Abundance of cell bodies can explain the stick model’s failure in grey matter at high b-value
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Synopsis

This work investigates the validity of the stick model used in diffusion-weighted MRI for modelling cellular projections in brain tissue. We hypothesise that the model will fail to describe the signals from grey matter due to an abundance of cell bodies. Using high b-value (≥3 ms/μm²) data from rat and human brain, we show that the assumption fails for grey matter. Using diffusion simulation in realistic digital models of neurons/glia, we demonstrate the breakdown of the assumption can be explained by the presence of cell bodies. Our findings suggest that high b-value data may be used to probe cell bodies.

Introduction

We examine the validity of a standard assumption in modelling the relationship between brain tissue microstructure and diffusion-weighted MRI (DW-MRI) data. Specifically, from the water diffusion standpoint, it has been conjectured that the neuronal and glial projections (neurites) can be modelled as sticks⁴,⁵. If this stick model is valid, then the decay of the direction-averaged normalized signal, S, at high b values (b>3 ms/μm²) is expected to follow the distinctive behaviour⁴,⁵:

\[
S(b) \approx f_{\text{intra}} \left( \frac{4}{\pi D_{\text{intra}}} \right)^{1/2} \text{erf} \left( \frac{bD_{\text{intra}}^{1/2}}{12} \right) + \gamma \approx \beta b^\gamma + \gamma, \quad \text{with } \alpha \approx -1/2 \text{ and } \beta, \gamma \geq 0 \quad (1)
\]

where \( f_{\text{intra}} \) and \( D_{\text{intra}} \) are the intra-neurites volume fraction and (axial) diffusivity, respectively, \( \beta \propto f_{\text{intra}}/D_{\text{intra}}^{1/2} \) and \( \gamma \) fraction of fully restricted water. The extra-neurites contribution is assumed to decay exponentially faster than the intra-neurites one, and can be neglected for large b⁵,⁶. Testing the validity of the power-law (1) is challenging, because high b values over a few orders of magnitude are required. While recent studies⁵,⁶ suggested that relation (1) may hold in white matter (WM), a distinctly faster decay in grey matter (GM) was observed, with \( \alpha < -1/2 \) and/or \( \gamma < 0 \). We hypothesise that cell bodies, namely soma, may be responsible for this observed violation. We assess the role of size/density of soma in these experimental findings using numerical simulations and a comparison with experimental data from rat and human brain.

Methods

Numerical simulations. Diffusion simulation in realistic digital models of dendritic structures were first conducted to investigate the influence of soma size/density \( f_{\text{soma}} / f_{\text{soma}} \) in a controlled fashion. Detailed three-dimensional geometries were constructed to mimic realistically connected neurites, in different \( f_{\text{soma}} / f_{\text{soma}} \) conditions (Fig.1). The DW-MRI signals, as measured by a Pulsed-field-Gradients Spin-Echo (PGSE) sequence, were computed in CAMINO⁷ for 10⁴ b-values from 0 to 100 ms/μm² and 60 directions, uniformly distributed over the sphere. The direction-averaged signal as a function of b was then computed (Fig.2). Gradient-pulse duration/separation: \( \delta/\Delta = 10/20 \) ms were chosen to be compatible with the experimental datasets. Note that here we focus on intracellular water diffusion only, because, with this model, the effects of extracellular diffusion and membrane permeability were shown to be negligible at high b-values⁵ and short diffusion times⁶.

Experimental Data. Our numerical results were compared with data from healthy rat and human brain. An ex-vivo rat brain was scanned using a PGSE sequence on a 16.4T (Bruker/Aeon), with: TE/TR=18/8000 ms; \( \delta/\Delta = 4/7 \) ms; 16 b-values from 0 to 15 ms/μm², 10 uniformly distributed diffusion-encoding directions per b value. One subject (age=25-29) from the MGH Adult Diffusion Dataset (https://www.humanconnectome.org) was analysed. The dataset⁹ was acquired at 3T (Siemens/Connectom) with b=1,3,5,10 ms/μm² and respectively 64, 64, 128 and 256 uniformly distributed diffusion-encoding directions; five b=0; TE/TR=57/8800 ms; \( \delta/\Delta = 13/22 \) ms. Both datasets were corrected for motion and eddy-currents using FSL (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl), and the direction-averaged DW-MRI signal computed.

Data analysis. To investigate the validity of the stick model for different controlled \( f_{\text{soma}} / f_{\text{soma}} \) conditions, relation (1) was fitted to simulated signals (for 3≤b≤15 ms/μm²), using the two approaches shown to provide the best performance⁵: (i) fixing \( \alpha = -1/2 \) and fitting \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \); (ii) fixing \( \gamma = 0 \) and fitting \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) (insets in Fig.2-a,b). The stick model is considered valid if
α = -0.50 ± 0.05 and γ ≥ -0.025 (assuming an experimental uncertainty of 10%). The same approach was used to analyse the two experimental datasets, from which α, β and γ maps were obtained (Fig.3). To verify the validity of the stick model in WM and GM, a region-of-interest based statistical analysis of α and γ values was performed (Fig.4).

Results
Our results show that, within the experimentally accessible window for human studies, relation (1) fails in the case of non-negligible soma presence (f_{soma} > 0.2), independently of the fitting approach used (Fig.2-c,d). Indeed, both the approaches (i) and (ii) perform equally well for f_{soma} ≤ 0.1 µm and f_{soma} = 0.6 (inset Fig.2-a,b). The estimated α and γ values seem to be consistently biased by the presence of soma (Fig.2-c,d). The bias predicted by simulations is confirmed by experimental results reported in Fig.3,4, where the estimated γ and α values in GM and WM with approach (i) and (ii) are γ_{GM} ≥ γ_{WM} ≥ -0.025 and α_{GM} ≥ α_{WM} ≥ -0.50 ± 0.05.

Discussion and Conclusion
This work suggests that, in the region 3 ≤ b ≤ 10 ms/µm, the direction-averaged DW-MRI signal may contain useful information about the soma size and density. We show that 1) the stick model fails for GM, known to be comprised of mostly soma and non-myelinated neurites; 2) the failure can be due to the presence of soma, although we cannot exclude other factors like neurites’ curvature and branching.

This study provides insights for interpreting the direction-averaged DW-MRI of the central nervous system, aiding in acquisition strategy design and improved biophysical models for brain microstructure estimation.
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Figures
Fig. 1 Three-dimensional mesh of intracellular compartment at different segment, rsoma and corresponding fsoma conditions. Many randomly oriented cylindrical segments of radius $r_{\text{segment}}=0.25\text{--}0.80\ \mu m$ and 200 µm long (neurites) were projected from a spherical compartment (soma) of radius $r_{\text{soma}}=3\text{--}10\ \mu m$ (zoomed inset), leading to a fraction of the total cell volume occupied by the soma, $f_{\text{soma}}=0\text{--}0.9$. The diffusion of $5\times10^5$ non-interacting spins was simulated within each synthetic geometry with the bulk diffusivity $1\ \mu m^2/ms$ in CAMINO. From the resulting spins’ trajectories, the DW-MRI signals, as measured by a PGSE sequence, were computed ($\delta/\Delta=10/20\ ms$, $b=0\text{--}100\ ms/\mu m^2$).

Fig. 2 Normalized signal attenuation as a function of $b^{-1/2}$ for different $f_{\text{soma}}$ (a) and $r_{\text{soma}}$ (b) conditions (with $r_{\text{segment}}=0.50\ \mu m$). The shaded box defines the high b-value range currently accessible in human studies and used to fit relation (1) using approaches (i) and (ii). In the inset, an example of relation (1) fitted to the simulated signals using approaches (i) and (ii). Fitted parameters values are reported in each plot. c-d) Fitted parameters $\alpha$ and $\gamma$, for different ($f_{\text{soma}}, r_{\text{soma}}$) conditions. The size and color of the points in the plot corresponds to $2r_{\text{soma}}$, as indicated by the colorbar.

Fig. 3 Parametric maps of $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ obtained by fitting voxel-wise the relation (1) to experimental data (ex-vivo rat brain on the left; in-vivo human brain on the right) using approach (i) (top row) and (ii) (bottom row), for $3\leq b \leq 15\ ms/\mu m^2$. Note the strong contrast between WM and GM in both $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ maps, with overall $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ values lower in GM compared to WM.
Fig. 4 Region-of-interest (ROI) average values (error bars stand for standard deviation) of fitted $\gamma$, with approach (i), and $\alpha$, with approach (ii) for the ex-vivo rat brain and the in-vivo human brain in GM (gray bars) and WM (white bars). Note the much lower $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ values in GM compared to WM ROIs (**: $p<0.001$). Labels of each ROI: Hip=Hippocampus; Thal=Thalamus; Put=Putamen; Cor=Cortex; Cer=Cerebellum; CC=Corpus Callosum; IC=Internal Capsule.