Sporadic sampling, not climatic forcing, drives observed early hominin diversity
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The role of climate change in the origin and diversification of early hominins is hotly debated. Most accounts of early hominin evolution link observed fluctuations in species diversity to directional shifts in climate or periods of intense climatic instability. None of these hypotheses, however, have tested whether observed diversity patterns are distorted by variation in the quality of the hominin fossil record. Here, we present a detailed examination of early hominin diversity dynamics, including both taxic and phylogenetically corrected diversity estimates. Unlike past studies, we compare these estimates to sampling metrics for rock availability (hominin-, primate-, and mammal-bearing formations) and collection effort, to assess the geological and anthropogenic controls on the sampling of the early hominin fossil record. Taxic diversity, primate-bearing formations, and collection effort show strong positive correlations, demonstrating that observed patterns of early hominin taxic diversity can be explained by temporal heterogeneity in fossil sampling rather than genuine evolutionary processes. Peak taxic diversity at 1.9 million years ago (Ma) is a sampling artifact, reflecting merely maximal rock availability and collection effort. In contrast, phylogenetic diversity estimates imply peak diversity at 2.4 Ma and show little relation to sampling metrics. We find that apparent relationships between early hominin diversity and indicators of climatic instability are, in fact, driven largely by variation in suitable rock exposure and collection effort. Our results suggest that significant improvements in the quality of the fossil record are required before the role of climate in hominin evolution can be reliably determined.
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The factors that shaped diversification in the hominin lineage have long intrigued paleoanthropologists (1). Much of the debate on the underlying drivers of early hominin diversification has centered on whether change in the hominin fossil record is gradual or pulsed (e.g., refs. 2 and 3), and whether diversification is causally linked to discrete shifts in climate or periods of intense climatic instability (e.g., refs. 4–8). The majority of studies report a direct link between climate and either a taxic diversity estimate (TDE) or the frequency of first appearances (a proxy for speciation) (refs. 9–11, but see ref. 12). In all of these studies, however, fluctuations in TDE are routinely accepted as genuine changes in species richness. This is at odds with a large and growing body of evidence indicating that TDE often largely reflects fluctuations in sampling metrics such as rock outcrop area, fossiliferous formation counts (FFCs), collections counts, and locality counts or their total area, more so than a genuine evolutionary signal (13–22).

The covariation between sampling metrics and paleodiversity can be explained by three hypotheses: (i) the rock record bias hypothesis, that human sampling effort and its underlying driver, rock availability, control observed paleodiversity (13, 15, 23); (ii) the common-cause hypothesis, that both genuine diversity and the rock/fossil records are driven by a third, often environmental, factor (24, 25); or (iii) the redundancy hypothesis, that supposed sampling metrics and the fossil record are redundant with respect to each other (i.e., greater collection effort might result in higher diversity, but higher genuine diversity might also result in more collecting) (26, 27).

Here, we test climatic-forcing hypotheses of early hominin diversity alongside the rock record bias, common-cause, and redundancy hypotheses. To do this, we compared TDE and four phylogenetically corrected diversity estimates (PDEs) (28, 29) to: (i) a strict FFC consisting of only those formations that have yielded a hominin fossil; (ii) a wider FFC consisting of all formations that have yielded a primate fossil; (iii) a comprehensive FFC consisting of all formations that have yielded a terrestrial macromammal fossil; and (iv) a proxy for collection effort: the number of years that have yielded a hominin fossil. Using time series and multivariate analysis, we show that early hominin TDE is greatly affected by temporal heterogeneity in fossil sampling, and that the pattern of diversification frequently linked to discrete climatic events is more apparent than real. Lastly, we demonstrate that each PDE shows little relation to sampling and supports gradual change as the primary mode of diversification in the hominin lineage.

Results and Discussion

Early Hominin Diversity Dynamics. The updated TDE (Fig. 1) is similar to the diversity curve of previous analyses (e.g., ref. 3), displaying three peaks: first, 3.6 million years ago (Ma); second, 2.4 Ma; and third, 1.9 Ma (date refers to the midpoint age of each time bin). Peak TDE ($n = 6$) occurs at 1.9 Ma. These peaks are separated by troughs at 3.0 Ma and 2.0 Ma, and low-standing...
PDE generally implies one or two more taxa per bin than the TDE (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1) and thus no time bins have zero diversity.

The composite PDE (Fig. 1) does not display the high-frequency fluctuations that are typical of sampling-driven TDEs (27). However, this does not mean that PDEs are immune from sampling biases. The gradual increase in PDE from 7.0 to 2.4 Ma (SI Appendix, Fig. S1), followed by an eightfold decline from 2.4 to 1.0 Ma, are features of early hominin diversity that require explanation. The steady increase in diversity from 7.0 to 2.4 Ma could reflect the general increase in fossil record quality toward the present (i.e., a long-term sampling signal). Alternatively, early hominin diversity could have increased due to a genuine evolutionary (adaptive) radiation subsequent to the origin of the clade. The post-2.4 Ma fall in PDE from 1.9 to 1.0 Ma, on the other hand, represents a sequence of gradual or coordinated extinctions. However, it is not possible to distinguish between a gradual or rapid extinction scenario for this poorly sampled dataset.

Fig. 1. Early hominin diversity estimates, sampling metrics, and terrigenous dust flux through geological time. (A) Taxic diversity estimate (TDE). (B) Phylogenetic diversity estimate (PDE) based on the median of the four estimates shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S1. The blue envelopes represent upper and lower 95% CIs based on the median of 1,000 time-scaling replicates. (C) Hominin-bearing collections (HBCs). (D) Primate-bearing formations (PBFs). (E) Terrigenous dust flux curve (5). Original data appear in orange; interpolated means are shown in white circles with black outline. Data points are plotted at the midpoint age of each time bin.

Is Hominin Diversity Controlled by Sampling? After generalized differencing all time series to remove long-term trends (see Methods and Fig. 1), TDE correlates significantly with both hominin-bearing collections (HBCs) ($\rho = 0.457$, $P = 0.030$) and primate-bearing formations (HBFs) ($\rho = 0.618$, $P = 0.002$; SI Appendix, Table S2). Both correlations, however, become nonsignificant after the application of the FDR procedure, and these relationships disappear entirely when HBC and HBF are compared with each PDE (SI Appendix, Table S2). This result could indicate (i) major geological and anthropogenic controls on the sampling of the early hominin fossil record, or (ii) redundancy between early hominin taxic diversity and sampling metrics based solely on counts of early hominin fossils (26, 34). Hominins, like apes today, were probably a minor component of terrestrial ecosystems during their earliest evolution (35) and are therefore expected to be found in a small number of collections/formations during periods of genuine relative low diversity. Conversely, during periods of genuine relative high diversity, hominin fossils are expected to make their way into a greater number of collections/formations. The drive–response relationship between TDE and HBC/HBF is therefore most likely bidirectional, given their interdependence (SI Appendix), and this is corroborated by the fact that the discovery of new hominins and new hominin-bearing formations are intimately linked, having grown in concert through research time (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). This nonindependence (HBFs are as likely to drive TDE as TDE is HBF) calls into question their usefulness as a meaningful sampling metric (26, 34, 36).

To mitigate the issue of redundancy between TDE and HBFs and more accurately quantify the extent to which sampling controls diversity, we compared TDE to both a wider FFC based on the number of primate-bearing formations (PBFs) and a comprehensive FFC based on the number of terrestrial (i.e., nonmarine) macromammal-bearing formations (MBFs) (SI Appendix, Table S1). FFCs that include both HBFs and those PBFs/MBFs that have not yielded a hominin, are a priori better sampling metrics than HBFs alone, because they represent a closer approximation of supposed total sampling effort (i.e., collection effort and its underlying driver, the availability of sedimentary rock capable of preserving hominin fossils; ref. 36). HBF alone, in contrast, ignores all sampling opportunities that failed to find a hominin (nonoccurrence) and is therefore not an approximation of total sampling effort (36). When TDE is compared with PBF, it shows a remarkably strong correlation ($\rho = 0.742$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2) which remains highly significant after FDR correction, implying that observed TDE at any given time is largely controlled by the likelihood of sampling a primate fossil. This correlation completely disappears for each PDE (SI Appendix, Table S2), indicating that the application of only a partial correction for sampling (the addition of cladistically implied, as yet
unsampled ghost lineages) produces diversity estimates that show little relation to MBF. On the other hand, when MBF is compared with TDE and FDE, no significant correlations emerge (SI Appendix, Table S2).

Because of its combination of layer-cake stratigraphy and exposure of late Miocene to Holocene fossiliferous sediments through rifting and incision, the East African Rift System (EARS) provides a stratigraphically constrained exemplar for understanding the interaction between Neogene climate and mammal diversification (e.g., refs. 37 and 38). When Plio-Pleistocene eastern African (here including Ethiopia, Kenya, and Tanzania) taxic diversity (TDEEA) is analyzed separately, the pervasive nature of sampling is also apparent (see also refs. 37 and 39). Here, TDEEA correlates significantly with both HBCEA (p = 0.546, P = 0.038) and PBFEA (p = 0.575, P = 0.027) (SI Appendix, Table S3). However, these correlations are rendered nonsignificant after FDR correction. Once again, we find no significant correlation between TDEEA and MBFEA (p = 0.064, P = 0.822).

A highly significant correlation between TDE and PBF on the one hand, and lack of a correlation between TDE and MBF on the other could have three possible explanations: (i) PBF is information redundant with respect to TDE, and MBF (= sampling) does not control diversity; (ii) PBF is information redundant with respect to TDE, and MBF is too broad a measure of the amount of sampling effort in rock suitable for the preservation of a hominin; or (iii) PBF captures a genuine signal of fossil sampling that MBF does not, and largely controls observed TDE. If redundancy were the main cause of these correlations, we would expect the correlation to become weaker the more inclusive the FFC. However, the positive correlation actually increases from HBF to PBF (SI Appendix, Table S2). Further, it is unlikely that TDE drives PBF to the same extent that PBF drives TDE; 39% of PBFs are nonhominin bearing and fossiliferous formations are defined purely on lithostratigraphic grounds. We know of no formations subdivided more finely based on the occurrence of primate fossils, or fluctuations in primate taxic diversity.

For rare and sporadically sampled clades such as hominins, comprehensive FFC might not capture the idiosyncratic nature of fossil preservation and discovery that wider FFC can (but see the case of pterosaurs; refs. 19 and 26). A lack of correlation between TDE and MBF may be a product of most macro-mammals living in, or being preserved in, habitats that lacked hominins or were unsuitable for them in some way. For example, periods with high MBF could have high TDE if the mammals suitable for preservation in those formations are taphonomically comparable to hominins; but equally, periods with high MBF could have low TDE if the majority of formations preserve habitats unsuitable for hominins, no matter the amount of collection effort a formation receives. This appears to be the case for MBF which, despite containing PBF, correlates weakly with it (p = 0.419, P = 0.048; SI Appendix, Table S2). While cercopithecoid and hominoid primates are taphonomically comparable to hominins in terms of body size, morphology, and habitat preference (40), macromammals differ markedly in body size (by several orders of magnitude) and ecomorphology and, as a result, enter the fossil record via different taphonomistic pathways. Consequently, the distribution of body sizes in terrestrial mammal assemblages differs markedly by habitat, agent of accumulation, and climate (41). Mammals larger than 180 kg (e.g., Bovidae, Elephantidae, Rhinocerotidae) are overrepresented relative to modern faunas, while the abundance of medium-sized taxa, including large-bodied primates, does not deviate significantly from modern analogs (42). An FFC such as MBF, based on a clade that is preferentially preserved, is therefore less likely to depict a signal of sampling relevant to a rarely preserved and poorly sampled clade.

Defining which formations might preserve a hominin is complex and, to a certain extent, subjective. Although it is better to define a more inclusive clade of interest and compose an FFC based upon its occurrences, the question remains of how wide a clade is required to reach an optimum estimate of sampling intensity (36). Recent model simulations have found that comprehensive FFCs are the best predictor of true sampling, closely followed by all possible formations (suitable for the clade of interest and a FFC based on a wider clade of interest (36). Our data indicate that a wider FFC based on primate fossils represents the most meaningful count of the number of preserved depositional environments suitable for the preservation of a hominin. FFCs have been argued (e.g., refs. 16 and 34) to be poor predictors of sampling because they do not consistently correlate with collection effort (but see refs. 21 and 24). However, we find a highly significant correlation between PBF and our proxy for human sampling effort both at the continental (p = 0.629, P = 0.002; SI Appendix, Table S3) and regional (p = 0.864; P < 0.001; SI Appendix, Table S3) scales.

These findings are of critical importance for climate-forcing hypotheses of early hominin evolution that interpret global and regional climate events, particularly in the EARS, as causal agents in hominin diversification (e.g., refs. 10–12 and 43). Given the strong relationship between early hominin TDE and sampling found here, purported links between diversification and climate need to be reassessed in a paleobiological framework inclusive of this knowledge.

Did Climate Drive Hominin Diversification? Apparent speciation peaks at 3.6, 2.7–2.5, and 1.9 Ma, coincident with step changes in global cooling and African aridification, were first reported in African Bovidae and inferred in early hominins (ref. 4; but see refs. 44 and 45). More recently, these periods have also been argued to correspond with episodes of intense climatic instability in regional dust flux records and the EARS lake variability index (LVI) (e.g., refs. 11, 46, and 47). The timing of these apparent speciation pulses in bovids does, indeed, coincide with peaks in early hominin TDE (Fig. 1). However, peaks in TDE at 3.6, 2.4, and 1.9 Ma map directly onto peaks in both HBC and PBF (Fig. 1), and in the latter case, MBF. In contrast, we find no evidence of pulsed diversification using any PDE (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1)—diversity estimates that show no significant relation to sampling (SI Appendix, Table S2). Incidentally, peak MBF at 1.9 Ma also coincides with peak diversity of both EARS bovids and Turkana Basin large mammals (37).

To assess whether early hominin diversification dynamics were controlled by climate, we used time series and multivariate analysis to isolate short-term (i.e., bin-to-bin) fluctuations in early hominin TDE and compared this to HBC, PBF, and a record of terrigenous dust flux (henceforth aridity) to the Arabian Sea (5). We repeated the analysis using TDEEA plus a record of West African aridity (48) and LVI (11). This differs from...
previous research (e.g., refs. 10, 11, 43, and 47) by (i) including metrics for sampling, an aspect of the fossil record hitherto ignored in tests of climate-driven hypotheses of human evolution; and (ii) including an intercept-only null model, equivalent to entirely stochastic evolutionary dynamics, into such analysis. After generalized differencing, we find no link between diversity (either taxic or phylogenetic) and the interpetrated aridity curve (Figs. 1 and 2 and SI Appendix, Table S2). This indicates that aridity had little effect on short-term fluctuations in early hominin diversity. We also found no link between aridity and any sampling metric, indicating that the observed relationship between TDE and PBF, if both were actually independently being driven by a third common cause (24, 25). Despite a causal relationship between aridity and TDE being proposed (ref. 5 and LVI), expressed as either the mean or maximum $P_i = P_{i,max}$, we do not find a relationship here (SI Appendix, Table S2).

To disentangle the underlying mechanism linking the rock record, fossil record, true diversity, and extrinsic abiotic factors, we used generalized least squares (GLS) regression modeling to explore the possibility of multiple explanatory variables driving early hominin TDE. GLS regression modeling has the benefit of assessing the fit of multiple dependent variables while simultaneously accounting for temporal autocorrelation using a first-order autoregressive model. We used both the Akaike information criterion corrected for finite sample sizes (AICc) and Akaike weights ($w_i$) to assess model fit (Methods). No model fits TDE better than PBF and aridity combined. The removal of aridity from the most supported model yields an approximately equivalent but slightly lower $w_i$ (SI Appendix, Table S4). However, a model including only aridity is the least supported model overall, with an $w_i$ less than the null. In every model with a nonnegligible weight ($w_i > 0.01$), the only significant predictors of TDE are PBF and HBC. However, HBC is only significant in a single predictor model. The four models with the highest rank all contain PBF, while the lowest four contain no predictors other than aridity. Thus, rather than a common cause explanation in which aridity drove both diversity and sampling, our results support a simpler relationship in which TDE is controlled by sampling, and aridity does not appear to drive either of these parameters.

In the EARS, the appearance and disappearance of precessationally driven deep lakes has been causally linked to peaks and troughs in early hominin diversity (11). Lake high stands are argued to promote population isolation and allopatric speciation in a spatially constrained landscape, while lake low stands are thought to increase competition and extinction, given the limited resources (11). However, any such correlation can also be interpreted as reflecting the impact of lake levels on preservation rates. For example, during lake high stands, deposition of fluviolacustrine sediments will increase and the remains of terrestrial organisms will be more likely to reach aquatic environments and fossilize; conversely, during lake low stands or desiccation, sediment deposition will decrease, erosion rates will increase, and aridity will be more likely to reach aquatic environments and fossilize. Therefore, the early hominin fossil record indicates that the pattern of early hominin diversity can be explained in a spatially constrained landscape, while lake low stands are argued to promote population isolation and allopatric speciation (extinction) event. Nor is the finding that radiometric dating error associated with a first (last) appearance date is not surprising: approximately one-quarter of early hominin species are point occurrences and the remainder have considerable uncertainties on their known stratigraphic durations. Radiometric dating error is random with respect to a climate event (47) and is largely responsible for the pattern of early hominin diversity that each of these climate-forcing hypotheses purport to explain. By failing to account for the temporal heterogeneity in fossil sampling, artifactual fluctuations in early hominin taxic diversity have erroneously been linked to climate. Given the immaturity of the early hominin fossil record (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), a sustained and major increase in sampling intensity is undoubtedly required before an accurate understanding of the link between climate and early hominin diversification can be determined.

Conclusion

Long-term variation in aridity and climatic instability probably played a key role in the emergent adaptive strategies taken by hominins in the Plio-Pleistocene. However, we find no evidence that short-term fluctuations in climate relate to changes in hominin diversity. Instead, our data support a direct, causal relationship between TDE and fossil sampling. The near-linear increase in PDE from 7.0 to 2.4 Ma negates any explanation based on climate-driven pulsed turnover and corroborates recent interpretations that events in human evolution once thought to be major transitions, when viewed in a phylogenetic (i.e., lineage) context, actually represent gradual adaptive shifts (e.g., 3 and 49). The identification of a major sampling component in the early hominin fossil record indicates that the pattern of diversification which many climatic forcing hypotheses purport to explain is more apparent than real. This should come as no surprise: approximately one-quarter of early hominin species are point occurrences and the remainder have considerable uncertainties on their known stratigraphic durations. Radiometric dating error associated with a first (last) appearance date is not equivalent to statistical uncertainty that the date represents a speciation (extinction) event. Nor is the finding that radiometric dating error is random with respect to a climate event (47) an appropriate test of the quality of the fossil record. If error were...
randomly distributed in the early hominin fossil record, any genuine evolutionary signal would be degraded not distorted (50). However, runs tests demonstrate that collection effort ($P = 0.004$) and rock availability ($P < 0.012$ for each FFC) are non-randomly distributed in the early hominin fossil record. The starting point for macroevolutionary analyses in paleoanthropology ought to be that, before any pattern in the fossil record is causally linked to climate, it is demonstrably shown that that pattern is not an artifact of sampling or poor fossil record quality. This requirement has been overlooked by paleoanthropologists, archaeologists, and climatologists alike, and has severely impacted the interpretation of macroevolutionary pattern and process in the early hominin fossil record (51). Becoming cognizant of the rapidly advancing study of fossil record quality in paleoanthropology, particularly since the pioneering work of Raup (13, 23), should be at the center of 21st century paleoanthropology.

**Methods**

**Taxic Diversity Estimate.** Taxic methods assess diversity by counting the number of observed taxa in a series of time bins based on their stratigraphic range. We used the first-appearence datum (FAD) and last-appearence datum (LAD) for taxa in Wood (52) to compute a 0.25-Mt time bins between 7 and 1 Ma (SI Appendix, Table S6). If a FAD or LAD falls on the boundary of a time bin (e.g., 2 Ma), that taxon is deemed present only in the younger bin (in this case, 2–1.75 Ma).

**Phylogenetic Diversity Estimate.** Phylogenetic methods assess diversity by counting the number of lineages (observed and inferred) in a series of time bins using a dated (i.e., time-scaled) phylogeny. We generated PDs in equivalent time bins using four comprehensive hominin phylogenies (30–33) that sample the largest number of taxa included in the TDE. Polytomies in the strict consensus (30) and majority-rule (32) cladograms were resolved based on the order of first appearance. To maximize comparability between datasets, Eurasian taxa and taxa younger than 1 Ma were pruned from each cladogram after time scaling, as the focus here is early hominin diversity dynamics.

The phylogenetic method requires that branch lengths are proportional to time. To do this we time scaled each tree using taxon duration data and the three-rate-calibrated time-scaling (cal3) method (53). The cal3 method constrains the age of each node between the date of the previous node (except for the root) and the FAD of daughter lineages. The age of each node is then calculated by the probability density of the amount of unobserved evolutionary history implied by each node age, a probability dependent on rates of speciation, extinction, and sampling in the fossil record (53). These densities are then used to stochastically sample the possible ages for each node (53). Speciation, extinction, and sampling rates were first determined empirically in the R package paleotree (54). This function applies a maximum likelihood optimization to the distribution of taxon durations and returns the best fitting sampling probability and extinction and speciation rates that have a genuine evolutionary signal would be degraded not distorted (50). However, runs tests demonstrate that collection effort ($P = 0.004$) and rock availability ($P < 0.012$ for each FFC) are non-randomly distributed in the early hominin fossil record. The starting point for macroevolutionary analyses in paleoanthropology ought to be that, before any pattern in the fossil record is causally linked to climate, it is demonstrably shown that that pattern is not an artifact of sampling or poor fossil record quality. This requirement has been overlooked by paleoanthropologists, archaeologists, and climatologists alike, and has severely impacted the interpretation of macroevolutionary pattern and process in the early hominin fossil record (51). Becoming cognizant of the rapidly advancing study of fossil record quality in paleoanthropology, particularly since the pioneering work of Raup (13, 23), should be at the center of 21st century paleoanthropology.

**Sampling Metrics.**

**Diversity**. Temporally resolved information on sedimentary rock area is not available at the continental level for the late Neogene, so instead, we use FFCs. FFCs summarize aspects of rock volume, facies heterogeneity, geographical and temporal dispersion, and collection effort (16, 22) and have been shown to correlate with rock outcrop area (e.g., ref. 21) and gap-bound packages (e.g., ref. 24 but see ref. 61). FFCs represent an estimate of the number of discrete depositional environments known to contain fossils and are thus a proxy for the amount of rock available for sampling in a given time bin. HBF counts were taken from an exhaustive survey of the published literature. Fossil-bearing deposits in the Cradle of Humankind, South Africa, were counted as one “formation” (SI Appendix). This had minimal effect on the results as HBF and a count including each deposit as a distinct formation correlate strongly ($\rho = 0.941, P < 0.001$). The same treatment is applied to other primate- and mammal-bearing karst deposits. PBF counts were taken from the chapters on cercopithecoids (62), hominins (60), Cenozoic Mammals of Africa (65), and corrob-orated using the Paleobiology Database (PDBB). MBF counts were similarly gathered from the PDBB and Cenozoic Mammals of Africa (65), and excluded small (i.e., Chiroptera, Eulipophyta, Hyalectidae, Lagomorpha, Macroscelidea, and Rodentia) and non-terrestrial (i.e., Cetacea and Sirenia) mammals (SI Appendix).

**Collecting effort.** In-bin counts of the number of HBCs were compiled as a proxy for collecting effort. A collection is defined as an assemblage of fossils from one locality that were amassed in a single effort and is roughly equivalent to a field season. Information on the duration and number of field seasons at a locality are not commonly provided so, instead, we used the number of years that have produced a hominin fossil per formation per bin (SI Appendix). For example, Sahelanthropus tchadensis is known from the 7-Ma Anthracop- thrid Unit (Chad) and the fossils that compose its hypodigm were collected in 2002 and 2005. The 7.0–6.75 Ma time bin therefore has a HBC count of 2. The number of HBCs in a given time bin thus represents the number of discrete episodes of field study (i.e., paleoanthropological collection effort) that have yielded a hominin fossil. These data are up to date as of November 1, 2017.

**Climate proxies.** TDE and PDE were compared with the 8-Ma Arabian Sea (55) and 5-Ma West African (48) terrigenous dust flux records, and the LVI (11). Dust flux data were interpolated to 50-kiloannum (ka) intervals using the shape-preserving piecewise cubic hermite interpolating polynomial, enabling us to calculate the mean and SD of each time bin. To convert the LVI into our time bins (in the original publication, LVI is given in 50-ka time bins; see figure 1 in ref. 11) we took the mean and maximum value in each time bin.

**Statistical Tests.** Spearman’s rank ($\rho$) and Kendall’s tau rank ($\tau$) correlation coefficients were used to compare all diversity estimates, sampling metrics, and climate proxies (66). Time series were detrended and corrected for autocorrelation by generalized differencing before regression (ref. 67, R code from www.graemetlloyd.com/methgd.html). Long-term trends and autocorrelation tend to result in spurious detection of correlation between time series (67) and thus must be removed before performing statistical tests (68). The significance of correlations was evaluated based on original $P$ values and $P$ values adjusted for the implementation of multiple tests using the FDR procedure (69). GLS model fitting was performed to explore the possibility of multiple variables explaining taxic diversity, with model fit assessed using the second-order AICc, corrected for finite sample size, and the relative likelihood of each model based on $w_0$ (70). Models were created for all possible combinations of variables plus an intercept-only null model, representing statistically random variation around a constant mean. The Breusch–Pagan test was used to assess heteroskedasticity of residuals. Heteroskedasticity may cause overestimation of model fit; however, no cases of heteroskedasticity were found. We also used Wald–Wolfowitz runs tests to investigate the null hypothesis of randomness and data independence in a time series (66). All analyses were performed in R 3.4.3 (71).
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