Measurement Quench in Many-Body Systems
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Measurement is one of the key concepts which discriminates classical and quantum physics. Unlike classical systems, a measurement on a quantum system typically alters it drastically as a result of wave function collapse. Here we suggest that this feature can be exploited for inducing quench dynamics in a many-body system while leaving its Hamiltonian unchanged. Importantly, by doing away with dedicated macroscopic devices for inducing a quench—using instead the indispensable measurement apparatus only—the protocol is expected to be easier to implement and more resilient against decoherence. By way of various case studies, we show that our scheme also has decisive advantages beyond reducing decoherence—for spectroscopy purposes and probing nonequilibrium scaling of critical and quantum impurity many-body systems.
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Introduction.—Measurement is a fundamental concept that discriminates between the classical and quantum worlds. While in the classical regime measurement is noninvasive with no effect on the system, in the quantum domain, however, acquiring information, even through a local measurement, comes at the cost of an abrupt wave-function collapse that affects the entire system. The fundamental tests for the validity of quantum mechanics, such as the violation of Bell [1,2] and Legett-Garg [3] inequalities are based on quantum measurements. Moreover, they are crucial ingredients of almost all emerging quantum technologies such as quantum teleportation [4], measurement-based quantum computation [5], fault-tolerant quantum computation [6] and spin-chain quantum communication [7–9].

All quantum protocols consist of preparation, manipulation, and readout of one or more particles. While preparation and manipulation can be achieved by different means, the readout is unequivocally accomplished by measurements. Experimentally, these all rely on macroscopic devices which may induce decoherence and increase the complexity of the process. This raises the following question: Is it possible to simplify the whole process by keeping only the indispensable part of the macroscopic devices, i.e., the measurement apparatus, for the complete preparation and manipulation of the system?

To answer the question, one should first recall that a key task of any quantum protocol is to induce the “right” kind of dynamics on a system. A particularly important class is that of quench dynamics, where the time evolution is induced in the system by a sudden change in the Hamiltonian. Quantum quench physics has been the subject of extensive studies [10,11] addressing fundamental problems such as equilibration [12,13] and emergence of highly entangled states [14] to practical applications such as creating long-distance entanglement [15]. Experimentally, various features of quench dynamics have been observed in optical lattices [16–19], optical tweezers [20], ion-traps [21,22], nuclear magnetic resonance devices [23], and coupled optical fibers [24,25].

Here, we show that nonequilibrium dynamics can be induced by a local measurement, a measurement quench, keeping the Hamiltonian intact. We introduce several applications for this effect. Experimentally, a measurement quench uses the same measurement device that is used for reading the output signal, thus dispensing with the need for dedicated devices for inducing dynamics and therefore reduces decoherence. Note that, due to the wave function collapse, after a measurement quench the reduced density matrix of all subsystems changes abruptly. This is very different from creating excitations via local rotations [18,19,21] in which only the local reduced density matrix of the rotated particles changes. Nonetheless, the same technology that performs local rotations can perform local measurements as well.

Measurement quench.—The notion of a measurement quench is most easily introduced by way of example. For this purpose, let us consider a chain of $N$ qubits interacting through a many-body Hamiltonian $H$. The system is initialized in its ground state $|E_0\rangle$. We then measure the...
magnetization of one of the qubits, say qubit \(j\), in a certain basis which we here take as the Pauli \(\sigma^x\) (cf. Fig. 1). The measurement is encoded by the two projectors
\[
\Pi_j^\uparrow = |\uparrow_j\rangle\langle\uparrow_j| \otimes I_{\text{rest}}, \quad \Pi_j^\downarrow = |\downarrow_j\rangle\langle\downarrow_j| \otimes I_{\text{rest}},
\]
where \(\uparrow_j\) and \(\downarrow_j\) represent the outcomes of the measurement in the \(x\) direction at site \(j\) and \(I_{\text{rest}}\) denotes the identity operator in the space of all the other qubits. According to the outcome of the measurement, at time \(t = 0\), the wave function of the full system collapses to one of the following quantum states:
\[
|\Psi^\mu_j(0)\rangle = \Pi_j^\mu_0^\mu_0 E_0 / (p_j^\mu)^{1/2}, \quad p_j^\mu = \langle E_0 | \Pi_j^\mu | E_0 \rangle, \quad \mu = \uparrow, \downarrow.
\]
Here, \(p_j^\mu\) is the probability of having the outcome \(\mu\) for the measurement. Since the new quantum state is no longer an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian the system starts to evolve as
\[
|\Psi_j(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\Psi_j(0)\rangle = \sum_n e^{-iE_n t} |E_n\rangle \langle E_n| |\Psi_j(0)\rangle,
\]
where \(E_n\) and \(|E_n\rangle\) (for \(n = 0, 1, \ldots\)) are the eigenvalues and the eigenstates of \(H\), respectively. Without loss of generality, from now on we assume that the outcome of the measurement is \(\mu = \uparrow\) and drop the symbol \(\mu\). The magnetization of the measured qubit \(j\) at any later time \(t\) is then given by \(m_j^\mu(t) = \langle \Psi_j(t) | \sigma_j^\mu | \Psi_j(t) \rangle\). It follows from Eq. (3) that
\[
m_j^\mu(t) = \sum_{n,m} e^{-i(E_n - E_m)t} \langle E_m | \sigma_j^\mu | E_n \rangle \langle E_n | |\Psi_j(0)\rangle \langle \Psi_j(0) | E_m \rangle.
\]
To read \(m_j^\mu(t)\) one has to measure qubit \(j\) again, which is the very same process that was used to induce the dynamics.

Application 1: Spectroscopy.—Quantum simulation [26–28] is one of the most important goals of quantum technologies. Recently, simulating many-body systems with more than 50 particles has been possible with both cold atoms [20] and trapped ions [29]. In fact, a wide range of spin Hamiltonians, including the long-range Ising model [30,31] can be simulated in ion traps using either optical dipole forces [32] or inhomogeneous magnetic fields [33–35]. Local addressability is also available in these ion trap technologies [34,36]. Spurred by these advances, the dynamics of the long-range transverse field Ising chain has attracted huge interest in both theory [37] and experiment [29]. The model is defined by [38,39]
\[
H = J \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|i - j|} \sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x + B \sum_i \sigma_i^z,
\]
where \(\sigma_i^x\) and \(\sigma_i^z\) are the Pauli matrices acting on site \(i\), \(B\) is a magnetic field strength, and \(\alpha\) determines the range of the interaction such that \(\alpha = 0\) makes the system fully connected while the limit \(\alpha \to \infty\) represents the nearest-neighbor chain. The exchange coupling is here taken to be antiferromagnetic, \(J > 0\). Current experimental techniques allow \(\alpha\) to be tuned within the interval \(0 \leq \alpha \leq 3\) [40]. Except for the special cases of \(\alpha = 0\) [41,42] and \(\alpha \to \infty\) [43], the Hamiltonian in Eq. (5) is not solvable and thus the spectrum can only be found for short chains through exact diagonalization. As shown in Ref. [37], starting from a product state, the entanglement entropy grows linearly for short-range interactions (i.e., large \(\alpha\)) and logarithmically for long-range interactions (small \(\alpha\)) [37].

As the Hamiltonian (5) commutes with the parity operator \(P = \prod_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^z\), the ground state has always a definite parity. In particular, for even \(N\), the ground state has even parity in which measuring \(\sigma_j^z\) results in either \(\uparrow_j\) or \(\downarrow_j\) with equal probability. Equation (4) can then be simplified to
\[
m_j^\uparrow(t) = \sum_n \cos \left( (E_n - E_0) t \right) \langle E_n | \sigma_j^z | E_0 \rangle^2,
\]
in which the frequencies of the oscillations are determined only by the energy gaps between the ground state \(|E_0\rangle\) and those excited states \(|E_n\rangle\) for which \(\langle E_n | \sigma_j^z | E_0 \rangle^2\) is non-zero. In Figs. 2(a)–2(b) the local magnetization \(m_j^\uparrow(t)\) is plotted versus time for \(\alpha = 0.5\) and \(\alpha = 3\), respectively, in a system of length \(N = 20\), using open boundary conditions. As the figures show, for the larger \(\alpha\) the dynamics equilibrates after a short oscillation and then revives due to the finite size of the system, while for the smaller \(\alpha\) there is no hint of equilibration due to more frustration in the staggered ordering of the spins.

One may compute the Fourier transform of the local magnetization as \(M_j^\uparrow(E) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^\infty m_j^\uparrow(t) e^{-iEt} dt\), which takes the form
\[
M_j^\uparrow(E) = \sum_n \langle E_n | \sigma_j^z | E_0 \rangle^2 \left( \delta(E - E_n + E_0) + \delta(E - E_0 + E_n) \right) / 2.
\]
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Application 2: Nonequilibrium scaling near a quantum phase transition.—Scaling is a key feature in many-body systems near criticality \[43\]. Maybe the best known example from out-of-equilibrium dynamics is the Kibble-Zurek scaling \[44–46\], present when a many-body system is driven through a continuous phase transition at a finite rate. In a like manner, the asymptotic approach to equilibrium of a system that is suddenly quenched close to a quantum critical point is expected to be governed by equilibrium critical exponents \[47\]. Scaling in a critical system that has been subject to a global quench is expected only after long times \[48\]. Local Hamiltonian quenches \[49,50\] also exhibit power-law scaling with time and distance from the quench \[50,51\]. Interestingly, the corresponding static scaling dimensions can be extracted at intermediate timescales \[52,53\]. With this as a backdrop, one may inquire how a local measurement quench gives rise to scaling of observables.

To find out, let us consider the transverse-field Ising chain (TFIC). This model, solvable via Jordan-Wigner transformation, serves as a paradigm for quantum phase transitions \[43\]. The Hamiltonian, masked as the limit \(\alpha \to \infty\) in Eq. \(5\), is
\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^z,
\]
where the dimensionless parameter \(\lambda\) plays the role of \(B/J\) in the Hamiltonian \(5\), and here, in contrast to the previous example, periodic boundary conditions are imposed. By varying \(\lambda\), the system undergoes a quantum phase transition
at \(\lambda = \lambda_c = 1\), from an antiferromagnetic \((\lambda < \lambda_c)\) to a paramagnetic \((\lambda > \lambda_c)\) phase. Near the critical point the correlation length diverges as \(\xi \sim |\lambda - \lambda_c|^{-\nu}\), with the exponent \(\nu = 1\) \[43\].

By translational invariance it does not matter on which site \(j\) the measurement quench is performed. The well-defined parity of the TFIC eigenstates implies that \(m_1^x(t)\) follows the Eq. \(2\). Unfortunately, the correlation functions \(|\langle E_n|\sigma_j^z|E_0\rangle|^2\) in Eq. \(2\) cannot be expressed in terms of a finite number of free fermionic correlation functions in a periodic chain \[54\]. This makes it difficult to benefit from a Jordan-Wigner transformation and instead we resort to numerical exact diagonalization. The result for \(m_1^x(t)\) is plotted in Figs. \(3(a)\) and \(3(b)\) for \(\lambda = 0.95\) and \(\lambda = \lambda_c = 1\), respectively. The magnetization exhibits persistent small high-frequency fluctuations on top of a global oscillating low-frequency signal which decays slowly with time, suggesting gradual equilibration.

It is worth mentioning that the local magnetization \(m_1^x\) is a nonequilibrium quantity and does not serve as an order parameter for the system. Therefore, it is not clear whether one can see scaling behavior for \(m_1^x\). In fact, one may consider \(m_1^x\) as a function of \(t, N, \lambda, \) and \(\xi\) where the dependence on \(\lambda\) has been replaced by \(\xi\) using \(\xi \sim |\lambda - \lambda_c|^{-\nu}\). Scaling in the time evolution of \(m_1^x\) means that it is not a function of \(t, N, \) and \(\xi\) independently, but instead is parametrized as \(m_1^x(t/N, N/\xi)\). To verify this, one fixes \(N/\xi\) and then plots \(m_1^x\) as a function of \(t/N\) for various system sizes so that all curves collapse on top of each other. In order to fix \(N/\xi\) one can choose \(\lambda\) for each system size \(N\) such that \(N|\lambda - \lambda_c|^\nu\) remains fixed. In Fig. \(3(c)\) such a data collapse is shown for three different system sizes. As the figure shows, that happens when \(\nu\) is chosen to be \(\nu = 1\), i.e., the critical exponent known for the TFIC. An interesting case is \(\lambda = \lambda_c\) for which all system sizes collapse on each other as in this case \(N|\lambda - \lambda_c|^\nu\)
becomes zero. As evident from Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), while the low-frequency signals show perfect data collapse, this is not so for the high-frequency fluctuations. This suggests that the two frequency components of $m_j^\tau$ have distinct characteristics: (i) the low-frequency part shows universal scaling behavior and thus exhibits perfect data collapse; and (ii) the small high-frequency part is nonuniversal and does not scale. Interestingly, the measurement quench is very different from the local Hamiltonian quenches at a defect in a critical TFIC in which the exponents are very different from the local Hamiltonian quenches at a defect in a critical TFIC in which the exponents are almost perfect data collapse; and (ii) a finite-size region does not reflect a quantum phase transition.

Application 3: Nonequilibrium scaling in the Kondo model.—The Kondo model [55–57] serves as a paradigm for electronic many-body systems where the interaction with a quantum impurity dynamically generates a length scale. Taking advantage of the presence of this scale—the Kondo screening length $\xi_K$—allows data from different systems to be collapsed onto a single curve, similar to a critical system like the TFIC discussed above. Theoretical work [58–60], as well as transport measurements on quantum dots in the Kondo regime [61], show that universal scaling behavior is maintained in nonequilibrium, and a Kondo cloud can form as the result of a local quench between an impurity and an electron gas [62]. Moreover, a local Hamiltonian quench in a Kondo system may provide distance-independent entanglement between two distant impurities [15]. Here we add to the nonequilibrium picture of the Kondo physics, using a measurement quench.

For this purpose, it is more convenient to use a spin-chain emulation [63] of the Kondo model, allowing for efficient computations [64] using the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [65,66]. The spin chain has the Hamiltonian

$$H = J'(J_1 \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + J_2 \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_3) + J_1 \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1},$$

$$+ J_2 \sum_{i=2}^{N-2} \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+2},$$

where $J_1$ ($J_2$) is the (next-) nearest neighbor coupling and the dimensionless parameter $J'$ represents the impurity coupling, with the impurity located at site $i=1$. By fine tuning $J_2/J_1 = 0.2412$ to the critical point of the spin-chain dimerization transition [63], the Hamiltonian in Eq. (8) provides a faithful representation of the spin sector of the Kondo model [63]. The Kondo screening length $\xi_K$ can be identified with the spatial extent of a block of spins with which the impurity is maximally entangled [64]. Assuming that the number of sites on the chain is even, the SU(2) symmetry of the model implies that $p_j^\tau = 1/2$. A measurement quench is now performed on the impurity spin at site $j=1$. To compute the subsequent time evolution, we employ exact diagonalization for short chains up to $N = 20$ and time-dependent Runge-Kutta DMRG simulation for longer chains [67]. Following the same finite-size scaling procedure as we used for the TFIC, we obtain the results displayed in Figs. 4(a)–4(b), with $m_j^\tau(t)$ plotted versus $t/N$ when $N/\xi_K$ is fixed to $N/\xi_K = 3.4$ and $N/\xi_K = 2$, respectively. The value of $\xi_K$ is found using the entanglement approach of Ref. [64]. As one can see, there are two distinct regions: (i) a scaling region which starts from $i = 0$ and extends to $J_1 \approx N/2$ over which there is an almost perfect data collapse; and (ii) a finite-size region $J_1 > N/2$, over which the data collapse gets distorted due to reflection of excitations from the boundaries. Note that the different scaling behaviors seen in the Kondo and TFIC models is due to the fact that the length scale $\xi_K$ is dynamically generated, and its divergence for small $J'$ does not reflect a quantum phase transition.

It is worth pointing out that despite the success of DMRG to capture the low-energy sector of a many-body system, such as the Kondo model, it cannot compete with a real quantum simulator. First, as entanglement grows, the DMRG algorithm fails to give an accurate description [68]. Second, even for models where DMRG performs at its best the time scale to compute the evolution is orders of magnitudes larger compared to monitoring the same dynamics on a real many-body system, like a quantum simulator using cold atom, trapped ions, or photonics. For such real-time experiments our measurement quench protocol is expected to come into its own.

Application 4: Detecting the Kondo screening cloud.—While much is known about Kondo physics [55–57], the experimental detection of the Kondo screening cloud, of size $\xi_K$, remains a challenge [69]. We here suggest a new type of protocol for determining $\xi_K$, based on a measurement quench. To do so, we consider the Hamiltonian in Eq. (8) and perform a measurement quench on the spin at site $j$. If this site is far from the impurity, outside the Kondo cloud, then the time evolution of the magnetization $m_j^\tau(t)$ is not affected by the presence of the impurity on short time scales. Thus, by comparing the evolution of $m_j^\tau(t)$ in the presence ($J' \neq 1$) and absence ($J' = 1$) of the impurity, one expects that there is no difference between the two cases.
when the site resides outside the Kondo cloud. Guided by this, we define an average local magnetization difference as

$$\Delta m_j(J') = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |m_j^0(J') - m_j^i(J' = 1)| dt,$$  

where $T = 1/J'$ is a short time compared to the time needed for spin excitations to propagate across the chain. In Fig. 5(a) we plot $\Delta m_j(J')$ as a function of $j$ for different values of $J'$ in a spin chain of length $N = 32$. As one can see from the figure, $\Delta m_j(J')$ decays exponentially when the site $j$ is far away from the impurity site $j = 1$. By considering an exponential fitting function of the form $\Delta m_j(J') \sim e^{-j/\xi}$ to the tail of the data one can extract the length scale $\xi$. In Fig. 5(b) we plot $\xi$ thus obtained as a function of $1/J'$. Choosing $A = 0.18$, one obtains very good agreement with $\xi \sim e^{A/J'}$, the expected exponential scaling for the Kondo screening length [56].

Conclusion.—We have shown that a local measurement can be harnessed to induce nonequilibrium dynamics in many-body systems. In contrast to conventional quench protocols, where the Hamiltonian is manipulated, our proposal is easier to implement and less prone to decoherence. Several applications of measurement quenches have been discussed. They allow for efficient spectroscopy of nontrivial spin systems, for extracting nonequilibrium scaling at quantum criticality and in quantum impurity systems, and also for probing the elusive screening cloud in the Kondo model. Various physical setups, in which projective measurements have been realized, can potentially implement our protocol, including ion traps [29,33,34], optical lattices [19,70–72], Rydberg atoms [20], and superconducting circuits [73,74]. Importantly, a measurement quench can be performed on any initial state, including a thermal state and all the introduced applications remain valid if the temperature is small enough so that the measurement quench only excites low-energy eigenstates.
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