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The Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) initiative organized a Controversies Conference on glomerular diseases in November 2017. The conference focused on the 2012 KDIGO guideline with the aim of identifying new insights into nomenclature, pathogenesis, diagnostic work-up, and, in particular, therapy of glomerular diseases since the guideline’s publication. It was the consensus of the group that most guideline recommendations, in particular those dealing with therapy, will need to be revisited by the guideline-updating Work Group. This report covers general management of glomerular disease, IgA nephropathy, and membranous nephropathy.


KEYWORDS: hypertension; IgA nephropathy; KDIGO; kidney biopsy; membranous nephropathy; proteinuria

This first of 2 reports covers general management of glomerular disease, IgA nephropathy, and membranous nephropathy.

GENERAL PRINCIPLES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF GLOMERULAR DISEASE

This section will consider newer concepts and controversies in the general management principles of glomerular disorders. Disease-specific issues, applications, or exceptions to these general statements will be discussed within each of the individual glomerular disease sections. Additional broad-based management principles for glomerular diseases may be
Kidney biopsy
The kidney biopsy remains the cornerstone for the evaluation of glomerular disease. In very few and specific circumstances such as childhood steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome, diagnosis and treatment are often done without a kidney biopsy. In adults this approach is uncommon but may be considered in individual cases. For example, patients who have normal kidney function, acute onset of nephrotic syndrome, and are positive for anti-phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R) antibodies are likely to have membranous nephropathy. Treatment could be initiated without biopsy if such patients had a high risk of procedural complications, but care must be taken as other diseases may emulate all of these features.

Kidney tissue is also critical for assessing the degree of histologic activity and chronicity and to identify unexpected features such as interstitial nephritis, acute kidney injury, and crescents, all factors that might significantly impact disease management.

The kidney biopsy should be interpreted in the context of ethnicity, age, and hypertension, as these may modify the background kidney histology. For instance, understanding the “normal” range of age-related focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in a population might allow a better estimate of the extent of glomerular disease in an individual biopsy. Application of other modifiers, such as ethnicity, needs to be considered.

The value of kidney tissue is likely to expand significantly in the near term. It is likely that taking a more system-related approach to the biopsy will enhance its value by providing more information important to diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. For example, all clinical trials have treatment failures suggesting variations between individuals in the molecular pathways driving disease progression despite similar histopathology. To develop targeted therapies, identification of these pathways is necessary and will require a focus on mechanisms operative at the tissue level rather than relying solely on standard histologic findings. This also ties into the new concept of immunologic versus clinical remission.

The need for electron microscopy for every biopsy remains controversial. It can be critical in some cases, for example, to differentiate between immunologically mediated and adaptive focal segmental glomerulosclerosis variants. Application at a worldwide level may be difficult, but it could possibly be leveraged by preservation of a small amount of tissue and, if judged critical to management, sent to an electron microscopy reference laboratory for evaluation.

Assessment of kidney function
Proteinuria. Most glomerular diseases are associated with significant proteinuria. Although ratios of albumin-to-creatinine or protein-to-creatinine (PCR) in random spot urines are commonly used, recent data highlight the poor agreement between these ratios and 24-hour urine protein measurements.

Kidney biopsy
The kidney biopsy remains the cornerstone for the evaluation of glomerular disease. In very few and specific circumstances such as childhood steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome, diagnosis and treatment are often done without a kidney biopsy. In adults this approach is uncommon but may be considered in individual cases. For example, patients who have normal kidney function, acute onset of nephrotic syndrome, and are positive for anti-phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R) antibodies are likely to have membranous nephropathy. Treatment could be initiated without biopsy if such patients had a high risk of procedural complications, but care must be taken as other diseases may emulate all of these features.

Kidney tissue is also critical for assessing the degree of histologic activity and chronicity and to identify unexpected features such as interstitial nephritis, acute kidney injury, and crescents, all factors that might significantly impact disease management.

The kidney biopsy should be interpreted in the context of ethnicity, age, and hypertension, as these may modify the background kidney histology. For instance, understanding the “normal” range of age-related focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in a population might allow a better estimate of the extent of glomerular disease in an individual biopsy. Application of other modifiers, such as ethnicity, needs to be considered.

The value of kidney tissue is likely to expand significantly in the near term. It is likely that taking a more system-related approach to the biopsy will enhance its value by providing more information important to diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. For example, all clinical trials have treatment failures suggesting variations between individuals in the molecular pathways driving disease progression despite similar histopathology. To develop targeted therapies, identification of these pathways is necessary and will require a focus on mechanisms operative at the tissue level rather than relying solely on standard histologic findings. This also ties into the new concept of immunologic versus clinical remission.

The need for electron microscopy for every biopsy remains controversial. It can be critical in some cases, for example, to differentiate between immunologically mediated and adaptive focal segmental glomerulosclerosis variants. Application at a worldwide level may be difficult, but it could possibly be leveraged by preservation of a small amount of tissue and, if judged critical to management, sent to an electron microscopy reference laboratory for evaluation.

Assessment of kidney function
Proteinuria. Most glomerular diseases are associated with significant proteinuria. Although ratios of albumin-to-creatinine or protein-to-creatinine (PCR) in random spot urines are commonly used, recent data highlight the poor agreement between these ratios and 24-hour urine protein measurements. Although spot albumin-to-creatinine ratio and PCR are helpful in general clinical management, they are not sufficiently accurate when therapeutic decisions about using high-risk medications are being made on small changes in proteinuria. In such cases, a 24-hour urine protein or PCR should be measured. Importantly, the PCR from an intended 24-hour urine collection that is at least 50% complete has been shown to accurately reflect 24-hour proteinuria.

In young children, obtaining a 24-hour urine collection is usually not possible and PCR is the preferred means to assess proteinuria. Monitoring serum albumin levels in nephrotic patients also represents a valuable tool to indirectly assess the extent of proteinuria.

GFR assessments. The gold standard for estimating renal excretory function remains inulin or isotopic clearance techniques, but these are expensive and require operator expertise. Newer, accurate techniques to measure glomerular filtration rate (GFR) are evolving. Presently, the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration’s (CKD-EPI) equation for estimating GFR is often used instead. Formulas have also been developed for children. However, estimated GFR (eGFR) equations have not been validated in specific glomerular diseases and patient populations. In addition, when estimating glomerular function in patients with high-grade proteinuria, the majority of studies still use 24-hour urine collections for creatinine clearance. Errors related to collection and laboratory measurements under these conditions can induce up to 50% of errors in GFR measurement. The accuracy of these methods may be partially compensated by frequent longitudinal measurement and use of data-smoothing techniques. A simple, reliable, and inexpensive biomarker of kidney function is still wanting.

Hematuria. Macro- or microhematuria is associated with almost all glomerular disorders and identification of red cell casts may provide clues to nephritic diseases such as IgAN. Qualitatively, the routine urine dipstick can distinguish the presence or absence of microhematuria, but the capacity to quantitate hematuria has pitfalls, including timing between collection and examination, urine concentration, preparation of the urine pellet, pH of the urine, and the expertise of the examiner. The disappearance of hematuria, however, associated with complete clinical remission can be important in assessing the activity of diseases such as IgAN and anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody vasculitis.

Outcome measures. Regulatory agencies still grant approval for drugs in GN based on the classic findings of complete remission of proteinuria, as a positive outcome, and end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) (or a 50% reduction in eGFR), and/or mortality as negative outcomes. Recent collaborations among the US Food and Drug Administration, the pharmaceutical industry, and members of nephrology organizations, under the umbrella organization, the Kidney Health Initiative, are developing alternative surrogate endpoints for drug approval. The first consensus meeting...
culminated in a decision to allow a 40% reduction in eGFR to serve as an endpoint, but earlier endpoints are needed in these rare diseases.24 In membranous nephropathy, recent data suggest that complete remission could serve as a surrogate endpoint and partial remission used as a basis for approval under the accelerated approval program in the United States.25 Both outcomes are supported by statistical techniques that allow the prospective quantitation of the benefit of a partial remission of proteinuria based on its duration in an individual patient.26 The Kidney Health Initiative group is currently evaluating surrogate endpoints in IgAN and lupus nephritis.

**Futility.** The concept of futility can be critical to patient management. This “point of no return” is usually defined by a low eGFR, often < 30 ml/min per 1.73 m² and/or kidney biopsy that shows a high degree of irreversible chronic changes.1 Noninvasive assessment of whole kidney chronicity/fibrosis is not ready for clinical application.27 Currently, the rate of change in kidney function is likely more important than a single cross-sectional measurement of eGFR in defining futility. Additionally, age and overall wellness should be considered when determining futility.

The question of futility also extends to clinical trials. Futility criteria are often used to exclude patients from clinical trials with the thought that risk will outweigh gain for such patients. Therefore, many patients miss the opportunity to participate in trials, complicating attainment of sample size and generalizability of results. It may be helpful to have more patient engagement in determining clinical trial eligibility. If the treating physician thinks it is reasonable to consider a clinical trial and their patient is fully informed, such patients could be considered if futility criteria were less rigid. This concept is commonly followed in clinical practice. Although controversial, patient engagement may become more relevant as low-risk treatments become available.

**Quality of life and quality of health**

Quality of life and health are important components of determining treatment value and are increasingly used by regulatory agencies to assess overall worth of a new treatment.1 In glomerular diseases, patient-related outcomes and patient-related outcome measurements are evolving, but standards for clinical practice guidelines do not yet exist.

**Other determinants of progression of kidney disease**

In addition to well-established progression factors such as persistent proteinuria, poorly controlled hypertension or diabetes, smoking, or widespread cardiovascular disease,28 new evidence supports prematurity as having an impact on nephron endowment and potentially limiting renal reserve and increasing risk of progression in glomerular diseases (Table 1).29 This can be approximated by birth weight, a readily available, low-cost demographic. Its value within specific diseases is still speculative, but it could be considered as basic information that may affect treatment and outcomes of glomerular diseases.

Another recently defined health risk factor is sleep hygiene. From the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey in the CKD population, low-sleep duration and other related disorders (e.g., restless legs syndrome, sleep apnea) were associated with all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality. Extrapolation into the glomerular disease population seems relevant as this is a modifiable factor and can be applied broadly to all patients.30

Weight reduction in obese patients may benefit glomerular diseases.31–33 Small studies, focusing on diet and bypass surgery, have shown at least short-term benefits. Weight reduction and sleep improvement are intriguing possible additions to standard treatment approaches that are economical, widely applicable, and that foster patient engagement.

Sex is another issue that is often considered to be an important part of disease risk stratification in GN. Recent data, however, suggest that different rates of progression are more driven by the histologic category, blood pressure (BP), and severity of proteinuria than by sex.34

**Genetic testing in kidney disease**

Genetic testing has rapidly evolved and its role has expanded to include not only confirming clinical diagnoses, but also establishing inheritance patterns, differentiating heterogeneous disorders, determining appropriate treatment, guiding decisions about family planning, and determining the cause of unexplained familial kidney disorders. It is also expected to be used for identifying new risk factors for susceptibility and progression. Currently cost and unclear clinical implications limit the use of genetic testing.35,36

**Management of complications of glomerular diseases**

**Hypertension.** Hypertension control remains crucial to the management of GN. Although some controversy remains, data support a BP target of 125/75 mm Hg in the GN patient with proteinuria >1 g/d. Critical to the management of resistant hypertension is a careful review of the patient’s dietary sodium intake. Educating the patient on how to interpret food labels and providing feedback by assessing sodium intake with 24-hour urine sodium estimates are effective strategies.37,38 Sodium restriction will not only lower BP, but may enhance the antiproteinuric effects of renin–angiotensin system (RAS) blockers. The Institute of Medicine currently recommends limiting dietary sodium to <1500 mg/d (65 mmol/d), which is a 50% to 75% reduction from the average North American intake. There are no clear data on optimal sodium restriction in children.

**Proteinuria reduction.** Proteinuria reduction remains a goal in virtually all glomerular diseases. The main approach is through RAS blockade. An area of controversy is whether angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers should be used alone, as dual therapy and/or in combination with an aldosterone antagonist. Previously, hyperkalemia and acute kidney injury outweighed benefits of dual therapy, but recent studies indicate that with careful monitoring, combination therapy can be safe.39 Nonetheless,
the benefit for dual RAS blockade in GN with high-grade proteinuria is not clear. A practical approach to ameliorating risks due to RAS blockers, particularly acute kidney injury, is by providing “sick day instructions” to withhold or decrease the dose of these medications during periods when volume depletion may occur, as with vomiting or diarrhea.

Aldosterone blockade reduces cardiovascular mortality in patients with heart failure and also reduces albuminuria. However, the absolute risk-benefit ratio for aldosterone blockade in GN remains unclear.

The sodium-glucose transport proteins 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors may offer a new proteinuria reduction strategy. However, in a recent study, short-term treatment with the SGLT2 inhibitor dapagliflozin did not modify renal hemodynamic function or attenuate proteinuria in nondiabetic humans with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, possibly because of downregulation of renal SGLT2 expression in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Several large studies are currently investigating SGLT2 inhibitors in nondiabetic CKD (e.g., The Study of Heart and Kidney Protection With Empagliflozin [EMPA-KIDNEY], NCT03594110; Effects of Dapagliflozin in Nondiabetic Patients With Proteinuria [DIAMOND], NCT03190694; A Study to Evaluate the Effect of Dapagliflozin on Renal Outcomes and Cardiovascular Mortality in Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease [Dapa-CKD], NCT03036150).

Hyperlipidemia. The accelerated vascular disease seen in patients with CKD includes those with GN, and recent data suggest this may be worse in some glomerular diseases than others. Although traditionally statins have been used to treat hyperlipidemia and are effective, target values may not be achieved, especially in the new era of very low target low-density lipoprotein levels. Novel powerful agents such as proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitors (e.g., evolocumab, alirocumab) need to be studied in the GN population. Evidence that lipid-lowering therapy in children is beneficial is of poor quality but needs to be explored given their expected longevity. In contrast to cardiovascular benefits of statins, renal benefits are not well established.

Hypercoagulability. Concerning the risk-benefit ratio of prophylactic anticoagulation in nephrotic patients, especially in those with glomerular diseases associated with thrombotic events, decision aids are available online particularly for patients with membranous nephropathy (www.med.unc.edu/gntools). Whether non-vitamin-K antagonist oral anticoagulants can be safely used has only been demonstrated above an eGFR of 30 ml/min per 1.73 m². Effects of more severe CKD and proteinuria on non-vitamin-K antagonist oral anticoagulant metabolism and clearance require further study.

Risk of infection. There is a risk of infection with most of the medications used to treat the glomerular diseases, including common infections, in particular pneumonias, but also more specific infectious complications such as hepatitis B virus reactivation during immunosuppression or infections that cluster in particular regions, such as pneumocystis infections in Chinese patients. Thus, antimicrobial prophylaxis is needed as per regional practice. Specific infections are also more common with certain drugs, such as infection with encapsulated organisms during treatment with the complement inhibitor eculizumab. All patients who will be given this therapy should receive meningococcal vaccination with the multicomponent serogroup B vaccine, beginning at least 2 weeks before starting treatment. This is likely to become more relevant in GN patients as specific complement inhibitors are evaluated for C3 nephropathy and IgAN.

From a global perspective there is also the additional need for careful evaluation of a patient’s potential for endemic infections such as tuberculosis, hepatitis B, and parasites based on geographic origins.

Future studies
Important areas of future research, aside from those mentioned previously, include better and more rapid point-of-care methods (e.g., for GFR, proteinuria, risk evaluations). In-depth collaboration among pathologists, pharmacists, nurses, and clinicians is essential. Accelerating new drug development coupled with more sophisticated and efficient treatment trials is critical to improving success. For example, cluster and adaptive design methods can shorten trial time, reduce sample size, and accelerate early development.

Glomerular diseases are rare but represent a significant percentage of the ESKD population and are one of the few categories of kidney disease that are treatable. The majority have slowly progressive courses, so to reach the outlined goals, we need more specialized GN centers to acquire the necessary sample size cohorts for clinical trials. A GN center (hub) associated with several peripheral units (spokes) is one useful design for connecting with patient advocacy groups and sharing vital information across registries/biobanks. In addition, glomerular disease experts, advocacy groups, and the pharmaceutical industry should be collaborating at all

---

**Table 1 | Established and emerging risk factors for progression of kidney disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors for progressive loss of GFR</th>
<th>Emerging risk factors for progressive loss of GFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persistent proteinuria</td>
<td>Prematurity (low birth weight) and other reasons for low nephron number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly controlled hypertension</td>
<td>Low-sleep duration and other related disorders (e.g., restless legs syndrome, sleep apnea)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly controlled diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread cardiovascular disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of nephrotoxic drugs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFR, glomerular filtration rate.
IgA NEPHROPATHY

Pathogenesis

New information concerning the pathogenesis of IgAN has become available (Figure 1)\(^{58}\):

- Poorly O-galactosylated IgA1 produced at mucosal surfaces and its increased serum levels in IgAN likely reflect a defective mucosal immune system.\(^{59}\) Poorly O-galactosylated IgA1 and circulating autoantibodies to galactose-deficient IgA1 have been reported to predict progression,\(^{60}\) but their value in prognostication or disease monitoring has not been properly tested when considered in addition to blood pressure, eGFR, proteinuria, or the MEST-C score—mesangial (M), endocapillary (E) hypercellularity, segmental sclerosis (S), interstitial fibrosis/tubular atrophy (T), crescents (C) (see Biomarkers and prediction of prognosis). Similarly, using a novel antibody-based assay, serum levels of poorly O-galactosylated IgA1 were not sufficiently discriminatory to warrant its use as a diagnostic or prognostic tool.\(^{61}\) A recent genome-wide association study in IgAN identified susceptibility gene loci involved in intestinal mucosal immunity.\(^{62}\) In support, the Effect of Nefecon in Patients With Primary IgA Nephropathy at Risk of Developing End-stage Renal Disease (NEFIGAN) trial, which targeted budesonide to the distal ileum, reduced proteinuria in patients with IgAN after 9 months of treatment.\(^{63}\) A confirmatory phase 3 trial is currently underway.

- Mucosal activation of the innate immune response through ligation of Toll-like receptors (TLR) engagement by microbes and other danger signals, and signaling through the B-cell survival factors B-cell activating factor and a proliferation inducing ligand are critical events regulating phases of development to improve investigative strategies, determine best trial designs, and assist in their execution.

Figure 1 | Proposed pathogenesis of IgA nephropathy (IgAN) and potential therapeutic targets. (1) Mucosal infection primes naive B cells to class switch to become IgA antibody-secreting cells (ASCs) through both T-cell–dependent (cytokine mediated) and T-cell–independent (Toll-like receptor [TLR] ligation) pathways. (2) Some IgA ASCs mis-home to the systemic compartment during lymphocyte trafficking. (3) Displaced IgA+ ASCs take up residence in systemic sites and secrete normal “mucosal-type” (poorly galactosylated and polymeric) IgA1 into the systemic circulation. (4) IgA1 secretion by displaced mucosal ASC is augmented by TLR ligation from mucosal-derived pathogen-associated molecular patterns, which have entered the systemic compartment. (5) IgA1 immune complexes form in the systemic circulation. Poorly galactosylated polymeric IgA1 molecules are the substrate for immune complex formation and combine with IgG and IgA autoantibodies reactive to exposed neoepitopes in the poorly galactosylated IgA1 hinge region. (6) IgA1 immune complexes deposit in the mesangium through a combination of mesangial trapping and increased affinity of poorly galactosylated IgA1 for extracellular matrix components. Immune complex deposition triggers a series of downstream pathways, including complement activation via the mannose-binding lectin and other pathways, leading to glomerular injury and tubulointerstitial scarring. APRIL, a proliferation-inducing ligand; BAFF, B-cell activating factor; MASP-2, mannan-binding lectin-associated serine protease-2. Adapted from Boyd JK, Cheung CK, Molyneux K, et al. An update on the pathogenesis and treatment of IgA nephropathy. Kidney Int. 2012;81:833–843,\(^{58}\) with permission. Copyright © 2012 International Society of Nephrology.
mucosal immunity, and are targets for therapeutic intervention with hydroxychloroquine or B-cell activating factor/a proliferation inducing ligand inhibitors.64–66

- Glomerular injury in IgAN is associated with activation of the complement system.67,68 This is supported by genetic mapping and clinical reports of eculizumab rescuing crescentic IgAN.68–70 A monoclonal antibody targeting mannose-binding lectin-associated serine protease 2, the effector enzyme of the lectin pathway, has shown anti-proteinuric effects in 4 IgAN patients, and a phase 3 trial is now underway.71 Although these pathogenic mechanisms have resulted in novel therapeutic possibilities, further evidence from larger long-term trials is required before they can be included in future guideline recommendations.

Biomarkers and prediction of prognosis

The MEST scoring system for IgAN offered the first opportunity to use histology to predict renal outcome independent of proteinuria, BP, and eGFR.72,73 The European Validation Study of the Oxford Classification of IgAN (VALIGA) study confirmed the association of M1, S1, and T1/2 with renal outcomes, and the association of M1 and E1 with subsequent increase in proteinuria.74 In children, MEST scoring yielded a higher prevalence of proliferative lesions versus sclerotic lesions.74 When the MEST score in adults was combined with eGFR, proteinuria, and BP at biopsy, it was possible to predict renal outcome with the same accuracy as clinical data over 2 years of follow-up, and thereby the MEST score allowed risk stratification at an earlier time point.75 A large analysis of IgAN patients demonstrated that cellular or fibrocellular crescents were independently associated with a higher risk of kidney disease progression, especially in those not immunosuppressed.76 In addition, crescents in >25% of glomeruli were associated with an increased risk of poor renal outcome even in patients treated with immunosuppression, although this was based on small subgroups and the results were not consistent across all outcomes evaluated.76 Based on this study, MEST now includes a C score of 1 or 2 (crescents <25% or >25%, respectively). Importantly, MEST-C score was developed to predict renal outcome and not to guide treatment or to predict treatment response. Although observational data suggest that E1 and crescents may predict outcomes differently in treated versus untreated patients, and the benefits of steroids may differ in patients with M1 or S1, there is currently insufficient evidence to suggest that immunosuppression decisions should be based on histology parameters.72,76–78 A major limitation is the absence of a validated risk prediction model that allows integration of histology with clinical predictors to establish an accurate individual prognosis.

New biomarkers are needed to further improve prediction of renal prognosis in IgAN. Glomerular C4d deposition may represent a marker of an adverse prognosis,79 but this finding needs more external validation before it can be routinely recommended. A small study demonstrated an association between time-averaged microhematuria >5 red blood cells per high-power field and the risk of ESKD especially when combined with time-averaged proteinuria.33 However, time-averaged values require the entire duration of follow-up, which is not clinically relevant, and it is not clear whether the association is independent of MEST-C and other established clinical predictors.

There have been over 1000 derivation studies for biomarkers in IgAN. To date, none have externally validated the assay reproducibility and association with renal outcome using commercially available platforms, and none have translated the results into clinical practice by demonstrating that the biomarker improves prediction beyond other readily available risk factors.80 Currently, no biomarker is ready for clinical application.

Treatment

Significant controversy surrounds the use of steroids in IgAN. The Supportive Versus Immunosuppressive Therapy for the Treatment of Progressive IgA Nephropathy (STOP-IgAN) trial randomized patients to supportive treatment, or to steroids alone, or steroids in conjunction with cyclophosphamide and azathioprine based on eGFR. Immunosuppression transiently reduced proteinuria over 3 years but had no impact on eGFR and only resulted in significant, particularly, infectious adverse events.81 Proteinuria reduction occurred mostly in the steroid and not immunosuppressive combination therapy group.82 Optimized supportive treatment was associated with a very slow loss of kidney function in the control group, so that the study was underpowered to detect eGFR-based outcomes. The Therapeutic Evaluation of Steroids in IgA Nephropathy Global Study (TESTING Low Dose Study) (TESTING) trial randomized patients to 6 months of steroids or placebo and was terminated early after an interim analysis revealed a high risk of infectious serious adverse events including lethal Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia.13 There was a significant reduction in the risk of a 40% decline in eGFR or ESKD in the steroid group. The kidney function loss in the control group was 4 times faster in the TESTING trial than in the STOP-IgAN trial, suggesting a higher-risk population and/or differences in supportive therapy. In TESTING, the beneficial impact of steroids was similar in patients with eGFR > or <50 ml/min per 1.73 m². This finding is consistent with analyses of other clinical trials that also showed a benefit of immunosuppression at lower eGFR but with an increased risk of adverse events.77,83 Future guideline recommendations (Supplementary Table S1) will need to include an assessment of the relative risks and benefits of steroids in individual patients over a broader range of eGFR, with careful consideration of infections and prophylaxis.

Although previous studies suggested mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) was not effective for treatment of IgAN,84,85 2 recent trials add conflicting information. A mostly Caucasian trial was stopped early for futility because there was no MMF effect on the proteinuria-based primary outcome.86 However, a Chinese trial randomized patients to 6 months of full dose steroids or lower dose steroids with MMF.87 After 1 year,
complete proteinuria remission was similar between the 2 groups, but with fewer steroid-related adverse events in those treated with MMF. This study reintroduces the possibility that MMF may be effective for IgAN; however, there was very infrequent use of RAS-blockade; it was not a multiethnic study population and the follow-up duration was too short to evaluate an effect on kidney function. Further studies will be required before MMF can be considered for treatment in IgAN. Both RAS-blockers and MMF may affect pregnancy adversely and patients will need to understand this and exercise appropriate use of contraception when being treated.

Tonsillectomy remains a controversial therapy for IgAN. A Japanese trial compared tonsillectomy with steroids versus steroids alone and found marginally higher proteinuria reduction in the tonsillectomy group but no impact on eGFR over 12 months.88 Only one-half of the patients received RAS-blockade, and there was no long-term follow-up to evaluate changes in eGFR. In a European cohort, tonsillectomy patients were propensity-score matched to control patients with no benefit in change of GFR or proteinuria.89 Therefore, tonsillectomy may only be considered in IgAN patients with recurrent tonsillitis.

Although observational data suggest that IgAN incidence and outcome may differ between Caucasians and Asians,90–92 there is currently insufficient evidence to suggest that treatment approaches should differ by ethnicity. Possibly, systematic differences in study populations, other than ethnicity, may explain different treatment responses.86, 93 Multietnic trials, such as the ongoing TESTING Low Dose trial (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01560052), are evaluating this issue further.

Future studies
Trials of rituximab and tacrolimus have yielded negative results.94,95 Current trials address the spleen tyrosine kinase inhibitor fostamatinib, and the B-cell activating factor and a proliferation inducing ligand blocker atacicept (Figure 1). A pilot study of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib has just been completed. Future multietnic trials of other pharmacologic agents should incorporate therapeutic drug level monitoring to help determine whether ethnic differences in outcome may be related to pharmacokinetics versus differential disease response.

MEMBRANOUS NEPHROPATHY
Membranous nephropathy is characterized by subepithelial glomerular immune complexes. The discovery of podocyte antigens to which circulating antibodies are directed has been a major breakthrough.96,97 This, and the reports of clinical studies and trials mandate revisiting nearly all of 2012 KDIGO membranous nephropathy recommendations1 (Supplementary Table S2).

Terminology
The discovery of antibodies against intrinsic podocyte antigens (PLA2R and thrombospondin-like domain 7A [THSD7A]) established that membranous nephropathy is an autoimmune disease. Emerging data point to the diagnostic, prognostic, and disease-monitoring value of measuring anti-PLA2R antibodies levels.8 This introduced a categorization of membranous nephropathy based on the detectable autoantibodies versus nephropathy not associated with either antibody. Membranous nephropathy associated with other disease processes (infections, systemic lupus erythematosus, sarcoidosis, malignancies) constitutes a separate category (Figure 2).

Pathogenesis
Antibodies against PLA2R and THSD7A are present in 50% to 80% and 2% to 4% of patients with membranous nephropathy, respectively. The 2 serotypes coexist only occasionally. PLA2R antibodies are uncommon in patients with membranous nephropathy associated with malignancies. Conversely, cancer may be more common among patients with THSD7A antibodies, but the data are still insufficient to direct malignancy screening approaches in membranous nephropathy. PLA2R-associated membranous nephropathy is linked to genetic polymorphisms in the PLA2R gene, which

Figure 2 | Proposed categorization of membranous nephropathy. With the discovery of antibody target antigens in a majority of patients with membranous nephropathy, a disease categorization is emerging based on the detection and serotype of these antibodies. This figure suggests a categorization based on the current knowledge of such antibodies. The relative size of the disease categories and their overlap is approximate (not to scale). Despite the specificity of anti-M-type phospholipase A2 receptor (anti-PLA2R) antibodies for primary membranous nephropathy, the presence of such antibodies does not rule out the concurrence of infection, malignancy, or other disease processes and does not obviate the need for an infectious work-up and age-appropriate cancer screening. Analysis of the frequency of detectable anti-PLA2R antibodies in patients with membranous nephropathy and other diseases is low and is still evolving with additional research. Recent studies have reported on the detection of anti-PLA2R antibodies in a substantial minority of patients with hepatitis infection or with sarcoidosis. These findings do not necessarily imply a pathogenic link between the concurrent disease and membranous nephropathy. Emerging data suggest a possible association between thrombospondin type 1 domain-containing 7A (THSD7A) antibodies and cancer.
provides additional evidence of the pathogenic role of this autoantibody.98,99

Biomarkers: diagnosis and prediction of prognosis

Role of the kidney biopsy in diagnosis. Because PLA2R antibodies predict membranous nephropathy with high specificity, a kidney biopsy may not be needed in anti-PLA2R–positive patients with a low risk of disease progression and/or a high risk of biopsy-related morbidity5,100 (Figure 3, Table 2). When immunosuppressive therapy is contemplated, performing a kidney biopsy is still recommended to exclude another concomitant process and to estimate the extent of chronic fibrosis. A kidney biopsy is especially indicated in cases of nephrotic syndrome and acute kidney injury because it may identify cases of membranous nephropathy with crescentic GN (anti–glomerular basement membrane or antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody–associated) even in cases that are positive for anti-PLA2R. In anti-PLA2R–negative patients, a kidney biopsy is needed to diagnose membranous nephropathy. In such patients, it is important to look at whether PLA2R staining is present in the glomeruli, because this will allow identification of patients with PLA2R-associated membranous nephropathy. In selected cases of membranous nephropathy, it may be important to look at IgG subclasses in the kidney biopsy, with IgG1-dominant staining being suggestive of secondary causes. The specificity of THSD7A antibodies in diagnosing membranous nephropathy is not well established.

The presence of PLA2R antibodies does not allow exclusion of a concurrent infection or cancer.

Risk-stratification. Patients with membranous nephropathy and subnephrotic proteinuria have excellent long-term renal survival and do not need immunosuppression. Among patients with nephrotic range proteinuria, disease severity varies and prognosis ranges from spontaneous remission to severe nephrotic syndrome and progression to ESKD. In such patients, the risks of immunosuppression should not exceed the short-term risks of nephrotic syndrome. The current risk stratification of patients who need treatment (>6 months of proteinuria >4 g/d) lacks specificity, as a substantial proportion of such patients may still develop spontaneous remission.101 Models that use other cutoff points or that include the serial measurement of urinary low-molecular-weight proteins, serum albumin, and eGFR may allow better assessment of the risk of disease complications and/or progression. Emerging data point to the prognostic value of quantitatively measuring PLA2R antibody levels and possibly

Table 2 | Factors associated with the risk of progressive loss of kidney function in patients with membranous nephropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low risk</th>
<th>High risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria (&lt;3.5 \text{ g/d} )</td>
<td>Serum creatinine (&gt;1.5 \text{ mg/dl (133 \text{ mmol/l)} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Decrease in eGFR by \(>20\%\) over any time period during the preceding 12 months not explained otherwise | Proteinuria \(>8 \text{ g/d for } >6 \text{ mo} \)
| Presence of low-molecular-weight proteinuria | Urine IgG \(>250 \text{ mg/24 h} \)
| PLA2R antibody levels and evolution | eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; PLA2R, M-type phospholipase A2 receptor.

The table lists factors that have been associated with increased risk of disease progression. The presence of any of these risk factors may suffice to consider immunosuppressive therapy but risk increases if several factors are present. Treating physicians should take these factors and the patient’s symptoms, comorbidities, and risks of complications into consideration when determining the timing and choice of therapy.

*See Table 2 for definitions of low and high risk. PLA2R, M-type phospholipase A2 receptor.
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Table 3 | PLA2R antibodies: predictor of disease course and treatment response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and study description</th>
<th>PLA2R Ab level</th>
<th>Patients with complete or partial remission (%)</th>
<th>P value or HRs</th>
<th>PLA2R assay method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hofstra 2012&lt;sup&gt;112&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>41–175 U/ml</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of spontaneous remissions</td>
<td>&gt;610 U/ml</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruggenenti 2015&lt;sup&gt;111&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14–86 RU/ml</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>HR: 4.2 (95% CI: 1.9–9.2; P &lt; 0.0001)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ELISA: in house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All patients treated with rituximab</td>
<td>87–204 RU/ml</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>HR: 2.3 (95% CI: 1.0–5.2; P = 0.048)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ELISA: EuroImmune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;204 RU/ml</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dahan 2017&lt;sup&gt;101&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;275 RU/ml</td>
<td>43%&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>OR: 3.5 (95% CI: 1.1–10.7; P = 0.03)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ELISA: EuroImmune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomized controlled trial of rituximab</td>
<td>&gt;275 RU/ml</td>
<td>20%&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ab, antibody; CI, confidence interval; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; HR, hazard ratio(s); OR, odds ratio; PLA2R, M-type phospholipase A2 receptor; RU, relative unit(s).

Complete remission was defined as proteinuria <0.2, <0.3, or <0.5 g/d; partial remission as <3.0 g/d with ≥ 50% reduction from baseline. Spontaneous remission was defined as complete or partial remission without any immunosuppressive therapy.

<sup>a</sup>Compared with highest tertile of baseline anti-PLA2R level (>204 RU/ml).

<sup>b</sup>Personal communication.

<sup>c</sup>By multivariate analysis, odds ratio of complete or partial remission at last follow-up (median: 17.0 [interquartile range: 12.5–24.0] months) compared with group with baseline anti-PLA2R >275 RU/ml, independent from treatment group (nonimmunosuppressive antiproteinuric therapy with or without rituximab), age, sex, baseline proteinuria, serum albumin, and creatinine.

Qualitatively defining the spread of their target epitopes.<sup>102,103</sup> In PLA2R-positive patients, low antibody levels appear to predict a greater likelihood of spontaneous remission than high levels. Conversely, patients with antibodies targeting 2 or 3 target epitope domains may be less likely to develop a spontaneous remission.

A recent study showed that changes in PLA2R antibody levels during follow-up were correlated with changes in proteinuria.<sup>104</sup> Because this study included treated patients, data are lacking on the value of monitoring the trend of PLA2R antibody levels prior to immunosuppressive therapy to guide the decision to initiate such treatment or the choice of therapeutic agents.

**Treatment**

Besides preservation of kidney function and proteinuria remission, future goals of treatment should include improvement of patient-related outcomes and quality-of-life measures (for which validated instruments should be developed) and prevention of cardiovascular and thromboembolic events,<sup>45</sup> infections, and patient mortality.

There is consensus that immunosuppression should be started in the presence of decreasing GFR or, in particular, severe life-threatening nephrotic syndrome. In patients with minimal symptoms and preserved kidney function, delaying immunotherapy while maximizing treatment of proteinuria, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia for up to 3 years may be acceptable.<sup>105</sup> The availability of less toxic treatments may lead to earlier initiation of immunotherapy to allow more rapid disappearance of symptoms of nephrotic syndrome. Apart from small kidney size there is no other threshold for which treatment is deemed futile. Therapy can stabilize even patients with eGFR <30 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. A kidney biopsy is valuable in identifying acute kidney injury or assessing the severity of fibrosis.

**Treatment with immunosuppressive agents**

All patients should undergo screening for infections and an age-appropriate screening for malignancies prior to commencing immunosuppressive therapy.

Alkylating agents remain the only agents proven effective in preventing ESKD or death.<sup>106</sup> Given their toxicity, they should only be prescribed by experienced physicians and restricted to patients at high risk of progression. Current and previous smokers may be at particularly increased risk for subsequent bladder or lung cancer associated with exposure to cytotoxic agents. Special consideration should likewise be given to patients of childbearing age because of the risk of infertility associated with these agents. Historically, treatment with an alkylating agent had been cyclical and accompanied by pulses of i.v. methylprednisolone. Other regimens are used in clinical practice, including daily cyclophosphamide and omission of pulses of methylprednisolone.

Other immunosuppressive agents only used proteinuria reduction as the endpoint. Therapy with calcineurin inhibitors induced remissions with similar frequency as cyclophosphamide but was associated with a higher relapse rate.<sup>107,108</sup> Continued daily use of a calcineurin inhibitor may maintain remission; however, the consequences of long-term therapy are unknown. In 1 controlled trial, chlorambucil, but not cyclosporine, reduced eGFR loss in membranous nephropathy with renal insufficiency.<sup>109</sup>

In the Evaluate Rituximab Treatment for Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy (GEMRITUX) study, rituximab was more effective than placebo in inducing remissions after 17 months.<sup>101</sup> The nonresponse rate to rituximab was approximately 35%. A recent retrospective propensity-
matched cohort study suggested lower partial remission rates with rituximab versus cyclophosphamide.\textsuperscript{110} Measurement of \textit{PLA2R} antibodies might aid in predicting treatment response (Table 3).\textsuperscript{111} The choice of first-line therapy therefore still awaits direct head-to-head trials. It is likely that the choice of therapy may be determined by improved risk-stratification models.

**Disease monitoring.** \textit{PLA2R} antibody levels may be valuable for monitoring treatment and follow-up. Complete remissions are almost always associated with the disappearance of \textit{PLA2R} antibodies. Although declining antibody levels may precede clinical remission, it is currently unclear to what extent a decrease predicts a subsequent remission. Therefore, serial monitoring of \textit{PLA2R} antibodies during treatment requires further study. During remission of proteinuria, there is no evidence to support preemptive therapy based on rising antibody levels alone. Still, measuring \textit{PLA2R} antibodies in patients with a recurrence or worsening of proteinuria should help distinguish between relapse and other causes of proteinuria. Persistent anti-\textit{PLA2R} antibodies prior to kidney transplantation are associated with an increased risk of recurrence of membranous nephropathy in the allograft. There are insufficient data to assess whether a kidney transplant should be delayed until the antibodies become negative and for how long. Conditions under which a repeat biopsy or screening workup for infections, malignancy, or other causes of nephrotic syndrome should be done are not well defined.

**Future studies**

While anti-\textit{PLA2R} antibody assays are reasonably comparable for diagnostic purposes, they quantitatively differ. Any risk-stratification or disease-monitoring model based on antibody levels will require harmonization and calibration of tests. To what extent and in what capacity antibody levels may be used in defining surrogate endpoints in clinical trials requires formal evaluation.

Additional research is needed to develop more accurate risk-stratification models that incorporate other biomarkers of disease in addition to proteinuria—including qualitative and quantitative measures of autoantibodies.

We expect data from the Membranous Nephropathy Trial of Rituximab (MENTOR) study (which compared rituximab vs. cyclosporine) in the short term. The report of the Sequential Therapy With Tacrolimus and Rituximab in Primary Membranous Nephropathy (STARMEN) study (which compares tacrolimus and rituximab vs. the cyclical cyclophosphamide and corticosteroids “Ponticelli” regimen) is expected in 2019. Studies using combinations of existing drugs and the evaluation of novel agents directed at different immunological targets may improve the frequency and/or duration of complete remissions.

With respect to trial design, complete remission (proteinuria <0.3 g/d combined with stable GFR) may be used as a surrogate endpoint. Partial remission (50% reduction of proteinuria to a level <3.5 g/d) should be evaluated as a surrogate endpoint. The role of serum albumin in defining partial remission needs further formal evaluation, as well as harmonization of the serum albumin assays.

**CONCLUSIONS**

While old problems such as the best way of assessing kidney function, kidney disease activity, and proteinuria still linger in nephrology, major progress has been made in our understanding of disease pathogenesis in IgAN and membranous nephropathy. The number of randomized trials in these diseases has grown steadily and several phase 3 trials are currently underway. Recent attempts to define surrogate outcomes, such as full remission in membranous nephropathy, will certainly further bolster this field.
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