Pforams@mikrotax: A new online taxonomic database for planktonic foraminifera
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ABSTRACT: A new relational taxonomic database for planktonic foraminifera (“pforams@mikrotax”) has been constructed and is now freely available online at http://www.mikrotax.org. It represents a major advance from its predecessor, the CHRONOS online taxonomic database, which has served the research community since 2005. The benefits of the new database to the research and industrial biostatigraphic communities are many, as it will serve as an immediately accessible taxonomic guide and reference for specialists and non-specialists alike by providing access to a wealth of information and images from original authors and from experts who have inserted recent authoritative updates to planktonic foraminiferal taxonomy, phylogeny and biostratigraphy. The database will be continually updated and used as a guide for training current and future generations of students and professionals who will be able to self-educate on planktonic foraminiferal taxonomy and biostratigraphy. Further investigation of species traditionally included in the Cretaceous genera Heterohelix, Globigerinoides, Marginotruncana, and Globotruncana is required to exclude the use of polyphyletic morphotaxa. The taxonomy for Paleogene planktonic foraminifera is quite stable following publication of the Paleocene, Eocene, and Oligocene taxonomic atlases, but revisions to the taxonomy and phylogeny of Neogene taxa are needed to incorporate results from genetic sequencing studies and recent biostratigraphic observations.

INTRODUCTION

Planktonic foraminifera arguably have the best fossil record on Earth because of their high rates of production, wide distribution in a variety of marine settings, high preservation potential, and relatively rapid speciation rates (Hemleben et al. 1989). As a result, they have been used extensively in biostratigraphic studies (McGowran 2005), they are considered the most important fossil group for interpreting Mesozoic and Cenozoic paleoceanography (Kucera 2007), and stratophenetic studies of their morphology provide significant insights to macro-evolutionary and microevolutionary patterns and processes (e.g., Malmgren et al. 1983; Hodell and Vayavananda 1993; Wei 1994; Schmidt et al. 2004; Aze et al. 2011; Ezard et al. 2011; Pearson and Ezard 2014). Although the importance of planktonic foraminifera has increased in biostratigraphic, paleoceanographic, and evolutionary studies, the number of experts who can provide taxonomic training for the next generation of scientists has diminished. For this reason, and because most taxonomic and biostratigraphic information is dispersed in obscure journals or books that are out of print and taxonomic opinions are constantly evolving, the potential value of a universally accessible, high-quality internet database of planktonic foraminiferal taxonomy has never been greater. We describe here our progress toward achieving this goal.

To meet the increasing community demand for online access to accurate taxonomic and stratigraphic information, a team of programmers and taxonomic experts collaborated to build the CHRONOS online taxonomic database (OTD) for planktonic foraminifera (http://portal.chronos.org/gridisphere/gridisphere?cid=res_taxondb), which became publicly accessible in 2005. It was built as a PostgreSQL relational database and contains species images, searchable morphologic character states, and links to external databases for graphically displaying biogeographic and stratigraphic species distributions. This planktonic foraminiferal OTD was included as part of a National Science Foundation funded effort to build a centralized cyberinfrastructure called the CHRONOS System (http://www.chronos.org/) for integrating stratigraphic databases that are linked by geologic time (Sikora et al. 2006). The planktonic foraminiferal OTD and other tools and databases originally developed in CHRONOS continue to be used by many workers (e.g., TimeScale Creator, Age-Depth Plot; CONOP9; Psicat). Termination of CHRONOS funding in 2006 occurred before components of the OTD were completed and soon thereafter the future of the CHRONOS OTD was in jeopardy because of software malfunctions and database crashes that could not be prevented due to the lack of programmer funding. Nevertheless, the CHRONOS OTD provided the taxonomic backbone for integrating diverse palaeobiologi-
We have created a replacement of the CHRONOS planktonic foraminiferal OTD designated as "pforsms@mikrotax", which can be accessed at http://www.mikrotax.org. This new OTD advances well beyond the CHRONOS OTD in its functionality, new content, server stability, and graphical interface. Benefits to the research, student and commercial communities are many, as it will serve as an immediately accessible taxonomic guide and reference for specialists and non-specialists, providing access to a wealth of information and images from original authors and from experts who have provided recent authoritative updates to planktonic foraminiferal taxonomy, phylogeny and biostratigraphy. pforsms@mikrotax will serve as a continually updated guide for training current and future generations of students and professionals, who will be able to educate themselves on planktonic foraminiferal taxonomy and biostratigraphy.

In this paper we provide an overview of the functionality, content, and oversight of the pforsms@mikrotax OTD and we discuss areas of Cretaceous and Jurassic planktonic foraminiferal taxonomy and biostratigraphy that still need investigation and revision.

IMPROVEMENTS BEYOND CHRONOS

The pforsms@mikrotax database contains numerous improvements over the CHRONOS taxonomic database, including the following:

- Access to species pages through family and genus pages for Mesozoic taxa and shell wall type for Cenozoic taxa. Listings include taxonomic diagnoses accompanied by thumbnail image examples.
- Databases for Neogene, Paleogene, and Mesozoic planktonic foraminifera are all accessible from the top of every taxon page.
- Many new Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), composite focus light microscope, and thin-section images have been added.
- An original description catalog, which includes all described taxa using their original taxonomic names, is separated from a main catalog, which includes all taxa considered as valid. Links on each catalog page provide easy navigation within the database.
- Bibliographic citations are included in each taxonomic record and are linked to a comprehensive bibliography that can be browsed and searched.
- Stratigraphic ranges are plotted against the 2012 Geological Time Scale (Gradstein et al. 2012) for each taxonomic level with first and last appearances plotted at different levels of known precision (stage, biozone, or age).
- Metadata are provided with each graphical image.
- Data sources are shown for first and last occurrence information presented for taxon ranges.
- A simple search box is located at the top and near the bottom of every page, enabling searches for any part of a taxon name and for occurrence in the basionym, synonym and variant fields of the database.

Advanced search capabilities are combined into a single user-friendly layout that includes taxonomic criteria and a matrix of morphological characters that can be further constrained by a sliding geologic-age search window.

- Taxon ages are linked to the TimeScale Creator database (https://engineering.purdue.edu/Stratigraphy/tscreator/index/index.php), with age calibrations by stage, zone, magnetochron, and cyclostratigraphy tied to lookup tables that can be updated with new changes to the geologic time scale.

- A Mikrotax Range Chart plotter that presents taxon ranges at different hierarchical levels using first and last occurrence ages from within the database compared to occurrence data (plotted in million year bin frequencies) extracted from the Neptune Deep-sea Microfossil occurrence database (http://www.nsb-mfn-berlin.de/). Frequency of species occurrences in DSDP, ODP, and IODP samples provides a proxy for species abundance, although some erroneous age models in Neptune need to be corrected before these outputs can be considered as reliable.

- A greater amount of the database content can be updated by registered users with a variety of editing functions available on separate web-forms for the catalog, images, and main web pages.

- A detailed user guide with labeled screen-shots and a slideshow file are provided for easy instructions on how to navigate and perform searches in the database.

In addition to these improvements, the innovative public comment field, multi-field search capability, and disseminated editing features that were valuable attributes of the CHRONOS OTD have been retained in the Mikrotax System. These combined features will bring pforsms@mikrotax to the forefront as a fundamental resource for planktonic foraminiferal taxonomic and biostratigraphic information.

CONTENT AND FUNCTIONALITY OF pforsms@mikrotax

pforsms@mikrotax is a website based on the Mikrotax taxonomy content management system that was initially created for the Nannotax website (http://www.mikrotax.org/Nannotax3), which was developed as an open access online resource to deliver authoritative taxonomic information for calcareous nannofossils. The system is based on a MySQL database that delivers a curated collection of images and text using standard Java Script modules and a small set of custom PHP scripts. Editors of the catalog can log into the database and access a multitude of editing functions using any internet browser.

The database is divided into a “Main Catalog” and “Original Description Catalog”. An overview of these catalogs and the sources of their content are discussed below.

Main Catalog

The main catalog contains all species that are considered as valid and it currently includes 495 Cenozoic taxa and 450 Mesozoic taxa, all with more than 2500 images, most of which are SEMs shown with at least three views per specimen. Composite focus light images and thin-section images are included with some species records. Taxonomic information in the main catalog is presented at three hierarchical taxonomic levels. For the Mesozoic planktonic foraminifera, these levels include family, genus, and species, which are each arranged with thumbnail images, diagnoses, and listings of the included taxa. This is differ-
**Racemiguembelina**

**Classification:** pf_mesozoic -> Heterohelicidae -> Racemiguembelina

**Sister taxa:** Braunella, Gublerina, Hendersonites, Heterohelix, Huberella, Laeviheterohelix, Lunatirella, Planoglobulina, Planoheterohelix, Praegublerina, Protoheterohelix, Pseudogublerina, Pseudotextularia, Racemiguembelina, Rectoguembelina, Sigalia, Spiroplectia, Venusabellina, Zeauvigerina,

**Daughter taxa (blue => in age window 0-300Ma)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxonomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citation:</strong> Racemiguembelina Montanaro Gallitelli 1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rank:</strong> Genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basionym:</strong> Guembelina fructicosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type species:</strong> Guembelina fructicosa Egg, 1902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type images:**

**Original description:** Racemiguembelina.

**Characters:**

- Test subconical, micropheric early stage may be planispiral and later stage biserial, regularly enlarging globular chambers proliferate in the later stage in a plane perpendicular to the earlier plane of growth, forming an open cone only partially joined by a bridgelike coverplate, sutures depressed; wall calcareous, hyaline, surface with longitudinal irregular to discontinuous imperforate costae, alternating with distinctly perforate areas of the wall, coverplate over the apertures with much finer perforations; aperture consists of broad arched interiomarginal openings directed toward the umbilicus on all chambers in the final whorl, apertures may be partially covered by the bridge like cover plate that extends from one apertural face to another in the same series of chambers, each coverplate bordered by large infralaminar accessory apertures.

**Geological Range:**

- **Last occurrence (top):** within Maastrichtian Stage (66.04-72.05Ma, top in Maastrichtian stage). Data source: Total of range of species in this database
- **First occurrence (base):** within Campanian Stage (72.05-83.64Ma, base in Campanian stage). Data source: Total of range of species in this database

**Plot of occurrence data:**

NB Plotting of Neptune data has been disabled on the Mesozoic pages because the dataset is both small and badly affected by a few sites with erroneous age models (our obs Huber, Petrizzo, Young, Nov 2016). The data can still be viewed via the range plotter tool - tools menu.

- Range-bar - range as quoted above, pink interval top occurs in, green interval base occurs in.
- Triangles indicate an event for which a precise placement has been suggested
- Neptune data: This is a higher taxon page so Neptune data is not plotted. See also: customisable plot Parent: Heterohelicidae

**References:**


**TEXT-Figure 1**

Screen grab from pforams@mikrotax Mesozoic Main Page Catalog showing images, text and biostratigraphic range plot for the two valid species included in Racemiguembelina. Also note the web page links and taxon search window

ent from organization of the Cenozoic planktonic foraminifera, which uses wall-texture type instead of taxonomic family for the highest classification level. For each taxonomic level, graphical range bars show the precision of first and last stratigraphic occurrence determinations (text-fig. 1). Specimens chosen for illustration are considered as representative of the range of morphologic variability within the species concept.
Original Description Catalog

The second part of the database is the “Original Description Catalog”. This is intended to be a comprehensive catalog including all described planktonic foraminifera, providing verbatim copies of original descriptions and remarks, and original illustrations of type specimens, together with English-language translations of descriptions and modern illustrations of type specimens. At present the catalog lists 2200 described taxa, which is close to being comprehensive. While the level of coverage varies from a simple listing of the names, authorship and publication to full coverage, there is useful detail for over half the entries and this is rapidly being expanded. A particular feature is inclusion of many new SEM micrographs of the primary types (holotypes, paratypes, neotypes, lectotypes, and syntypes), with an emphasis on species that are considered as valid.

In addition to the original descriptions, information in the Original Description Catalog includes original and currently used genus and species name combinations, the authors and dates for species names, holotype size dimensions, type locality and age, repository information, and bibliographic references (text-fig. 2). Links to the main database, a taxon search box, and multiple drop-down links allow for easy navigation to other parts of the database from the Original Description Catalog.

Sources of Taxonomic Information

Conflicting opinions on the taxonomy and stratigraphic ranges of planktonic foraminifera continue to the present day as a result of inaccurate original species illustrations, inadequate descriptions, and lost, inaccessible or poorly preserved holotypes. The advent of SEM imaging for illustration of planktonic foraminifera revolutionized their study with their unprecedented image accuracy and resolution at high magnifications, which subsequently led to a new appreciation of the importance of wall texture and other features that have become important in higher-level taxonomic classification (e.g., Blow 1969, 1979; Fleisher 1974; Robaszynski et al. 1979, 1984; Caron 1985; Boudagher-Fadel et al. 1997). The ability to capture high quality SEM images without the application of a conductive coating (e.g., Liu et al. 1998; Olsson et al. 1999; Caron and Spezzaferri 2006; Pearson et al. 2006; Ando and Huber 2007; Georgescu and Huber 2009; Haynes et al. 2015; Wade et al., in press) has been an important development in the clarification of a number of holotype concepts (text-fig. 3).

The advent of the Deep Sea Drilling Project in 1968 and continuation of deep sea drilling up to the present day, along with several land-based drilling initiatives, has provided access to more continuous stratigraphic sequences and better microfossil preservation than has generally been available from outcrop samples. Study of these rich microfossil archives has enabled major refinements of the biostratigraphy of planktonic foraminifera and improved phylogenetic hypotheses through detailed stratophenetic observations. The European Working Group on Planktonic Foraminifera, which included as many as 30 specialists from industry and academia, assembled at numerous meetings between 1976 and 1981. These meetings resulted in two widely cited taxonomic atlases: the first focused on Albian-Turonian species (Robaszynski et al. 1979) and the second focused on Campanian-Maastrichtian globotruncanids (Robaszynski et al. 1984).

The Mesozoic Planktonic Foraminiferal Working Group (MPFWG), first formed in 2004 and has met multiple times since then for the purpose of updating the taxonomy, phylogeny, ranges, biostratigraphy of Jurassic and Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera. Problems of poor preservation of most type specimens, limited availability of stratigraphically continuous sections that yield well-preserved specimens, and the challenge of polyphyletic lineages have impeded progress toward completion of a taxonomic atlas. Nonetheless, collaborations among working group members has led to numerous publications that present important advances in the taxonomy, biostratigraphy, and phylogeny of a number of taxonomic groups (e.g., Georgescu and Huber 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009; Georgescu 2007, 2008, 2009a, 2009b; Petrizzo and Huber 2006a, 2006b; Gonzalez-Donoso et al. 2007; Desmares et al. 2008; Georgescu and Abramovich 2008, 2009; Lipson-Benitah 2008; Georgescu et al. 2009; Premoli Silva et al. 2009; Falzoni and Petrizzo 2011; Huber and Leckie 2011; Petrizzo et al. 2011, 2015; Ando et al. 2013; Huber and Petrizzo 2014; Falzoni et al. 2014, 2016; Haynes et al. 2015; Petrizzo et al. 2017; Huber et al. 2017). These publications and others provide an updated framework for the classification of the Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera since that of Loeblich and Tappan (1988). The Mesozoic Catalogue within pforams@mikrotax includes taxonomic revisions presented in this suite of publications.

The Mesozoic planktonic foraminiferal working groups will monitor opinions uploaded in the Mikrotax Comment field and new published revisions to planktonic foraminiferal taxonomy and phylogeny. Updates to the Main Catalogue will be made upon reaching majority consensus agreement among working group members.

Cretaceous Polyphyletic Taxa

Despite the progress that has been made by members of the MPFWG in resolving the taxonomy and phylogeny of a number of Cretaceous planktonic foraminiferal taxa, there is still much left to be done, as several important Cretaceous genera are known to be polyphyletic. Below are some of the taxa that need additional work.
**Genus Globigerinelloides**

Most Cretaceous planispiral species have traditionally been included in the genus *Globigerinelloides* because of their coiling mode. However, a stratigraphic gap spanning ~4 m.y. separates the extinction of Early Cretaceous species of *Globigerinelloides*, including the type species *Globigerinelloides algerianus* Cushman and ten Dam 1948, from Late Cretaceous planispiral species that have also traditionally been included in *Globigerinelloides*. Clearly they do not all belong to the same lineage. Differences in wall texture and wall-pore diameters among the planispiral taxa have been used by some authors to separate several Cretaceous planispiral lineages [e.g., *Alanlordella* Boudagher-Fadel 1995; *Macroglobigerinelloides* (nomen nudem) Premoli Silva and Verga 2004], but the reliability of those features for discriminating between planispiral taxa has been questioned (e.g., Moullade et al. 2002; Verga and Premoli Silva 2002, 2003, 2005; Petrizzo and Huber 2006a). Members of the MPFWG have been analyzing well preserved Late Cretaceous planispiral assemblages from stratigraphically continuous deep sea and land-based sequences in order to infer ancestor-descendent relationships and propose a new taxonomy and phylogeny for Late Cretaceous species that have previously been assigned to *Globigerinelloides*.

**Family Heterohelicidae**

Recent studies on Cretaceous biserial and multiserial taxa have emphasized the taxonomic importance of the initial coiling mode and wall-texture features within this morphologically diverse group, and this has led to significant revisions to their taxonomy and phylogeny (Georgescu and Huber 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009; Georgescu and Abramovich 2008, 2009; Georgescu et al. 2009; Haynes et al. 2015). Although nearly all species with a wholly biserial chamber arrangement had been included in *Heterohelix* Ehrenberg 1843 for decades after Loeblich (1951) resurrected the genus, this taxon is now considered as being correctly applicable to only a limited, monophyletic set of species (Georgescu and Abramovich 2009; Georgescu and Huber 2009), and at least 15 additional genera have been erected for
Late Cretaceous biserial taxa during the past decade. Significant revisions to the taxonomy and phylogeny of Albion-Cenomanian biserial species by Georgescu and Huber (2009) has more recently been followed by morphometric study of exceptionally well-preserved Turonian biserial assemblages by Haynes et al. (2015), which resulted in further revision of the group. Additional studies are required to trace the biserial lineages through the Coniacian–Maastrichtian to determine their appropriate taxonomic classification. Until then, most Coniacian-Maastrichtian biserial species are temporarily classified under “Heterohelix”.

Family Globotruncanidae

Transitional overlap between marginotruncanid and globotruncanid species has been recognized since the study of Wonders (1980), but clarification of differences and the phylogenetic relationship of both taxa still requires additional research. Species currently included in the genus Marginotruncana show some profoundly different morphologic characteristics that suggest the genus is polyphyletic. For example, the features and shape of the umbilical sutures vary from being nearly depressed in M. schneegansii to strongly raised in M. coronata, and they are nearly U-shaped in M. pseudolineiniana, but V-shaped in M. undulata. Characteristics of the peripheral margin are also very different for species included in this group. For example, M. coronata and M. pseudolineiniana have two keels separated by a wide imperforate peripheral band, whereas M. marianosi and M. sigali have one keel. Similarly, the relationship between single- and double-keeled species that were included in Globotruncanida by Robaszynski et al. (1984) [e.g., G. insignis Gandolfi 1955 and G. rosetta (Carsey 1926)], needs further investigation. Careful stratophenetic observations of well-preserved assemblages spanning the Turonian–Sanctonian interval will determine whether more lineages should be recognized within the morphologically diverse marginotruncanids and globotruncanids.

Family Hedbergellidae

Development of a more stable taxonomy of the hedbergellids is needed to improve Cretaceous planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphic and taxonomic framework. Revision of Early Cretaceous hedbergellids is ongoing, but problems encountered include their rare occurrence, poor preservation and low morphological diversity. Moreover, the validity of some morphologic features that have been used to discriminate some genera and species remain a matter of debate (e.g., chamber elongation: Boudager-Fadel et al. 1997; Verga and Premoli Silva 2005). Detailed study of extraordinarily well-preserved assemblages spanning the Aptian/Albian boundary at several deep-sea sites by Huber and Leckie (2011) enabled a major revision to the Aptian-Albian hedbergellid taxonomy. Observations of taxonomically and stratigraphically consistent differences in wall texture, wall pores, and aperture features led these authors to determine that species that should be included in Hedbergella became extinct at the end of the Aptian, whereas lineages that survived this extinction and then diversified during the Albian were assigned to Microhedbergella and Muricohedbergella. Additional hedbergellid genera have been named during the past decade (Liueila Georgescu 2008; Pseudoclavihedbergella and Pessagnoina Georgescu 2009b; Hilsella Georgescu & Carrigy 2012), but understanding how these and other Late Cretaceous hedbergellids are related to the mid-Cretaceous lineages needs much additional study.

Evolution of Jurassic Planktonic Foraminifera

The taxonomy and biostratigraphy of Jurassic planktonic foraminifera have been significantly updated and revised by Gradstein et al. (2017a, b) following detailed SEM and thin-section study of topotypic specimens and samples from Canada, Portugal, France, Switzerland, Poland, Lithuania, Russia and Dagestan. Some of the studied samples yield specimens that are remarkably well-preserved, providing new information on wall microstructure and microtextures, while other material had to be studied in thin-section. Results of these studies will be uploaded into the pforams@mikrotax database. Additional work is needed to determine the timing and phylogenetic origin of the Jurassic planktonic foraminifera as well as their relationship to descendant Cretaceous species.

RESEARCH AND EDUCATION BENEFITS

The state-of-the-art taxonomic and stratigraphic information included in pforams@mikrotax provides a valuable benefit to micropaleontologists in private industry and in academia. Quick online access to the database will enable faster decision making by industrial micropaleontologists working in both onshore and offshore settings, where expert taxonomic and biostratigraphic knowledge is critical to management of subsurface boreholes. Access to clear, historical synonymies in a single-source database will make it much easier to re-interpret and update old industrial reports as the need for improved subsurface correlation increases. The benefits are particularly obvious in situations where access to academic literature is limited or non-existent, such as on offshore rigs and in remote locations; the online database will speed up taxon identification, improve stratigraphic precision for origination and extinction levels, provide a more efficient means of communication among specialists, students, and teachers, and enable effective communication of ideas through reference to common images and data sets. Such information will also speed up age determinations for shipboard scientists working on IODP expeditions where drilling decisions are dependent on quick and reliable microfossil age control.

By providing ready access to taxonomic minutiae, original descriptions, and illustrations of type specimens, pforams@mikrotax will be used by the academic research community as a reliable resource that will facilitate accurate planktonic foraminiferal species identification and age determinations for paleoceanographic, biostratigraphic, and taxonomic studies. Geochemists, magnetostratigraphers, petroleum engineers, and many other geoscientists frequently use and need to interpret data derived from planktonic foraminifera. The database will allow information on any taxon to be searched and will provide the key reference sources to interpret names. As a clear synthesis of working taxonomy, pforams@mikrotax will also allow students and trainee industrial biostratigraphers to learn current planktonic foraminiferal taxonomy much faster than has been possible.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As noted above, the Main Catalogue in pforams@mikrotax database will be continually updated by members of the MPFWG following review of publications where new taxa are defined and revisions to the evolution and phylogeny of planktonic foraminifera are proposed. Efforts will be made to broaden participation in the working groups to increase diversity of taxonomic and stratigraphic expertise by getting additional colleagues from academia and industry to join. Decisions will
continue to be based on majority opinions of the Mesozoic, Paleogene, and Neogene working groups.

We anticipate that pforams@mikrotax will become the primary resource for updating taxonomic concepts and biostratigraphic ranges that are used by micropaleontology experts who participate in the IODP, and by the TimeScale Creator, the Neptune Sandbox and Paleobiology databases. As portions of the phylogenetic tree for the planktonic foraminifera are resolved and incorporated in pforams@mikrotax, phylogenetic tree visualizations can be added as datapacks to TimeScale Creator. Linking other databases to the carefully vetted, authoritative source of taxonomic and phylogenetic information presented in pforams@mikrotax will considerably improve the stability of taxonomic concepts applied by students and professionals working in disparate areas of the geological and biological sciences. The additional information included in the metadata files and bibliography of pforams@mikrotax will also be valuable resources for various research communities.

In addition we are interested in applying the Mikrotax system to other groups of organisms, especially other microfossil groups. We are currently exploring potential collaborations, but we also welcome inquiries from any other interested workers.
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