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Clinical value of tone burst vestibular evoked myogenic potentials at threshold in acute and stable Ménière’s disease

V Osei-Lah, B Ceranic*, L M Luxon

Abstract
Introduction: The objectives of this preliminary, prospective, cohort study were to ascertain the characteristics of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials at threshold levels in two groups of Ménière’s disease patients – acute and stable – and to identify whether vestibular evoked myogenic potentials can provide any specific, objective information to distinguish acute from stable Ménière’s disease.

Subjects and methods: The study was based at a tertiary neuro-otology centre. Twenty adult patients who fulfilled the American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery criteria for Ménière’s disease were divided into two groups: 11 patients with acute Ménière’s disease and nine patients with stable Ménière’s disease. Eighteen healthy adult volunteers served as controls. All subjects underwent vestibular evoked myogenic potential testing with ipsilateral, short tone burst stimuli at 500 Hz, as well as pure tone audiometry. The patients also underwent caloric testing.

Results: Vestibular evoked myogenic potentials were present in all controls, and were present in 65 per cent of patients but absent in 35 per cent. The mean absolute threshold (T vestibular evoked myogenic potential) ± standard deviation in normal controls was 116 ± 7.7 dBSPL; this did not differ statistically from that in patients, nor did it differ between acute and stable Ménière’s disease. The p13/n23 latencies at the threshold levels in the normal, acute and stable groups (mean ± standard deviation) were respectively: 15 ± 2.2 ms/23.0 ± 2.5 ms; 15.7 ± 0.9 ms/23.7 ± 0.9 ms; and 15.3 ± 2.0 ms/24.2 ± 1.9 ms. The mean interaural amplitude difference ratio (IAD) ± standard deviation was significantly higher in the stable group compared with the acute group (0.54 ± 0.33 vs 0.15 ± 0.22; p = 0.007) and with the controls (0.54 ± 0.33 vs 0.1 ± 0.22; p = 0.05).

Conclusions: The parameter that best differentiated acute from stable Ménière’s disease at threshold was the interaural amplitude difference ratio. Therefore, this parameter may be used to monitor the clinical course of Ménière’s disease.
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Introduction
The recording of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials is emerging as a useful tool in clinical vestibular practice. Clinical and experimental studies have suggested that vestibular evoked myogenic potentials are generated by saccular afferents in response to loud acoustic stimuli, and may be recorded by surface electrodes on a tonically contracted sternocleidomastoid muscle. The putative pathway for ipsilateral vestibular evoked myogenic potential is the saccule, inferior vestibular nerve, lateral vestibular nucleus, lateral vestibulospinal tract and the sternocleidomastoid muscle (Figure 1).

Ménière’s disease is an inner-ear disorder with an entirely unpredictable clinical course. The pathophysiology is unknown, although endolymphatic hydrops is believed to be the main histopathological correlate. The disease in its typical form is characterised by acute phases (exacerbation), with attacks of aural fullness, vertigo, tinnitus and hearing loss, separated by variable periods of remission (stable phase). The underlying mechanism for such a clinical course is unclear. Furthermore, at present, several tests are used to characterise Ménière’s disease, including the caloric test, electronystagmography, electrocochleography and osmotic tests. However, results are highly variable,
such that no one test is diagnostic. Moreover, all these tests may cause some degree of discomfort.

Vestibular evoked myogenic potentials are thought to provide specific information about the saccule, which, according to histological studies, is the most common site of morphological alteration in the vestibular labyrinth.\(^4\,5\) Thus, the recording of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials in normal subjects and in patients with Ménière’s disease, and also compared results for patients in the acute and stable phases of the disease.

There have been a number of reports on vestibular evoked myogenic potentials in Ménière’s disease, with variable results.

De Waele et al.\(^6\) studied 59 patients with Ménière’s disease and reported absent vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (in response to clicks) in 54 per cent of patients; this result correlated with the degree of low frequency hearing loss and poor postural performance, but not with canal paresis.

Seo et al.\(^7\) reported a case of saccular hydrops in a patient presenting with non-rotatory disequilibrium, in which a depressed vestibular evoked myogenic potential amplitude recovered to normal after furosemide administration. The same group\(^8\) subsequently demonstrated an increase in p13-n23 amplitude in seven of 18 cases and the reappearance of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials in three out of seven cases after furosemide loading. These results suggest that reversal of reflex attenuation is due to reduction of saccular hydrops following diuresis. However, in those in whom vestibular evoked myogenic potentials remained absent after furosemide loading, severe hydrops might have caused irreversible saccular degeneration.

Detection of either absent or reduced vestibular evoked myogenic potential amplitudes has been widely reported.\(^9\,12\) Kuo et al.\(^13\) reported abnormal vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (absent or depressed) in eight out of 12 Ménière’s disease patients within 24 hours of an acute attack; however, in four of those patients, vestibular evoked myogenic potentials returned to normal after 48 hours. These authors postulated that abnormal vestibular evoked myogenic potentials were due to saccular hydrops affecting oscillation of the endolymph, whereas the reappearance of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials could be attributed to drainage of the saccular hydrops.

Although most studies have focussed on amplitude changes, recent reports indicate that threshold is probably a more reliable measure of vestibular evoked myogenic potential changes in Ménière’s disease.\(^14\,15\) Lin et al.\(^15\) reported elevated mean vestibular evoked myogenic potential thresholds in affected ears and also, to a lesser extent, in contralateral asymptomatic ears, compared with normal controls. They concluded that vestibular evoked myogenic potentials could be used to predict contralateral ear involvement and eventual bilateral Ménière’s disease.

The distinct characteristics of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials in acute and stable Ménière’s disease are unknown. Therefore, this study examined vestibular evoked myogenic potentials in normal subjects and in patients with Ménière’s disease, and also compared results for patients in the acute and stable phases of the disease.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Twenty patients who fulfilled the American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery\(^1\) criteria for Ménière’s disease, and who attended a tertiary neuro-otology clinic at the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, were recruited for the study. All patients were interviewed to obtain a full clinical history and underwent a full clinical neuro-otological examination. The acute (exacerbation) group (11 patients) consisted of those who had had an attack in the preceding three months and were still symptomatic. The stable (remission) group (nine patients) had been free of attacks and symptoms for more than three months. Eighteen healthy volunteers (36 ears) aged between 18 and 60 years, with no history of neurological or otological disease, acted as controls.

The study was approved by the joint ethics committee of the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery and the Institute of Neurology.

Methods

Audiovestibular tests. Pure tone audiometry was performed in all subjects.\(^16\) The patients also underwent immittance audiometry,\(^16\) transient evoked
Recording of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials. The sternocleidomastoid muscle was used as the target muscle. Subjects were tested while laying on a couch with the head rest adjusted 30° to the horizontal plane. Surface electromyography (EMG) was recorded by attaching Ag/AgCl electrodes on the mid-point of the sternocleidomastoid muscle (active), the mid-clavicle (negative) and the upper sternum (ground). From the recumbent position, subjects were instructed to lift their head up, in order to activate both sternocleidomastoid muscles symmetrically; a 500 Hz short tone burst (1 ms rise and fall, 2 ms plateau) was then delivered via TDH 49 calibrated headphones (Telephonics Corporation, New York, New York, USA), mono-aurally at a rate of 4.7 Hz, using the Medelec Synergy EMG/EP system (Oxford Instruments Limited, Surrey, UK). Tone bursts instead of clicks were used because the former involved less scatter of energy at suprathreshold level. A frequency of 500 Hz was used because this is the frequency reported to best differentiate normal from abnormal responses at threshold. Electromyographic signals were amplified, band pass filtered between 20 and 2000 Hz, and sampled for 100 ms. Two hundred stimuli were averaged for each test run. The stimulus was delivered at 130 dBSPL to elicit the initial positive (p13) and negative (n23) waves ipsilateral to the stimulus (Figure 2). The stimulus was then reduced in 5 dB steps and the minimum intensity required to elicit a p13-n23 wave was taken to be the threshold of stimulation, designated Tvestibular evoked myogenic response (VEMP) was normal from abnormal responses at threshold.

Vestibular evoked myogenic potential results

The mean ± SD threshold (Vvestibular evoked myogenic potential) for the controls (36 ears) was 116 ± 7.7 dBSPHL (± 104.5 dBnHL). Vestibular evoked myogenic potentials were present in 13 (65 per cent) of the 20 affected ears. Of the seven (35 per cent) affected ears without a measurable vestibular evoked myogenic potential, two had acute disease and five had stable disease. Thus, vestibular evoked myogenic potentials were recorded in 81 per cent of the acute group but in only 44 per cent of the stable group. There was no correlation between the duration of Ménière’s disease and the presence or absence of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials. The mean ± SD Vvestibular evoked myogenic potential for the affected ears in the acute and stable groups were respectively 118 ± 7.9 dBSPHL and 120 ± 4.0 dBSPHL. The same parameter in non-affected patient ears was 117 ± 5.3 dBSPHL. There was no statistical difference in Vvestibular evoked myogenic potential between acute and stable patients, control ears and affected ears, and control ears and non-affected ears (Table II).

Twelve patients underwent vestibular evoked myogenic potential testing for both sides (affected and non-affected). Of these, vestibular evoked myogenic potentials were absent bilaterally in three, absent on...
the diseased side only in two and absent on the non-diseased side in a further two patients. Thus, in five patients, vestibular evoked myogenic potentials were absent in the non-affected ear.

The mean ± SD p13 and n23 latencies at threshold in the controls were respectively 15 ± 2.2 ms and 23 ± 2.5 ms. Statistically, these results did not differ from the respective results for the affected ears in the acute group (15.7 ± 0.9 ms and 23.7 ± 0.9 ms), the stable group (15.3 ± 2.0 ms and 24.2 ± 1.9 ms) or the non-affected Ménière’s disease ears (15.1 ± 0.8 ms and 23.6 ± 1.1 ms). Although absolute latencies in the patients were within normal limits, p13 latency was shorter with longer duration of Ménière’s disease (R = −0.73; p = 0.004) (Figure 3).

The mean ± SD corrected amplitudes (the ratio of tonic EMG and amplitude) did not differ between groups, being 1.7 ± 0.5, 1.9 ± 0.5 and 2.5 ± 1.1 for normal controls, acute ears and stable affected ears, respectively. The mean ± SD interaural amplitude difference ratios (i.e. right to left amplitude difference divided by the sum of amplitudes) for controls and for acute and stable Ménière’s disease affected ears were respectively 0.1 ± 0.22, −0.15 ± 0.22 and 0.54 ± 0.33. The mean ± SD interaural amplitude difference ratio was significantly higher in the stable group compared with the acute group (0.54 ± 0.33 vs −0.15 ± 0.22; p = 0.007) and with the controls (0.54 ± 0.33 vs 0.1 ± 0.22; p = 0.05). Interaural threshold difference and interaural threshold difference ratios were determined for all groups, but differences did not reach statistical significance.

**Discussion**

This study compared vestibular evoked myogenic potential characteristics at threshold levels in patients with acute and stable Ménière’s disease, using 500 Hz short tone burst stimuli. It has been widely reported that vestibular evoked myogenic potentials are frequency-sensitive in healthy individuals, as reflected in the ‘frequency tuning curves’. Although Todd et al. reported maximum vestibular evoked myogenic potential amplitude responses of between 300 and 350 Hz, the optimum frequency of the tone burst in healthy adults has been reported to be 500 Hz. In the study by Rauch et al., poorer tuning was apparent in Ménière’s disease patients at 500 Hz, and this was the frequency that best differentiated normal from diseased subjects. However, this ‘best’ frequency can lie between 500 and 1000 Hz or between 500 and 700 Hz. The
alteration in tuning and threshold shift in Ménière’s disease has been attributed to the presumed hydropic distension of the saccule.\textsuperscript{23}

In this study, vestibular evoked myogenic potential was 116 ± 7.7 dB SPL. The threshold vestibular evoked myogenic potential response in healthy adults ranges between 110\textsuperscript{19} and 114.4 dB SPL.\textsuperscript{22} The apparent differences may be due to differences in methodology. Studies reporting threshold changes in Ménière’s disease are few. In contrast to the present study, in which there was no statistically significant vestibular evoked myogenic potential difference between the ears of healthy volunteers and the affected and non-affected ears of Ménière’s disease patients, Rauch et al.\textsuperscript{19} reported significantly elevated thresholds both in the affected and unaffected ears of Ménière’s disease patients, compared with normal subjects. Lin et al.,\textsuperscript{15} from the same centre, reported an elevated mean vestibular evoked myogenic potential threshold in affected ears and also, to a lesser extent, in the contralateral asymmetric ears, compared with normal controls. These authors concluded that the vestibular evoked myogenic potential threshold can be used to predict contralateral ear involvement and eventual bilateral Ménière’s disease; they also emphasised the importance of multifrequency testing to study the frequency tuning characteristics in Ménière’s disease.

In the present study, the vestibular evoked myogenic potential parameter that best differentiated the three groups was the interaural amplitude difference ratio. This ratio was 0.10 ± 0.22 for controls, −0.15 ± 0.22 for acute Ménière’s disease affected ears and 0.54 ± 0.33 for stable Ménière’s disease affected ears. In the literature, there is no information on values using tone burst, but for click-evoked vestibular evoked myogenic potential, a normal interaural amplitude difference ratio range of 0.14 ± 0.11 (mean ± 1 SD) has been reported.\textsuperscript{9} These same authors reported a positive correlation between interaural amplitude difference ratios and the stage of disease, i.e. the higher the stage, the higher the ratio. This was not the case in our study, probably due to the small sample size. We found that the interaural amplitude difference ratio was significantly higher in stable disease when compared with acute disease (\(p = 0.007\)) and with controls (\(p = 0.05\)). Although the number of patients in our study was small, the interaural amplitude difference ratio appears to be a promising parameter for monitoring Ménière’s disease progression; further research is needed to refine its clinical utility.

Rauch et al.,\textsuperscript{14} reported that, in unilateral Ménière’s disease, the interaural threshold difference correctly assigned side-of-disease in 85 per cent of cases. In this study, the interaural threshold difference did not vary between groups, probably due to differences in methodology and sample size.

The apparent differences may be due to differences in methodology. Studies reporting threshold changes in Ménière’s disease are few. In contrast to the present study, in which there was no statistically significant vestibular evoked myogenic potential difference between the ears of healthy volunteers and the affected and non-affected ears of Ménière’s disease patients, Rauch et al.\textsuperscript{19} reported significantly elevated thresholds both in the affected and unaffected ears of Ménière’s disease patients, compared with normal subjects. Lin et al.,\textsuperscript{15} from the same centre, reported an elevated mean vestibular evoked myogenic potential threshold in affected ears and also, to a lesser extent, in the contralateral asymmetric ears, compared with normal controls. These authors concluded that the vestibular evoked myogenic potential threshold can be used to predict contralateral ear involvement and eventual bilateral Ménière’s disease; they also emphasised the importance of multifrequency testing to study the frequency tuning characteristics in Ménière’s disease.

In the present study, the vestibular evoked myogenic potential parameter that best differentiated the three groups was the interaural amplitude difference ratio. This ratio was 0.10 ± 0.22 for controls, −0.15 ± 0.22 for acute Ménière’s disease affected ears and 0.54 ± 0.33 for stable Ménière’s disease affected ears. In the literature, there is no information on values using tone burst, but for click-evoked vestibular evoked myogenic potential, a normal interaural amplitude difference ratio range of 0.14 ± 0.11 (mean ± 1 SD) has been reported.\textsuperscript{9} These same authors reported a positive correlation between interaural amplitude difference ratios and the stage of disease, i.e. the higher the stage, the higher the ratio. This was not the case in our study, probably due to the small sample size. We found that the interaural amplitude difference ratio was significantly higher in stable disease when compared with acute disease (\(p = 0.007\)) and with controls (\(p = 0.05\)). Although the number of patients in our study was small, the interaural amplitude difference ratio appears to be a promising parameter for monitoring Ménière’s disease progression; further research is needed to refine its clinical utility.
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In the present study, the vestibular evoked myogenic potential parameter that best differentiated the three groups was the interaural amplitude difference ratio. This ratio was 0.10 ± 0.22 for controls, −0.15 ± 0.22 for acute Ménière’s disease affected ears and 0.54 ± 0.33 for stable Ménière’s disease affected ears. In the literature, there is no information on values using tone burst, but for click-evoked vestibular evoked myogenic potential, a normal interaural amplitude difference ratio range of 0.14 ± 0.11 (mean ± 1 SD) has been reported.\textsuperscript{9} These same authors reported a positive correlation between interaural amplitude difference ratios and the stage of disease, i.e. the higher the stage, the higher the ratio. This was not the case in our study, probably due to the small sample size. We found that the interaural amplitude difference ratio was significantly higher in stable disease when compared with acute disease (\(p = 0.007\)) and with controls (\(p = 0.05\)). Although the number of patients in our study was small, the interaural amplitude difference ratio appears to be a promising parameter for monitoring Ménière’s disease progression; further research is needed to refine its clinical utility.
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Rauch et al.,\textsuperscript{14} reported that, in unilateral Ménière’s disease, the interaural threshold difference correctly assigned side-of-disease in 85 per cent of cases. In this study, the interaural threshold difference did not vary between groups, probably due to differences in methodology and sample size.
conflicting reports as to whether, this occurs in Ménière’s disease. Spoendlin et al.29 and Kitamura et al.30 reported degeneration and decrease in vestibular nerve fibres, whereas Ylikoski et al.30 and Kita-
mura et al.31 found no such evidence.

Conclusion

The recording of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials is an emerging, non-invasive vestibular function test which can be applied to Ménière’s disease. The interaural amplitude difference ratio appears to be a valuable parameter which can be used to monitor the clinical course of the disease, and in particular to identify the acute phase of Ménière’s disease so as to guide appropriate treatment. However, in order to refine its clinical utility and to recommend its routine use in Ménière’s disease, large, prospective, controlled, longitudinal vestibular evoked myogenic potential studies (during different phases of Ménière’s disease) are required, in order to determine the sensitivity and specificity of the various vestibular evoked myogenic potential parameters.
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