (fig. 2, left) becomes a small triangular “island” leaf (fig. 2, center) glued to the main leaf along its upper boundary as we already explained before. However, when \( \epsilon > \frac{1}{3} \) this new leaf, which represents the motion localized near the second stable linear equilibrium, becomes unbound from above at sufficiently high \( \ell \) (fig. 2, right). At such large \( \epsilon \) the singular cut, along which the two leaves are glued together, is no longer a finite segment. This cut now separates the energy–momentum values of the motion localized near the equilibria from those of the delocalized motion (“rotation” about the diatom). As a result, we can no longer loop around the cut in the domain of the regular energy–momentum values, as we did for LiNC/NCLi (fig. 1). Consequently, systems with \( \epsilon > \frac{1}{3} \) do not have monodromy.

The value of \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{3} \) has a remarkably simple geometric meaning. At this value, the shape of the system bifurcates so that for \( \epsilon > \frac{1}{3} \) the molecule develops a “waste”. A simple rough estimate gives \( \epsilon = 0.26 \) for LiCN and \( \epsilon = 0.36 \) for HCN. Indeed, the HCN/CNH system (fig. 3, left) has a small waste, while LiNC/CN of LiCN does not have convexity. So the conclusion in [10] that the HCN/CNH molecule has no monodromy (fig. 2, right) comes as no surprise.

In the present letter, we have considered the vibrational subsystem of LiNC that includes two stretching and two bending degrees of freedom and have shown that this subsystem has monodromy. Before extending this statement to the complete system, we should investigate the interaction between these degrees of freedom and the two remaining degrees related to overall rotations of the molecule. In our treatment based on [11–13] we neglected these rotations by excluding the respective part of the kinetic energy. While this might be an appropriate approximation in HCN/CNH where H does not influence significantly the inertia tensor, it becomes more problematic in LiNC/NCLi because Li is heavier and moves at larger distances. The complete rotation–vibration system requires, therefore, further study.

As the number of known fundamental quantum systems with monodromy grows, it becomes important to understand how this phenomenon can be manifested and exploited experimentally. Such study involves additional theoretical expertise in semiclassical theory, wavepacket techniques, and geometric phase, as well as knowledge of modern experimental methods in the time domain.
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