Ex-situ characterisation of water droplet dynamics on the surface of a fuel cell gas diffusion layer through wettability analysis and thermal characterisation
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Abstract

Understanding the evaporation of water from gas diffusion layers (GDL) is important for polymer electrolyte fuel cell (PEFC) design and operational purposes, particularly for open-cathode air-breathing fuel cells where water removal is purely through evaporation. In this work, water droplet dynamics on the surface of a fuel cell GDL is studied by wettability and thermal characterisation. The droplet maintains a fixed contact diameter (pinned) until there is a transition from non-wetting to wetting regime, after which the contact diameter reduced rapidly until complete evaporation occurs. GDL thermal characterisation reveals that temperature variation encountered across the GDL is due to a change in emissivity and increased thermal gradient across the GDL due to its uneven surface. Droplet thermal characterisation reveals that the droplets have a cooling effect on the surrounding GDL when introduced at room temperature and the cooling effect is more exacerbated with an increase in GDL temperature. This work provides insight into the dynamics of water evaporation on GDLs which could be effective in developing water and heat management strategies in PEFCs, as water droplets are expected to experience similar pinning and cooling effect to that observed in this work within the cathode gas channels of PEFCs. This is particularly relevant to passive open-cathode cells.
1 Introduction

Polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs) are a promising alternative power generation technology due to their high energy conversion efficiency, low temperature operation and high power density [1]. Open-cathode air-breathing fuel cells are attractive for portable power applications, as in passive mode they do not require forced convection of air to the cathode, so avoiding the need for blowers and reducing balance-of-plant requirements [2]. In air-breathing fuel cells, the cathode is exposed to the atmosphere and supply of oxygen is achieved through free or natural convection of air [3,4].

Effective water management is one of the greatest technological challenges for PEFC commercialisation [5]. Water is required to hydrate the electrolyte for improved proton conductivity and transport of water occurs across the membrane through hydraulic gradients [6]. However, excess water can fill open pores in the GDL which can act to block reactant access to the catalyst [7]. This phenomenon is known as ‘flooding’ and can significantly diminish fuel cell performance, particularly at high current density. Introducing a hydrophobic content to the GDL helps to avoid water build-up within open pores; however, as a result of this, water droplets can readily form on the surface of the GDL. Understanding how these droplets form and evaporate is important for design and operational optimisation.

In conventional closed cathode fuel cells, the propensity of liquid water to be removed from the surface of the GDL is strongly influenced by superficial gas velocity [8]. For high superficial gas velocity, the shear force from the gas flow detaches droplets from the GDL surface. Lower gas velocity allows droplets to grow in size until they touch hydrophilic channel walls and spread. However, in open-cathode fuel cells, water removal is purely by evaporation due to lack of forced convection mechanism; in which case, current density and temperature plays the major role in determining how droplets form and evaporate.

Various experimental techniques have been reported showing how liquid water is transported and distributed in PEFCs. Techniques such as NMR imaging [9–11] and beam interrogation techniques, such as neutron imaging [12–16] and X-ray imaging [17–19] enable the in situ measurement of liquid water distribution in operating PEFCs. However, such techniques are costly, require advanced imaging facilities and are often limited by spatial and temporal resolutions which are required for dynamic in-situ studies. Direct optical visualisation [20–22] has proven to be a powerful technique for observing water droplet formation, motion and evaporation in operating fuel cells [23–26]. The technique benefits
from high spatial and temporal resolution and depending on the optical set-up, direct access to the surface of the GDL is enabled (such as in the case of an open-cathode fuel cell).

Water visualisation work on GDLs has mainly focused on liquid water formation and transport [7,8,15,21,22,27] with little work has been done on evaporation. Work reported to date indicates that droplet detachment and growth in PEFCs is highly dependent on the superficial gas velocity [7,8,15,21,22,27] and droplet ‘pinning’ [7,8]. A droplet is considered ‘pinned’ when it doesn’t easily detach from the GDL surface and the contact line along the liquid water-GDL interface does not change [8]. Pinning is influenced by superficial air velocity, surface roughness and structure [8].

Though all these studies have provided useful results in the understanding of droplet behaviour in PEFCs, the results are mainly only applicable to conventional fuel cells, which utilise forced convection of air to the cathode. In open-cathode fuel cells, droplet detachment from the GDL is purely by evaporation as there is no forced convection of air to the cathode, meaning gas velocity does not play a role in detaching droplets, although free-convection induced by temperature gradients and buoyancy forces may be a factor. This presents an interesting area of study – visualisation and study of droplet evaporation dynamics on a fuel cell GDL.

In open-cathode fuel cells, drying of the membrane has been identified to be one of the major sources of limiting current density [28]. Therefore, an understanding of the dynamics of droplet evaporation on GDLs can be useful in developing droplet heat and water management strategies which can be effective at moderating PEFC temperature.

Despite there being little work reported on droplet evaporation from GDLs, some work has been done on droplet evaporation from hydrophobic surfaces that we can learn from. Droplet evaporation depends on surface wettability [29], contact angle hysteresis [30] and surface roughness [31]. Picknett and Bexon [32] identified two modes of evaporation for a droplet resting on a smooth hydrophobic surface, namely the constant contact angle (CCA) mode and the constant contact radius (CCR) mode. During the CCA mode, the contact angle is unchanged during evaporation, the drop shape remaining that of a spherical cap, but with diminishing area of contact between liquid and surface [32]. During the CCR mode, evaporation takes place with unchanged contact area between liquid and surface, the shape remaining that of a spherical cap, but with diminishing contact angle. Evaporation was observed to begin in the CCR mode before transitioning to the CCA mode [32].
Hao et al. [33] studied the evaporating behaviour of water droplets on superhydrophobic surfaces. Their results revealed that the receding contact angle of water droplets increased during evaporation. McHale et al. [34] reported that droplet evaporation on superhydrophobic surfaces follows three modes: a CCR mode, a CCA mode and a mixed mode in which they both decrease simultaneously. Dash et al. [35] studied the evaporation characteristics of water droplets on heated hydrophobic and superhydrophobic surfaces and their results revealed that evaporation is purely in CCA mode as the droplet radius constantly reduced. While work has been done on droplet evaporation on hydrophobic and superhydrophobic surfaces, most of the characterisation work performed has been limited to studying its wettability characteristics on different surfaces. However, in this study, thermal visualisation and characterisation of a droplet’s evaporating dynamics is used in combination with wettability studies. This is particularly important as thermal imaging is being increasingly used for fuel cell diagnostics and the characterisation performed will help interpretation of droplet shape and form from infrared measurements during fuel cell operation.

Thermal characterisation / mapping is a powerful diagnostic tool for the study of fuel cells [36–41]. Knowledge of temperature distribution on the MEA surface of PEFCs is very important as it affects localised current density, water and thermal management. Thermal imaging can help identify the location of hotspots, which can accelerate degradation and eventual failure of the membrane [42–44] and in the design of different fuel cell cooling systems [45–48].

The paper describes a comprehensive characterisation of the dynamics of water droplet evaporation from the surface of gas diffusion layers used in polymer electrolyte fuel cells. Water droplet behaviour of GDLs plays an important role electrode flooding and heat rejection from fuel cells. For the first time, this work describes droplet evaporation based on droplet form and shape as well as its thermal signature. Important insight into the evaporation dynamics is realised, this is correlated with the thermal response and some important new insights with regard to studying fuel cells using thermal imaging cameras are identified.
2 Experimental

The GDL used for characterisation was a commercially available Toray carbon fibre paper (Toray Industries, Inc. product code TGP-H-030). The GDL wettability characterisation was performed using an optical DSA100 drop shape analysis system (KRUSS GmbH, Hamburg). Drop shape analysis (DSA) is an image analysis method for determining the contact angle from the shadow image of a sessile drop and the surface tension or interfacial tension from the shadow image. The system uses a diffuse backlight to illuminate the drop; this provides high contrast between the edge of the droplet and its surroundings. The contact angle was calculated using sessile drop fitting or the Young-Laplace technique [49], which assumes the effect of gravity to be negligible. The drop image is illuminated from one side and a high resolution CCD camera at the opposite side records an image of the drop. The drop image is transferred to a computer equipped with a video-digitizer board (frame-grabber). The DSA software contains time-proven tools for analysing the drop image which can be used to calculate the contact angle. Evolution of the droplet-GDL contact angle during the evaporation process was done automatically by the DSA100. The DSA software detected the liquid-air interface through the liquid-solid-air contact point. The contact angle is between this tangent and the plane of the solid surface. A diagram of the setup is shown in Figure 1. Repeated measurements for each temperature resulted in contact angle measurements within ±3°. An 8 µl ± 0.1 µl volume of deionised water was used for each droplet. The experiment was performed at room temperature which was recorded at 23 °C. The relative humidity was measured at 40%. The experiments were performed on the same day and there was no change in the conditions. The temperature of the GDL was controlled by a hot plate over the range of 30 °C to 60 °C. This temperature range was chosen due to the temperature profiles achieved during thermal characterisation of the open-cathode fuel cell.
While injecting the droplet through the GDL (bottom injection) more accurately depict how water is formed in fuel cells i.e. from the catalyst layer and through to the GDL, injecting the droplet directly on top of the GDL enabled the wide range of studies conducted to be consistent, uniform and comparable. The temperature ranges would be difficult to achieve and may not be accurate. Since this paper targets open cathode fuel cells where droplet removal is purely by evaporation due to temperature changes, it was therefore important to keep the temperature factor.

Furthermore, Das et al [50], compared the bottom injection method to the top injection method by measuring the contact angle and adhesion force. They reported that while the contact angles and droplet contact diameter were different (larger with bottom injection), they followed the same trend during evaporation. They attributed this to the significant
water/water interaction created by the bottom injection which is likely to increase the droplet’s adhesion (hence a larger contact diameter and angle).

Thermal imaging was performed using a 640 × 512 focal plane array InSb camera (SC5600MB FLIR, UK). The camera was calibrated for the temperature range in question (15 – 100 °C) with the images being recorded using commercially available software (ResearchIR, FLIR ATC, Croissy-Beaubourg, France).

X-ray CT of a section of the GDL was performed at the TOMCAT beamline at the Swiss Light Source (SLS). 1501 projections were acquired under a monochromatic 10.5 keV beam. The projections were reconstructed using a filtered back projection algorithm into a 3D image with a voxel size of 0.65 µm. The tomography image was binarized using Avizo Fire’s Watershed algorithm, segmented using Avizo Fire’s segmentation editor and saved as a surface (ASCII .stl) which was imported into Star CCM+ (CD-adapco) for polyhedral meshing. The volume was prepared in a similar way to that described by Cooper et al. [51].
3 Result and discussion

Images of the evaporating water droplet on the GDL surface are shown in Figure 2. Each column shows successive stages in the evaporation of the droplet with time at different temperatures. The images are taken from the side of the droplet and a reflection artefact is noticeable and associated with the white light illumination source. The evaporation time varies from 1450 s at 30 °C to 290 s at 60 °C.

Figure 2 Evaporation of droplets on the GDL surface at different temperatures over time.
3.1 Effect of PTFE content on contact angle

The transport of liquid water through the GDL is not only reliant on pore structure, porosity and permeability but also degree of hydrophobicity of the GDL [52]. The contact angle the droplet (at different temperature) makes with GDLs of various PTFE contents was evaluated. The GDLs were commercially available Toray carbon fibre paper produced by Toray Industries. It should be noted that changing the temperature of the water bath regulated temperature of the droplet. However, when water is sucked into the syringe from the water bath and dropped onto the GDL the temperature would have reduced. However, for the purpose of comparison it is assumed that there is no reduction in droplet temperature when sucked out of the water bath. The GDL was at room temperature (20 °C). The experiment was repeated three times over three different GDL samples of the same PTFE content with the average contact angle recorded. The result is displayed in Figure 3.

![Figure 3 Evolution of droplet contact angle at different droplet temperature using GDLs coated with various amount of PTFE.](image)

The data from Figure 3 shows that an increase in the PTFE content of GDL leads to an increase in the contact angle, which means a higher degree of hydrophobicity of the material. Fuel cells require the GDL to have a high degree of hydrophobicity to help with water management. It should be noted that a GDL’s treatment with PTFE increases its thickness, reduces pores size and leads to higher contact resistance. Therefore, the PTFE content within the GDL cannot be increased indefinitely. Furthermore, it can be seen from Figure 3 that an
increase in temperature of the droplet leads to decrease in contact angle. This is expected because liquid-gas surface tension is affected by temperature. As temperature increases, surface tension decreases, and vice versa. An increase in temperature will therefore lead to a decrease in contact angle. The GDL with 20% PTFE was therefore used to study water droplet dynamics during evaporation.

3.1.1 Variation of contact angle, contact diameter and droplet diameter

The evolution of contact angle as liquid evaporates is shown in Figure 4a. The relative time change is also displayed in Figure 4b. The water droplet makes a large initial contact angle (130 ± 2°) with the GDL for each of the different temperatures. This is within the range of studies describing contact angles on GDLs, which report values between 115 ° and 140 ° [53]. The initially high contact angle (hydrophobic surface) is indicative of the fact that the GDL is impregnated with PTFE, added to improve water management by expelling liquid water from the GDL structure [5].
Figure 4 (a) Evolution of droplet contact angle during evaporation at different GDL temperatures (b) Relative time change of the droplet at different temperature.

At each initial GDL temperature, the contact angle of the droplet remains quite steady during the initial evaporation period, before decreasing more rapidly with the shrinking of the droplet, identified by a reduction in droplet diameter (Figure 5a). Taking the evaporation process at 30 °C as an example, the contact angle decreased from 130° to 110° at the initial stage (0 – 850 s), a decrease rate of 0.02° s⁻¹. This is followed by a transition (850 – 1150 s) where the contact angle reduced from 110° to 95°, a reduction rate of 0.05° s⁻¹. The evaporation process ends with a steep drop in the contact angle from 95° to 10° with a reduction rate of 0.24° s⁻¹. This would indicate that there are three regions in the evaporation dynamics of water droplets on a GDL in terms of contact angle. There is an initially low
reduction in contact angle due to the hydrophobic nature of the GDL preventing wetting of the surface. This is followed by a moderate reduction in the contact angle which indicates that the droplet is approaching the transition from non-wetting to wetting regime. This occurred at a contact angle of ~ 110°. This is in agreement with work done by Jinuntuya et al. [54] who studied the influence of wettability on liquid water transport in GDLs. Their model predicted that the transitioning into the wetting regime occurs between 100° and 120°. The final region in the evaporation process occurs when the transition to the wetting regime, which occurs by definition at 90° [55], after which the droplet rapidly evaporates. The droplet diameter can be seen to reduce steadily during evaporation (Figure 5a).

The result from Figure 5b shows the contact diameter of the droplet is initially constant before decreasing. The contact diameter of the droplet is the contact line the droplet makes with the GDL. This is different from the droplet diameter, which is the width of the droplet itself. The reduction in the contact diameter is aligned with the transition in contact angle from non-wetting to wetting regime. This would indicate that when deciding materials for PEFC GDLs, a material that keeps the droplet pinned for a longer period of time will be advantageous as it will hinder flooding of the GDL. This is in agreement with work done by Fei et al. [33], Dash et al. [35] and McHale et al. [34] on droplet evaporation on hydrophobic and superhydrophobic surfaces. The initially fixed contact diameter of the droplet also indicates that droplet evaporation on GDLs proceeds in a pinned contact area mode, followed by a contact line retreat, which is in agreement with work done by McHale et al. [34], who studied liquid evaporation on superhydrophobic surfaces. It also agrees with work done by Zachary et al. [8] who identified droplet pinning on GDL surfaces during evaporation and also revealed that the strength of the pinning is dependent on the GDL material.

Figure 5 Evolution of droplet during evaporation at different GDL temperatures: (a) droplet diameter, (b) contact diameter.
3.2 Thermal characterisation of water droplets on GDL

To better understand water droplet evaporation on a GDL, thermal characterisation was performed. This is particularly important as thermal imaging is being increasingly used for fuel cell diagnostics and the characterisation performed will help interpretation of droplet shape and form from infrared measurements.

3.2.1 GDL thermal characterisation

Before the thermal characterisation of the droplet on the GDL, the GDL itself was characterised in order to acquire a thermal image baseline. The emissivity of the GDL was obtained to be 0.97 by comparing the temperature reported by the camera with that of an imbedded thermocouple over a range of temperatures. The infrared camera was used to obtain temperature readings across a 1.4 cm line-scan (Figure 6a) with a pixel resolution of 100 × 100 µm. There are consistent distinct regions of high and low ‘temperature’, (e.g., high temperature at 1.05 cm and low temperature at 0.45 cm) which are exacerbated as the mean temperature increases. The statistical temperature distribution on the GDL surface (Figure 6b) also shows that as temperature increases the variance in the temperature measurement becomes larger.
Figure 6 (a) Temperature distribution at different nominal temperatures along a single 1.4 cm line-scan on the GDL; (b) Statistical temperature distribution on 9 cm² GDL surface.

As emissivity variations on a sample influence the reported temperature from IR thermography, the structure of the GDL in relation to the pixel size needs to be characterised. Figure 6(b-c) shows the area associated with each pixel size based on a scanning electron micrograph and an X-ray CT image of the surface of the GDL. The pixel area during thermal imaging (Figure 6a) of the GDL is 100 × 100 μm. It is clearly seen that depending on the area examined, the observed ‘depth’ or geometry of the GDL varies, which can lead to change in emissivity. For example, Deloye et al. [56] showed that the emissivity of quartz sand varied by 5% depending on the surface composition, particle distribution and viewing angle. In order to examine if the observed variation in temperature is an emissivity effect, the Stefan-Boltzmann equation (Equation 1) is used to determine how much variation in reported temperature is linked to variation in emissivity.
The Stefan-Boltzmann equation (Equation 1) links the amount of energy radiated by a black body to the reported temperature and emissivity.

\[ J^* = \varepsilon \sigma T^4 \]  

Equation 1

Where \( J^* \) is the total energy radiated per unit surface of area of a black body across all wavelengths per unit time, \( \varepsilon \) is the emissivity, \( \sigma \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the temperature in Kelvin. The effect a change in emissivity has on the reported temperature can be estimated from Equation 2.

\[ T \propto \sqrt[4]{1/\varepsilon} \]  

Equation 2

Assuming the actual emissivity of the different regions does not change with temperature, we can expect to see a \( \frac{1}{T^4} \) dependence associated with the observed temperature spreading effect (Figure 6b). This is shown in Figure 8 which shows the variation in temperature observed in Figure 6b compared to the expected variation based on range of emissivity (80 – 95%). This range of emissivity was used for comparison as the likely emissivity change as a result of the different materials / geometries on the GDL should between these ranges.
The result from Figure 8 indicates that emissivity is not the only reason for the observed temperature variation, as the associated temperature change observed is not consistent with the temperature over a range of emissivity. This would indicate that thermal gradients exist across the GDL despite its relatively small thickness and this has been observed and reported in other studies [57,58]; thermocouples were used, which provided temperature of the hotter active layer and the cooler GDL. However, this result shows that during thermal imaging, pixel resolution can uncover a range of temperatures from different depths in the GDL. Examining the SEM and X-ray CT images from Figure 6 (b-c), it is seen that the GDL has a highly non-uniform surface and the pixel area across will sample different depths into the sample. This would explain the consistent temperature profiles (peaks and troughs observed) in the linear profiles taken from the thermal images (Figure 6a), which got exacerbated as the GDL temperature increased as a result of the increased thermal gradients.

3.2.2 Droplet thermal characterisation

With the GDL characterisation complete and baseline temperature profiles obtained, 8 µl droplets were introduced onto the controlled temperature GDL using a syringe (Figure 9). Droplet evaporation was detected visually with the disappearance of the droplet and a rise in the local temperature of the area where the droplet resided.
In the images, the circular profile of the droplet at a lower temperature than the GDL is very well defined; a ‘halo effect’ is also noticeable whereby the droplet has a cooling effect on the surrounding GDL area. The subtle line seen in Figure 9 is as a result of a possible microscopic scratch on the GDL which is not visible to the naked eye. The associated lower temperature is due to the fact that the camera is likely to be measuring infrared from a slightly deeper portion of the GDL. This made no difference to the results as the temperature difference between the scratched surface and the normal surface of the GDL (without the droplet) is less than 1°C.

Figure 10a shows the temperature at the centre of the droplet with time. On initial contact with the GDL, the droplet rapidly increases in temperature and reaches a characteristic plateau temperature. This plateau temperature is independent of the initial starting
temperature of the water droplet and represents an equilibrium temperature that is a balance between the heating effect of the GDL and the cooling effect of evaporation, as shown in Figure 10b.

![Figure 10](image)

Figure 10 (a) Evolution of temperature of the centre of the droplet during evaporation; (b) comparison of droplet evaporation profile at different initial droplet temperatures

The difference in measured temperature is not a consequence of emissivity differences, as water has an emissivity of 0.95, while the GDL has an emissivity of 0.97, which should lead to a temperature difference of only ~ 0.4 °C, based on Equation 2. Following the plateau region, the onset of temperature increase coincides with the transition into the wetting regime, as shown in Figure 5a. This shows that thermal characterisation can be used to identify the transition from non-wetting to wetting regime of droplets on hydrophobic surfaces. While the centre temperature provides useful information about evaporation dynamics, a full temperature profile across the droplet and surrounding area is necessary for understanding the cooling effect on the GDL and how to interpret the IR profiles of water droplets in operational fuel cells. The temperature profile of the entire droplet during evaporation at a GDL temperature of 30 °C is shown in Figure 11a, while the profile of the droplet and surround GDL is shown in Figure 11b. The pixel resolution was 100 µm. The evaporation of the droplet is clearly displayed in Figure 11a with the reduction in its diameter.
from 3.5 mm at 0 seconds to 2.3 mm at 1080 seconds. This is in close agreement to the drop analysis performed earlier (Figure 5b), where an initial droplet diameter of 3.3 mm was obtained and the droplet diameter at 1080 s was ~ 2.3 mm.

There was also a ~ 1 °C reported difference in temperature between the edges of the droplet and its centre. This could be as a result of the edges benefiting from a higher heat transfer from the surrounding GDL than the centre. However, without the initial wettability calibration, the actual diameter of the droplet could have been over estimated, as the ‘halo’ effect extends the cooling region out to as much as 5 mm from the centre of the droplet (Figure 10). The effect is exacerbated as the GDL temperature increases due to the higher difference in temperature between the droplet and the GDL.

4. Conclusion

Water droplet evaporation dynamics on a heated GDL has been studied ex situ using wettability analysis and thermal characterisation. Evaporation dynamics show a transition from non-wetting to wetting with minimal change in the droplets’ contact diameter until the transition contact angle is attained, while there is a constant reduction in the droplet diameter until evaporation.

Thermal imaging has also been used as an effective tool for the characterisation of the thermal effect of water droplets. This work also reveals that non-uniformity in GDL structure leads to a variation in reported temperature when using an infrared camera as a result of thermal gradients and unlevelled surface (porous structure on same scale as image resolution).
of the GDL. Cooling profiles exist around droplets due to the so-called halo effect; this should not be mistaken for a droplet while using an infrared camera.
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