
Camile S Farah¹, Kate Amos², Rachel Leeson³, Stephen Porter³

¹ UWA Dental School, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA, Australia

² Private Practice, Coffs Harbour, NSW, Australia

³ University College London, Eastman Dental Institute, London, UK

Corresponding Author:
Professor Camile Farah
UWA Dental School, University of Western Australia
17 Monash Ave, Nedlands WA 6009
T: 08 9346 7636
E: camile.farah@uwa.edu.au

Key words: Candida, burning mouth syndrome, oral dysesthesia, oral disease.
Abstract

Objectives
Oral dysesthesia (burning mouth syndrome) is characterised by a burning-like sensation of the oral mucosa. The aetiology of this disorder is still unknown, however associations with oral fungal carriage have been proposed and applied clinically. The aim of the this study was to compare oral Candida carriage in patients with oral dysesthesia with Candida carriage in patients with other commonly diagnosed oral diseases to clarify the relationship between Candida and oral dysesthesia.

Subjects and Methods
441 patients in total including 79 patients diagnosed with oral dysesthesia were included in this study. A retrospective analysis of mycological investigations undertaken in patients with clinically diagnosed oral dysesthesia compared with other oral conditions was undertaken.

Results
Oral carriage of Candida was found in 63.3% (50 of 79) of patients with oral dysesthesia. The frequency of carriage, and oral load of Candida were not significantly increased in patients with oral dysesthesia relative to the other conditions assessed. Patients with clinical signs of fungal infection or xerostomia presented with increased carriage of Candida.

Conclusion
There is no association between oral dysesthesia and the presence or load of oral Candida.
Introduction

Oral dysesthesia (OD), also known as Burning Mouth Syndrome (BMS), is a chronic orofacial pain condition that is associated with moderate to intense pain of a burning or similar nature, which affects the oral mucosa. Patients affected by this condition may suffer disruption to normal social relationships and a general diminution in quality of life. The International Association for the Study of Pain, International Headache Society and other recent literature have provided classification and diagnostic criteria for BMS that may be applied in both clinical and research settings (Table 1).

BMS typically affects women more frequently than men at a female to male ratio of approximately 3:1. The majority of affected individuals are over 50 years of age and the post-menopausal period of life is frequently implicated. The prevalence of BMS is estimated to be between 0.7% and 4.5% based on different sample populations and inclusion criteria. The lack of strict protocols for clinical and serological examination of patients to differentiate between BMS and other diseases associated with painful intra-oral mucosal symptoms continues to hinder a sound epidemiological understanding of BMS being established from existing research.

The aetiopathogenesis of OD principally reflects psychological factors, and a number of systemic (e.g. diabetes mellitus) and local factors have all been suggested to be related to symptoms. Infection by Candida albicans continues...
to be quoted as a possible cause but there are no consistent supportive data $^{14-17}$. As *Candida* is a commensal, it might be expected to frequently give rise to oral mucosal discomfort in patients at risk of candidal overgrowth, but not other conditions.

Reports of *Candida* oral carriage rates among BMS patients range from 25% to 93.7% within the published literature $^{14-16,18-23}$. Three independent studies have shown no statistically significant difference in the rate of *Candida* carriage between BMS and control subjects $^{18,19,23}$. A recent Japanese study found no significant difference between the oral carriage of *Candida* among BMS patients compared with xerostomia or dysgeusia $^{18}$. Comparison of the *Candida* carriage of BMS patients relative to other oral diseases may yield further vital evidence to support or deny an association.

The aim of this research was to investigate the relationship between *Candida* and OD by examining the presentation, frequency and oral load of *Candida* in patients with a variety of oral diseases. The inclusion of a large cohort, assessment of a national population that has not been previously studied in this field, and comparison of a wide range of oral disease states are unique aspects of this study that are likely to provide a deeper understanding of the association between *Candida* and the presence of abnormal oral signs and symptoms.

**Materials and Methods**
The study constituted an audit of records for a convenience sample of 441 patients who had a variety of oral mucosal and non-mucosal diseases, including burning mouth syndrome, atypical facial pain, oral candidosis, angular stomatitis, Candida-associated denture induced stomatitis, geographic tongue, hyperplasia, oral epithelial dysplasia, oral squamous cell carcinoma, recurrent aphthous ulceration, xerostomia, oral lichen planus, pemphigus vulgaris and mucous membrane pemphigoid; the diagnoses being based upon clinical features alone. The study cohort included 277 females and 164 males. The mean age of patients participating in the study was 54.7 years, with a range extending from 4.4 to 88.7 years of age. Further details of demographics are provided in Table 2.

All patients were attendees of the Oral Medicine Clinic of the UCL Eastman Dental Hospital, London, United Kingdom. Patient consent was obtained for clinical oral examination, oral rinse collection and evaluation of yeast growth. All patients underwent microbiological estimation of oral Candida carriage as part of their standard of care routine clinical work up during a period of two years (between 1993-1995) when this diagnostic service was available to resident clinicians. Patients were required to swill 10 ml of sterile distilled water around the mouth for 60 seconds before expectorating into a sterile specimen container. 1 ml of this sample was cultured on Sabouraud's dextrose agar (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) containing chloramphenicol (1 mg/l; Sigma-Aldrich). Colony forming units (cfu) per ml of mouth swill were calculated.
Isolates were defined to the species level by phenotypic methods. A germ-tube test (GTT) [presence of germ tubes after growth in horse serum (Sigma-Aldrich) for 90 min at 37°C] gave a positive identification for *C. albicans* or *C. dubliniensis*.

The clinical and laboratory data were collated through a record audit to assess the comparative effects that different diseases may have on the presence of *Candida* species and the oral load of *Candida* within the oral cavity. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism Version 4.01 (GraphPad Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). The Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Fisher’s exact test were used to determine the difference in *Candida* load and presence respectively between different disease groups. A probability (p) of less than or equal to 0.05 was set as an indication of statistically significant variations.

**Results**

*Frequency of oral carriage of Candida species*

Oral carriage of *Candida* was found in 63.3% (50 of 79) of patients with OD. *Candida* species were found in 90.9% of individuals with xerostomia (20 of 22). Patients with clinical signs of *Candida* infection (denture induced stomatitis or candidosis/angular cheilitis) showed the presence of *Candida* species in 84.4% (38 of 45) and 83.9% (73 of 87) of cases respectively. A diagnosis of hyperplasia/dysplasia/squamous cell carcinoma was associated with *Candida* in 71.9% (41 of 57) of patients. Patients with mucocutaneous disease (oral lichen planus/pemphigus vulgaris/mucous membrane pemphigoid) and RAS presented
with *Candida* in 59.7% (43 of 72) and 56.3% (18 of 32) of patients. 57.1% (16 of 28) of patients with geographic tongue carried *Candida* species (Table 3).

Statistical analysis of the frequency of *Candida* carriage among the subgroups showed no significant differences (P<0.05) with exception of the subgroups of patients diagnosed with xerostomia, *Candida*-associated denture induced stomatitis and candidosis/ angular cheilitis. The oral load of *Candida* species was significantly higher in these three groups relative to BMS patients.

*Candida load*

The mean count of *Candida* in individuals with BMS was 4,705 cfu (range from 0 – 52,000 cfu). The mean oral load of *Candida* among xerostomia patients was 9,175 (range from 0 – 45,300 cfu). Patients with clinical signs of *Candida* infection (denture induced stomatitis/ *candidosis* /angular cheilitis) showed the mean count of *Candida* to be 8,920 (range from 0 – 67,000 cfu) and 8,197 (range from 0 – 121,000 cfu) respectively. A diagnosis of hyperplasia/dysplasia/squamous cell carcinoma was associated with a mean *Candida* count of 3,448 (range from 0 – 45,000 cfu). Mucocutaneous disease (oral lichen planus/pemphigus vulgaris/mucous membrane pemphigoid) and RAS were associated with the mean *Candida* count of 2,506 (range from 0 – 40,000 cfu) and 1,216 (range from 0 – 10,000 cfu).

Statistical analysis of the *Candida* counts among the subgroups showed no significant differences (P<0.05) with the exception of the subgroups of patients.
diagnosed with xerostomia, denture induced stomatitis and candidosis/angular cheilitis. The oral load of Candida species was significantly higher in these three groups when compared with the BMS patient group (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The results of this paper support the hypothesis that there is no association between the presence or load of Candida and the diagnosis of burning mouth syndrome/oral dysesthesia. This assertion is made on the basis that Candida carriage was not found to be significantly higher among patients with BMS than the other oral diseases assessed.

The frequency of carriage of Candida species in the present group of patients was 63.3%. Previous studies have reported that 25% to 93.7% of patients with BMS may carry Candida, however it is critical to note that most show that the frequency of carriage among BMS patients is not significantly greater than in control groups. Indeed, 70% or more of healthy patients may harbor Candida species in the mouth.

BMS patients within this study did not exhibit a significantly higher prevalence or load of Candida than any other oral disease examined. The oral carriage of Candida among patients with BMS was in fact found to be significantly lower than in patients diagnosed with xerostomia, Candida-associated denture induced stomatitis and candidosis/angular cheilitis. The significantly higher carriage of Candida species observed in patients with clinical signs of Candida infection
(denture induced stomatitis, candidosis/angular cheilitis) or local predisposing factors for increased *Candida* carriage (xerostomia) would be anticipated\(^1^8\). This finding may be a reassuring indication that the absence of association between *Candida* carriage and BMS demonstrated within the data presented is likely to be genuine. Further evidence of consistency is suggested by the general similarity in P-value among corresponding conditions when examining *Candida* count and prevalence using separate statistical analyses.

An interesting finding within this study is a very high mean level of *Candida* among patients with atypical facial pain. The authors do not believe that this is an indicative finding since high outliers in this group skewed the data. Indeed the median is considered more representative of the general distribution of load and was therefore used in tests for significant differences in *Candida* prevalence among different disease states.

As no detailed *Candida* typing was undertaken as part of this study, it is possible that some of the supposed *C. albicans* isolates may have been *C. dubliniensis*. It is likely however, that *C. albicans* was the most common type of *Candida* present, as previous studies of generally well individuals and those with BMS have consistently found *C. albicans* to be the dominant oral yeast\(^{15,17,19,21,24}\).
The lack of a healthy control group for comparison within this study is a weakness that may be counteracted by a strong body of existing research that refutes the presence of a significant difference between Candida carriage among BMS versus control patients. The data presented confirms previous findings that the existence of xerostomia is associated with increased Candida presence. Since no previous research has compared Candida carriage among BMS patients with a wide range of alternative oral diseases, this unique perspective lends significant weight to the growing body of evidence that discredits a microbiological link between Candida infection and BMS.

Such a conclusion also yields important implications for the pharmaceutical management of BMS. Topical clonazepam therapy is currently the only effective pharmaceutical intervention for BMS that is based on a randomised controlled trial and is well supported by other studies. There is currently no sound research to support the use of antifungal medication in the management of correctly diagnosed BMS. The findings of this study provide further evidence against a microbiological rationale for such an approach.

A secondary application of these findings may arise through assessment of the diagnostic potential for Candida testing to distinguish between different presenting oral diseases. The present results indicate that Candida testing may be useful to distinguish between BMS and frank oral candidosis or a
predisposing oral environment of xerostomia. However, the clinician must balance the information to be gained from this diagnostic procedure against considerations of costs, risks and delays in treatment that such a test may potentiate. Considering the differentiation potential that a simple clinical history, examination and in-chair saliva test may yield, a further probe to measure *Candida* carriage in such circumstances may be diagnostically superfluous, despite its potential.

The present results strongly support the notion that *Candida* is not a local factor associated with the aetiology of BMS. Since carriage of *Candida* among BMS patients appears to bear no significant difference to many other oral diseases, routine mycological evaluation of patients with likely features of this disorder is not warranted and there is no sound microbiological rationale for the use of antifungal therapy in the management of BMS.
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Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for diagnosis of BMS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Symptoms of diffuse burning pain of the tongue and/or oral mucosa that may or may not be associated with subjective oral dryness and loss or alteration of taste or sensation.</td>
<td>1) Presence of specific local etiologic evidence for the burning such as otherwise defined diseases of the oral mucosa or hyposalivation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Burning pain almost every day.</td>
<td>2) Presence of specific systemic etiological evidence for the burning such as diabetes or anaemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Examination revealing normal mucosa in the region of burning.</td>
<td>3) Regular use of medications known to be associated with oral burning and/or alteration of taste or sensation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Absence of systemic disorders or laboratory alterations known to be associated with orofacial pain.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Demographics of patients with burning mouth syndrome and other oral mucosal disorders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease group</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burning mouth syndrome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical facial pain</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral candidosis/angular cheilitis</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>59.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida-associated denture induced stomatitis</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic tongue</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia/dysplasia/squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent oral ulceration</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xerostomia</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral lichen planus/pemphigus vulgaris/mucous membrane pemphigoid</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. *Candida* counts and prevalence compared between burning mouth syndrome and other groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease group</th>
<th>Candida (count)</th>
<th>Candida (prevalence)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning mouth syndrome</td>
<td>4,705.2</td>
<td>10,799.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical facial pain</td>
<td>12,452.6</td>
<td>41,033.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral candidosis/angular cheilitis</td>
<td>8,197.8</td>
<td>17,880.8</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Candida</em>-associated denture induced stomatitis</td>
<td>8,920.2</td>
<td>15,737.2</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic tongue</td>
<td>2,420.0</td>
<td>4,517.0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia/dysplasia/squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>3,448.9</td>
<td>7,413.1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent oral ulceration</td>
<td>1,216.3</td>
<td>2,621.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xerostomia</td>
<td>9,175.0</td>
<td>11,807.6</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral lichen planus/pemphigus vulgaris/mucous membrane pemphigoid</td>
<td>2,506.9</td>
<td>6,524.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>7,220.0</td>
<td>30,823.5</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significantly higher median count than BMS group (Wilcoxon rank-sum test)
** significantly higher prevalence than BMS group (Fisher’s exact test)