Tremor in motor neuron disease may be central rather than peripheral in origin
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Abstract

Background: Motor neuron disease (MND) refers to a spectrum of degenerative diseases affecting motor neurons. Recent clinical and postmortem observations have revealed considerable variability in the phenotype. Rhythmic involuntary oscillations of the hands during action, resembling tremor, can occur in MND, but its pathophysiology has not yet been investigated.

Methods: 120 consecutive MND patients were screened for tremor. Twelve patients with action tremor and no other movement disorders were found. Ten took part in the study. Tremor was recorded bilaterally using surface electromyography and triaxial accelerometer, with and without a variable weight load. Power spectra of rectified electromyography and accelerometric signal were calculated. To investigate a possible cerebellar involvement, eye blink classic conditioning (EBCC) was performed in five patients.

Results: Action tremor was present in about 10% of our population. All patients showed distal postural tremor of low amplitude and constant frequency, bilateral with a small degree of asymmetry. Two of them showed also simple kinetic tremor. A peak at the electromyography and accelerometric recordings ranging from 4 Hz to 12 Hz was found in all patients. Loading did not change peak frequency in either the electromyographic or accelerometric power spectra. Compared with healthy volunteers, patients had a smaller number of conditioned responses during EBCC.
Conclusions: our data suggest that MND patients can present with action tremor of a central origin, possibly due to a cerebellar dysfunction. This evidence supports the novel idea of MND as a multisystem neurodegenerative disease and that action tremor can be part of this condition.

Introduction

Motor neuron disease (MND) refers to a spectrum of progressive degenerative diseases which variably affect the upper (UMN) and lower motor neurons (LMN), and includes a continuum which spans from primary lateral sclerosis to progressive muscular atrophy (PMA). Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is the most common subtype of MND and it is characterized by predominant LMN signs combined with a degree of pyramidal signs due to UMN involvement (1). Clinical and postmortem observations demonstrated that other neural structures can be involved, indicating that MND should be regarded as a widespread degenerative disease of the central nervous system (CNS) (2-4).

In 1970, Spiro used the term minipolymyoclonus to clinically describe "intermittent and irregular movements, with amplitudes just sufficient to produce visible and palpable movements of the joints" observed in childhood spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) (5); these were then attributed to fasciculations (6). However, in our clinical experience MND patients often show rhythmic involuntary oscillations of the hands during action, with a fairly constant frequency and not associated to fasciculations, thus resembling tremor.
Tremor recognizes different pathophysiological mechanisms, such as mechanical resonance, enhanced short- or long-latency reflexes, and central oscillations caused by a network dysfunction (7). Mechanical–reflex tremor is influenced by the inertial and elastic properties of the body; consequently, inertial loads on a joint decrease its frequency (8). By contrast, central tremors are not affected by mechanical changes, as they are produced by a rhythmic activity generated within the CNS (9).

Tremor in MND might have diverse origin. One possibility is that it is due to peripheral factors, such as weakness caused by denervation or spasticity resulting from UMN damage. However, given the diffuse damage to the CNS during the course of the disease, a central origin cannot be ruled out. The cerebellum and the olivo-cerebellar network are presumed to drive high frequency (6-40Hz) oscillations of the neocortex, and they likely play a critical role in pacing several types of tremors (7, 9-11). Dysfunctions of the olivo-cerebellar network can be investigated through an associative learning paradigm known as eye blink classical conditioning (EBCC) (12).

In this study, we sought to characterize the clinical and neurophysiological features of the observed action tremor in MND. To do so, we confirmed the tremulous nature of the involuntary movements through power spectrum analysis and measured possible frequency changes due to inertial loading. Moreover, to explore a possible involvement of the cerebellum, we performed EBCC in a subgroup of tremulous MND patients and compared the results with healthy volunteers.

Methods

Participants

One hundred and twenty consecutive MND patients attending the MND outpatients’ clinic at the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, were examined for tremor, over a twelve months’ period. Twelve patients with action tremor and no other movement disorders were
found, and ten of them were recruited. Parkinsonism and other secondary causes of action tremor were excluded. Participants gave their written informed consent. All experimental procedures were approved by the UCL Research Ethics Committee, conducted in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki and according to international safety guidelines.

Clinical examination

Information about demographic and clinical data was collected, including age at onset, disease duration, tremor duration, handedness, weakest body side and body side most affected by tremor (table 1). Muscle strength was assessed clinically in the flexor carpi radialis (FCR) and extensor carpi radialis (ECR) muscles bilaterally using the MRC scale. Grip strength was measured by a hand dynamometer ranging from 0 to 90 kg (JAMAR® hydraulic). Tremor was evaluated at rest, during posture (arms outstretched) and action (finger-to-nose test, when possible).

Tremor recording

Tremor was recorded bilaterally from FCR and ECR through surface electromyography (EMG) using bipolar Ag-AgCl cup electrodes. Continuous EMG signal was bandpass filtered (3-100 Hz), rectified and linearly detrended prior to further analysis. A fast Fourier transform using non-overlapping segments of $2^{14}$ points was used for frequency decomposition. Autospectra was measured using functions contained in the Neurospec toolbox (13, 14) written for MATLAB software (Mathworks ltd.). Tremor was also recorded by a triaxial accelerometer (ACC) placed on the dorsal surface of the most affected hand, while subjects were seated with arms outstretched at the shoulder level. These signals were collected through a CED 1401 interface (Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, UK), digitized at a sampling rate of 5 KHz and fed to a computer for data storage using Spike2 software by Cambridge Electronic Design (Cambridge, UK). Tremor was recorded for at least 60 seconds in two
loading conditions: without weight ("no weight") and with a variable weight load (100gr, 200gr or 500 gr) based on patients' muscle power ("weight") located on the metacarpal region of the hands.

Eye blink classic conditioning

EBCC was performed in a subgroup of 5 patients according to a previously described protocol (15). The conditioning stimulus (CS) was a tone lasting 400 ms, delivered via binaural headphones and loud enough (70-80 dB; 2 kHz) to inconsistently produce an “alpha blink” within 200 ms (15). The unconditioned stimulus (US) was a square electrical pulse of 200 μs duration and an intensity equal to twice that to obtain a motor response in the orbicularis oculi of 50-100 μV, delivered over the right supraorbital nerve 400 ms after the CS. Pairs of CS and US at 400 ms ISI were delivered in 6 acquisition blocks. Each block consisted of 9 CS-US pairs, 1 US-only and 1 CS-only trial; a 7th block consisted of 11 CS-only trials to measure extinction. Surface EMG was recorded bilaterally from the orbicularis oculi muscle. All the EMG bursts occurring at least 200 ms after the CS but before the US were considered as conditioned responses (CRs) in CS-US trials. For CS-only trials, EMG bursts occurring 200-600 ms after the CS were considered CRs. Patients results were compared with those obtained from 12 healthy subjects (HS).

Statistical analysis

Several t-tests were used to compare differences in peak frequency (PF) recorded by EMG and ACC, to investigate possible effects of load on peak tremor frequency and to compare grip strength between the right and left side. Wilcoxon’s signed rank test was used to disclose possible differences in muscle strength across the four examined muscles and Mann-Whitney tests were performed to compare the number of conditioned EBCC responses in each block in the two groups (MND patients and HS). Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to investigate a possible correlation between
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neurophysiologic and clinical variables. When using t-tests, normal distribution of data was assessed by means of Shapiro-Wilks’ test. All p values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Action tremor was present in about 10% of our MND population. All the patients recruited presented with postural tremor, and two of them with both postural and kinetic tremor. Rest and intention tremor were not observed. Eight of the patients had a diagnosis of ALS, while the other two fit the criteria of PMA and progressive bulbar palsy respectively. Clinical features of patients who took part in the study are summarized in table 1 and 2.

Tremor recording

All patients showed a consistent peak in the EMG and ACC recording (Figure 1 and 2). EMG PF ranged from 4.0 Hz to 9.5 Hz (average 7.2±2.1 Hz) and from 4.0 Hz to 10.4 Hz (average 7.5±2.4 Hz) in the “no weight” and “weight” condition respectively. ACC PF varied from 4.3 Hz to 12.2 Hz in the “no weight” condition (average 7.3±2.4 Hz) and from 4.6 Hz to 12.8 Hz in the “weight” condition (average 7.3±2.6 Hz). T-tests did not disclose any significant differences in PF between EMG and ACC either in the “no weight” (t₉ = 0.371, p = 0.720) or in the “weight” (t₉ = -0.434, p = 0.674) condition. Loading did not change PF measured either with EMG (t₉ = -1.682, p = 0.127) or ACC (t₉ = 0.318, p = 0.758).

There was no difference in average grip strength between right and left side in MND patients (t₉ = 0.745, p = 0.475), and Wilcoxon’s signed rank test did not disclose any significant differences in strength across the four muscles examined (all p values > 0.05).
Compared with healthy volunteers, MND patients had fewer conditioned responses during EBCC in blocks 3 \((Z = -2.472, p = 0.014)\), 4 \((Z = -2.638, p = 0.007)\), 5 \((Z = -2.830, p = 0.004)\) and 6 \((Z = -2.680, p = 0.004)\) (Figure 3).

There was a positive correlation (Spearman’s rank) between tremor duration and the presence of kinetic tremor \((r = 0.676, p = 0.032)\). No correlation was found between the degree of atrophy in the FCR or ECR muscles and tremor power measured in the ACC signal.

**Discussion**

We described 10 patients with MND who presented with bilateral postural tremor and no other moment disorders; two of them showed also simple kinetic tremor. Postural tremor was distal, of low amplitude and constant frequency, bilateral with a small degree of asymmetry. In none of the patients there was a clear pattern (i.e. alternating or co-contracting) of EMG activation in FCR/ECR pairs. Spectral analysis of the EMG and ACC recording showed a consistent peak of frequency ranging from 4 to 12 Hz, which was not affected by external loading. Lastly, MND patients consistently showed fewer CR during EBCC compared to HS. Overall, these findings suggest that our MND patients present with tremor probably due to a central oscillator.

A purely mechanical tremor, such as physiological tremor, is induced by passive mechanical oscillations, the frequency of which depends on inertia and stiffness of the joint, with no clear EMG counterpart (16). By contrast, in pathological tremors (such as enhanced physiological tremor, essential tremor (ET) and Parkinsonian tremor) motor unit entrainment is strong enough to produce a clear peak in the EMG power spectrum (16, 17). This means that the CNS provides a regular series of phasic impulses to the muscles, which might originate from unstable stretch reflex loops, central oscillators, or a combination of the two (17). The muscle stretch reflex can induce pathological tremor on its own, if its gain is increased by a relatively small amount (18), and can easily reinforce
an ongoing tremor as a consequence of the inevitable delay between application of stretch and recruitment of muscle force (17). Hence, the stretch reflex can contribute to all pathological tremors, specifically the so-called mechanical-reflex tremor (9), and central tremors, originating within the CNS, whose frequency is independent of mechanical limb properties (17, 19, 20). Central tremor generators can be of two types: an unstable loop circuit or a neuronal ensemble with spontaneous rhythmicity which drives an otherwise normal circuit (7). The cerebello-thalamo-cortical network has been long suspected to be the generator of both Parkinsonian tremor and ET (7) and the spontaneously rhythmic neurons of several nuclei are the principal candidates as central tremor generators (9, 17, 21).

In all our patients, EMG analysis showed a clear peak in the spectrum and no frequency changes with mechanical loading, excluding fasciculations, arrhythmic involuntary movements (such as myoclonus) and mechanical or mechanical-reflex tremor. We therefore conclude that the tremor in MND is likely to be of central origin. We did not assess whether abnormal stretch reflex excitability influenced motor unit entrainment, thus we cannot exclude that enhanced stretch reflexes support a central loop rhythmically activating the tremulous muscle (22).

A second finding in our study is an abnormal EBCC in our patients, suggesting that the cerebellum might be a contributor to the central circuit driving tremor. EBCC is an associative learning paradigm that depends on the integrity of the olivo-cerebellar circuit (12), and it is known that a structural or functional impairment of the cerebellum leads to abnormalities in acquisition of CR (23). Dysfunctions of circuits involving the cerebellum and the inferior olives have been reported to play a critical role in the pathophysiology of action-induced tremors (11) and EBCC has been found to be abnormal in ET (24) and dystonic tremor (15, 23). Similarly, we might speculate that MND action tremor is due to a cerebellar dysfunction. Structural and functional cerebellar abnormalities in ALS has been recently demonstrated by imaging (25-27) and pathological (28, 29) studies, especially in ALS patients with abnormal repeat expansions in the C9orf72 (30, 31) and the
ataxin-2 genes (ATXN2) (27, 32). None of our MND patients presented with cerebellar signs or were tested for intermediate ATXN2 expansions, but in one patient C9orf72 mutation was not found and one patient carried a mutation in SOD1 gene, whose overexpression leads to Purkinje cells degeneration in mice (33).

A possible link between tremor and ALS could be explained by the FUS gene. This gene was identified as a risk factor for both familial and sporadic ALS (34-36) and there is growing evidence that variants in the FUS gene are associate with ET (37-39). Although the findings suggest that FUS in ALS and ET might have different pathogenetic mechanisms, this has not yet been demonstrated (40). Therefore, possible overlapping FUS mutations in the two conditions cannot be excluded.

Our patients presented with other atypical features apart from tremor, such as a long disease duration and a slow rate of progression, not resembling the “classical” form of ALS, which usually has a median survival of 3 years from diagnosis. Interestingly, the same characteristics, including tremor, have been described in some families with ALS type 8 caused by VAPB gene mutations (41, 42). It is thus possible to presume that those patients represent a variant of MND.

In two of our patients also kinetic tremor was noticed (43). None seemed to have intention tremor, but this evaluation was limited by the poor motor performance in most of them. Curiously, the presence of kinetic tremor positively correlated with disease duration, which is different from what it is seen in most of the tremors. In ET, for instance, disease duration has been correlated only to the presence of intention tremor, but it has been suggested that the presence of kinetic tremor implies a higher severity of ET (44). Using the same analogy, we may argue that tremor in MND worsens with disease progression.

Some limitations in our study should be acknowledged. First, patients were studied with different loading weights, and these were selected according to their ability to hold the weight for 60 seconds. Unfortunately, because of the severe disability and the poor general condition that this
disease causes, we were not able to recruit control patients without tremor. Moreover, for the same reason, we could not assess stretch reflex excitability and denervation at the time of the tremor recording. Denervation might contribute to tremor; however, the absence of correlation between muscle atrophy and tremor power might support a central origin of tremor in MND.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that action tremor occurs in approximately 10% of our MND patients and has a central origin, possibly resulting from a cerebellar dysfunction. This evidence supports the novel idea of MND as a multisystem neurodegenerative disease and suggests that action tremor is part of the condition.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. An example of EMG power spectrum showing a peak at 7.6 Hz and 7.9 Hz in the two loading conditions (with weight: dash and dot grey line; without weight: continuous black line).

Figure 2. An example of ACC power spectrum showing a peak at 6.7 Hz in the two loading conditions (with weight: dash and dot grey line; without weight: continuous black line).

Figure 3. Eye blink classic conditioning result. Conditioned responses were lower in MND patients than HS in blocks 3 to 6. Error bars indicate standard error. Asterisks indicate significant differences in post-hoc comparisons (p < 0.05).

Table 1. Patients' demographic and clinical data

ALS: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; DD: disease duration at tremor registration; M: male; PBP: progressive bulbar palsy; PMA: progressive muscular atrophy; SOD1: mutations in superoxide dismutase 1; TD: tremor duration.

Table 2. Main clinical variables

D: dominant; ECR: extensor carpi radialis; FCR: flexor carpi radialis; L: left; NA: not available; ND: non-dominant; R: right; TS: body side most affected by tremor; WS: weakest body side; *: degree of arms muscle atrophy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Site of onset</th>
<th>DD (yr)</th>
<th>TD (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Right hand</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PMA</td>
<td>Upper limbs</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Left hand</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Left hand</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Left hand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Left foot</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SOD1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PBP</td>
<td>Bulbar</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Left hand</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Bulbar</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Upper limbs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>FCR-D</td>
<td>ECR-D</td>
<td>FCR-ND</td>
<td>ECR-ND</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>