

## **Aetiology and severity of liver disease in HIV positive patients with suspected NAFLD: lessons from a cohort with available liver biopsies**

Laura Iogna Prat, MD,<sup>1,3</sup> Davide Roccarina, MD, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Robert Lever, MD,<sup>2</sup> Rosa Lombardi, MD,<sup>1</sup> Alison Rodger, MD,<sup>2,4</sup> Andrew Hall, MSc, FIBMS,<sup>1,5</sup> Tu Vinh Luong, MD,<sup>1</sup> Sanjay Bhagani, MD,<sup>2</sup> Emmanuel Tsochatzis, MD, PhD,<sup>1</sup>

1 UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital and UCL, London, UK

2 Department of Infectious Diseases/HIV Medicine, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK

3 University of Verona, School of Internal Medicine, Policlinico GB Rossi, Verona, Italy

4. Institute for Global Health, UCL, London, UK

5. Sheila Sherlock Liver Centre, Royal Free London NHS foundation trust, London, UK

Correspondence to: Dr Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis [e.tsochatzis@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:e.tsochatzis@ucl.ac.uk)

Sheila Sherlock Liver Unit and UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health,

Royal Free Hospital, London, UK, NW3 2QG

Tel.: +44 2077 94500, Fax: +44 2074 726226

Meetings where parts of the data were presented: International Liver Congress 11-15 April 2018 Paris

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: none

Running Head: Aetiology of liver disease in HIV patients

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Spectrum of liver injury among HIV positive people is wide; in particular prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) seems to be higher compared to HIV-negative people.

**Methods:** We retrospectively evaluated all liver biopsies performed at Royal Free Hospital from 2000 to 2017 in HIV mono-infected patients with abnormal transaminases, in order to assess the underlying cause of liver disease and to characterize the extent of fibrosis. We furthermore evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of FIB4 and Fibroscan™ as non-invasive tools for fibrosis assessment.

**Results:** 97 patients were included. Most common histological findings were NAFLD (28%), non-specific changes (26%) and normal histology (13%). 20% patients had significant fibrosis, 11% had advanced fibrosis. FIB4, at a cut-off of 1.3, had a specificity of 82% and NPV of 95% for exclusion of advanced fibrosis. Fibroscan was available in 28% patients and 33% had a liver stiffness  $\geq 7.5$  kPa. Fibroscan showed a specificity of 77% and NPV of 94% for exclusion of significant fibrosis. Among patients with NAFLD (n=27), 18% had advanced fibrosis while the majority (56%) did not have any fibrosis. The NPV of FIB4 and Fibroscan for advanced and significant fibrosis in these patients was 93% and 100% respectively.

**Conclusions:** Among HIV positive patients with elevated transaminases, a surprisingly high number of patients had non-significant changes or even normal histological findings. The prevalence of NAFLD was lower than reported in other series. Use of non-invasive tools with a high NPV for significant fibrosis can help reduce the number of required biopsies.

**Key words:** acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, liver histology, liver fibrosis, FIB4, Fibroscan

## Introduction

HIV infection is a major global health issue with an estimated 36.7 million people living with HIV (PLWH) worldwide by the end of 2016<sup>1</sup>. The widespread availability of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) in particular in resource rich settings countries has led to near normalisation of life expectancy in HIV-positive people with access to testing and early ART. The “Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D)” study demonstrated the reduction in HIV related mortality among PLWH but at the same time highlighted the increase in the proportion of patients dying from other causes and importantly, chronic liver disease (CLD) accounted for 10% of deaths during the years 2009-2011<sup>2</sup>.

HIV-positive people with HBV/HCV co-infection, are at higher risk of developing hepatic complications particularly at the commencement of ART therapy<sup>3,4</sup>. Nevertheless, irrespective of the presence of co-infection, liver disease and abnormal liver blood tests (LFTs) are more prevalent in people who are HIV-positive compared to the general population<sup>5</sup>. Despite the growing attention to this issue, clinicians still struggle to characterize aetiology of abnormal LFTs in HIV mono-infected people<sup>6,7</sup>, as the extent of liver abnormalities that can affect PLWH is vast<sup>8</sup>. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most frequent underlying cause of abnormal LFTs among HIV mono-infected people<sup>9-11</sup> with a prevalence that seems to be higher than in the general population<sup>12</sup>. Moreover, there are efforts to validate tools of non-invasive fibrosis assessment among HIV positive people, particularly in those with NAFLD<sup>13</sup>.

We therefore performed a retrospective review of all liver biopsies performed in our centre from 2000 to 2017 in HIV mono-infected patients with abnormal LFTs; the aims were firstly to assess the underlying cause of abnormal LFTs and to characterize the extent of liver fibrosis and, secondly, to test the performance of non-invasive tests in assessing fibrosis severity.

## Patients and methods

This is a retrospective study in HIV mono-infected patients with available liver histology and any grade of abnormal LFTs for  $\geq 3$  months at the time of biopsy. Abnormal LFTs were defined as an ALT value  $>35$  U/L and/or AST  $>31$  U/L according to the normal range values of the laboratory. We considered all the liver biopsies performed at Royal Free Hospital, an acute Trust in North London with tertiary HIV and liver centres, from January 2000 to June 2017 in patients with diagnosed HIV who were aged  $\geq 18$  years old. Liver biopsies were identified using the Trust pathology departmental clinical database with "HIV" and "liver" as searching terms. Clinical and patient data were obtained from the HIV clinical database. Exclusion criteria were: HBsAg positivity; previous or current HCV infection (anti-HCV positivity); concurrent infection (opportunistic or non-opportunistic) or treatment for suspected infection at time of biopsy; liver malignancy; liver biopsies performed on transplanted or explanted livers or on focal liver lesions; samples deemed suboptimal by the pathologist; biopsies performed in patients with hepatic decompensation or lack of sufficient clinical data.

For each patient we collected demographic, anthropometric and clinical data (presence of diabetes, dyslipidaemia, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, lipodystrophy, duration since HIV diagnosis, exposure to cART, time between HIV diagnosis and cART initiation, duration of cART, exposure to nucleoside/tide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI), non-nucleoside/tide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI), protease inhibitors (PI), integrase inhibitors (II), d-drugs (stavudine, didanosine, zalcitabine) at the time of biopsy. Diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidaemia were identified from listed diagnoses or when relevant medication was prescribed.

Use of tobacco, illicit drugs and the degree of alcohol consumption were retrieved from the clinical records; alcohol abuse was defined as a daily consumption  $>30$  g/day for men  $>20$  g/d for women.

Blood test results at the time of biopsy (or within  $\pm 6$  months if not available) were collected (full blood count, AST, ALT, ALP, GGT, bilirubin, albumin, INR, creatinine, urea, total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, triglycerides, HbA<sub>1c</sub>, fasting glycaemia, TSH, fT<sub>4</sub>, CD4 cell count, CD8 cell count, CD4/CD8 ratio, HIV viral load).

Histological findings were classified in 11 categories: normal findings, non-specific changes, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), drug-induced liver injury (DILI), alcohol related liver disease, mixed conditions (alcohol-related damage associated to other causes of liver damage), autoimmune liver diseases, metabolic liver diseases (haemochromatosis, alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency), nodular regenerative hyperplasia (NRH), biliary diseases, cryptogenic cirrhosis.

Fibrosis stage was assessed using a 0-4 point scale based on the report from the pathologist (0=absent, 1=mild, 2=significant, 3=advanced, 4=cirrhosis) and disease-specific scoring systems used by the pathologist were converted into this scale. Biopsies with non-specific changes were assessed for grade of inflammation on a 0-3 point scale (0=absent, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe). Biopsies in keeping with NAFLD were sub-classified in simple steatosis (NAFL, steatosis in  $\geq 5\%$  of hepatocytes) and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH, concurrent presence of steatosis, lobular inflammation and ballooning degeneration).

To assess the accuracy of non-invasive measures of liver fibrosis, FIB4<sup>14</sup> was calculated for each patient ( $\text{age (y)} \times \text{AST (U/L)} / \text{platelet count (10}^9/\text{L)} \times \sqrt{\text{ALT (U/L)}}$ )<sup>15</sup>. A cut-off of  $< 1.3$  was used for exclusion of advanced fibrosis.

Liver stiffness assessed by FibroScan<sup>TM</sup> (Echosens, France) was also considered for patients who had FibroScan<sup>TM</sup> performed within 1 year of the biopsy. FibroScan<sup>TM</sup> has been widely demonstrated a reliable tool to detect liver fibrosis but no established cut-offs exist for differentiating fibrosis stages. We considered a cut off of 7.5 kPa for detection/exclusion of significant fibrosis<sup>16</sup>.

## Statistical Analysis

Distribution of continuous variables were assessed and presented as mean  $\pm$ SD (parametric data) or median (IQR) (non-parametric data). Tests of normality were used to assess the distribution of variables. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages (n,%). Univariate analysis was performed to find determinants of significant and advanced fibrosis. Comparison between categorical (fibrosis severity) and continuous variables was performed using T-student test for normal variables and Mann-Whitney test for not normal ones. Comparison between categorical variables was performed with Chi-square test. A two-tailed P-value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant. Only variables with P-value  $\leq 0.05$  were entered in the multivariate analysis. Multivariable logistic regression was used to identify independent predictors of significant and advanced fibrosis. All data were analysed using the statistical package SPSS (version 22, IBM, New York, USA).

## RESULTS

### Selection of biopsies and baseline characteristics

We collected a total of 177 biopsies. From this initial pool, we excluded biopsies performed in patients with opportunistic and non-opportunistic infections (n=26), missing clinical data (n=17), co-infection with HCV/HBV (n=16), explanted/transplanted livers (n=7), multiple biopsies performed in the same patient, in which cases the most recent biopsy was used (n=7), hepatic decompensation (n=4), malignancy (n=2) and inadequate sample quality (n=1). Finally, 97 biopsies fulfilled our selection criteria. All included biopsies were performed to investigate abnormal LFTs.

Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of the population. Mean age was  $47 \pm 10$  years, 81% were male, 66% of Caucasian ethnicity. Mean BMI was  $27 \pm 6$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Documentation on alcohol consumption was available for 85 (88%) patients, 20% of which reported hazardous alcohol use. 47% of patients had dyslipidaemia, 11% diabetes and 4% had a history of cardiovascular disease (3 acute

myocardial infarction and 1 chronic myocardial ischaemia). Most of the patients had undetectable HIV viral load (74%) and were on ART at the time of biopsy (89%). Three patients stopped cART before the biopsy (2 patients 5 months before and 1 patient 3 years before) whereas 8 patients had never been on cART at the time of the biopsy; thus 92% patients were cART experienced (past or current exposure to cART) with a median duration of cART of 100 months. Median duration since HIV diagnosis was 126 months. The majority of the patients were NRTI (92%), NNRT (72%), PI (68%) and d-drugs (55%) experienced.

### **Histological findings**

The most common histological findings were NAFLD (n=27, 28%) and non-specific changes (n=25, 26%). Twelve biopsies (13%) showed a completely normal picture. Less common findings were consistent with DILI (n=8, 8%), alcoholic liver disease (n=5, 5%), nodular regenerative hyperplasia (n=5, 5%), metabolic liver disease (n=4, three with haemochromatosis and one with alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency), mixed conditions associated with some degree of alcohol damage (n=4, of which three in keeping with alcohol and NASH based on clinical history and metabolic risk factors and one showing alcohol damage plus signs of porphyria cutanea tarda), autoimmune disease (n=3, 3% of which 2 in keeping with treated autoimmune hepatitis and 1 consistent with autoimmune cholangitis), biliary disease (n=2, one secondary biliary cirrhosis due to gallstones and one HIV cholangiopathy) and cryptogenic cirrhosis (n=2, of which one probably associated with heart failure). Significant fibrosis, defined as  $\geq$ F2 stage, was present in 19 (20%) patients whereas advanced fibrosis, defined as  $\geq$ F3 stage, was present in 11 (11%) patients. The majority of patients had no fibrosis (n=61, 63%). Among biopsies that showed non-specific changes, more than half had mild inflammation (n=19, 76%) and absence of fibrosis (n=18, 72%). Table 2 summarizes the histological findings.

Factors associated with the presence of significant fibrosis in the univariate analysis were history of coronary artery disease, lower HDL cholesterol levels, detectable HIV viral load and diagnosis of NAFLD; of these, only HDL cholesterol level remained significantly associated in the multivariate analysis. No significant association was found with any other variable.

### **NAFLD subgroup**

Compared to the non-NAFLD population, the NAFLD cohort was composed only of men (100%) with a higher BMI (29 Kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and a higher prevalence of dyslipidaemia (81%). Baseline characteristics of patients with NAFLD are shown in table 1. Among the 27 biopsies in keeping with NAFLD, 15 (55%) had simple steatosis, 8 (30%) met the diagnostic criteria for NASH and 4 (15%) had NASH-cirrhosis. Significant and advanced fibrosis were present in 9 (33%) and 5 (18%) biopsies respectively. More than a half of the biopsies (n=15, 56%) showed no fibrosis. Table 3 summarizes the histological findings in the NAFLD subgroup.

The presence of NAFLD of any severity was associated with the presence of significant fibrosis only in the univariate analysis.

### **Accuracy of FIB4 in excluding advanced fibrosis**

The prevalence of FIB4 scores <1.3, 1.3-2.67 and >2.67 was 38%, 46% and 16% respectively. Table 4 illustrates the matching between histology and FIB4 values in our cohort: FIB4 had 82% specificity and 95% a negative predictive value (NPV) for ruling out advanced fibrosis. In the NAFLD subgroup, the prevalence of FIB4 scores <1.3, 1.3-2.67 and >2.67 was 52%, 41% and 7%. Specificity and NPV for the exclusion of advanced fibrosis were similar to the general cohort (80% and 93% respectively).

### **Accuracy of liver stiffness assessed by FibroScan™ in detecting significant fibrosis**

FibroScan™ values within 1 year of the biopsy were available for 27 (28%) patients and 9 (33%) of them had a liver stiffness >7.5 kPa. FibroScan™ had a sensitivity of 80% (4/5), specificity of 77% (17/22) and NPV of 94% for significant fibrosis. In the NAFLD subgroup, 9 patients had valid FibroScan™ values of which 6 (67%) were >7.5 kPa. Sensitivity, specificity and NPV were 100%, 60% and 100% respectively.

## Discussion

In this study we evaluated the underlying cause of abnormal LFTs in HIV mono-infected patients referred for liver biopsy and assessed the severity of liver injury. Our study highlighted that NAFLD and non-specific changes were the most common findings in this population. We also found that a significant proportion of HIV people with raised transaminases had a completely normal histological picture, and the majority undergoing a liver biopsy had mild or no fibrosis. Based on this, we examined our cohort for the potential utility of a simple serological test (FIB4) in excluding severe liver disease and we demonstrated its excellent performance in ruling out advanced fibrosis (NPV 95%). Additionally, in a small subgroup of patients with available FibroScan™ results, we showed that FibroScan™ had an equally excellent performance in excluding significant fibrosis (NPV 94%).

Although this study was not designed to establish the overall prevalence of NAFLD in HIV mono-infected patients, it did confirm the significant prevalence of NAFLD among people with HIV (28% in those biopsied in our study). Interestingly, a recent meta-analysis shows that the global prevalence of NAFLD among the general population detected by different imaging techniques is 25.2%<sup>17</sup>: taken together, these data suggest that the prevalence of NAFLD among HIV patients might not significantly differ compared to the general population.

In line with our findings, several other studies have demonstrated that the burden of NAFLD in HIV mono-infected patients may be similar to the HIV negative population<sup>12</sup>. Of note, studies that included HIV patients with elevated LFTs and available liver histology, documented a high prevalence of NAFLD, in some cases almost three times higher than observed in our study<sup>9-11,18</sup>. Ingiliz et al. showed that in a cohort of 30 mono-infected patients with abnormal LFTs, after exclusion of other concomitant causes of CLD, NAFLD accounted for 60% of the cases and among these, steatosis was associated with inflammatory injury in 90% (NASH, 53% of the total cohort)<sup>9</sup>. A more substantial

number of liver biopsies were analysed by Morse et al. who investigated 62 HIV mono-infected people because of unexplained chronic elevated LFTs; NAFLD was diagnosed in 73% of cases and NASH in 55% <sup>11</sup>.

Other studies, which used imaging techniques to detect steatosis among people with HIV, showed generally a lower prevalence of NAFLD compared to studies based on histology <sup>19-22</sup>, with prevalence ranging from 29% using H-MRS <sup>21</sup> to 37% using CT <sup>20</sup> and 48% using the Fibroscan CAP <sup>23</sup>.

The discrepancy between these data and ours is partially due to different diagnostic methods, patient inclusion criteria and, for older studies, different histological criteria used to define NASH. In our study we did not exclude a priori patients with alcohol abuse (20% of our cohort) or patients with known history of CLD, although only a small percentage (20%) had a diagnosis or high suspicion of CLD before undergoing liver biopsy. Exact definition of NASH has to be carefully evaluated when considering older studies, as several changes has been made over the years in the diagnosis of NASH, before the current histological criteria <sup>24</sup>.

Taking all this into account, we believe that the prevalence of NAFLD in HIV cohorts identified from published studies, especially those with histological endpoints, may be an overestimation due to selection bias.

The second most common histological finding in our study was consistent with non-specific changes. This includes biopsies with only minimal steatosis, inflammation or fibrosis and non-diagnostic features, which did not allow the pathologist to formulate a specific diagnosis. Moreover, more than a half of our biopsies (72%) showed no evidence of fibrosis. These findings are not uncommon: in other studies on HIV mono-infected patients with available liver histology, non-specific abnormalities or biopsies without significant steatosis/inflammation were found in 13%-35% of cases <sup>9-11</sup>. Moreover, in our study a substantial number of biopsies reassuringly showed a completely normal picture (13%). Minimal lesions or normal histological pictures are also a common finding in studies

involving HIV-negative populations. For example de Ledinghen et al reported liver biopsy results in 272 HIV negative patients with unexplained chronically elevated ALT, which showed normal/almost normal liver in 20% of cases <sup>25</sup>.

These results raise two main issues. First, as already noticed by Morse <sup>11</sup> there is a considerable overlap in the clinical, biochemical and imaging background among patients with non-specific/non diagnostic abnormalities and frequent pathological conditions such as NAFLD and NASH suggesting the need to develop further reliable criteria to discriminate between these two liver conditions. Secondly, it is important to re-evaluate the criteria clinicians rely on to perform a liver biopsy and to incorporate a more widespread use of non-invasive tests for diagnosis and fibrosis assessment before performing a liver biopsy, in order to prevent unnecessary risks.

Therefore, we evaluated the extent and the severity of liver fibrosis among HIV mono-infected people, as fibrosis is the strongest predictor of liver related mortality and is independently associated with long-term overall mortality in NAFLD <sup>26,27</sup>. More than half of included patients had no evidence of fibrosis (63%), whereas significant and advanced fibrosis was detected in 19% and 11% respectively, in line with the prevalence reported in similar studies <sup>12</sup>. In our study, the only factor independently associated with significant fibrosis was a lower level of HDL, while we could not identify predictive factors for advanced fibrosis. This is likely due to a type II error due to the relatively small number of patients and the heterogeneity in the diagnoses. Data from literature showed that abnormal lipid profile, especially low HDL and high triglycerides levels, have a higher prevalence in metabolic syndrome (MS) in HIV-positive compared to HIV-negative people with MS. In addition, not only is MS more prevalent in HIV-positive people, but also the composition of it differs compared to the general population <sup>28,29</sup>. On the other hand, there is some evidence that HIV-positive people with NAFLD are more likely to have features of severe liver injury than HIV negative people with NAFLD <sup>18,30</sup> despite a lower BMI and more intense physical activity <sup>31</sup>. This suggests that factors other than

those traditionally linking NAFLD and MS components can drive liver injury in these patients, such as the HIV infection per se or the prior use of ART.

Neither diabetes nor BMI were found to predict liver fibrosis in our cohort, likely due to the small sample size or the relatively low BMI and prevalence of diabetes in our population. Our findings contrast with the data from other studies<sup>23,32-34</sup>, a meta-analysis<sup>12</sup> and from data on HIV-negative patients where insulin resistance is associated with severe fibrosis<sup>35,36</sup>. No factor associated with HIV infection or cART correlated with the presence of significant or advanced fibrosis in our population. Although we had a small sample size to be able to detect such differences, the majority of studies in HIV positive people has so far failed to convincingly associate HIV infection and its related therapy to NAFLD and fibrosis. Some exceptions are the studies of Guaraldi, who correlated NRTI exposure to the presence of NAFLD detected by CT<sup>20</sup> and studies by Blanco, Matthews and Pembroke, who found an association respectively between DDI/D4T exposure, HIV viremia, longer duration of HIV and detectable HIV viral load with higher fibrosis stages assessed with FibroScan<sup>TM</sup><sup>33,37,38</sup>. These discrepancies could be due to patient exposure to earlier generations of ART with greater liver toxicity.

Considering the importance of detecting fibrosis in patients with suspected liver injury, we tested two different non-invasive tools, FIB4 and FibroScan<sup>TM</sup>, looking at their potential utility in avoiding unnecessary biopsies.

FIB4 was initially validated in a cohort of HIV-HCV co-infected patients<sup>14</sup> showing a good performance in ruling out advanced fibrosis. In our cohort, a cut-off of 1.3 had a sensitivity of 41% and a specificity of 82% respectively for advanced fibrosis and NPV was 95% in the general cohort and 93% in the NAFLD subgroup. Using FIB4 to triage our patients we could have spared almost 40% (37/97) biopsies at the expense of a minor percentage of patients (5%, 2/37) who would have been incorrectly

classified. This obviously translates in a double benefit as it saves costs and reduces the risk related to invasive procedure for the patient.

The drawback of such kind of test is the relatively high percentage (46% in our cohort) of results included in the grey zone, which need to be further investigated. FibroScan™, as already demonstrated in HIV mono-infected patients<sup>39</sup>, had a sensitivity of 80%, specificity of 77% and NPV of 94% in ruling out significant fibrosis. Importantly, non-invasive fibrosis tests perform better in excluding rather than detecting fibrosis<sup>40</sup>.

Overall, these results support the validity of using non-invasive tests to triage HIV patients with suspected liver injury according to their risk of significant or advanced fibrosis<sup>41</sup> in order to select the appropriate patients for a liver biopsy. As there were a significant number of HIV mono-infected patients with normal histology or non-specific minimal changes, the use of non-invasive fibrosis tests would ensure a more careful selection before proceeding to a biopsy.

Limitations of the study are firstly its retrospective nature and secondly the lack of central reading for liver biopsies as we relied only on the final report made by the local pathologist. The small sample size limited the statistical power to detect associations with NAFLD and liver fibrosis. Since the referral for liver biopsy was based on an individual clinical decision, our population was also characterized by heterogeneity.

In conclusion, we showed that the most common causes of abnormal LFTs among HIV mono-infected patients referred for liver biopsy are NAFLD and non-specific changes and that these two conditions could not be distinguished based on LFTs elevation only. Indeed, raised LFTs should not trigger automatically a decision for a liver biopsy as the probability of finding normal/near normal histology is high. Non-invasive tools of fibrosis assessment, such as FIB4 and FibroScan™ can help to rule out

significant fibrosis and detect patients who do not require further investigation or specialist hepatology input.

1. Joint UN programme on HIV/AIDS UNAIDS. AIDS by the numbers. Report 2016. Available at: <http://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2016/AIDS-by-the-numbers>.
2. Smith CJ, Ryom L, Weber R, et al. Trends in underlying causes of death in people with HIV from 1999 to 2011 (D:A:D): a multicohort collaboration. *The Lancet*. 2014;384(9939):241-248.
3. Weber R, Sabin C, Friis-Moller N, et al. Liver-related deaths in persons infected with the human immunodeficiency virus: the D:A:D study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166:1632-1641.
4. Nunez M. Hepatotoxicity of antiretrovirals: incidence, mechanisms and management. *Journal of hepatology*. 2006;44(1 Suppl):S132-139.
5. Sterling RK, Chiu S, Snider K, et al. The prevalence and risk factors for abnormal liver enzymes in HIV-positive patients without hepatitis B or C coinfections. *Digestive diseases and sciences*. May 2008;53(5):1375-1382.
6. Shur NF, Tan Y, Goubet S, et al. Non-viral liver disease burden in HIV-monoinfected individuals: a longitudinal observational retrospective cohort study. *AIDS care*. Dec 2016;28(12):1522-1527.
7. Rivero-Juarez A, Camacho A, Merchante N, et al. Incidence of liver damage of uncertain origin in HIV patients not co-infected with HCV/HBV. *PLoS one*. 2013;8(7):e68953.
8. Lemoine M, Ingiliz P. Liver injury in HIV monoinfected patients: should we turn a blind eye to it? *Clinics and research in hepatology and gastroenterology*. Oct 2012;36(5):441-447.
9. Ingiliz P, Valantin MA, Duvivier C, et al. Liver damage underlying unexplained transaminase elevation in human immunodeficiency virus-1 mono-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy. *Hepatology*. Feb 2009;49(2):436-442.
10. Sterling R, Smith PG, Brunt EM. Hepatic steatosis in human immunodeficiency virus. A prospective study in patients without viral hepatitis, diabetes, or alcohol abuse. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2013;47:182-187.
11. Morse CG, McLaughlin M, Matthews L, et al. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis and Hepatic Fibrosis in HIV-1-Monoinfected Adults With Elevated Aminotransferase Levels on Antiretroviral Therapy. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. May 15 2015;60(10):1569-1578.

12. Maurice JB, Patel A, Scott AJ, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in HIV-monoinfection. *Aids*. Jul 17 2017;31(11):1621-1632.
13. Tsochatzis EA, Castera L. Assessing liver disease in HIV-HCV coinfecting patients. *Current opinion in HIV and AIDS*. Sep 2015;10(5):316-322.
14. Sterling RK, Lissen E, Clumeck N, et al. Development of a simple noninvasive index to predict significant fibrosis in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. *Hepatology*. Jun 2006;43(6):1317-1325.
15. Crossan C, Tsochatzis E, Longworth L. Cost-effectiveness of non-invasive methods for assessment and monitoring of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic liver disease: systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2015;19(9).
16. Tsochatzis EA, Gurusamy KS, Ntaoula S, et al. Elastography for the diagnosis of severity of fibrosis in chronic liver disease: a meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy. *Journal of hepatology*. 2011;54(4):650-659.
17. Younossi Z, Koenig A, Abdelatif D, et al. Global Epidemiology of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease—Meta-Analytic Assessment of Prevalence, Incidence, and Outcomes. *Hepatology*. 2016;64(1):73-84.
18. Lemoine M, Barbu V, Girard PM, et al. Altered hepatic expression of SREBP-1 and PPAR gamma is associated with liver injury in insulinresistant lipodystrophic HIV-infected patients. *Aids*. 2006;20:387-395.
19. Crum-Cianflone N, Dilay A, Collins G et al. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Among HIV-Infected Persons. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;50:464-473.
20. Guaraldi G, Squillace N, Stentarelli C, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in HIV-infected patients referred to a metabolic clinic: prevalence, characteristics, and predictors. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. Jul 15 2008;47(2):250-257.
21. Lui G, Wong VW, Wong GL, et al. Liver fibrosis and fatty liver in Asian HIV-infected patients. *Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics*. Aug 2016;44(4):411-421.
22. Nishijima T, Gatanaga H, Shimbo T, et al. Traditional but not HIV-related factors are associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Asian patients with HIV-1 infection. *PLoS one*. 2014;9(1):e87596.

23. Vuille-Lessard E, Lebouche B, Lennox L, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease diagnosed by transient elastography with controlled attenuation parameter in unselected HIV monoinfected patients. *Aids*. Nov 13 2016;30(17):2635-2643.
24. Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, et al. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology*. Jun 2005;41(6):1313-1321.
25. de Ledinghen V, Ratziu V, Causse X, et al. Diagnostic and predictive factors of significant liver fibrosis and minimal lesions in patients with persistent unexplained elevated transaminases. A prospective multicenter study. *Journal of hepatology*. Oct 2006;45(4):592-599.
26. Ekstedt M, Hagstrom H, Nasr P, et al. Fibrosis stage is the strongest predictor for disease-specific mortality in NAFLD after up to 33 years of follow-up. *Hepatology*. May 2015;61(5):1547-1554.
27. Angulo P, Kleiner DE, Dam-Larsen S, et al. Liver Fibrosis, but No Other Histologic Features, Is Associated With Long-term Outcomes of Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Gastroenterology*. Aug 2015;149(2):389-397 e310.
28. Bonfanti P, Giannattasio C, Ricci E, et al. HIV and Metabolic Syndrome. A Comparison With the General Population. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45:426-431.
29. Worm SW, Friis-Moller N, Bruyand M, et al. High prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in HIV-infected patients: impact of different definitions of the metabolic syndrome. *Aids*. Jan 28 2010;24(3):427-435.
30. Vodkin I, Valasek MA, Bettencourt R, et al. Clinical, biochemical and histological differences between HIV-associated NAFLD and primary NAFLD: a case-control study. *Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics*. Feb 2015;41(4):368-378.
31. Mohammed S, Aghdassi E, Salit IE, et al. HIV-positive patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease have a lower body mass index and are more physically active than HIV-negative patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45:432-438.
32. Mofrad P, Contos MJ, Haque M, et al. Clinical and histologic spectrum of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease associated with normal ALT values. *Hepatology*. Jun 2003;37(6):1286-1292.

33. Blanco F, Barreiro P, Ryan P, et al. Risk factors for advanced liver fibrosis in HIV-infected individuals: role of antiretroviral drugs and insulin resistance. *Journal of viral hepatitis*. Jan 2011;18(1):11-16.
34. Lemoine M, Lacombe K, Bastard JP, et al. Metabolic syndrome and obesity are the cornerstones of liver fibrosis in HIV-monoinfected patients. *Aids*. Sep 10 2017;31(14):1955-1964.
35. Tsochatzis E, Papatheodoridis GV, Manesis EK, et al. Metabolic syndrome is associated with severe fibrosis in chronic viral hepatitis and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics*. Jan 1 2008;27(1):80-89.
36. Ekstedt M, Franzen LE, Mathiesen UL, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with NAFLD and elevated liver enzymes. *Hepatology*. Oct 2006;44(4):865-873.
37. Matthews GV, Neuhaus J, Bhagani S, et al. Baseline prevalence and predictors of liver fibrosis among HIV-positive individuals: a substudy of the INSIGHT Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Treatment (START) trial. *HIV medicine*. Apr 2015;16 Suppl 1:129-136.
38. Pembroke T, Deschenes M, Lebouche B, et al. Hepatic steatosis progresses faster in HIV mono-infected than HIV/HCV co-infected patients and is associated with liver fibrosis. *Journal of hepatology*. Oct 2017;67(4):801-808.
39. Morse CG, McLaughlin M, Proschan M, et al. Transient elastography for the detection of hepatic fibrosis in HIV-monoinfected adults with elevated aminotransferases on antiretroviral therapy. *Aids*. Nov 2015;29(17):2297-2302.
40. European Association for the Study of the Liver, Asociación Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Hígado. Clinical Practice Guidelines: non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease severity and prognosis. *Journal of hepatology*. 2015;63:237-264.
41. Zakeri N, Tsochatzis EA. Steatosis affects the sensitivity but not the specificity of non-invasive fibrosis tests in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease - implications for screening strategies. *Liver international : official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver*. Feb 2018;38(2):224-226.

## Table captions

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population

Table 2. Distribution of samples according to histological diagnosis and fibrosis stage (n=97)

Table 3. Histological findings of patients with NAFLD (n= 27)

Table 4. Matching between FIB4 values and fibrosis stage in all patients (n = 97) and in the NAFLD subgroup (n=27)