Measuring the boiling point of the vacuum of quantum electrodynamics
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It is a long-standing nontrivial prediction of quantum electrodynamics that its vacuum is unstable in the background of a static, spatially uniform electric field and, in principle, sparks with spontaneous emission of electron-positron pairs. However, an experimental verification of this prediction seems out of reach because a sizeable rate for spontaneous pair production requires an extraordinarily strong electric field strength $|E|$ of order the Schwinger critical field, $E_s = m_e^2/e \approx 1.3 \times 10^{18}$ V/m, where $m_e$ is the electron mass and $e$ is its charge. Here, we show that the measurement of the rate of pair production due to the decays of high-energy bremsstrahlung photons in a high-intensity laser field allows for the experimental determination of the Schwinger critical field and, thus, the boiling point of the vacuum of quantum electrodynamics.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum electrodynamics (QED) is one of the most successful theories in physics. Its predictions for observables accessible by an ordinary perturbative expansion in the electromagnetic coupling $e$, such as for example for the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron, have been verified experimentally to a very high accuracy.

There are, however, also observables which are inaccessible by ordinary perturbation theory and whose prediction lacks an experimental verification. Among them, the most famous is the rate (per unit volume $V$) of spontaneous electron-positron pair production (SPP) in a strong static electric field $E$ [1–3],

$$\Gamma_{\text{SPP}} = \frac{m_e^4}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{|E|}{E_s} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp \left( -n\pi \frac{E_s}{|E|} \right),$$

where

$$E_s \equiv \frac{m_e^2}{e} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{18} \text{ V/m}$$

is the so-called Schwinger critical field. Clearly, this rate is nonperturbative in $e$,

$$\Gamma_{\text{SPP}} \propto \exp \left( -\pi \frac{m_e^2}{e|E|} \right),$$

as typical for a process which can occur, for $|E| \lesssim E_s$, only via quantum tunneling. This so-called Schwinger effect and its analogues have been suggested to play a role in many problems of phenomenological and cosmological interest, ranging from black hole quantum evaporation [4–7] to particle production in hadronic collisions [8–10] and in the early universe [11–13], to mention only a few. Unfortunately, there is no practical way to produce a static electric field of this strength in the foreseeable future.1

Therefore, a direct laboratory test of prediction (1) seems utopic.

As an alternative to spontaneous pair production in a strong static electric field, we consider here laser-assisted one photon pair production (OPPP)—the decay of a high-energy photon in the overlap with an intense optical laser beam into an electron-positron pair, cf. Fig. 1. This process is kinematically possible because the electron-positron pair

1One possibility considered was the field at the crossing of two intense laser beams [14–17]. However, the required laser peak power is in the hundreds of exawatt range (for a laser operating in the optical range, focused to the diffraction limit) [18] and, thus, still far beyond the present technology. However, the pair production can be strongly enhanced if one superimposes the intense field at the laser focus with a further weak high frequency electromagnetic field [19,20], paving the way to a possible detectability at the Extreme Light Infrastructure ELI [21,22].
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can pick up momentum from the laser photons. By the
1960s, when the first lasers were developed, this process
was identified as an opportunity to study the transition from
stimulated to spontaneous pair production in an external
electromagnetic field [23,24].

The paper is organized as follows. We first examine the
transition rate of the OPPP process as it varies with the laser
field intensity and the photon recoil parameter (cf. Sec. II).
The rate will be shown to be well described by asymptotic
expressions, which depend on the Schwinger critical field
and the photon recoil parameter (cf. Sec. II).

The general problem of assisted pair production from counter
propagating laser/photon pulses was considered, primarily from
a theoretical standpoint, in Ref. [33]. Three theoretical approx-
imations were considered, the delta pulse method involving the
overlap of in and out states applicable for
low laser intensities, \(\xi \ll 1\), laser-assisted OPPP appears to proceed perturbatively,
\(F_r \propto \xi^2 \propto \alpha\), as expected from the necessity to absorb at
least one laser photon to allow for photon decay kinetically. In fact, expanding \(F_r\) for small \(\xi\) yields

\[
F_r(\xi, \chi_r) = 2\xi^2 \left[ \log \left( \frac{2\chi_r}{\xi} \right) - 1 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\xi^3 \log \xi).
\]

This behavior reproduces the full result for laser-assisted
OPPP up to values of \(\xi \sim 0.1\), cf. Fig. 2. As the laser
intensity \(\xi\) increases, the threshold number of absorbed

\[
\xi = \frac{|E|}{m_e |E|} = \frac{k \cdot k_i}{m_e E} = (1 + \cos \theta) \frac{\omega_i |E|}{m_e E_c} = (1 + \cos \theta) \frac{1}{m_e E_c},
\]

in terms of the electric field \(|E|\) of the laser beam, its
frequency \(\omega_i\), and its angle \(\theta\) with respect to the direction
of the incident photon. The dimensionless function \(F_r(\xi, \chi_r)\),
for the idealized case that the electromagnetic field of the
laser beam can be described as a circularly polarized
infinite plane wave (IPW), is given by a sum over the
effective number of laser photons \(n\) absorbed by the
electron-positron pair [24],

\[
F_r(\xi, \chi_r) = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \int_{n-1}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{v\sqrt{v(v-1)}} \left[ 2J_n^2(z_v) + 2\xi^2(2v-1)(J_{n+1}^2(z_v) + J_{n-1}^2(z_v) - 2J_n^2(z_v)) \right],
\]

with Bessel functions \(J_n\) and

\[
n_0 = \frac{2\xi(1 + \xi^2)}{\chi_r}, \quad z_v = \frac{4\xi^2 \sqrt{1 + \xi^2}}{\chi_r}, \quad v_n = \frac{\chi_r n}{2\xi(1 + \xi^2)}. \quad (7)
\]

In Fig. 2, we display \(F_r(\xi, \chi_r)\) as a function of \(\xi\), for
three values of \(\chi_r\). Clearly, at low laser intensities, \(\xi \ll 1\),
laser-assisted OPPP appears to proceed perturbatively,
\(F_r \propto \xi^2 \propto \alpha\), as expected from the necessity to absorb at
least one laser photon to allow for photon decay kinematically. In fact, expanding \(F_r\) for small \(\xi\) yields

\[
F_r(\xi, \chi_r) = 2\xi^2 \left[ \log \left( \frac{2\chi_r}{\xi} \right) - 1 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\xi^3 \log \xi). \quad (8)
\]
measuring the boiling point of the vacuum—a can be determined in principle experimentally from the measurement of the rate of laser-assisted OPPP at $\xi \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{\chi_e} \gg 1$. Next, we consider the effect of enhancing the OPPP rate with the use of high-energy bremsstrahlung photons.

III. BREMSSTRAHLUNG PHOTON PAIR PRODUCTION

Note, that for a laser of frequency $\omega = 1.053$ eV, focused to an intensity $I$, corresponding to

$$\xi = 3.2 \left( \frac{I}{10^{20} \text{ W/cm}^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1.053 \text{ eV}}{\omega} \right),$$

the condition $\xi \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{\chi_e}$ leads to a lower bound on the energy of the high-energy photon, $\omega_i \gtrsim 7.6 \text{ GeV} (1.053 \text{ eV})^{1/2}$

Unfortunately, there are no mono-energetic photon beams with energies in the $\mathcal{O}(10)$ GeV range available. On the other hand, there are $\mathcal{O}(10)$ GeV electron beams, notably the ones exploited by X-ray free electron lasers, such as LCLS [35] in Stanford or the European XFEL [36] in Hamburg. Such an electron beam can be sent to a high-$Z$ target in which it is converted by bremsstrahlung into a collimated high-energy photon beam, which can then be crossed with a high-intensity laser beam, cf. Fig. 3. Such an experiment to study laser-assisted bremsstrahlung photon pair production (BPPP) has been envisaged long time ago in Ref. [37] and more recently discussed in Refs. [22,38,39]. Here, we show that even after integration over the bremsstrahlung spectrum, the Schwinger critical field can be determined experimentally from the measurement of the total rate of electron-positron pair production at large laser intensity.

Given the energy spectrum $dN_i/d\omega_i$ of photons generated by an electron impinging on the foil, the rate of laser-assisted BPPP is given by

$$\Gamma_{\text{BPPP}} = \frac{a m_e^2}{4} \int_0^{E_e} \frac{d\omega_i}{\omega_i} \frac{dN_i}{d\omega_i} F_i(\xi, \chi_e(\omega_i))$$

$$= \frac{a m_e^2 \chi_e}{4} \int_0^{\chi_e} \frac{d\chi_e}{\chi_e} \frac{dN_i}{d\chi_e} F_i(\xi, \chi_e),$$

where $E_e$ is the energy of the incident electrons and $\chi_e \equiv k \cdot \vec{e} / m_e \equiv (1 + \cos \theta) \omega E_e \xi / m_e^2$ is the electron recoil parameter.

For a target of thickness $X \ll X_0$, where $X_0$ is the radiation length, the bremsstrahlung spectrum can be approximated by [40]

$$\frac{dN_i}{d\omega_i} \approx \left[ 4 - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{\omega_i}{E_e} \right) + \left( \frac{\omega_i}{E_e} \right)^2 \right] \frac{X}{X_0},$$

The leading term in the exponent in Eq. (10) is independent of the laser polarization, while the prefactor depends on it [34].
if one assumes complete screening. This results, at high laser intensities, $\xi \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{E_e}$, in the nonperturbative, $e^{-8/(3\chi_e)}$ dependence of the laser-assisted BPPP rate,

$$\Gamma_{BPPP} \sim \frac{a m_e^2}{E_e} \frac{9}{128} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{2\chi_e}{3}(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3\chi_e}})} \frac{X}{X_0},$$

valid at $\xi \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{E_e}$, for different values of $\chi_e$. The dashed line shows the analytic prediction resulting from (14), valid at $\xi \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{E_e}$.

In practice, in an experiment as sketched in Fig. 3, it will be easiest to change the intensity of the laser and the energy $E_e$ of the electron beam. In this case, the electron recoil parameter can be expressed as

$$\chi_e = 0.22(1 + \cos \theta) \left( \frac{E_e}{17.5 \text{ GeV}} \right) \left( \frac{I}{10^{20} \text{ W/cm}^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

The predicted number of electron pairs per electron bunch and per laser shot are presented in Fig. 5, for fixed electron beam energy, as a function of the laser intensity, and in Fig. 6, for fixed laser intensity, as a function of the electron beam energy. We infer that the number of produced pairs per electron bunch and laser shot rapidly grows with increasing intensity $I$ and electron beam energy $E_e$ to values above 1 already at $I \sim 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ W/cm}^2$ and $E_e \sim 14$ GeV. Therefore, the asymptotic regime for the BPPP process should be experimentally accessible with reasonable laser intensities, $\xi \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{E_e}$, in the parameter range we use it in e.g., Figs. 4–6. For the interpretation of the experiment itself one does not have to rely on a theoretical prediction, since the bremsstrahlung spectrum can be measured by switching off the laser, cf. Fig. 3.
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Fig. 5, Top panel: Total number of \(e^+e^-\) pairs produced per
electron bunch \((6 \times 10^9\) electrons of energy \(E_e = 17.5\) GeV) impinging on the bremsstrahlung target (thickness \(X/X_0 = 0.01\)) and per laser shot (duration 35 fs, laser frequency \(\omega = 1.053\) eV)
crossed with the bremsstrahlung photons at an angle of \(\theta = \pi/12\), as a function of the laser intensity. The dashed line shows
the analytic prediction resulting from \((14)\), exploiting the
relations \((11)\) and \((16)\). The dotted (dot-dashed) line shows the
same analytic prediction, but for the case where the value of
the Schwinger critical field \(E_c\) deviates by a multiplicative
factor of \(\kappa = 0.9\) \((\kappa = 1.1)\) from its nominal value \((2)\).
Bottom panel: The laser intensity parameter \(\xi\) (dotted) and the electron recoil
parameter (dashed), as a function of the intensity, cf. Eqs. \((11)\)
and \((16)\).

accuracy at the European XFEL facility, requiring only
modest parameters for a focused intense laser to ensure
stable operation at a strong-field experimental interaction
point. This will allow a precision comparison with the
asymptotic result according to Eq. \((14)\), which sensitively
depends on the value of \(E_c\), cf. Figs. 5 (top panel) and 6: A
variation of \(E_c\) around its nominal value \((2)\) by 10% results in
a change in the predicted rate by nearly an order of magnitude.

By removing the target in the experimental setup of
Fig. 3, the strong-field trident process can be studied in
addition. In its two-step variant, it occurs via high intensity
Compton scattering (HICS), followed by OPPP. Exploiting
in this way the energetic photons from HICS as an
alternative source of high-energy photons, the asymptotic
regime of the OPPP process can again be in principle
measured. However, the rate for HICS is considerably
lower and is cut off by the stepped Compton edge,
compared to that of bremsstrahlung. Nevertheless, trident
pair production is of significant interest \([43–47]\) since its
measurement in the 1990s by the SLAC E144 experiment
exploiting the 46.6 GeV electron beam \(^7\) of the Stanford
Linear Accelerator \([50]\) and is an important additional
strong-field process that can be measured by the exper-
iment described in this paper.

In order to quantify the expected experimental accuracy,
detailed simulations will have to be carried out. These will
include a GEANT \([41]\) model of the converter target to
produce a large flux of energetic photons. Macro-particles,
representing a train of electron bunches varying randomly
within known beam conditions, will also be required. An
accurate representation of laser pulse shape and jitter will
be needed, as well as a full accounting for crossing angles
and beam overlap. Along with GEANT, a full strong-field
QED particle-in-cell code including higher-order processes
has also to be developed.

\(^7\)At this energy, multiple laser photons are required in order to
produce the OPPP pair. Pure photon-photon pair production
could only be achieved with a 200 GeV electron beam. In the
absence of such higher energy electron beams, an alternative
way to achieve sufficient center of mass energy to produce a pair
may be via bremsstrahlung photon interaction inside a laser-heated
blackbody radiation cavity \([48]\). A similar idea, but with a
different scheme to reach the pair production threshold via
high-energy photons, is proposed by \([49]\). In this case, two
interacting beams of MeV level photons are produced by
impinging lasers on solid or gas targets. Though these schemes
potentially produce copious amounts of pairs, the asymptotic
regime of interest in this paper, \(\xi \gtrsim 1\), does not appear to be
reachable in the foreseeable future.
B. Effect of finite laser pulse length

A substantial amount of analytical work on strong-field processes over the last decade has concerned itself with the fact that a real experiment will utilize a real laser pulse. The infinite plane wave approximation (IPW) which we have exploited in order to determine the OPPP transition rate neglects the finite length and shape of the laser pulse. Allowance for these realistic features has the potential to significantly complicate the transition rate expression. Nevertheless, various additional approximations have been employed in order to produce manageable results.

If the pulse length is not too short in comparison to the laser wavelength, the slowly varying envelope approximation (SVEA) can be employed. This requires the assumption that the pulse envelope does not change much over the course of one wavelength of the laser field. For LUXE, an 800 nm laser with a 35 fs pulse length is envisaged [42]. This gives $N \approx 12$ periods within the pulse, meaning that the SVEA may be a reasonable assumption.

The existing SVEA calculations can be utilized with the aim of testing the asymptotic limits of the OPPP rate. Returning to the matrix element of the OPPP process, the IPW describes a definite number of laser photons participating in the process expressed by a delta function. A finite pulse however smears out the longitudinal light cone [four-vector, $\vec{z} \equiv (z_+ , z_- )$] momentum transfer and the delta function is replaced with a pulse [51],

$$S_{fi} = \sum_n^{\delta (4) (z )} M_n , \quad z \equiv p + q - k_i$$

(IPW),

$$S_{fi} = \sum_n^{\delta (3) (\vec{z} )} \frac{\sin N \pi z_+ \sin c \pi (z_+ - n)}{\sin \pi z_-} M_n , \quad \text{(SVEA)}.$$  

For a square pulse, there is subthreshold as well as envelope behavior. However, the delta comb structure is largely restored as the pulse length increases to $N = 4$, suggesting that the IPW approximation is satisfactory for the experimentally planned pulse length of $N \approx 12$. To be sure of the asymptotic behavior of the OPPP process though, a full calculation of the transition probability with a realistic pulse shape is necessary.

Such a calculation has been performed and analysed for a range of intensities and pulse lengths in Refs. [52–55]. In the range of very high and very low intensity parameter $\xi$ the calculation of the OPPP with finite pulse length was performed analytically. In the intermediate range, the calculation was carried out numerically, across a range of photon energies and incident angles. The IPW was shown to be a very good assumption by the time the pulse length increased to $N = 10$, meaning that the IPW analysis performed in this paper is suitable for a LUXE type experiment.

C. Effect of variable laser intensity

In a real strong-field experiment, with a laser pulse focused to a small spot size, the infinite plane wave assumption can also be questioned in regards to directions perpendicular to the pulse propagation. One approach is to develop electron wave functions embedded explicitly in an external field 4-potential with an appropriate transverse description. The equations of motion can be solved approximately, by assuming that the electron energy is the largest dynamical parameter, which is reasonable for experiments with ultra relativistic electrons [29].

The solutions which allow for the transverse focusing lead to the conclusion that the Volkov solution with IPW assumption can be used as long as the local value of the strong laser intensity, at the point of pair production, is taken into account. This is necessarily the case for any strong-field PIC code that simulates the pair production in a real bunch/pulse interaction [32].

The use of a strong-field PIC code in future experimental studies will allow the full variation of the laser pulse, both longitudinally as well as transversely, to be taken into account. Experimentally, the high intensity laser pulse is expected to have a flat intensity in the transverse direction, but a Gaussian shape longitudinally. A real experiment will seek to account for the Gaussian shape with some sort of analysis filter or by making kinematic cuts to detector signals.

Another experimental possibility is to deliberately form the pulse length so that the laser intensity is relatively constant throughout the interactions of interest. Since there is a relationship of the laser intensity $I$ to pulse energy $E$ as well as pulse length $r$ and pulse width $w_0$, it is possible to adjust the former in order to set the latter to desired values,

$$I = \frac{E}{\pi w_0^2 r}.$$  

A further, extensive experimental study will be necessary in order to determine suitable operating conditions. Such a study will take into account the angular spread of bremsstrahlung from the foil, the distance between foil and strong laser interaction point, and the characteristics of the detector system recording the resultant produced pairs.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

The theory study and experiment, presented here, already promises us the first ever measurement of the Schwinger critical field value, through an asymptotic limit. Many additional, important strong-field effects can be experimentally tested through further theoretical and phenomenological studies.

One may ask what happens if the quantum recoil parameters continue to increase in value with either increasing gamma energy and/or electromagnetic field intensity [56]. In such a case, our strong-field experiment would probe both smaller distances and the quantum vacuum would be increasingly polarized. In such circumstances, there is good reason to think that higher-order,
strong-field processes may increasingly play a role. There is not only the opportunity to study and search for such higher-order processes in dedicated strong-field experiments, there is also the ability to question nonperturbative quantum field theory itself. The Furry picture [31], which is the semi nonperturbative theory from which most strong-field QED predictions are made, includes the quantum recoil parameters in its effective coupling constant. Theoretical estimates [34,57] put the effective coupling constant at $\alpha = 1/3$, meaning that the theory breaks down at a high enough value of the recoil parameters. Whereas this breakdown regime is experimentally some distance away, the value of the effective coupling constant through the higher-order terms may be in reach.
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